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This meeting of the Texas Historical Commission has been properly posted with the Secretary of State’s Office according to the provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Chapter 551, Texas Government Code. The members may discuss and/or take action on any of the items listed in the agenda. Members of the public will be able to observe a livestream feed using the following link on July 26, 2022: https://youtu.be/k5nSmf8wShg This livestream option will not allow for two-way communication between members of the public and the Commission. Members of the public may provide public comment in person concerning any matter within the authority of the Commission by registering at the meeting location on July 26, 2022.

NOTE: The Texas Historical Commission may go into executive session (close its meeting to the public) on any agenda item if appropriate and authorized by the Open Meetings Act, Texas Government Code, Chapter 551.

1. Call to Order and Introductions – Chairman Nau
   1.1 Welcome
   1.2 Pledge of Allegiance
       A. United States
       B. Texas
   1.3 Commissioner introductions
   1.4 Establish quorum
   1.5 Recognize and/or excuse absences

2. Public comment
   Members of the public may address the Commission concerning any matter within the authority of the Commission. The Chairman may limit the length of time available to each individual.

3. Additional Reports and Presentations
   3.1 Update on Alamo master plan – Kate Rogers, ATI, Inc.
   3.2 Texas Statewide Historic Preservation Plan update – Nick Kalogeris, AICP, The Lakota Group
   3.3 Progress update on restoration and reactivation of Fair Park, Texas Centennial Exposition Grounds, Dallas, Dallas County – Brian Luallen, CEO, Fair Park First and Norman Alston, FAIA
   3.4 Presentation of Staff Performance Awards – Wolfe/Rubin

4. Friends of the THC – Anjali Zutshi, E.D., Friends OF THC

5. Texas Holocaust, Genocide & Antisemitism Advisory Commission – Joy Nathan, E.D., THGAAC
   5.1 Update on Advisory Commission activities including statutorily required Antisemitism study

6. Consent Items – The Commission may approve agenda items 6.1 – 6.7 by a majority vote on a single motion. Any commissioner may request that an item be pulled from this consent agenda for consideration as a separate item.
   6.1 Consider approval of April 29, 2022 meeting minutes
6.2 Consider certification of Historic Texas Cemetery Designations including: Brown; St. Mary’s Colony; Bingham; Panteon de Guadalupe; Gomez; Horn-Hunt; Stockton-Charco; Cibolo Creek; Center; Banister; White Church; Blair Valley; Irby; Flanagan; Mt. Herman; and Shiloh Black

6.3 Consider approval of text for Official Texas Historical Markers including: Pastores of Bailey County (UNDEERTOLD); MKT Passenger Depot (RTHL); Eastview Cemetery; G. J. Sutton; Weakley-Watson Building (RTHL); Dean and Gladys Porter House (RTHL); Judith Calderoni-Yturria and Richard Champion House (RTHL); Martin Luther King Jr. at SMU; White Rock Cemetery, Garden of Memories; Cotton Industry in Ellis County; Cistern Public Cemetery (HTC); George Thomas “Mickey” Leland III; The Turkey Day Classic; Woodlawn Cemetery (HTC); Don Felipe Roque de la Portilla (MRR replacement); Origin of 1896 Paris Fire; Smith Cemetery (HTC); Bradford Knapp; Pleasant Grove Cemetery (HTC); The U.S. Coast Guard on Mustang Island (Replacement); Notla Community; Circa 1900 Cotton Gin (RTHL); African American Education in Snyder; Berta Hart Nance; Comanche Peak; Myrtle Springs Cemetery (HTC); Burials of Enslaved People in McAdams Cemetery; Episcopal Church of St. Michael and All Angels; Willow Springs (Replacement); Dr. George L. Baber; and William Richard Phillips

6.4 Consider adoptions to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2
   A. Amendment to Section 21.12, related to marker text requests with/without changes to the text as published in the May 20, 2022 issue of the Texas Register (47 TexReg 2942-2943)
   B. Repeal of Section 16.7 related to Historic Sites, Friends Organizations with/without changes to the text as published in the May 20, 2022 issue of the Texas Register (47 TexReg 2939-2940)
   C. New rule, Section 16.7 related to Historic Sites, Supporting Nonprofit Partners, with/without changes to the text as published in the May 20, 2022 issue of the Texas Register (47 TexReg 2940-2942)

6.5 Second Permit Extension, Jorge Garcia-Herreros for Antiquities Permit #6247, Search for the Twin Sisters Cannons 41HR1105

6.6 Consider approval of contract amendments
   A. AJR Media for Geolocation data – extend initial term to 8/31/23 and increase by $19,761
   B. B-Sign dba Eagle Sign and Design for Historical Markers – extend term to 9/30/23
   C. Coastal Environments for San Felipe – extend term to 2/20/23
   D. Samuel Collins, III for Levi Jordan State Historic Site – extend term to 1/14/24
   E. Compass Group USA, Inc. dba Foodworks for French Legation – increase by $800,000

6.7 Consider acceptance of donations – $1,415,924 from NorthPoint Development, LLC for the Texas Preservation Trust Fund/Dallas County Grants and Custom Gooseneck stock trailer from Washington on the Brazos Historical Foundation, valued at $15,846 for Washington-on-the-Brazos SHS

7. Antiquities Advisory Board – Commissioner Bruseth
   7.1 Committee report – report on items considered at the committee meeting held on July 25, 2022, including SAL nomination information, and updates on permitted projects and State Antiquities Landmarks

8. Archeology – Commissioner Bruseth
   8.1 Committee report – report on items considered at the committee meeting held on July 25, 2022, including updates on the terrestrial and Marine Archeology programs, Texas Archeology Stewardship Network, Texas Archeology Month, Curatorial Facilities Certification program, and upcoming activities/events

9. Architecture – Commissioner Limbach
   9.1 Committee report – report on items considered at the committee meeting held on July 25, 2022, including updates on staffing, federal and state architectural reviews, courthouse preservation, disaster assistance, trust fund grants, and historic preservation tax credit projects
   9.2 Consider filing authorization of intent to review and consider for re-adoption, revision or repeal, Chapter 13 (Texas Historic Tax Credit Program) of the TAC, Part 2 for publication in the Texas Register – Wright
9.3 Consider filing authorization of proposed amendments to sections 13.1, 13.2, 13.3, 13.6, and 13.7 of the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 13 related to the Texas Historic Preservation Tax Credit Program for first publication and public comment in the Texas Register – Wright
9.4 Consider approval of Texas Historic Courthouse Preservation Program Round XII grant awards – Brummett
9.5 Consider award of Round X master plan update grant to Kleberg County – Brummett

10. Communications – Commissioner McKnight
10.1 Committee report – report on items considered at the committee meeting held on July 25, 2022, including division updates and media outreach

11. Community Heritage Development – Commission Peterson
11.1 Committee report – report on items considered at the committee meeting held on July 25, 2022, including updates on division staffing, Texas Time Travel; status of Texas Treasures Business Award improvements; Texas Main Street Program activities including DowntownTX.org; heritage tourism activities including Texas Heritage Trails Program; Certified Local Government activities including grants, training, and prospective CLGs; and Real Places conference

12. Finance and Government Relations – Vice-Chair McKnight
12.1 Committee report – report on items considered at the committee meeting held on July 25, 2022, including a review of the agency financial dashboard and legislative report
12.2 Consider approval of annual operating budget for FY 2023 – Rubin/Estrada

13. Historic Sites – Commissioner Crain
13.1 Committee report – report on items considered at the committee meeting held on July 25, 2022, including updates on the Historic Sites facilities; Community Engagement; National Museum of the Pacific War SHS; Casa Navarro SHS; Washington on the Brazos SHS; the Levi Jordan Project; and the report on a State Joint Collection Facility and searchable database
13.2 Consider approval of the FY2023 Longhorn Herd workplan
13.3 Consider approval to deaccession items from the National Museum of the Pacific War, Sam Rayburn House, and Washington-on-the-Brazos State Historic Sites

14. History Programs – Commissioner White
14.1 Committee report – report on items considered at the committee meeting held on July 25, 2022, including an update on division activities
14.2 Report and discussion regarding the 2022 Official Texas Historical Markers topics
14.3 Consider approval of work plan for 2023 Official Texas Historical Markers
14.4 Consider removal of historical marker for Burleson Quadrangle, Waco, McLennan County
14.5 Consider approval of executive director’s appointments to the State Board of Review

15. Executive – Chairman Nau
15.1 Committee report – report on items considered at the committee meeting held on July 25, 2022, including updates on information technology, human resources, ongoing projects and upcoming events
15.2 Consider approval of a new appointment to the Texas Preservation Trust Fund Advisory Board – Brummett
15.3 Consider approval of the Project Fundraising Priorities list requiring private funds in excess of $50,000 for FY 2023 – Zutshi/Wolfe
15.4 Consider confirmation of reappointments to the Board of Trustees of the Friends of the Texas Historical Commission – Zutshi/Wolfe
16. Legal matters – Assistant Attorney General Dennis McKinney
   16.1 Report from and/or conference with legal counsel on ongoing and/or pending legal matters

17. Executive Director’s Report – Mark Wolfe, E.D.
   17.1 Staff introductions
   17.2 Report on activities of THC Executive Director and staff for the preceding quarter including meetings held, consultations, contacts and planned travel/events

18. Chairman’s Report – Chairman Nau
   Report on the ongoing projects and operations of the Commission including updates on meetings held, consultations, contacts and planned travel/events

19. Adjourn

NOTICE OF ASSISTANCE AT PUBLIC MEETINGS: Persons with disabilities who plan to attend this meeting and who may need auxiliary aids or services such as interpreters for persons who are deaf or hearing impaired, readers, large print or Braille, are requested to contact esther.brickley@thc.texas.gov at (512) 463-5768 at least four (4) business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.
MEETING SCHEDULE
# QUARTERLY MEETING SCHEDULE

**DoubleTree Suites by Hilton Hotel**  
2nd Floor  
Houston Room  
303 W 15th Street  
Austin, TX 78701  
July 25-26

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>MEETING/EVENT</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monday, July 25</td>
<td>9:30 a.m.</td>
<td>AAB</td>
<td>Houston Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday, July 25</td>
<td>10 a.m.</td>
<td>Archeology Committee</td>
<td>Houston Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday, July 25</td>
<td>10:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Architecture Committee</td>
<td>Houston Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday, July 25</td>
<td>11:15 a.m.</td>
<td>Community Heritage Development Committee</td>
<td>Houston Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday, July 25</td>
<td>11:45 a.m.</td>
<td>Communications Committee</td>
<td>Houston Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday, July 25</td>
<td>1:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Finance &amp; Govt. Relations Committee</td>
<td>Houston Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday, July 25</td>
<td>1:15 p.m.</td>
<td>History Programs Committee</td>
<td>Houston Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday, July 25</td>
<td>1:45 p.m.</td>
<td>Historic Sites Committee</td>
<td>Houston Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday, July 25</td>
<td>3:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Executive Committee</td>
<td>Houston Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday, July 26</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>Full Commission</td>
<td>Houston Room</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COMMITTEE LIST
| LAST   | FIRST | EXECUTIVE | FINANCE & GOV. RELATIONS | ARCHEOL | ARCHITECT | HISTORY PROGRAMS | HERITAGE: DVL/PMT | HISTORIC SITES | COMMS AAB | LIASON/  | FRIENDS OF  | ADMIRAL  | SAN JAC | MUSEUM & BATTLEFIELD ASSOC |
|--------|-------|-----------|---------------------------|---------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------|-----------| GOV'S MANSION | NIMITZ FOUND. |        |                        |
| BAHORICH | DONNA |           | X                         |         | X         |                   |                   |                |          |           |             |             |        |                        |
| BROUSSARD | EARL   |           | X                         | X       |           |                   |                   |                |          |           |             |             |        |                        |
| BRUSETH | JIM    |            |                           |        |           |                   |                   | X              |          | CHAIR     |             |             |        |                        |
| BURDETT | MONICA |            |                           |         |           |                   |                   |                |          |           |             |             |        |                        |
| CRAIN   | JOHN   |            | X                         | X       |           |                   |                   |                |          | CHAIR     |             |             |        |                        |
| DONNELLY | GARRETT |           |                           |        |           |                   |                   |                |          |           |             |             |        |                        |
| DUTIA   | RENEE  |            |                           |         |           |                   |                   |                |          |           |             |             |        |                        |
| GARCIA | LILIA  |            |                           |         |           |                   |                   |                |          |           |             |             |        |                        |
| GRAVELLE | DAVID  |            |                           |         |           |                   |                   |                |          |           |             |             |        |                        |
| LIMBACHER | LAURIE |            |                           |         |           |                   |                   |                |          |           |             |             |        |                        |
| MCKNIGHT | CATHERINE |        | V-CHAIR                   | CHAIR   |           |                   |                   |                |          |           |             |             |        |                        |
| NAU     | JOHN   |            |                           |         |           |                   |                   |                |          |           |             |             |        |                        |
| PERINI  | TOM    |            |                           |         |           |                   |                   |                |          |           |             |             |        |                        |
| PETERSON | PETE   |            |                           |         |           |                   |                   |                |          | CHAIR     |             |             |        |                        |
| WHITE   | DAISY  |            |                           |         |           |                   |                   |                |          |           |             |             |        |                        |
FRIENDS OF THE THC
Quarterly Report
Friends of the Texas Historical Commission
April–June 2022

PLANNED AND ENDOWMENT GIFTS
The FTHC has been working with a planned gift donor, James Harper Starr Pierson, Jr, on his will bequest, which will include the FTHC as a recipient. This planned gift is directed toward the repair, restoration, maintenance, and other programming support for the Starr Family Home in Marshall. Pierson is the great-great-grandson of Dr. James Harper Starr.

FUNDRAISING ACTIVITIES/THC DIVISIONS SUPPORT
Community Heritage Development
Real Places 2023 Conference: The FTHC is launching the sponsorship efforts for Real Places 2023. The sponsorship program will be restructured with the goal to build sustainability in the process, as well as to ensure that conference branding is focused on the THC.

Historic Sites Division
San Jacinto—The Almonte Surrender Site Acquisition: As you are aware, the overall budget that the FTHC is working to fundraise is $500,000—$250,000 for the acquisition (to match a $250,000 gift received from Chairman Nau), $100,000 for an archeology master plan, and $150,000 for site improvements and interpretation. With the approval of a $70,000 grant from the Meadows Foundation and a $40,000 grant from the Summerlee Foundation, the FTHC has now secured the $500,000 match to the Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF) grant, for the acquisition of the Almonte Surrender Site, and another $100,000 to complete the archeology master plan for the San Jacinto Battleground, including the Almonte Surrender Site. Other donors include the Fondren Foundation, the Wortham Foundation, the Brown Foundation, Inc., the Strake Foundation, the Herzstein Foundation, and Welcome Wilson, Jr.

Eisenhower Birthplace SHS: The FTHC continues its fundraising efforts for the capital improvements for Eisenhower Birthplace State Historic Site. In the last quarter, the FTHC received two grants—$15,000 from the BNSF Foundation, and $40,000 from the Hoblitzelle Foundation, for the Eisenhower Birthplace State Historic Site Capital Improvements Plan. An additional request was submitted to the Union Pacific Railway Foundation in May, and a decision is expected later this summer.

Requests Submitted: In addition to the request to the Union Pacific Railway Foundation, a request has been made for $117,717 from the NPS Save America’s Treasures Program for the THC’s Collections Stewardship through Community Curation Program, with a decision expected by October.

OTHER ACTIVITIES
Preservation Scholars Program
The FTHC is thrilled to have been able to place seven interns within the THC. Our scholars this summer include: Andrea De León (Texas A&M University); Daniele Dixon (University of Texas at San Antonio), one of two 2022 Larry Oaks Preservation Scholars; Kaitlyn Falke (Trinity University), the second 2022 Larry Oaks Preservation Scholar; Deborah Hill (University of Texas at Austin); Kalyse Houston (Prairie View A&M University), the 2022 Clay Preservation Scholar; Natividad Roman (San Antonio College); and Leslie Torres (University of Texas Rio Grande Valley), the 2022 Jose Contreras II Preservation Scholar.

We are grateful to the following donors for their ongoing and unwavering support for this program: The Fondren Fund for Texas of the National Trust for Historic Preservation, Sally Anne Schmidt, Robert Oliver, the FTHC Board of Directors, Nicola Contreras, the Clay Preservation Scholars Endowment, and the FTHC Preservation Scholars Endowment.
Development Workshops and Webinars
The FTHC continues to offer development workshops and webinars in partnership with the THC. In June, we partnered with the Museum Services Program to offer a webinar on “Values Based Fundraising,” and we have a four-day virtual development workshop scheduled for June 28–July 1. We continue to get interest in these training opportunities from people across the state and beyond, including Canada.

FTHC Events
Virtual Events: Our two tracks of virtual events continue with good participation. For the “(Hi)stories of the Soil” series, the FTHC was happy to host Emmy-nominated film director Funmi Ogunro and producer and UT Austin’s Black Diaspora archivist Rachel Winston, who presented “The History of Austin’s Black Freedom Communities” in June as our second event in this series. Our regular monthly virtual programming focused on foodways, book talks, and THC programs included the presentation in April “Pathways to Freedom Through Texas and Into Mexico: Freedom Seekers and Assistance Across a Fluid Border” by Roseann Bacha-Garza, Lecturer of Anthropology and program manager of the THC’s Preservation Award-winning Community Historical Archaeology Project with Schools (CHAPS) at The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley in Edinburg. In May, we featured the THC’s Historical Markers Program with “The Undertold Stories of Texas”, presented by THC marker program staffers Sarah McCleskey and Bob Brinkman. Our regular event for June—“The Dichotomy of Purple Hull Peas” was presented by Dr. Clarence Bunch of Prairie View A&M University’s Agriculture and Natural Resources Program. Additional events are planned for July.

FY 2022 YEAR-TO-DATE FINANCIAL DASHBOARD (as of June 24)
FTHC Unrestricted Revenues: $219,181.88
Restricted Program Revenues: $1,493,372.10
Total Rest. & UR Revenues FY2022: $1,712,553.98
Endowment Gifts: $1,005,000.00
Total Revenues FY 2022 to Date: $2,119,490.14

FUND BALANCES
FTHC Permanently Restricted as of June 24
Bob and Kathleen Gilmore Endowment:
Total Current Value: $239,021.58
Available to Grant: $37,227.55

FTHC Preservation Scholars Endowments
Matthew Honer and Larutha Odom Clay Preservation Scholars Fund
Total Current Value: $94,331.33

DGIC Preservation Scholars Endowment
Total Current Value: $440,621.68

FTHC Preservation Scholars Endowment
Total Current Value: $94,813.11

Lana Hughes Nelson Endowment for Cemetery Preservation
Total Current Value: $470,793.09

Texas Heroes Endowment
Current Value: $127,313.09

TOTAL ASSETS as of June 24:
Cash + Pledges: $3,464,913.31
TEXAS HOLOCAUST, GENOCIDE
AND ANTI-SEMITISM ADVISORY
COMMISSION
Quarterly Report
Texas Holocaust, Genocide, and Antisemitism Advisory Commission (THGAAC)
April–June 2022

COMMISSIONERS
On Apr. 27, Gov. Abbott appointed the sixth of nine commissioners to the Texas, Holocaust, Genocide, and Antisemitism Advisory Commission in Austin, Sandra Hagee Parker of San Antonio. Additionally, Gov. Abbott named Kenneth Goldberg of Dallas as chair of the commission. The other commissioners are Jeffrey Beck of Dallas, Lucy Taus Katz of Austin, Providence Umugwaneza of San Antonio, and Ilan Emanuel of Corpus Christi.

COMMISSION MEETINGS
On June 15, the THGAAC commissioners received New Commissioner Orientation in Austin, which included presentations from THC Executive Director Mark Wolfe, Assistant Attorney General of the OAG Kimberly Fuchs, and THC Executive Assistant Paige Neumann.

Also on June 15, the commission held its first quarterly meeting. The discussion included a conversation about the statutory duties as outlined in HB 3257. The commissioners voted to recommend to the THC to consider moving forward on the Antisemitism Study, which is due to the legislature on Nov. 1, and recommended to the THC that the THGAAC consider moving forward on a strategic plan. Both items will be reviewed at the July THC quarterly meeting.

PERFORMANCE MEASURES FOR THE LBB
In partnership with the THC, the THGAAC has four new performance measures as prescribed by the Legislative Budget Board. They include: the Number of People Provided Advising/Training to Assist with Holocaust, Genocide, and Antisemitism Training; the Number of Individuals Served for Remembrance Week of Grades K-12; the Number of Services Provided by the Commission through Events, Presentations, and Trainings; and the Percentage Satisfied with Educational Trainings and Presentations.

GENOCIDE AWARENESS MONTH
One of the duties of the THGAAC is to coordinate events in Texas memorializing the Holocaust and other genocides. April was Genocide Awareness Month, and through the THGAAC online calendar (thgaac.texas.gov/calendar), the public can access events and commemorations happening throughout Texas and across the world. April’s commemorated anniversary dates included the start of the 1994 Genocide Against the Tutsi in Rwanda, the Cambodian Genocide, the Warsaw Ghetto Uprising, Armenian Genocide Remembrance Day, and Yom HaShoah, Holocaust Remembrance Day.

THGAAC Commissioner Providence Umugwaneza, a survivor of the Genocide Against the Tutsi in Rwanda, provided her testimony and was a featured presenter for a United Nations Commemoration program marking the 28th Commemoration of the Genocide Against the Tutsi in Rwanda.

THGAAC Education Director Dr. J.E. Wolfson presented at two Yom HaShoah programs in Austin in April—one at the Dell Jewish Community Campus and the second at the Hillel at the University of Texas.

EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES/OUTREACH
THGAAC Outreach and Communications Director Christian Acevedo participated in the Texas Library Association Conference on April 25-28 in Fort Worth, which had 4,000 attendees. He was able to make connections with librarians and share resources for teaching about the Holocaust, genocide, and antisemitism in schools.

THGAAC Executive Director Joy Nathan participated in the Association of Holocaust Organizations (AHO) Summer Conference in Charlotte, North Carolina, on Jun.11-14. She
connected with other educational agencies and state commissions on topics ranging from antisemitism, mandates and funding for Holocaust education, and standards for Holocaust and genocide education.

THGAAC Education Director Dr. J.E. Wolfson presented at the Max M. Kaplan Summer Institute for Educators at the Holocaust Museum Houston on June 21-24 on the topic of De-Judaization of Holocaust Memory. Thirty teachers participated in this elite training, and THGAAC Outreach and Communications Director Christian Acevedo joined this educator conference.

**GRANTS**

THGAAC Director of Programs Cheyanne Perkins helped facilitate bringing “Eva and the Angel of Death,” a Holocaust Remembrance Opera, to Austin. This new opera premiered at the Austin Central Library in April and tells the personal story of Holocaust survivor, educator, and advocate Eva Mozes Kor, a twin who survived Dr. Josef Mengele's medical experiments in Auschwitz. The project's goal was to promote remembrance and understanding of the Holocaust through art. This opera received a grant from the previous commission, the THGC.

Cheyanne has also worked with the THGAAC’s counsel at the Office of the Attorney General to clarify the THC’s ownership of THGC materials, including the right to grant usage permission of those materials to outside groups.
CONSENT ITEMS
TAB 6.1
MEETING MINUTES
1. Call to Order and Introductions
Chairman John Nau called the meeting of the Texas Historical Commission (THC) to order at 8:46 a.m. on April 29, 2022. He announced the concurrent meeting with the Antiquities Advisory Board (AAB) was posted to the Texas Register, was being held in conformance with the Texas Open Meetings Act, Texas Government Code (TGC), Chapter 551, and that notice had been properly posted with the Secretary of State’s office as required.

1.1 Welcome
Chairman Nau welcomed all attendees to the meeting.

1.2 Pledge of Allegiance
Chairman Nau led the group in reciting the U.S. and the Texas pledges of allegiance.

1.3 Commissioner introductions
Introductions were made around the table and the following commissioners were present:

| Jim Bruseth | Lilia Garcia | John L. Nau, III (Chair) |
| John Crain  | David Gravelle| Tom Perini            |
| Garrett Donnelly (Secretary) | Laurie Limbacher | Gilbert (Pete) Peterson |
| Renee Dutia  | Catherine McKnight (Vice-Chair) |

1.4 Establish quorum
Chairman Nau reported a quorum was present and declared the meeting open.

1.5 Recognize and/or excuse absences
Commissioners Donna Bahorich, Monica Zarate Burdette, and Daisy White were noted as being absent. Commissioner John Crain moved, Secretary Garrett Donnelly seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to excuse the absences of Commissioners Bahorich, Burdette, and White.

Commissioner Earl Broussard’s absence was inadvertently overlooked and acknowledged later in the meeting. Secretary Donnelly moved, Vice-Chair Catherine McKnight seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to excuse the absence of Commissioner Broussard.

Commissioner Tom Perini departed at 11:45 a.m. due to a scheduling conflict.
2. Public comment
Public comment was provided as follows:

- Valerie Bates – Provided an overview of the quarterly activities of the Texas Tropical Trail Region and Port Isabel Lighthouse State Historic Site
- Belinda Gavallos – Spoke on behalf of the Friends of Casa Navarro State Historic Site in support of the site’s preservation and inclusion of the group’s participation in development plans.
- Anjali Zutshi – Spoke on behalf of Lareatha Clay and the Shankleville Historical Society. She invited the commission members to the June 18, 2022 “Celebration of Milestones” event in Newton County.
- Kathleen Beauford – Spoke in support of funding for the pavilion building at Kreische Brewery and Monument Hill State Historic Site, La Grange

*At 9:01 a.m., the commission met concurrently with the Antiquities Advisory Board

3. Joint AAB meeting
3.1 Alamo Church and Long Barrack, Alamo Plaza, San Antonio, Bexar County
A. Update on Alamo master plan
Alamo Trust, Inc. Executive Director Kate Rogers provided an update on the Alamo master plan. She presented an overview of the design approach, including artist renderings of proposed landscape work on Alamo Plaza; creation of an engaging entrance by way of the Alamo promenade; inclusion of community-oriented spaces to Plaza de Valero; connection of the lower Paseo to the Alamo complex; and introduction of upgrades to the Alamo gardens. Rogers offered early renderings of the proposed design to the visitors center and museum, which included exterior views of the plaza; interior views of interpretive exhibits; and a 4D theater. She explained various aspects of the proposed renovations to the Crockett block buildings, which would include the preservation of the buildings’ key elements and the creation of a rooftop event center. Rogers explained the interpretive plan’s overarching themes and announced that the design team, Gallagher and Associates, was planning to launch a mobile app that would allow visitors to transpose the historic footprint of the Alamo from different time periods onto present-day structures to visualize changes to the site over time. She noted that the app would also include additional education and functional features. Rogers provided an overview of the master schedule (design and construction phases), which would culminate with the 190th anniversary of the Battle of the Alamo in March 2026. In closing, she thanked the commission for their partnership, guidance, support, and expertise. Questions and discussion ensued regarding exhibits that would depict the Alamo’s history beyond the 1836 battle and the proposed changes to the façade of the Crockett block buildings (visitors center). Executive Director Wolfe explained that only the Woolworth building held a State Antiquities Landmark designation; however, the agency had no permitting authority on any of the other buildings on that site. He noted that the renderings presented on the two other buildings was provided as a courtesy to the commission and a vote by the commission on the changes to those buildings was not required. Additional questions and discussion followed regarding the location and interpretation of the funeral pyre; delineation of the Alamo complex footprint; and the mission gate and lunette. Chairman Nau and commissioners expressed their support and thanked the group for their efforts, vision, and progress made on the master plan.

B. Report on future permits and amendment requests
General Land Office Deputy Director for Construction Services Jeff Kauffman and Alamo Trust Inc. Conservator Pam Rosser presented the proposed stages of the conservation and preservation of the Alamo Church and Long Barrack. They noted that stage one of the assessment and discovery began in 2018 and included data collection and analysis of existing conditions; monitoring; development of options; and proposed scope of work. They explained that those recommendations led to stage two priority items for the Long Barrack and Church. The team outlined the stage two priority items for the Long Barrack, which included non-destructive evaluation of moisture; excavation; and above-grade grout injections. They stated
that the planned repairs for the Long Barrack would include roof repair; cleaning of obscured surfaces; above- and below-grade masonry wall repairs; electrical upgrades; and internal electrical and HVAC upgrades. The stage two priority items planned for the church included moisture monitoring; analytics; conservation of historic finishes; concrete barrel vault roof repair; masonry cleaning; above-grade wall repairs; rebuild of north wall of monks’ burial ground and sacristy; and west façade non-critical repairs. The team presented a schedule of anticipated work and permit submittals. In closing, they explained that the next steps would include the completion of stage two; discussion of stage three, long-term conservation; discussion of stage four interpretative elements for the Alamo Church, Long Barrack and complex; and integration with the new Alamo master plan.

3.2 Battleship Texas (USS Texas), La Porte, Harris County
A. Presentation
Dr. Michael Strutt, Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) explained that TPWD was the state steward of the Battleship Texas (battleship) and partners with the Battleship Texas Foundation (Foundation) to repair and restore the battleship. He asserted his confidence in the engineering group, Valkor Inc., and ensured the work would adhere to the Secretary of the Interior’s standards for historic vessels. Strutt introduced the Foundation Board chairman Tony Gregory and representatives from Valkor, Inc., Aldo Benavides and Brad Currin, who provided a project update and report on permit modifications. The team reviewed the project’s commitment to save the ship in a safe and insurable manner that adhered to the Secretary of Interior’s standards for historic vessel projects within budget. They outlined the previously issued permits and associated work, including the temporary and reversible ship preparations for a safe tow to the shipyard. The team noted that the modifications would be reversible during work in the shipyard and explained that a permit modification was required. They detailed the steps that would be taken in advance of the wet tow, which included the permit revisions. Regarding the hull blister rehabilitation, the team provided an overview of the work to be completed, which included the installation of double plates on the bottom, bilge and outer side shell plating; removal and rebuilding of the blister tanks below the waterline; repainting the hull, boot top, and freeboard; and protection of the historic fabric in the shipyard. The team also provided specifications and images of the drydock. A project timeline was presented noting anticipated dates for the dry dock tow and repair; dredging battleship tow preparation; and battleship tow. They stated that the tow was expected to occur sometime in July 2022 and repairs would begin in August 2022. Information on the tow stakeholders and insurers was also provided. Images of the drydock were displayed, and the presentation ended with exhibits of the tow plan flow chart, summary, and route including safe holding areas. Chairman Nau thanked the group for their diligence and efforts to preserve the battleship.

B. Discussion and possible action regarding Historic Buildings and Structures Antiquities Permit #1041 application for hull and blister rehabilitation
Architecture Division Director Bess Graham explained that many details of the hull and blister rehabilitation plan changed following the July 18, 2019 commission meeting, and a new permit application with a more limited scope had been approved at an Executive Committee meeting on March 24, 2020. She stated that over two years had elapsed since permit 1041 was approved and the design had been further refined. Graham reported that the Battleship Texas Foundation (foundation) requested the following revisions to the permit scope before issuance:

- Rehabilitate the hull by double plating the hull from approximately four feet above the waterline down
- Rebuild the blister tanks from the waterline down to eight feet above the keel
- Repair framing in the inner bottom of the ship, adding stiffeners as necessary

Graham reported the staff had reviewed the revisions to the Historic Buildings and Structures Permit #1041 application provided by the foundation on behalf of TPWD and Valkor and found the submitted revised documentation to be sufficiently complete for issuance of a permit to rehabilitate the hull and blister. Commissioner Bruseth moved, Commissioner Peterson seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to
authorize the executive director to issue State Antiquities Landmark Historic Buildings and Structures Permit #1041 for the rehabilitation of the hull and blister of the USS Texas, La Porte, Harris County, as described in the permit application with the submitted scope revisions, contingent on the work adhering to the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Historic Vessel Preservation Projects and Guidelines for Applying the Standards.

C. Discussion and possible action to amend Historic Buildings and Structures Antiquities Permit #1042 for preparation, transportation, and temporary relocation at a shipyard

Graham reported that the scope of work for permit HS 1042 covered the transport of the ship to the shipyard and included advance preparations to mitigate and minimize flooding inside the vessel and ballasting the ship to relieve structural strain. She noted that it also included dredging the existing slip to remove the ship, which was previously permitted by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Graham outlined three previous amendments that had been made to permit #1042 at the staff level and clarified that the item under consideration was for a fourth amendment. She stated that two years had elapsed since permit #1042 was issued and the Battleship Texas Foundation had requested the following amendments to update and clarify open issues in the original permit scope:

- Submission and validation of the tow preparations and dead ship tow plan to the U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) Salvage Engineering Response Team (SERT) may not be required, as previously specified for Permit #1042 to become effective
- Battleship will be wet-towed from San Jacinto Battleground State Historic Site through the Houston Ship Canal to Galveston
- Gulf Copper in Galveston had been selected as the shipyard qualified to make repairs
- At the shipyard, the battleship would be dry docked for repairs

Graham reported the staff had reviewed the amendments to the Historic Buildings and Structures Permit #1042 provided by the Battleship Texas Foundation on behalf of TPWD and Valkor and found the submitted documentation to be sufficiently complete to amend the permit to prepare and transport the ship to Gulf Copper shipyard in Galveston. She stated that Gulf Copper qualifications to repair historic vessels had been evidenced by similar repairs to historic vessels including the USS Orleck (Jacksonville, FL), the USS Stewart (Seawolf Park, Galveston), the Ocean Star (Offshore Energy Center), and the tall ship Elissa (Galveston Historical Seaport). Commissioner Bruseth moved, Commissioner Crain seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to authorize the executive director to amend State Antiquities Landmark Historic Buildings and Structures Permit #1042 for the preparation, transportation, and temporary relocation of Battleship Texas, La Porte, Harris County, to Gulf Copper shipyard in Galveston as stated in the permit amendment scope, contingent upon adherence to the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Historic Vessel Preservation Projects and Guidelines for Applying the Standards and effective upon the issuance of the Dead Ship Tow plan letter by the USCG Captain of the Port and final approval by the Marine Warranty Surveyor.

*At 10:20 a.m., the AAB adjourned, and the commission proceeded with its regular business meeting.

4. Additional Reports and Presentations

4.1 Texas Holocaust, Genocide and Antisemitism Advisory Commission (THGAAC)

THGAAC Executive Director Joy Nathan reported that Gov. Greg Abbott had recently appointed Sandra Hagee Parker, San Antonio, as the sixth commissioner to the THGAAC and named Ken Goldberg, Dallas, as its chair. Nathan noted that the number of commissioners appointed constituted a quorum and work could begin to identify dates for the commissioner training and quarterly meeting. Nathan reported on commemorated anniversary dates and associated staff involvement over the past quarter, including Genocide Awareness Month; the start of the genocide against the Tutsi in Rwanda; the Cambodian genocide; the Warsaw Ghetto uprising; the Armenian Genocide Remembrance Day; Yom HaShoah (Holocaust Remembrance Day). She highlighted a presentation by THGAAC Commissioner Providence Umugwaneza, a
survivor of the genocide against the Tutsi in Rwanda, for a United Nations commemoration program marking the 28th commemoration of the genocide. Nathan also reported on the premier of *Eva and the Angel of Death*, a Holocaust Remembrance Opera, on April 23-24, 2021; staff participation in the Texas Library Association Conference; monitoring of revisions to the Social Studies TEKS; and meeting with the Legislative Budget Board to create four performance measures for the THGAAC. In closing, Nathan stated that the THGAAC will seek to educate all Texans about antisemitism in anticipation that the data may be included in the study of antisemitism that HB3257 requires. She explained that the THGAAC is charged with conducting a study of antisemitism in Texas to share with the Legislature in even-numbered years, with the first report due Nov. 1, 2022.

4.2 Texas Statewide Historic Preservation Plan (SWP) update
Nick Kalogeresis, AICP, The Lakota Group, presented an update regarding the SWP. He outlined the planning process and timeline, which started in August 2021 and will conclude in December 2022. He summarized the processes of the regional workshops and phase 1 engagement program; provided the results of workshops and findings of the stakeholder listening sessions; and presented an overview of the digital town hall meetings and survey. Kalogeresis also provided the results of the statewide historic preservation survey, which concluded on April 1, 2022. In closing, he explained the next steps and deliverables to be due between April and September 2022.

4.3 Washington-on-the-Brazos (WOB) State Historic Site Update
WOB Foundation Past President Jim Kolkhorst provided an overview of the rehabilitation and renovation projects of the site’s key facilities and attractions. He stated that the project included a complete overhaul of the Star of the Republic Museum; improvements to the visitors and education centers; restoration of Independence Hall; stabilization of and upgrades to the amphitheater; construction of the Washington townsite; and expansion of the river observation deck. Kolkhorst identified the costs for each phase of the project and noted that the total scope of renovations amounted to $42.8 million. He presented a breakdown of the funds secured, a generous matching grant offer of $2.5 million from Chairman Nau, and funds still to be raised. Discussion was held regarding the improvements to the entrance gate, and supportive comments were expressed by the commissioners. Kolkhorst noted that the transition from TPWD to the THC had been a very positive experience and thanked Chairman Nau, Executive Director Wolfe, Deputy Executive Director of Historic Sites Joseph Bell, and the commission for their involvement and support.

4.4 Friends of the Governor’s Mansion Annual Report
Friends of the Governor’s Mansion (FGM) Administrator Erika Herndon provided an overview of the group’s activities, including the ongoing conservation of books (Mansion and Texiana collections). She updated the commission on the textile reproduction project and outlined the cleaning phases and maintenance of the furniture collection. Herndon reported the FGM were focusing on the acquisition of the Shivers’ collection (silver objects). She highlighted service performed by the Mansion docents; education efforts at the Capitol Visitors Center; and landscaping work performed by the Hill Country Garden Club.

5. Friends of the THC
Friends of the THC (Friends) Executive Director Anjali Zutshi reported on the FY 2022 fundraising highlights, including support activities for various THC divisions; endowment gifts; grants requested; Preservation Scholars program; virtual events; and a recap of the Friends 25th anniversary gala held on April 6, 2022. Zutshi offered an overview of the marketing activities, including the website overhaul and social media dashboard. In closing, she provided a financial report for FY 2021-22.
6. Consent Items

6.1 Consider approval of Feb. 2, 2022 meeting minutes

6.2 Consider certification of Historic Texas Cemetery designations, including: Beth Israel, Leal, Alum Creek Deshay, Mt. Olive Evergreen, Talpa, Glass-Chapman, Smith, Board Church, Fulshear, Watts, St. Louis, Bogs-Hufsmith, Gate of Heaven-Kerrville State Hospital, Real Family, Taylor, Peaceful Gardens Memorial Park, Antioch, Union, Smith Valley, Little West, Holly Grove, Rockwell Memorial, Fairview, Longview, St. John, and Jackson

6.3 Consider approval of text for Official Texas Historical Markers, including: Kelty’s United Methodist Church, River Oaks Courts (RTHL), Carpenter Cemetery (HTC), College Station Cemetery (HTC), Edge Community, Belltown Community, Olivia Cemetery (replacement), Fernandez-Champion-Garcia-Warburton House (RTHL), Jose Callandret School, Jacksonville’s Public Square, Alley Cemetery (THC), Our Lady of Perpetual Help Cemetery (HTC), Dr. Edgar Ewell Ward, David Gregory Fox Jr., Roark-Griffith Pottery Site, Wilson Cemetery (THC), Bowie High School (El Paso County), Fayette County Poor House and Farm, Sealy and Mary Hutchings Home (RTHL), Robert A. McClain House (RTHL), Schertz-Cibolo Cemetery (HTC), Hulver Cemetery (HTC), William B. Travis League, Czerner-Kowalik House (RTHL), Wren Cemetery (HTC), Double Mountain Salt Works (replacement), First Federal Community Bank, Straley Cemetery (HTC), 1897 Howe Truss Train Trestle (RTHL), Pyland African American Cemetery (HTC), The Mansola Road of Nacogdoches, Fair Play Baptist Church, Fairground Cemetery, First Baptist Church, Smith County, CSA (supplemental), Broken Spoke, The Children’s Haven Assoc., Willie Wells Home (RTHL), Yellow Jacket Stadium, Site of Gibson Hotel, Concord Cemetery (HTC), Henry “Ragtime Texas” Thomas, Table Top Mountain (replacement), Newgulf Campo Santo (HTC), Old Jerusalem Cemetery (HTC), and Bill Mack

6.4 Consider adoption of new rule to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 11 Subchapter A, Section 11.25 related to the Family Leave Pool without changes as published in the Feb. 25, 2022 issue of the Texas Register (47 TexReg 845-846)

6.5 Consider approval of State Antiquities Landmark nominations
   A. Consider approval of State Antiquities Landmark Nominations for nine archeological sites (41BS477, 41PS48, 41PS50, 41PS117, 41PS120, 41PS124, 41PS139, 41PS322, 41PS800) located in Big Bend Ranch State Park, Brewster and Presidio counties
   B. Consider approval of State Antiquities Landmark Nominations for archeological site 41VV838 located in the Dan Allen Hughes Unit, Devils River State Natural Area, Val Verde County
   C. Consider approval of State Antiquities Landmark Nominations for 10 privately owned parcels as part of James Bute Park/ Frost Town Historic Site (41HR982)
   D. Consider approval of State Antiquities Landmark Nominations for four archeological sites (Centipede Cave Parcel, Cunningham Parcel, Jaguar Parcel, and Mullen Parcel) located in the Sunset Ranch Development, Hudspeth County

6.6 Consider approval of the FY 2022 Survey of Employee Engagement Operational Action Plan

6.7 Consider approval of contract amendment with Coastal Environments, Inc. (808-14-1256) to extend the contract to Feb. 20, 2023 for the completion of artifact curation at San Felipe de Austin State Historic Site*

6.8 Consider acceptance of donations/gifts-in-kind (None)

Chairman Nau asked if any consent items should be pulled from the consent agenda for consideration as a separate item.

*As recommended by the Finance and Government Relations Committee, the motion for item 6.7 was modified to approve the amendment to contract 808-14-1256 with Coastal Environments, Inc. to extend the contract end date to Aug. 18, 2022, to allow for the advanced notification required by GAA, Art. IX, Sec. 17.09 (c). Commissioner Peterson moved, Secretary Donnelly seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to approve the consent items 6.1 through 6.7.
7. Antiquities Advisory Board
Commissioner Bruseth reported that all commissioners were present during the AAB meeting and had received activity reports and updates from the various program staff. He stated that no further report was necessary.

8. Archeology
8.1 Committee report
Commissioner Bruseth called on Archeology Division Director Brad Jones, who reported on discussions held at the committee meeting including a report on a partnership between the Marine Archeology program and National Geographic for its Drain the Oceans program. He explained that the episode on Texas focused on San Jacinto, the Alamo, and one of the shipwrecks that was discovered by the THC in 1995. Jones noted that the shipwreck featured was also the subject of THC State Marine Archeologist Amy Borgens’ master’s thesis. He explained that the shipwreck was originally believed to have been La Salle’s vessel l’Aimble or, later, that it served as a gun runner during the Texas Revolution. Jones reported that the committee also received a presentation on the Battle of Medina project and promising findings. In closing, he highlighted the Fort Bend ISD merit awards; Texas Archeology Stewardship Network; Curatorial Facilities Certification Program; landowner survey project; activities associated with the Society for American Archeology and Council of Texas Archeologists; and an upcoming KLRU documentary on the discovery of the Coryell grave site featuring himself and Commissioners Bruseth and Crain.

9. Architecture
9.1 Committee report
Commissioner Limbacher called on Architecture Division Director Bess Graham who reported on the action item as noted below.

9.2 Consider approval of the recapture of funds from and/or supplemental funding to previously awarded Texas Historic Courthouse Preservation Program projects
Graham reported that, at the January 2019 quarterly meeting, Kleberg County received a Round X preservation master plan update grant of $49,500. She explained that, despite signing a funding agreement with the THC, the county had been unresponsive to the Courthouse Preservation Program project reviewer and the architectural firm with whom the county signed a contract for the work. Formal correspondence was sent on August 23, 2021 and again on December 10, 2021, which required response by January 23 to avoid recapture of the grant funding by the THC. Graham stated that, as a final measure, an appointment was made by the project reviewer to meet with Judge Madrid and their architectural consultant in Kingsville to discuss the possibility of moving forward with the master plan update. After two hours of waiting for the judge, the reviewer departed without a response from the judge or his assistant. She reported that the staff recommended recapture of funding. Discussion was held regarding possible reasons for the lapse in communication between the county and the THC. Commissioner Limbacher moved, Commissioner Bruseth seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to approve recapture of funds from Kleberg County for the courthouse master plan update in the amount of $49,500. Commissioner Limbacher noted that the county already had an approved master plan, and this funding was solely to update its current master plan. She stated that the action taken would not preclude the county from eligibility for future funding.

10. Communications
10.1 Committee report
Commissioner McKnight called on Communications Division Director Chris Florance, who reported on the division’s activities in the past quarter, including Spanish translation efforts and a digital project made possible by a Google donation. Florance stated that a full report on the agency’s website redesign would be provided at the next quarterly meeting. In closing, he noted that a digital update and Spanish translation of the Texas Plains Trail guide were in development.
11. Community Heritage Development (CHD)

11.1 Committee report
Commission Peterson called on CHD Division Director Brad Patterson, who informed commissioners that the committee received a report on the collection of anonymous data for the development of a heritage tourism consumer data dashboard. Other items highlighted included updates on division staffing, 2022 Real Places conference; Texas Main Street Program; Imagine the Possibilities tours; DowntownTX.org; and the Texas Heritage Trails statewide meeting in Waco slated for late June 2022.

11.2 Consider approval of the FY 2023 funding plan for the Texas Heritage Trails Program (THTP)
Patterson reported the 87th Texas Legislature appropriated $1 million each year of the FY 2022-23 biennium to support the THTP. He explained that the amount appropriated by the legislature had remained at $1 million annually since FY 2020. Patterson noted that the commission had approved a single year of the proposed funding plan in July 2021, leaving 2023 to be reconsidered. He stated that the staff recommended continuing the prior funding plan without changes. Patterson explained that $81,500 maximum of state funds made available to each region remains unchanged and the regional matching requirements were unchanged. He stated that the base amount provided to each region for their services would be $60,000 and the amount requiring a direct match would remain at $21,500, keeping the total funding level unchanged. He reported that the proposed plan would keep the ratio of state funds to cash match steady for the biennium at 2:1. Commissioner Peterson moved, Commissioner Crain seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to approve the FY 2023 funding plan for the Texas Heritage Trails Program.

12. Finance and Government Relations

12.1 Committee report
Commissioner McKnight called on Alvin Miller, who provided a summary of the committee meeting. He stated that the committee received a second quarter dashboard presentation with no anomalies to report. Miller also noted that the committee also reviewed and approved one contract amendment. A brief discussion was held regarding the Texas House and Senate interim charges in anticipation of the upcoming legislative session in 2023.

13. Historic Sites

13.1 Committee report
Commissioner Crain called on Deputy Executive Director of Historic Sites Joseph Bell, who provided project highlights, including the San Jacinto development; Washington-on-the-Brazos project; Levi Jordan Plantation project; e-commerce internal assessment; Casa Navarro site development; and the joint agency facility and data base project. Bell also reported that the Legislative Budget Board had granted the THC the capital authority for additional property acquisitions around Levi Jordan Plantation State Historic Site, and staff was in the process of identifying properties with potential archeological sites.

13.2 Consider approval of Phase II Assessment for the G.W. Bush Childhood Home
Bell reported that the board of the George W. Bush Childhood Home, Midland, requested that the agency incorporate the property into the network of THC state historic sites. Bell stated that the commission approved the Phase I recommendations at the February 2022 quarterly meeting and THC staff had moved forward in developing the Phase II evaluation. Based on the feedback from three heritage tourism subject experts, Bell reported that the staff had determined the site did meet the criteria to be added as a THC state historic site and the Historic Sites Committee recommended acceptance. Commissioner Crain moved, Commissioner Peterson seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to accept the Phase II recommendation that the George W. Bush Childhood Home become a THC state historic site.
13.3 Consider filing authorization of the repeal of section 16.7 of the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, related to Historic Sites, Friends Organizations, for first publication and public comment in the Texas Register

Bell explained that the staff proposed the repeal of section 16.7, TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, Historic Sites, related to Friends Organizations to efficiently amend and replace those procedures. He reported that the existing rule did not address legal requirements or industry best-practice standards for nonprofits that partner with historic sites. Bell noted that those requirements were promulgated through a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between the THC, the historic site, and the nonprofit. He stated that the purpose of the repeal was to implement a significantly revised rule on the same subject. In a separate action (Item 16.4), the THC contemporaneously proposed a new section 16.7 relating to Supporting Nonprofit Partners which would replace the repealed section. Commissioner Crain moved, Commissioner Peterson seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to approve the filing authorization of the repeal of section 16.7 of the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, Historic Sites, related to Friends Organizations, for first publication and public comment in the Texas Register.

13.4 Consider filing authorization of new section 16.7 of the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, related to Historic Sites, Supporting Nonprofit Partners, for first publication and public comment in the Texas Register

Bell reported that the THC was contemporaneously proposing a new section 16.7, TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, Historic Sites, relating to Supporting Nonprofit Partners. As noted in the previous action item, he stated that the proposed new section would replace the repealed section. He stated that the new rule was proposed under Section 442.005 (q) Title 4 Subtitle D of the Texas Government Code, which provided the THC with the authority to promulgate rules and conditions to reasonably effect the purposes of this chapter. Bell further explained that the purpose of the new rule was to implement a significantly revised rule on the same subject. The proposed new rule included minimum standards such as a Memorandum of Agreement between supporting nonprofits to historic sites, the historic site, and the THC. He reported the standards were based on current IRS and Secretary of State requirements for nonprofits, as well as industry best practices to achieve public transparency. In closing, Bell stated that the new rule provided criteria to evaluate the efficacy of partnerships between supporting nonprofit partners and historic sites, to ensure legal compliance of supporting nonprofit partners, and to establish practices that facilitate mission alignment between the historic site and the supporting nonprofit. Commissioner Crain moved, Secretary Donnelly seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to approve the filing authorization of new section 16.7 of the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, related to Historic Sites, Supporting Nonprofit Partners, for first publication and public comment in the Texas Register.

14. History Programs
14.1 Committee report 3.49.16

Commissioner Limbacher called on History Programs Division Director Charles Sadnick, who reported that the THC mobile app user testing would begin in early May. He noted that phase I will conclude in the next couple of months. He explained that phase II would have more resources and content, including photos and educational materials. Sadnick stated that funding would need to be in place and a project manager would likely be required to commence with phase II.

14.2 Consider approval of price changes for Official Texas Historical Markers

Sadnick referred commissioners to a table in their meeting packet with price changes over the years. He explained that the prices had increased periodically and the agency had four price increases in nine years without passing along significant price differences to sponsors. He stated that foundry production costs to the agency had increased by an average of 50 percent and would continue to increase. Sadnick noted that a fifth increase in production costs was proposed to begin on Oct. 1, 2022. He also stated that the proposed increase would affect all new marker orders beginning with those topics being submitted in the current (March 1–May
16, 2022) application period. Sadnick reported that, on Feb. 23, CHCs were notified that those prices would be increasing. Commissioner Limbacher noted that the committee voted to recommend approval of the motion. Commissioner Garcia seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to approve price changes for Official Texas Historical Markers as recorded in the attached table (Exhibit 1).

14.3 Consider approval of filing authorization of an amendment to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Section 21.12, related to marker text requests, for first publication in the Texas Register

Sadnick explained that the proposed amendment to Section 21.12 clarified the rule by stating that a supplemental plaque was not a choice, but rather an interim measure when funds were unavailable for immediate replacement of markers that have received approval through the historical marker request process. He stated that the first publication of the amendment would take place after approval by the commission, and a 30-day comment period would follow. If approved by the commission, the amendment would be considered for final approval and adoption at the July 2022 meeting. Commissioner Limbacher moved, Commissioner Bruseth seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to approve the filing authorization of proposed amendments to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 21, Subchapter B, Section 21.12, related to marker text requests, for first publication in the Texas Register.

15. Executive

15.1 Committee report

15.2 Hurricane Harvey Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund

A. Consider approval of grant award to an alternate project

Chairman Nau called on THC Disaster Assistance Program Coordinator Lisa Hart, who reported that Congress appropriated $50 million from the Historic Preservation Fund to address the impacts of the 2017 Hurricanes Harvey, Irma, and Maria. After applying to the National Park Service (NPS) for an apportionment of those funds to assist affected historic properties in Texas, staff received official notice on March 8, 2019 of the successful grant award in the amount of $12,318,047. Hart stated that an additional $200,000 for a mid-century modern survey and elevation study was awarded in August 2019, bringing the grant funding total to $12,518,047. Hart reported that seven alternate projects had been awarded funding by the commission to date. She explained that the final project remaining on the alternate list, Texas Freedom Colonies Project, was a trademarked research initiative copyrighted by Andrea R. Roberts, Ph.D., an associate professor in the Department of Landscape Architecture and Urban Planning in the College of Architecture at Texas A&M University. Dr. Roberts will be transitioning to employment with the University of Virginia this fall necessitating a contract directly through her company, Freedom Colonies Project LLC. Hart stated that the project will cover strategic and technical planning, including support for student research this summer. Secretary Donnelly moved, Vice-Chair McKnight seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to approve funding from the Hurricanes Harvey, Irma, and Maria Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund Grant program to Freedom Colonies Project LLC in the amount of $151,211.

B. Consider approval of process to award supplemental funding to previously awarded projects

Hart reported that, after the grant awards were given, several projects had declined funding due to a variety of reasons and a process was being proposed to distribute the remaining money as it becomes available. She stated that all projects would need to be completed by the deadline of March 31, 2024, as established by the NPS. Hart also stated that the process in the proposed policy would redistribute funding as efficiently as possible to ensure the state makes full use of grant funds according to terms of the THC’s contract with the NPS. Executive Director Wolfe confirmed that the process was the same used for the Certified Local Government grants and, due to grant deadlines, expeditious funding was necessitated. He also stated that the projects being considered would be those that had already been approved and prioritized by the commission. Secretary Donnelly moved, Commissioner Crain seconded, and the commission voted
unanimously to direct the executive director to award supplemental funding from the Hurricanes Harvey, Irma, and Maria Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund Grant program to the highest-ranking qualified subgrantee projects as outlined in the motion policy (Exhibit #2).

15.3 Consider delegation of authority to the Executive Committee to approve the Legislative Appropriations Request for the 2024-2025 biennium
Executive Director Wolfe reported that the Legislative Appropriations Request (LAR) for the 2024-25 biennium was the agency’s budget request to the Texas Legislature. He provided an overview of the LAR components and noted that agencies, including the THC, were also able to request additional funding for agency initiatives, known as exceptional items. Executive Director Wolfe stated that the LAR policy letter and submission schedule was expected to be released in mid-late June, with an expected due date to the Legislative Budget Board (LBB) on approximately Aug. 5, 2022. He explained that the timeframe fell in between THC quarterly meetings and warranted delegation of authority to the Executive Committee for approval of the LAR to facilitate the timely submission. Executive Director Wolfe clarified that the delegation would necessitate a committee meeting in the interim. Vice-Chair McKnight moved, Commissioner Peterson seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to delegate authority to the Executive Committee to approve the LAR for the 2024-25 biennium. Any final adjustments necessary before submission of the LAR to the Legislature may be made by the executive director with the approval of the chairman.

15.4 Consider delegating authority to the Executive Committee to approve the THC Strategic Plan FY 2023-2027
Executive Director Wolfe reported that the THC was required to submit a strategic plan to the Governor’s Office of Budget, Planning and Policy and the Legislative Budget Board every biennium (even-numbered years). He explained the agency’s final plan was due to the Governor’s Office of Budget, Planning and Policy and the Legislative Budget Board on June 1, 2022. Executive Director Wolfe stated the request to delegate authority to the Executive Committee to approve the THC Strategic Plan for FY 2023-2027 was being submitted in order to meet the June 1, 2022 submission deadline. Vice-Chair McKnight moved, Commissioner Peterson seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to delegate authority to the Executive Committee to make any final adjustments necessary and approve the THC Strategic Plan for FY 2023-2027 for timely submission.

16. Legal matters
16.1 Report from and/or conference with legal counsel on ongoing and/or pending legal matters
Assistant Attorney General Dennis McKinney stated that there were no pending legal matters to discuss.

17. Executive Director’s Report
17.1 Staff introductions
In addition to his written report, Executive Director Wolfe provided a staffing update and introduced recently hired Deputy Executive Director of Administration Amy Rubin. He reminded commissioners that Deputy Executive Director Alvin Miller and Architecture Division Director Bess Graham were retiring and thanked them for their years of service to the agency and the State of Texas. He also noted that the THC had hired former THC employee Elizabeth Brummett as the new director for the Division of Architecture and cited her many accolades and accomplishments.

17.2 Report on activities of THC Executive Director and staff for the preceding quarter including meetings held, consultations, contacts and planned travel/events
In addition to his written narrative, Executive Director Wolfe reported on continuing discussions with the Texas Facilities Commission regarding the border wall and potential archeological issues; meetings with the City of San Antonio and the U.S. General Services Administration on the future of the Confluence Theater at HemisFair (also known as the Wood Federal Courthouse); a presentation to the 1836 project committee
regarding recommendations for teaching civics using the lessons of the Texas Revolution; and his attendance at various meetings and events across the state. In closing, Executive Director Wolfe reported on a mediation resolution regarding the covenant release for the Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant (NWIRP) in Dallas. He explained that he countered the NWIRP offer and was successful in obtaining a $1.87 million settlement, with a portion of the proceeds dedicated to the Preservation Scholars Program. Chairman Nau commended Wolfe for his excellent work noting that it was one of the best outcomes he had seen across the country.

18. Chairman’s Report
Chairman Nau reported that the travel app currently in development would require private funding to move forward to the next phase. He expressed his opinion that heritage travelers were seeking to use the latest technology such as an app. Chairman Nau stated that a first-class product was feasible with commissioners’ and staff focus.

19. Adjourn

At 12:43 p.m., on the motion of the chairman and without objection, the meeting was adjourned.

____________________________  July 26, 2022
Garrett Donnelly, Secretary  Date
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 14.2</th>
<th>Texas Historical Commission</th>
<th>Quarterly Meeting</th>
<th>April 28-29, 2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

## Official Texas Historical Marker Prices FY 2002 – 2023

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27” x 42” w/ post</td>
<td>2063.00</td>
<td>1250.00</td>
<td>1218.00</td>
<td>1500.00</td>
<td>1280.12</td>
<td>1500.00</td>
<td>1344.00</td>
<td>1800.00</td>
<td>1378.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27” x 42” w/o post</td>
<td>744.00</td>
<td>1150.00</td>
<td>833.00</td>
<td>1500.00</td>
<td>875.48</td>
<td>1500.00</td>
<td>807.46</td>
<td>1600.00</td>
<td>1094.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27” x 42” HTC w/ post</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1218.00</td>
<td>1500.00</td>
<td>1280.12</td>
<td>1500.00</td>
<td>1344.00</td>
<td>1800.00</td>
<td>1378.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27” x 42” HTC w/o post</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>833.00</td>
<td>1500.00</td>
<td>875.48</td>
<td>1500.00</td>
<td>807.46</td>
<td>1600.00</td>
<td>1094.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18” x 28” w/ post</td>
<td>730.00</td>
<td>850.00</td>
<td>839.00</td>
<td>1000.00</td>
<td>881.79</td>
<td>1100.00</td>
<td>925.00</td>
<td>1100.00</td>
<td>949.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18” x 28” w/o post</td>
<td>480.00</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>538.00</td>
<td>1000.00</td>
<td>565.44</td>
<td>1100.00</td>
<td>508.00</td>
<td>1100.00</td>
<td>521.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18” x 28” HTC w/ post</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>839.00</td>
<td>1000.00</td>
<td>881.79</td>
<td>1100.00</td>
<td>925.00</td>
<td>1100.00</td>
<td>949.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18” x 28” HTC w/o post</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>538.00</td>
<td>1000.00</td>
<td>565.44</td>
<td>1100.00</td>
<td>508.00</td>
<td>1100.00</td>
<td>521.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTHL medallion &amp; plaque w/o post</td>
<td>296.00</td>
<td>425.00</td>
<td>332.00</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>348.93</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>365.00</td>
<td>800.00</td>
<td>374.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTHL medallion &amp; plaque w/ post</td>
<td>396.00</td>
<td>525.00</td>
<td>498.00</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>523.40</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>440.00</td>
<td>800.00</td>
<td>451.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Official Texas Historical Marker Prices FY 2002 – 2020 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10&quot; HTC medallion</td>
<td>179.00</td>
<td>250.00</td>
<td>199.00</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>209.15</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTC name &amp; date plaque w/o post</td>
<td>327.00</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>366.00</td>
<td>650.00</td>
<td>384.67</td>
<td>650.00</td>
<td>89.00</td>
<td>650.00</td>
<td>89.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTC name &amp; date plaque w/ post</td>
<td>427.00</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>528.00</td>
<td>650.00</td>
<td>554.93</td>
<td>650.00</td>
<td>398.00</td>
<td>650.00</td>
<td>398.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12&quot; x 6&quot; supplemental plaque</td>
<td>167.00</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>198.00</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>208.10</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>47.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16&quot; x 12&quot; RTHL plaque</td>
<td>229.00</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>286.00</td>
<td>450.00</td>
<td>300.59</td>
<td>450.00</td>
<td>109.00</td>
<td>450.00</td>
<td>111.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTHL medallion</td>
<td>169.00</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>189.00</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>198.64</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>109.00</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>109.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1936 18&quot; bronze seal</td>
<td>59.00</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>59.00</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>62.10</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>39.00</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>39.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1936 bronze plaque</td>
<td>648.00</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>725.00</td>
<td>850.00</td>
<td>761.98</td>
<td>850.00</td>
<td>387.00</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>397.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1936 bronze star</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>78.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>81.98</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>64.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>64.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1936 bronze wreath</td>
<td>88.00</td>
<td>125.00</td>
<td>98.00</td>
<td>125.00</td>
<td>103.00</td>
<td>125.00</td>
<td>83.00</td>
<td>125.00</td>
<td>83.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1936 bronze star &amp; wreath</td>
<td>158.00</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>176.00</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>184.98</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>147.00</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>147.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAL plaque</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Texas Historical Commission*  
Quarterly Meeting  
January 28-29, 2020
Consider approval of process to award supplemental funding to previously awarded Hurricane Harvey Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund projects

Background

Congress appropriated $50 million from the Historic Preservation Fund to address the impacts of Hurricanes Harvey, Irma, and Maria, which occurred in 2017. In August 2018, the Texas Historical Commission (THC) applied to the National Park Service to receive an apportionment of these funds to assist affected historic properties in Texas. Staff received official notice on March 8, 2019 of the successful grant award in the amount of $12,318,047. In August of 2019, the NPS awarded THC an additional $200,000 for a mid-century modern survey and elevation study, bringing the grant funding total to $12,518,047.

The THC Commission awarded 39 projects in May and July 2019 for a total of $8,373,401. In addition, eight projects were identified as alternate projects. The Commission reserved $626,599 in grant funding for distribution to alternate project funding, program-required National Register nominations and possibly supplementing projects which previously received awards. Since the grant awards were made, several projects have declined funding due to a variety of reasons. Now that all eight alternate projects have been funded, a process is proposed to distribute the remaining funding as it becomes available. All projects must be completed by the deadline established by the National Park Service of March 31, 2024. The process below will redistribute funding as efficiently as possible to ensure the state makes full use of grant funding according to terms of the THC’s contract with the National Park Service award contract.

Proposed Policy

To facilitate distributing all funds awarded to the state, the Executive Director will award supplemental funding to those subgrant projects that identified the need for additional funding in their original applications, in the order of the original score ranking and project status. To be eligible for supplemental funding, a project must have an executed subgrant agreement and a filed preservation easement in place and demonstrate progress in project development.

The Executive Director shall defer awarding supplemental funding to projects that do not meet the required criteria or are unready to utilize the additional funding and will then consider the next highest-ranked qualified project. As additional funding becomes available, the Executive Director will again consider the highest ranked project for supplemental funding, with no guarantee that funding will become available to projects at a later date. Internal THC projects may also be considered for supplemental funding.

Prior to determining the amount of a supplemental funding award, the applicant may submit a revised budget and scope of work. The Executive Director may use updated cost and budget to determine supplemental funding, if appropriate. The Executive Director may make multiple awards to a single project, depending on the viability of the project, the use of prior funding, and the demonstrated ability to meet the final project closure deadline of March 31, 2024.
Recommended motion (Committee):
Move to send forward to the Commission and recommend directing the Executive Director to award supplemental funding from the Hurricanes Harvey, Irma and Maria Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund Grant program to the highest ranking qualified subgrantee projects as outlined in the motion policy.

Recommended motion (Commission):
Move to direct the Executive Director to award supplemental funding from the Hurricanes Harvey, Irma and Maria Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund Grant program to the highest ranking qualified subgrantee projects as outlined in the motion policy.
TAB 6.2
Certification of Historic Texas Cemetery Designations

Background:
During the period from 4/5/2022 to 7/1/2022, 16 Historic Texas Cemetery designations were completed by the staff. All have been recorded in county deed records as being so designated. Your approval is requested to officially certify these Historic Texas Cemeteries:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Cemetery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atascosa</td>
<td>Poteet (v)</td>
<td>Brown Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bastrop</td>
<td>Cedar Creek (v)</td>
<td>St. Mary's Colony Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell</td>
<td>Belton (v)</td>
<td>Bingham Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bexar</td>
<td>Converse</td>
<td>Panteon de Guadalupe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameron</td>
<td>Los Indios (v)</td>
<td>Gomez Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>Prosper</td>
<td>Horn-Hunt Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goliad</td>
<td>Charco</td>
<td>Stockton-Charco Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guadalupe</td>
<td>Selma</td>
<td>Cibolo Creek Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrison</td>
<td>Harleton</td>
<td>Center Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montague</td>
<td>Montague (v)</td>
<td>Banister Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navarro</td>
<td>Blooming Grove (v)</td>
<td>White Church Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker</td>
<td>Tin Top</td>
<td>Blair Valley Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker</td>
<td>Weatherford (v)</td>
<td>Irby Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rusk</td>
<td>Henderson</td>
<td>Flanagan Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelby</td>
<td>Center (v)</td>
<td>Mt. Herman Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williamson</td>
<td>Hutto (v)</td>
<td>Shiloh Black Cemetery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recommended motion (Committee):** Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend certification of these designations as Historic Texas Cemeteries.

**Recommended motion (Commission):** Move to certify these designations as Historic Texas Cemeteries.
TAB 6.3
Consider approval of text for Official Texas Historical Markers

Background:
From April 5, 2022 to June 21, 2022, THC historical marker staff drafted and finalized inscriptions for thirty-one (31) interpretive markers, now ready for Commission approval.

Recommended interpretive plaques for approval (12)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Job #</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bailey</td>
<td>19BA01</td>
<td>Pastores of Bailey County (UNDERTOLD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell</td>
<td>21BL01</td>
<td>MKT Passenger Depot (RTHL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bexar</td>
<td>11BX02</td>
<td>Eastview Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bexar</td>
<td>20BX01</td>
<td>G.J. Sutton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>21BR01</td>
<td>Weakley-Watson Building (RTHL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameron</td>
<td>21CF04</td>
<td>Dean and Gladys Porter House (RTHL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameron</td>
<td>21CF05</td>
<td>Judith Calderoni-Yturria and Richard Champion House (RTHL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>21DL06</td>
<td>Martin Luther King Jr. at SMU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>20DL06</td>
<td>White Rock Cemetery, Garden of Memories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellis</td>
<td>21EL01</td>
<td>Cotton Industry in Ellis County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fayette</td>
<td>20FY01</td>
<td>Cistern Public Cemetery (HTC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris</td>
<td>21HR05</td>
<td>George Thomas “Mickey” Leland III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris</td>
<td>21HR04</td>
<td>The Turkey Day Classic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrison</td>
<td>21HS02</td>
<td>Woodlawn Cemetery (HTC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays</td>
<td>20HY02</td>
<td>Don Felipe Roque de la Portilla (MRR replacement)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamar</td>
<td>21LR02</td>
<td>Origin of 1896 Paris Fire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lampasas</td>
<td>21LM01</td>
<td>Smith Cemetery (HTC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubbock</td>
<td>19LC07</td>
<td>Bradford Knapp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLennan</td>
<td>21ML01</td>
<td>Pleasant Grove Cemetery (HTC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nueces</td>
<td>22NU02</td>
<td>The U.S. Coast Guard on Mustang Island (Replacement)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ochiltree</td>
<td>20OC01</td>
<td>Notla Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Augustine</td>
<td>21SA01</td>
<td>Circa 1900 Cotton Gin (RTHL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scurry</td>
<td>20SC01</td>
<td>African American Education in Snyder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shackelford</td>
<td>20SF02</td>
<td>Berta Hart Nance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis</td>
<td>21TV05</td>
<td>Comanche Peak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Zandt</td>
<td>21VN01</td>
<td>Myrtle Springs Cemetery (HTC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walker</td>
<td>18WA05</td>
<td>Burials of Enslaved People in McAdams Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>21WE04</td>
<td>Episcopal Church of St. Michael and All Angels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winkler</td>
<td>22WK01</td>
<td>Willow Springs (Replacement)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>21WD02</td>
<td>Dr. George L. Baber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>21WD03</td>
<td>William Richard Phillips</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommended motion (Committee): Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of the final form and text of thirty-one (31) Official Texas Historical Markers with delegation of authority to the Executive Director of the Texas Historical Commission, working with the Commission chair, to resolve minor textual issues arising after Commission approval.

Recommended motion (Commission): Move to adopt approval of the final form and text of thirty-one (31) Official Texas Historical Markers with delegation of authority to the Executive Director of the Texas Historical Commission, working with the Commission chair, to resolve minor textual issues arising after Commission approval.
PASTORES OF BAILEY COUNTY

Sheep herders from communities along the Pecos River in New Mexico, known as the Pastores, used La Pista de Vida Agua, or the Trail of Living Water, to bring their large flocks into the grasslands of the western Llano Estacado and to Bailey County. Pastores arrived as family-based sheep operations along the Canadian River from the 1840s to the 1890s.

Locating water helped them expand their flocks, just as Ciboleros, or bison hunters, and Comancheros, or traders, used specific water locations to expand their business ventures with Native Americans of the region. The Pastores followed the Comancheros’ routes into the southern plains based on information passed down through family or community ties. Pastores coming from northern New Mexico used the Upper Pecos River to travel into the Canadian River Valley, while southern New Mexico Pastores followed the Trail of the Living Water to eastern New Mexico and West Texas.

One of the large-scale Pastores, Jesús Perea, moved thousands of sheep south from the Canadian River, crossing the Red, Brazos and Colorado Rivers, to the lakes of Lynn County. Over the years, stacked caliche rocks forming corrals were found in Bailey County, as well as boxed-in springs which helped manage the sheep. Nearby Yellow House Draw, a branch of the Brazos River, expands into a broad canyon north of the Muleshoe Wildlife Refuge where remnants of a rock shelter remain, similar to other Pastores rock shelters found along the Canadian River. Caves along the bluffs also provided shelter for the shepherds. The sheep industry in Bailey County continued until the 1930s, largely due to the Pastores’ influence and contributions.

(2019)

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
MKT PASSENGER DEPOT

Arriving in 1882, the Missouri, Kansas and Texas Railway Co. of Texas (MKT) was one of two major rail lines in Temple. This brick depot replaced earlier frame buildings in 1913, with Cleburne’s H.D. McCoy as contractor. Plans record the architect’s initials as “H.J.B.” The depot has mission revival and prairie influences, while a tall Italian renaissance revival tower shows the company emblem in cast stone. For decades, the MKT operated freight and passenger service in a north-south corridor through the state, and Temple was an important cotton and grain hub. Notably, the depot was on Pres. Harry Truman’s 1948 Whistle Stop Tour. Demolition plans in the 1990s were averted when the property was conveyed to the city.

RECORDED TEXAS HISTORIC LANDMARK – 2021
MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
Established as a private burial ground in 1923, Eastview Cemetery is the final resting place of many of San Antonio’s prominent African Americans. At the time, the segregated portion of the city’s public cemetery could not meet demand for African American burial plots, and so Eastview was established on 15 acres along Salado Creek. Numerous significant individuals from San Antonio’s vibrant African American community are interred here. Early civil rights activist, business owner and educator Samuel John Sutton, Sr. (1863-1950), patriarch of one of the city’s most accomplished families, is among them. Several of his children are also buried here, including Rev. Alexander Carver Sutton (1919-2002), and NAACP Texas branch president Dr. Carrie Jane Sutton Brooks (1899-1964), the first female graduate of Howard University’s School of Medicine.

Another notable African American community leader buried at Eastview is businessman and politician Charles Bellinger (1875-1937), along with his descendants. Also buried here is Myra Lillian Davis Hemmings (1895-1968), notable educator, actress and founding member of Delta Sigma Theta sorority, a public service organization established in 1913. Hemmings received accolades for her oratorical gifts and stage productions, including Go Down Death, a black film classic which she starred in, directed and produced. Claude Black Sr. (1894-1982) and Cora L. Black (1894-1975), parents to well-known San Antonio civil rights leader Reverend Claude Black Jr., are buried in Eastview. Other burials also include lifelong teacher Dorothy Carter Pickett (1918-1995) and one of the first licensed barbers in the state, John F. Inman (1915-1985). Initially a segregated burial ground for African Americans, Eastview Cemetery reflects more diverse population in succeeding years, including a large Mexican American burial section. The cemetery is a treasured place of memory and heritage.

HISTORIC TEXAS CEMETERY – 2012

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
G.J. Sutton
(June 22, 1909 – June 22, 1976)

Garlington Jerome (G.J.) Sutton was born on San Antonio’s east side. He attended Wiley College in Marshall before earning his Bachelor of Science degree from Wilberforce University and then a Mortuary Science degree from Cincinnati College. In 1938, he returned home to work at the family business, Sutton and Sutton Funeral Home. Sutton’s first elected position came in 1948, when he became a member of the board of trustees of the San Antonio Union Junior College District. In 1949, he co-founded the Snap newspaper with Eugene Coleman, Rev. Claude Black Jr. and Bernard Adams. Sutton and his family played an important role in civil rights in San Antonio during the 1960s, including participating in sit-ins at local department stores and restaurants, succeeding in 1965 with a local anti-discrimination ordinance. After redistricting in 1972, he became the first representative of district 57-E and the first African American from San Antonio elected to the state legislature. He was also chosen to be chairman of the legislative black caucus.

In the 1970s, Sutton noticed the decline of the St. Paul Square neighborhood and the SAMSCO (San Antonio Machine & Supply Co.) Complex. In an effort to create jobs and revitalize the area, Sutton championed acquisition of the SAMSCO property for use as state office space. Despite opposition, the state purchased the former SAMSCO complex in 1975. It was an early example of a state-owned office building outside Austin and state-coordinated adaptive reuse of a historic building. Sutton died the following year, but his legacy continued. His widow, Lou Nelle Sutton (1905-1994), was elected to fill his seat, and the state renovated the complex and named it in his honor in 1982. The complex housed state offices for almost 30 years. Sutton is remembered as a champion of civil rights and of the people of San Antonio and Texas.

(2020)

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
WEAKLEY-WATSON BUILDING

Indiana native Joseph C. Weakley (1839-1926) opened a tin shop in Brownwood in 1876, soon adding hardware to his line of inventory. Weakley became a prominent civic leader, organizing banks and businesses, helping secure railroad connections and serving on the school board and as mayor. In 1888, B.E. Hurlbut Sr. (1858-1917) and F.J. Semple (1861-1934) moved their Lampasas hardware store to Brownwood, erecting adjoining two-story limestone commercial buildings facing Fisk Street. After Semple left for business interests in Llano, the firm became Hurlbut Hardware Company.

In 1893, Weakley partnered with Hurlbut employee W. Lee Watson (1863-1954), who also married Weakley’s daughter, Mary, making the new enterprise a family business. It was one of the city’s most successful businesses for many years, supporting construction, home improvement and agriculture in the area. Watson was also a civic leader, serving on the city council and as president of the commercial club.

In 1909, R.L. Miller joined the partnership, and the firm of Weakley-Watson-Miller moved into the former Hurlbut store. The company also sold buggies, farm implements, appliances, building supplies, oilfield equipment and sporting goods. In one prominent contract, the firm supplied all plumbing fixtures for construction of the Hotel Brownwood across the street in 1929. Miller sold his interest and the Weakley-Watson hardware store continued at the Fisk Street location. The original buildings of rough-cut limestone received a new façade in 1946, unifying the adjacent buildings in Moderne style, with stucco cladding, a multi-banded cornice, square-paned windows and a wide central band. Branch stores later opened in cities from Lubbock to Midland to Temple. The business continued at this location for more than a century until moving to Early in 2014.
DEAN AND GLADYS PORTER HOUSE

Built in 1940 for Gladys (Sams) Porter (1910-1980) and her husband, Dean Robertson Porter (1903-1969), this house was designed by R. Newell Waters of Weslaco and constructed by Archer and Holding. It features a centered two-story portico framing a recessed, two-story entrance bay in a style similar to Los Angeles architecture of the time. The Porters were philanthropists and community-minded business leaders of Brownsville. In addition to a lifetime of volunteer work, Gladys served as president of the Earl C. Sams foundation. Dean became a leader in agriculture and finance by serving on several boards of directors and was mayor of Brownsville. The Porters are most associated with the zoo, high school, and park named in their honor.

RECORDED TEXAS HISTORIC LANDMARK – 2021
MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
JUDITH CALDERONI-YTURRIA AND
RICHARD CHAMPION HOUSE

Brownsville architects Woolridge and Torres designed this West Brownsville addition home, completed in 1939-40. The one-story bungalow is wood framed with stucco facing, with an arcaded front porch and prominent chimney on its main façade. Many original finishes and features remain intact. The original owners, Richard Champion (1903-1994) and Judith Calderoni-Yturria (1907-2015), were both members of prominent area families and leaders in commercial, social and church activities. Judith lived here until 1997 and was Brownsville’s oldest person when she died at age 107.

RECORDED TEXAS HISTORIC LANDMARK – 2021
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MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. AT SMU

SMU students were becoming more active in civil rights when at least 50 traveled from Dallas to march from Selma to Montgomery in March 1965. A few months later, Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. accepted an invitation to the campus from the SMU student senate. On March 17, 1966, he spoke to a crowd of 2,700 at McFarlin Auditorium. Citing history and longstanding challenges, King listed practical reasons why segregation should end before stating, “In the final analysis, racial injustice must be uprooted from American society because it is morally wrong.” Dr. King received a standing ovation in his first Texas visit to a predominantly white university. His speech influenced many to engage in movements for equality on campus, in their city and in the nation.

(2021)

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
Beginning in the 1850s, this has been the burial ground for many of Dallas County’s prominent white and African American families. In about 1850, Captain William C. McKamy, his wife, Rachel, and their family moved here from Tennessee. Their infant daughter was the first white burial in the cemetery in 1852. In 1855, the land was transferred to Captain Preston Witt, who with his brother, Wade H. Witt, built a mill near Preston Road and White Rock Creek. In 1858, Samuel McGregor Scott bought the land and the cemetery became known as Scott Cemetery, with three Scott family burials.

Following Emancipation in 1865, freedman’s towns began to form around Dallas, including the upper and lower White Rock communities where by the 1870s, many freedmen owned land. In the early 1870s, George Coit, a formerly enslaved man who owned farmland nearby, purchased a small piece of land adjacent to the Scott Cemetery. He and his wife, Rosie, buried their infant son here in 1873 and their infant daughter in 1875. In 1878, Coit, also a founder and trustee of White Rock Chapel C.M.E. Church, bought another half-acre from the Scotts for his own and other African American families, forming the White Rock Union Colored Graveyard. In 1889, cemetery trustees bought another acre of land, and for the next century, the cemetery was used by African American families in upper and lower White Rock and the surrounding freedman’s towns.

In 1972, the successor trustees of the White Rock Union Graveyard renamed it White Rock Cemetery, Garden of Memories, comprising both the original Scott Cemetery and the White Rock Union Colored Graveyard. Many of Dallas’ earliest African American settlers and most influential pioneer families are buried here, including Anderson and Eliza Bonner, George and Rosa Coit, Henry Keller, George Wells and Taylor Tarpley.
COTTON INDUSTRY IN ELLIS COUNTY

In the late 19th and early 20th centuries, cotton became an increasingly valuable crop in the state's economy. By 1852, Texas ranked eighth in the nation among cotton-producing states. In Ellis County, production totaled just 359 bales in 1860. The arrival of railroads locally and across the state helped promote cotton culture, as rail lines often connected larger cities and towns while running through some of the most productive farmland. Ellis County is part of the Blackland Prairie, a grassland region from the Red River through north and central Texas. The Texas Almanac for 1858 described Ellis County land as “rich black, stiff and loamy, undulating rolling prairie, finely adapted to the culture of all kinds of small grain, as well as cotton and corn.”

By 1870, Ellis County cotton production had increased to 2,960 bales, and to 18,956 bales by 1880, reflected in the arrival of the Houston & Texas Central (1871) and Gulf, Colorado & Santa Fe (1882) railroads to the area. By the 1890s, county acreage planted in cotton exceeded the labor supply, and advertisements enticed people to relocate here. Gins were built in virtually every part of the county, not just in towns but in rural areas. On Pecan Springs Ranch near Chambers Creek, Wilson Dabney Sims (1824-1892) owned 2,800 acres in 1890, with 1,000 acres cultivated by tenant farmers and with a cotton gin he opened for himself and his tenants. Eventually, related local businesses such as cotton compresses, cottonseed oil mills, and textile mills helped cotton's importance to the area grow exponentially. In 1900, Ellis County cotton production totaled 91,298 bales, topping out at a record 187,449 bales in 1912. Between 1895 and 1915, Ellis County frequently ranked first in the state and the nation in cotton production, and the crop remained a critical part of the local economy well into the 20th century.
Starks S. Cockrill (1795-1862), son of Christopher and Susanna (Starks) Cockrill, was born in Loudon County, Virginia. After their father died, Starks and several brothers moved to Fayette County, Kentucky. He enlisted in the Kentucky Militia and fought in the War of 1812. Starks later lived in Manchester, Missouri, married twice (Barbary Cotton, d. 1830; Hanna Williams, d. 1853), and in 1854 purchased 2340 acres in the J.T. Whitesides league in western Fayette County, Texas. He migrated here with several of his children, family slaves, and livestock. The Cockrills and the Harrisons were among the oldest settlers in the community known first as Whiteside’s Prairie, then Cockrill’s Hill or Milton, and finally Cistern when a post office opened in 1858. Starks built a two-story residence and general store and his crops included corn, sweet potatoes, and apple and peach trees.

When Starks S. Cockrill died in May 1862, reportedly of yellow fever, his burial became the first in a community graveyard with an entrance facing Manchester Street. His daughter, Mary Jane (Cockrill) Michaelis (1838-1862), was buried here two months later. Cistern Public Cemetery became the main burial ground for the community, including early German and Czech immigrants. African American and Catholic graveyards also developed further south along Manchester Street. Burials include veterans of the War of 1812, Civil War, WWI, WWII and the Vietnam War. Gravestones are primarily granite, marble and limestone, with some fieldstones and metal funeral home markers. Several family plots include concrete curbing. Still in use, the burial ground continues to be a cherished place of memory and heritage.
Legislator and activist Mickey Leland fought passionately for the rights of the poor and disadvantaged. Born George Thomas Leland III on November 27, 1944, in Lubbock, Mickey was raised in Houston’s fifth ward, where he attended segregated schools. He graduated from Phillis Wheatley High School in 1963 and the Texas Southern University (TSU) School of Pharmacy in 1970. Influenced by his Catholic faith and 1960s activism, Leland was committed to helping those less fortunate, a principle which permeated his life and career.

Elected to the Texas House of Representatives at age 27, Leland represented Houston for six years, focusing on civil rights, voting rights, generic drugs and affordable health care. A trip to Tanzania in 1973, his first outside the U.S., changed his life forever. After seeing the widespread devastation of famine, Leland focused his political influence to alleviate hunger on a global scale. In 1978, he was elected to the U.S. Congress from the 18th district, a seat vacated by Barbara Jordan. In 1984, he helped create the House Select Committee on World Hunger to address social and economic issues. He later secured an aid package of $784 million for famine relief. Leland also fought against apartheid in South Africa through sanctions and protests.

On August 7, 1989, Congressman Leland and 15 others died in a plane crash in bad weather, while on a relief mission to Sudanese refugee camps in Ethiopia. A son, brother, husband, and father of three sons, he is remembered on TSU’s campus with the Barbara Jordan-Mickey Leland School of Public Affairs and Mickey Leland Center on Hunger, Poverty and World Peace. Other Houston sites named for him include the international airport terminal, the federal building, a prep school and a community park. He led by example in fighting for justice, and his influence reached around the world.

(2021)
THE TURKEY DAY CLASSIC

In 1893, Colored High, Houston’s first secondary school for African Americans opened, later becoming Booker T. Washington High School. Two more black high schools, Yates and Phillis Wheatley, followed in 1926 and 1927, respectively. The schools shared values, customs, and traditions, like football games. Beginning in 1927, the three schools originally played each other on a rotation. The Wheatley-Yates game occurred on Armistice Day, the Wheatley-Washington game on Thanksgiving, and the Yates-Washington game on Christmas Day. When the Prairie View Interscholastic League ended Christmas Day games in 1939, all three games were moved to Thanksgiving. The Wheatley-Yates game drew the largest crowds, and the school district ended the three game rotation in 1946. The Wheatley-Yates game, one of the state’s biggest high school competitions and rivalries, became known as the Turkey Day Classic.

Game week brought plenty of activities, such as breakfasts, pep rallies, parades and famous halftime shows. The Turkey Day Classic generated enough funds to run athletic programs for years as the average number of tickets sold annually was 20,000. It also generated significant revenue for local businesses because out-of-town alumni returned and fans often bought new clothing as it was tradition to wear one’s nicest attire. Among the players in the classic were hundreds who had successful college football careers and several who played professional football. In 1942, Public School (later Jeppensen) Stadium opened. Wheatley and Yates were the first black high schools to play on the field which was also used by the Houston Oilers and Texas Southern University. Because of integration, the last Turkey Day Classic was on November 24, 1966, and won by Yates. Over the decades, the Turkey Day Classic brought pride and unity to generations of black Houstonians.

(2021)
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WOODLAWN CEMETERY

This historic cemetery began as a burial site for the family of Charles Carter Mills (1793-1862) and Sarah Lamar (Stokes) Mills (1795-1871), natives of Georgia who settled this area in the 1840s. By the 1850 census, they were one of the largest plantation owners in the county. The first individuals buried in the cemetery were John Mills (1822-1850) and Melmoth Mills (1816-1850), both sons of Charles and Sarah. Charles was buried in the family cemetery shortly after the start of the Civil War. According to tradition, Sarah sold more than 1,000 acres to Elijah (Elisha) Brazier Blalock (1813-1895) in 1870, including the family plot. She died a year later and was buried beside her husband.

After Elijah Blalock purchased the land, he deeded two acres to Bethesda Baptist Church. With this gift, the original family cemetery became Woodlawn Community Cemetery, also known in obituaries as Bethesda Baptist Burying Ground. Over the years, additional acreage was added to total almost ten acres. Elijah Blalock and his wife, Susan Charlotte (Harrison) Blalock (1822-1898), were neighbors of the Mills family and became one of the county’s wealthiest farming families. Elijah and Susan are buried in Woodlawn, along with their descendants, including their son, Dr. Elijah Brazier Blalock, Jr. (1861-1928). Also buried here are veterans from the Civil War to the present. One of the oldest cemeteries in Harrison County, Woodlawn Cemetery contains more than 600 burials with headstones varying from typical granite and marble to limestone markers with Victorian-style inscriptions and symbols. For decades, Woodlawn Cemetery has been a unifying factor for the community, bringing people together and serving as a chronicle of the county’s history.

HISTORIC TEXAS CEMETERY – 2019
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DON FELIPE ROQUE DE LA PORTILLA

At the request of Manuel Antonio Cordero y Bustamante, interim governor of the Province of Texas, Spanish-born army captain and rancher, Felipe Roque de la Portilla (1766-1841), established a colony here where El Camino Real de Los Tejas crossed the San Marcos River. Along with his own family including his wife, María Ignacia (de la Garza), and their eight children, he brought 52 settlers from the interior of New Spain. The first group departed Villa del Refugio (later Matamoros, Mexico) on Dec. 8, 1807, arriving on Jan. 6, 1808, to found the civilian settlement of San Marcos de Neve.

Titles were issued to 13 lots, and homes were built around a central plaza, only to be washed away in June floods. Don Esteban Garcia was the village schoolteacher. Hardships plagued the colony: military troops departed; no priest arrived; seed and a farm irrigation system did not materialize; and horses and cattle were lost to wolves and to raids by Comanche and Tonkawa. Portilla wrote these groups would often “camp in the place set aside as the pasture and in the center of town.” An 1809 census listed 73 people and 1,771 animals; the population peaked at 91. Although the venture was government-initiated, Portilla invested his own funds in the colonizing effort, and in exchange was granted a town lot and twelve leagues of land along the San Marcos and Guadalupe Rivers. He lost his health and fortune and was forced to lead his people back to Villa del Refugio in 1812. Livestock left behind created a large population of wild cattle and horses.

Portilla continued to serve as an army officer and as alcalde in Matamoros. In 1829, he helped his future son-in-law, James Power, and Power’s associate, James Hewetson, establish their Texas colony near the gulf coast. Portilla received a land grant along the Aransas River, moving his family there before returning to Matamoros in 1836. He is remembered as an early settler and civic leader both along the Rio Grande and on the frontier of New Spain.

(1976, 2020)

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
ORIGIN OF 1896 PARIS FIRE

Joseph Keyes (J.K.) Bywaters (1849-1916) constructed a three-story brick building consisting of offices and bedrooms on this site in August 1881. Around two in the morning on April 28, 1896, the third largest Paris fire began on the southwest corner and second floor of the Bywaters building. Thought to have begun from a knocked over coal lamp and bottle of whiskey, the fire spread north to two brick buildings and west to M.F. Allen & Co’s Warehouse. It then overtook the Peterson Hotel, Carter Saloon, Conner Building and Murphy’s Drug Store on the south side of the downtown square. Bywaters built a two-story building on the site in 1899 only for it to be destroyed by fire in 1916.

(2021)
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SMITH CEMETERY

The Browning Community developed in the 1870s along the Old Belton Road which served as a transportation, commerce and mail route. John R. Browning, his wife Julia and their children were among the first settlers in the area and the rural community which developed took their surname. Early area institutions included Clear Creek Baptist Church, organized in 1872, and the Ogletree Gap Stagecoach Station, built in 1878. Another pioneer family was that of Watt William Smith, his wife, Martha (McLean), and their children. They settled on the Gregory Branch of the Lampasas River and lived on a horse and cattle ranch. Watt made several cattle trail drives to Kansas before settling in the area permanently. He and his brother, James, built a two-story limestone building with a community school on the first floor and a masonic lodge hall above.

Watt Smith set aside a part of his property for a community and family burial ground by 1875, which is the date of the first known burial. A son of Newton and Sue Walker died on May 18, 1875, and his is the oldest identified grave. Watt Smith died in 1877 at his ranch home about a half-mile from the cemetery. Watt, Martha, six of their eight children, and other family members are among those buried here. This includes Watt’s widowed mother, Nancy Smith, who came to Texas from Tennessee by wagon at age 90 and lived to be 98. Military veterans from World War I through the Vietnam War are represented. Gravestone materials include limestone, granite, concrete, metal and field stones. In 1974, Smith family descendants and area residents formed a cemetery association which continues to maintain this burial ground as a beloved chronicle of the area’s rural heritage.

HISTORIC TEXAS CEMETERY – 2018
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Bradford Knapp was the second president of Texas Technological College (1932-1938). He was a Democrat, a Presbyterian, a Rotarian and a Mason, and served on the National Council of Boy Scouts. He had a distinguished career at the U.S. Department of Agriculture. The Great Depression dominated Knapp’s tenure at Texas Tech. Strengthening and expanding academic and campus facilities were his focus. Federal New Deal programs provided dormitories, paving and landscaping. On June 11, 1938, President Knapp died of a heart attack. Knapp Hall, a dormitory on the Tech campus, is named in his memory.

(1984, 2021)
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PLEASANT GROVE CEMETERY

Likely established about 1872 in association with the adjoining Pleasant Grove Baptist Church, Pleasant Grove Cemetery’s earliest burials are freedmen and descendants of formerly enslaved persons. The oldest tombstone is for Clorissa Smith (c. 1856-1907), though grave markers no longer legible may indicate earlier burials. In 1900, Clorissa and her husband, Ben, deeded an acre of land used for Gholson School, which served African American students and was located between the cemetery and the church. Also interred here are five ministers of the church and 39 veterans; two men, Rev. Elbert Williams (1917-2000) and Rev. Adolph Wagner (1933-2012), are in both categories. Rev. Williams, a Gholson school alumnus and an army veteran, received the Bronze Star Medal during World War II.

Burial plots, including some family plots, are aligned north and south. Grave marker materials are primarily granite, limestone, marble and concrete. Clorissa Smith’s granite tombstone displays a distinctive depiction of the biblical New Jerusalem and the words “called higher.” Historic vegetation includes post oak trees, crepe myrtles, wild lilies and other scattered flowers. A 1991 deed added 1.376 acres on the west to the original 2.11 acres. The Pleasant Grove Community Civic Club, established in 1988 by descendants and members of the community, maintains the cemetery grounds and records. The club hosts an annual Memorial Day celebratory service to raise funds. In addition to its role as a source of cultural and genealogical information about generations of area residents, Pleasant Grove Cemetery continues to be the primary graveyard for the local African American population.

HISTORIC TEXAS CEMETERY – 2021
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THE U.S. COAST GUARD ON MUSTANG ISLAND

The Aransas Pass has significantly influenced the economic development of the region. The natural waterway also has included treacherous navigational hazards. In 1878, the U.S. Government addressed this situation when it established the first Aransas life saving station on Mustang Island, at Cotter and Station Streets. The station served the regional waters for several decades but was destroyed by wind and storm surge flooding during the 1919 hurricane. The coast guard on Mustang Island served out of an interim facility until 1925 when the second life saving station opened. Erosion of the second station’s concrete foundation, combined with an increasing workload, resulted in the structure’s replacement in 1976.

In January 1915, President Woodrow Wilson signed a congressional act combining the Colonial Era Revenue Cutter Service and the life saving service (est. 1878) into the U.S. Coast Guard. In times of war, the coast guard joined the nation’s military. During World War II, the primary lifesaving mission at Mustang Island grew to encompass the ports, waterways, coastal security mission that required the coast guard to watch for enemy naval activity. Roving coastguardsmen and canine patrols monitored against incursion by enemy agents and saboteurs.

In the early 21st century, the war on terrorism altered the primary life saving orientation of the coast guard once again. The new mission structure required the coast guard to board vessels entering through the Aransas Pass to examine documentation and inspect cargoes. From the Port of Corpus Christi, U.S. military sealift command vessels carrying armored vehicles and other materials to theaters of war were escorted by armed coast guard crafts. As a result, the coast guard on Mustang Island continued to safeguard lives and provide security.

(2008)
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NOTLA COMMUNITY

At the turn of the 20th century, the state of Texas promoted sale of public school lands to encourage settlement of rural areas. By 1901, a community developed in southeastern Ochiltree County between Ochiltree, then the county seat, and Glazier, the nearest railroad point. R. E. Wamble (1876-1957) and his wife, Emma Jane (Taylor) (1861-1949), were among the first to purchase land and settle here. The community was originally called Half-way for its location on the Ochiltree-Glazier Road. Earlier cattle ranch and wagon freighter trails comprised the local transportation network. William F. Taylor (1860-1947) and his wife, Helen Short (1869-1956), came in 1906, and the following year donated one acre to Ochiltree County for the community’s Ridge School. Other early settlers included the Gerhart, Good, Norris and Westerfield families. The D-C-D Highway, developed in the 1910s from Dallas to Canadian to Denver, incorporated the half-way section of the Ochiltree-Glazier Road. This section of road later became FM 281.

Frank L. Hamilton (1866-1960) and his wife, Mary Lucy (1872-1966), moved onto section 209 in 1919. The Hamiltons opened a general store with two gas pumps, as the automobile was quickly replacing the horse and buggy. On Dec. 21, 1920, they applied for a post office named Alton, but as that name was already in use, Notla (Alton spelled backwards) became the new name for the community. Mary was postmaster for 22 years. Notla School, built in 1924 on the south side of the D-C-D Highway, replaced Ridge School as the center of the community. Over the years, many social and group events, including nondenominational Sunday school and church services, were held in the school. The post office closed in 1944 and the school, which merged with Perryton in 1939, burned in 1966. Today, residents and descendants recall the spirit of many generations.

(2020)
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CIRCA 1900 COTTON GIN

Cotton has been important to the local economy since the early 19th century. Two gins were built in the 1820s, one by John Sprowl about two miles east of town and another built by John A. Williams about four miles west. Multiple gins were in operation in the county through much of the 1800s, and William Henry Horn of San Augustine filed a U.S. Patent in 1876 for “improvement in cotton-presses” with a duplicate master wheel for greater efficiency.

The Gulf, Beaumont & Great Northern Railway (part of the Santa Fe System) arrived here in 1901-02. The rail line was built just west of the commercial district, crossing Columbia Street between Ayish Bayou and Bolivar Street. A cotton gin was built on lot 129 of the original town plat, between the bayou and the railroad, at about the same time as the tracks were being surveyed. The first deed to mention “property premises and improvements” is dated May 7, 1910, from T.W.M. Baggett to C.C. Goodwin and Eugene Buckner. The property had various owners through the years, and was known as Lummus Cotton Gin, W.A. Pool Gin, and Fussell Gin Company. The gin operated until 1962, as cotton production moved to other parts of the state. The city of San Augustine acquired the property in 2000.

The cotton gin building is a two-story, rectangular plan, side-gabled structure with wood framing clad in corrugated metal siding. Farmers delivered freshly picked cotton in wagons or trucks, and a suction pipe sent cotton to the second story, where the gin separated fiber to be baled from seeds which dropped through a trap door back into the wagons. Historically, the gin plant included a frame platform adjoining the gin building and a separate seed house. The cotton gin stands as the lone surviving example of a once-common agricultural facility in the area.

RECORDED TEXAS HISTORIC LANDMARK – 2021

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
AFRICAN AMERICAN EDUCATION IN SNYDER

Public education in Texas was segregated by race until the 1954 U.S. Supreme Court decision in *Brown v. Board of Education*. The first public education for African American children in Scurry County came about in 1926, when a group of ten students were taught by a teacher employed for $60 a month. In 1930, the first school opened on Avenue M, where African American students were taught until 1947.

In 1948, oil was discovered in Scurry County, resulting in a population boom. The overall population more than doubled and the number of enrolled students in Snyder Independent School District tripled. In 1950, the school board responded with a $3,500,000 bond to build new schools, including an African American institution that would be named Lincoln School. In 1952, the Lincoln School was built at 34th and Avenue K and offered four classrooms and grades one through eight. Due to demand, a high school was added as an east wing of the building in 1956.

With the guiding hand of Principal Daniel Johnson, an original educator, the school prospered. Teachers like Lois Powell, Eunice Johnson, Elizabeth Jenkins, Calvin Edwards, Albert Lewis, and Anniece Johnson produced high school graduates who then graduated from colleges like Paul Guinn, Bishop College, Prairie View A&M, Jarvis College and Baylor University.

In 1964, with the implementation of the Civil Rights Act, the Snyder Independent School District was desegregated and all students attending Lincoln School were transferred to the previously all-white schools of Scurry County. Lincoln School was later used as a Head Start academic program before it was demolished.

(2020)
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BERTA HART NANCE

Albany native Berta Hart Nance (1883-1958) is primarily known for her Texas heritage poetry. Also an accomplished singer and violinist, she wrote her first poem at age 12 and from that time contributed to many publications. In 1903, she became a teacher of Latin and English. From 1913 to 1923, Nance was employed to write children’s stories and verse. She published three books of verse and her works were included in at least three anthologies, quickly gaining her recognition. Her work was awarded the Sonnet Prize in 1927, the Southern Prize in 1929 and the Texas Prize in 1931, among many other awards. Nance’s best-known poem, “Cattle”, is performed each year at the Fort Griffin Fandangle. Nance was a charter and life member of the Poetry Society of Texas.

(2020)
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COMANCHE PEAK

At Austin’s founding in 1839, Native American groups already living here included Apache, Waco (a branch of the Wichita) and Tonkawa. The dominant tribe was called Comanche; they called themselves Numunuu (“The People”). They controlled much of central Texas beginning in the mid-1700s, and their Comancheria homeland included a large part of what became Texas and the southern plains. Austin’s early history is inseparable from the history of the Penateka Comanche, the southernmost band who ranged along the Colorado River watershed from its headwaters through the Hill Country and into central Texas.

Rising more than 500 feet above the historic river level, Comanche Peak was part of an intricate network for transportation, communication and resources. Trails from here connected to other trails and geographical features of importance to the Comanche: fords at Santa Monica Springs and Shoal Creek; the pass at Mount Bonnell; and springs such as Seiders, Barton, Manchaca and Hamilton Pool. Segments of these historic trails at the base of Comanche Peak align with local roads such as Comanche Trail and Old Burnet Road that connected Austin to Burnet’s Hamilton Creek, a favored Comanche campsite. Peaks such as Comanche Peak were utilized by the Comanche for navigation, surveillance and signaling.

The Comanche continued to travel their extensive trail network regionally and locally as Austin began to grow in population. Just south of the peak, a canyon named Defeat Hollow recalls a circa 1870 skirmish between about a dozen Comanche and Joel Arthur Harris, an early Hudson Bend settler. As the only peak in Travis County named for an American Indian group, Comanche Peak’s location helps us better understand the Comanche geography of Travis County and their trail system into Austin along the Colorado River.

(2021)
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Nineteenth century settlers in Van Zandt County established a town amid rich agricultural land and bubbling springs. The area had once been part of the 1840s Mercer Colony, with land for the community coming out of land grants for Walter Ferrell and Harvey Jacobs and the survey of Jonathan Evans. In 1872, the town was known as Holden Springs, after J.M. Holden, owner of the area’s steam gin and mills. The word “springs” was incorporated into the town name because the citizens believed the waters possessed medicinal healing powers. The town was renamed Myrtle Springs in 1890 in honor of the crepe myrtles in the area of the springs. William Andrew Thomas (W.A.T.) Murrey, a successful businessman, was responsible for renaming the town.

Myrtle Springs Cemetery covers approximately 2.2 acres and is enclosed with fences on all four sides with a covered pavilion used during services and annual cemetery association meetings. Myrtle Springs Baptist Church worshiped in a church building on the cemetery grounds from 1889-1900. The first recorded burials, dating from 1875, include J.M. Holden’s wife, Louisa Amanda (McGee) Holden, and W.A.T. Murrey’s siblings, John Henry Murrey and Sarah Eliza (Murrey) Smith. Gravestone materials include sandstone, granite, concrete, marble, natural stone and metal crosses. Several veterans are interred here, including graves of soldiers from the Civil War, WWI, WWII, and Korean, Vietnam and Iraq wars. As Myrtle Springs Cemetery approaches its sesquicentennial year, the community continues to make its preservation a priority and hopes the cemetery will continue to be an asset to the richness of the area.

HISTORIC TEXAS CEMETERY – 2018
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In the 1840s, the John McAdams family was prominent in Walker County. They owned 10,000 acres of agricultural land, operated a cotton gin, and owned a sawmill. John McAdams established a family cemetery as one of the oldest in Walker County. During its early days, the cemetery was designated for immediate family such as John McAdams’ wife, Hester (White), and his sister, Polly McAdams.

The McAdams family also had a labor force of enslaved African Americans who worked the land. John McAdams designated an area in the family cemetery for the burial of thirteen enslaved people. Their graves are identified by a grouping of unmarked rocks near the fence line. While the locations of these graves are known, individual names were not recorded. It is believed that a highly regarded slave named Calvin McAdams is among the thirteen burials. Family records indicate that Calvin made two trips a year to Brownsville to purchase supplies. Two other possible burials are for a woman named Esther and her husband, Dan. Federal censuses account for two enslaved people in 1850, both age 14, and five in 1860, from age 2 to 35. Names were not recorded.

Although the names of those buried here are still unknown, their memory is honored through their burials in the family cemetery and the lives and accomplishments of their descendants. After emancipation, some worked for the McAdams family through tenant farming, and a number took the McAdams surname as their own. Documentation such as the 1930s Slave Narrative Collection of the Federal Writers’ Project preserves firsthand accounts of former slaves in the area. Through oral traditions and ongoing research, descendants and others continue to pay tribute to those “buried under the rocks” and uncover other forgotten stories.

(2018)
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In 1883, Early W. Spencer and J. John Drew formed the Rocking Chair Ranche Company of London, England, consisting of 235 sections of land in Collingsworth and Wheeler Counties; 14,745 head of cattle; 359 ponies; plus wagons and equipment. Later purchased by Colonel W.E. Hughes and renamed the Mill Iron Ranch, much of the land was subdivided and sold as smaller tracts for settlers. Many of these arrivals came from Suffolk, England, the first being George Herbert Aldous in 1887. He first arrived in Mobeetie and lived in a half-dugout along the bank of Elm Creek in northern Collingsworth County. His sister, Constance Fanny Aldous (1860-1944), soon arrived with her book of common prayer and a desire to establish an Anglican church in the area.

In 1898, Constance married fellow English immigrant Albert Manby (1874-1941) in a civil ceremony. When Bishop A.C. Garrett of the Diocese of Dallas visited, he married them again in a religious ceremony. As sporadic Episcopal services and sacraments continued for years, Constance solicited relatives and friends for funds to build a church, recording contributions in pounds, shillings and pence. She led 13 Episcopalians to petition the diocese for acceptance as a mission. Work on a building in Shamrock began in April 1909, and on July 4, 1909, Bishop Garrett consecrated St. Michael and All Angels as a mission church. Membership remained small but faithful through many years, and St. Michael's has never had a resident priest. Members have supported area congregations of other faiths and connected with their community through charity and outreach. The church’s legacy is an example of the English heritage of the region imprinting upon the area’s culture and faith.

(2021)
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WILLOW SPRINGS

Located 6.6 miles east of this site in the Sand Hills, Willow Springs was known to Comanche Indians and to west Texas pioneers as an important source of water. It was frequently used by gold seekers on their way to California after the 1849 gold discovery there. In 1901, “Judge” A. Hayes found the remains of a charred 40-wagon train at Willow Springs. Evidence indicates there had been a killing at the site, and artifacts from the unidentified massacre have been placed at Sul Ross State University in Alpine.

(1964)

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
Georgia native George Baber was a well-respected physician and civic leader. His family moved to Winnsboro in 1895, and after graduating from Emory University in 1906, he returned and served his hometown with distinction. He was a member of state and national medical associations and from 1911-15, was a member of the State Board of Medical Examiners. In Winnsboro, Baber practiced general medicine and surgery, opened a hospital in 1917, and served as city and county health officer. In 1907, he married Charlie Ashberry (1883-1979), a talented pianist and actress. The couple was fondly remembered for their philanthropy and leadership.

(2021)

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
WILLIAM RICHARD PHILLIPS

Wood County native William Richard Phillips (1880-1934), born in the Pine Mills community, became a civic leader and an influential voice for rural Texans. He was ordained in 1907, pastoring Stephen’s Chapel Missionary Baptist Church (later New Hope Baptist Church) and several other congregations in the area over many years. He was also principal and a teacher at Concord and New Hope Schools. He later served as a trustee of Wood County schools and of Jacksonville College. In the 1920s, he advocated for better working conditions and profits for local farmers as president of the Farm Labor Union of America in Wood County, writing in 1926 that “all callings and professions depend on the farmer. He is to society what the backbone is to the human body.”

(2021)

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
TAB 6.4 A
Consider adoption of amendments to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Section 21.12, related to marker text requests, without changes to the text published in the May 20, 2022 issue of the *Texas Register* (47 TexReg 2942-2943)

**Background:**
The amendment to Section 21.12 clarifies the rule by stating that a supplemental plaque is not a choice, but rather an interim measure when funds are unavailable for immediate replacement of markers that have received approval through the historical marker request process. The proposed amendment was published in the May 20, 2022 issue of the *Texas Register* (47 TexReg 2942-2943). No comments were received for this posting.

**Recommended motion (Committee):** Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend adoption of the amendment to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 21, Subchapter B, Section 21.12, related to marker text requests, without changes to the text published in the May 20, 2022 issue of the *Texas Register* (47 TexReg 2942-2943).

**Recommended motion (Commission):** Move to adopt the amendment to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 21, Subchapter B, Section 21.12, related to marker text requests, without changes to the text published in the May 20, 2022 issue of the *Texas Register* (47 TexReg 2942-2943).
Texas Administrative Code
Title 13 Cultural Resources
Part II Texas Historical Commission
Chapter 21 History Programs
Subchapter B Official Texas Historical Marker Program

ADOPTION PREAMBLE

The Texas Historical Commission (Commission) adopts an amendment to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 21, Subchapter B, Section 21.12, related to marker text requests. The amendments are adopted without changes to the proposed text, as published in the May 20, 2022 issue of the Texas Register (47 TexReg 2942-2943).

The amendment to Section 21.12 clarifies the rule by stating that a supplemental plaque is not a choice, but rather an interim measure when funds are unavailable for immediate replacement of markers that have received approval through the historical marker request process.

These amendments are proposed under the authority of Texas Government Code §442.005(q), which provides the Commission with the authority to promulgate rules to reasonably affect the purposes of the Commission and Texas Government Code §442.006(h), which requires the Commission to adopt rules for the historical marker program.

No other statutes, articles, or codes are affected by these amendments.
or her property that would otherwise exist in the absence of government action and, therefore, does not constitute a taking under Texas Government Code, § 2007.043.

PUBLIC COMMENT. Comments on the proposal may be submitted to Mark Wolfe, Executive Director, Texas Historical Commission, P.O. Box 12276, Austin, Texas 78711. Comments will be accepted for 30 days after publication in the Texas Register.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY. These amendments are proposed under the authority of Texas Government Code §442.005(q), which provides the Commission with the authority to promulgate rules to reasonably affect the purposes of the Commission, and Texas Government Code §442.006(h), which requires the Commission to adopt rules for the historical marker program.

CROSS REFERENCE TO OTHER LAW. No other statutes, articles, or codes are affected by these amendments.

The Commission hereby certifies that the proposed amendments have been reviewed by legal counsel and found to be a valid exercise of the agency’s authority.
§21.12 Marker Text Requests

(a) A request for a review of the text of any Official Texas Historical Marker (OTHM) that is the property of the State of Texas and which falls under the jurisdiction of the Texas Historical Commission (“Commission”) may be submitted to dispute the factual accuracy of the OTHM based on verifiable, historical evidence that the marker:

(1) Includes the name of an individual or organization that is not spelled correctly;

(2) Includes a date that is not historically accurate;

(3) Includes a statement that is not historically accurate; or

(4) Has been installed at the wrong location.

(b) A request for review of OTHM text shall be submitted on a form provided by the Commission for that purpose, accompanied by no more than 10 single-sided pages of supplemental material printed in a font size no smaller than 11.

(c) OTHM review requests shall be submitted to the Commission at 1511 Colorado St., Austin, TX 78701; by mail to P.O. Box 12276, Austin, TX 78711; or by email to thc@thc.texas.gov. The Commission will send a copy of the request and supporting materials to the County Historical Commission (CHC) for the county in which the OTHM is located, return receipt requested. In the absence of a formally-established CHC, a copy will be submitted to the county judge, return receipt requested.

(d) The CHC or county judge shall have 10 days from the date of receipt of the request to submit a response to the Commission if they wish to do so. The CHC or county judge’s response shall consist of not more than 10 single-sided pages of material printed in a font size no smaller than 11 and shall be signed by the chair of the CHC or by the county judge.

(e) Within 20 days of receiving the CHC or county judge’s response to the request, or within 30 days of receiving the request itself if there is no CHC or county judge response, the staff at the Commission shall review the information submitted and respond to the requestor and to the CHC or county judge with the staff recommendation in writing, return receipt requested.

(f) During the period previously referred to in Section (e), Commission staff may choose to refer the request to a panel of professional historians for a recommendation.

(g) The panel will consist of three professional historians: 1) the State Historian appointed by the Governor pursuant to Texas Government Code Section 3104.051; 2) the historian appointed by the Governor to serve on the Commission pursuant to Texas Government Code Section 442.002; and 3) a professional historian selected by these two historians from the faculty of a public college or university upon receiving the request. If no professional historian has been appointed by the Governor to serve on the Commission, the Governor’s appointed chair of the Commission or the chair’s designee will serve on the panel in place of that individual. In reaching its decision,
the panel will review the same information reviewed by the staff, as well as any additional information provided by staff, which shall be no more than 10 single-sided pages of supplemental material printed in a font size no smaller than 11. The panel shall be chaired by the State Historian who shall determine whether the panel will meet in person or deliberate through electronic or other means.

(h) The panel shall develop a written recommendation supported by at least two of its members. The written recommendation of the panel will be delivered to the Commission staff no later than 30 days following the panel’s receipt of the background materials as provided above. If the panel is unable to develop such a recommendation, the panel chair shall so report in writing to the Commission’s staff within the same 30-day period. Commission staff will consider the panel’s report and send their final recommendation to the requestor and to the CHC or county judge within 15 days after receiving the panel’s report, return receipt requested.

(i) If the requestor, or the County Historical Commission or county judge are not satisfied with the staff recommendation, they may choose to file an objection with the Commission’s History Programs Committee (“Committee”). Such objections must be postmarked no later than 5 days following receipt of the staff recommendation. If no such objection is filed, the staff or panel recommendation with accompanying marker text revisions will be placed on the next consent agenda of the Texas Historical Commission for approval.

(j) Review of objections filed with the Committee shall be based on copies of the same information as was initially provided to the panel of historians under section (g) above. If the matter was not submitted to the panel of historians, the objection shall be based on the material previously submitted by the requestor or requestors and CHC or county judge to the marker staff under sections (b) and (d) above, and on any additional information provided by marker staff, which shall be no more than 10 single-sided pages of supplemental material printed in a font size no smaller than 11.

(k) The Committee shall include the objection on the agenda of its next scheduled meeting, assuming said meeting happens at least 20 days after the objection is received by the Commission. If the 20-day deadline is not met, the objection shall be on the agenda of the following meeting of the Committee.

(l) The Committee may choose to take public testimony on the objection, or not. If public testimony is invited, such testimony may be limited by the Committee chair to a period of time allocated per speaker, per side (pro and con) or both.

(m) The decision of the Committee, along with any recommendation from staff and/or the panel, shall be placed on the consent agenda of the full Commission for approval.

(n) If a request or objection is approved by the Commission, the existing marker will be replaced, subject to the availability of funds for that purpose. If such funds are not readily available, a supplemental marker may serve in the interim.

(o) With all approved requests or objections, Commission staff will write the replacement text. Markers will be produced by the contracted foundry and production will be subject to the foundry’s schedule.

(p) The Commission will not accept subsequent requests or objections that are substantively similar to a request or objection that is already going through or has already gone through this
request process. A decision not to accept a request or objection under this section may be made by the Executive Director.

(q) A request for review may only be filed against a single marker, and no individual or organization may file more than one request for review per calendar year.

The Commission hereby certifies that the section as proposed has been reviewed by legal counsel and found to be a valid exercise of the agency’s authority.
TAB 6.4 B
Consider adoption of repeal of rule 16.7 of the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, related to Historic Sites, Friends Organizations, without changes to the text as published in May 20, 2022 issue of the Texas Register (47 TexReg 2939-2940)

Background:

The Texas Historical Commission proposes to adopt the repeal of section 16.7, TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, Historic Sites, related to Friends Organizations to efficiently amend and replace these procedures. The existing rule does not address legal requirements nor industry best practice standards for nonprofits that partner with historic sites. Currently those requirements are promulgated through a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between the THC, the historic site, and the nonprofit.

The purpose of the repeal is proposed to implement a significantly revised rule on the same subject. In a separate action the THC contemporaneously proposes a new section 16.7 relating to Supporting Nonprofit Partners which will replace the repealed section. Comments were taken for 30 days after publication in the Texas Register. There were no comments received.

Suggested Motion (Committee):
Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend approval to adopt the repeal of section 16.7 of the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, related to Historic Sites, Friends Organizations without changes to the text as published in May 20, 2022 issue of the Texas Register (47 TexReg 2939-2940)

Suggested Motion (Commission):
Move to adopt the repeal of section 16.7 of the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, related to Historic Sites, Friends Organizations without changes to the text as published in the May 20, 2022, issue of the Texas Register (47 TexReg 2939-2940)
Adoption Preamble

The Texas Historical Commission (THC) proposes adoption of repeal of rule 16.7, TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, Historic Sites, relating to Friends Organizations.

Repeal of the section is proposed under Section 442.005 (q) Title 4 Subtitle D of the Texas Government Code, which provides the Texas Historical Commission with the authority to promulgate rules and conditions to reasonably effect the purposes of this chapter.

The purpose of the repeal is proposed to implement a significantly revised rule on the same subject.

No other statutes, articles, or codes are affected by this repeal.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY. This repeal is proposed under the authority of Texas Government Code§ 442.005(q), which provides the Commission with the authority to promulgate rules to reasonably affect the purposes of the Commission; Texas Government Code §§ 442.0055 Affiliated Non-Profit Organizations; Rules; Guidelines; and 442.0052, Volunteer Services.

CROSS REFERENCE TO STATUTE. No other statutes, articles, or codes are affected by this repeal.

The Commission hereby certifies that the repeal of the rule has been reviewed by legal counsel and found to be a valid exercise of the agency’s authority.
§16.7. Friends Organizations

(a) The Executive Director or his/her designee of each historic site shall work with members of the public to establish and maintain an affiliated non-profit organization, or "Friends of the Historic Site" to support each historic site.

(b) The relationship between the Commission, the historic site, and the affiliated non-profit organization is governed by this section and §11.9 of this title (relating to Donations and Relationship with Affiliated Non-Profit Organizations).

(c) Only organizations that have executed an agreement with the Commission will be entitled to free admission or joint sponsorship of Historic Site events.
TAB 6.4 C
Consider adoption of new rule 16.7 of the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, related to Historic Sites, Supporting Nonprofit Partners without changes to the text as published in the May 20, 2022, issue of the Texas Register (47 TexReg 2940-2942)

Background:

The Texas Historical Commission proposes to adopt new rule, section 16.7, TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, Historic Sites, related to Supporting Nonprofit Partners to efficiently amend and replace these procedures. The existing rule does not address legal requirements nor industry best practice standards for nonprofits that partner with historic sites. Currently those requirements are promulgated through a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between the THC, the historic site, and the nonprofit.

The purpose of the new rule being considered is to implement a significantly revised rule on the same subject as shown in item 6.4B.

The commission approved first posting to the Texas Register at the April Quarterly meeting. There was no public comment received during the posting period.

Suggested Motion (Committee):
Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend approval to adopt new rule 16.7 of the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, related to Historic Sites, Supporting Nonprofit Partners without changes to the text as published in May 20, 2022, issue of the Texas Register (47 TexReg 2940-2942)

Suggested Motion (Commission):
Move to adopt new rule 16.7 of the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, related to Historic Sites, Supporting Nonprofit Partners without changes to the text as published in the May 20, 2022, issue of the Texas Register (47 TexReg 2940-2942)
Texas Administrative Code
Title 13    Cultural Resources
Part 2     Texas Historical Commission
Chapter 16  Historic Sites
Rule §16.7  Supporting Nonprofits Partners

Adoption Preamble

The Texas Historical Commission (THC) proposes to adopt new section 16.7, TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, Historic Sites, relating to Supporting Nonprofit Partners. In a separate action (item 16.3) the THC contemporaneously proposed repeal of section 16.7 relating to Friends Organizations, which this new section will replace.

This new rule is proposed under Section 442.005 (q) Title 4 Subtitle D of the Texas Government Code, which provides the Texas Historical Commission with the authority to promulgate rules and conditions to reasonably effect the purposes of this chapter.

The purpose of the new rule is to implement a significantly revised rule on the same subject.

No other statutes, articles, or codes are affected by this new rule.

FISCAL NOTE. Mark Wolfe, Executive Director, has determined that for the first five-year period the new rule is in effect there will be no fiscal implications for state or local governments.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/COST NOTE. Mr. Wolfe has also determined that for each year of the first five-year period the new rule is in effect, the public benefit will be a more clearly defined procedure to be followed by partner organizations.

ECONOMIC COSTS TO PERSONS AND IMPACT ON LOCAL EMPLOYMENT. There is no effect on the local economy for the first five-year period the new rule is in effect; therefore, no local employment impact statement is required under Texas Government Code, §2001.022 and 2001.024(a)(6).

COSTS TO REGULATED PERSONS. The proposed new rule does not impose a cost on regulated persons or entities; therefore, they are not subject to Texas Government Code, §2001.0045.

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT AND REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS FOR SMALL BUSINESSES, MICROBUSINESSES, AND RURAL COMMUNITIES. Mr. Wolfe has also determined that there will be no negative impact on rural communities, small or micro-businesses because of the new rule and therefore no regulatory flexibility analysis, as specified in Texas Government Code § 2006.002, is required.
GOVERNMENT GROWTH IMPACT STATEMENT. During the first five years that the new rule would be in effect will not create or eliminate a government program; will not result in the addition or reduction of employees; will not require an increase or decrease in future legislative appropriations; will not lead to an increase or decrease in fees paid to a state agency; will not create a new regulation; will not repeal an existing regulation; and will not result in an increase or decrease in the number of individuals subject to the rule. During the first five years that the new rule would be in effect, will not positively or adversely affect the Texas economy.

TAKINGS IMPACT ASSESSMENT. THC has determined that no private real property interests are affected by this proposal and the proposal does not restrict or limit an owner’s right to his or her property that would otherwise exist in the absence of government action and, therefore, does not constitute a taking under Texas Government Code § 2007.043.

REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. Comments on the proposal may be submitted to Joseph Bell, Deputy Executive Director of Historic Sites, Texas Historical Commission, P.O. Box 12276, Austin, Texas 78711. Comments will be accepted for 30 days after publication in the Texas Register.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY. This new rule is proposed under the authority of Texas Government Code§ 442.005(q), which provides the Commission with the authority to promulgate rules to reasonably affect the purposes of the Commission; Texas Government Code §§ 442.0055 Affiliated Non-Profit Organizations; Rules; Guidelines; and 442.0052, Volunteer Services.

CROSS REFERENCE TO STATUTE. No other statutes, articles, or codes are affected by this new rule.

The Commission hereby certifies that the new rule has been reviewed by legal counsel and found to be a valid exercise of the agency’s authority.
§16.7. Supporting Nonprofits Partners

(a) The Deputy Executive Director for State Historic Sites or that person’s designee shall work with members of the public to establish and maintain Supporting Nonprofit Partners (SNPs) to assist the Texas Historical Commission (THC) in carrying out its mission through the preservation of, and programming at, State Historic Sites as appropriate to each site.

(b) To be considered a SNP pursuant to this section, an entity must:

(1) Either receive a 501c3 designation from the Internal Revenue Service and be incorporated in accordance with the Texas Nonprofit Corporation Act (Business Organizations Code, Chapter 22), or be fiscally sponsored by the Friends of the Texas Historical Commission (FTHC), and

(2) Within 60 days of receiving an official 501c3 designation or notice of fiscal sponsorship by the FTHC, enter into a Memorandum of Agreement with the THC, which agreement will detail the duties and responsibilities of both parties.

(c) The SNP will promptly notify THC of any change to its legal or tax-exempt status.

(d) If a SNP ceases to exist, any funds raised for the benefit of the State Historic Site will be paid to THC or to the FTHC for use at that State Historic Site.

(e) SNPs subject to these provisions:

(1) Will not hold or obligate THC funds.

(2) Will comply with all applicable rules, regulations, and laws, regarding discrimination based on race, color, national origin, sex, age, and disability.

(3) Will not use or permit the use of THC’s intellectual property without the express written agreement of THC, including trademarks, logos, names and seals.

(4) Will not employ a THC employee in a paid position or provide compensation or any direct personal benefit to a THC employee.

(5) May use equipment, facilities, or services of employees of THC as long as such use follows a written agreement that provides for the payment of adequate compensation or identifies the way in which such use will benefit THC.

(6) Will prepare and send to the appropriate THC Site Manager and to the THC Community Engagement Coordinator an annual report including a list of the primary activities undertaken during the previous year, a summary of significant achievements and challenges over the previous year, and other information requested by the THC, and an annual plan of activities proposed for the following year, also making said report and plan publicly available.

(7) Will complete their annual IRS 990, 990-EZ, 990-N, or 990-PF, depending on the amount of their income and type of nonprofits status, and provide THC with proof of IRS receipt. They will
also make the IRS 990 available to the general public, upon request, regardless of whether or not a SNP is required to file an IRS 990 with the IRS.

(8) Will file their articles of incorporation, by-laws, most recent financial statements, and any updates to these documents with THC. These documents will be made available to the public upon request.

(9) Will not engage in activities that would require it or a person acting on its behalf to register as a lobbyist under Texas law, Texas Government Code, Chapter 305. However, SNPs may provide information to the legislature or to other elected or appointed officials.

(10) Will not donate funds to a political campaign or endorse a political candidate.

(11) Will notify the THC Site Manager of all meetings and allow a THC representative to attend all meetings. This includes, but is not limited to, meetings of its general membership, managing board, and committees. The Site Manager must be notified by letter, email, or telephone sufficiently in advance of the meeting to allow the THC representative to attend. A SNP should also notify other SNPs associated with the property, facility, or program of all meetings and allow a representative to attend.

(12) Will raise funds in support of their associated sites only for the specific purposes authorized in writing in advance by THC.

(13) Will undertake programs that support THC’s mission as agreed to in writing in advance by THC.

(14) Will decline donations that require particular action to be taken by THC unless agreed to in writing in advance by THC.

(15) Will account for all funds acquired by using Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

(16) Will use all donations received to benefit the facility, property, or program with which the SNP is associated or further the SNP’s mission related to the facility, property, or program, including donations to defray operating costs.

(17) May make unrestricted cash donations to THC, which THC may choose to designate for use for a specific project or program.

(f) The officers and directors of a SNP subject to these provisions:

(1) Will adopt and maintain a conflict-of-interest policy. This policy must include safeguards to prevent board members or their families from benefiting financially from any business decision of the SNP.

(2) Will ensure that any compensation paid to executives or managers is reasonable.

(3) Will hold at least two regular meetings of the Board of Directors annually.

(4) Will ensure that each board member and/or director is duly informed of the SNP’s activities, and will provide new board members with the following:

a. A copy of the SNP’s articles of incorporation and by-laws.
b. A copy of the SNP’s most recent financial statements.

c. A copy of the THC’s administrative rules on SNPs and sponsorship.

d. A copy of any current agreements between the SNP and THC.

(g) The following provisions shall govern an SNP’s ability to ask for and accept sponsorships for their, or THC’s projects and programs:

(1) For purposes of this section, a sponsorship is the payment of money, transfer of property, or performance of services in which there is no expectation of any substantial return benefit other than recognition or a non-substantial benefit.

(2) All sponsorship requests must have prior written approval of the THC Site Manager.

(3) All statewide sponsorships and their recognition must have prior written approval from the THC Executive Director.

(4) All local sponsorships and their recognition must have prior written approval from the THC Site Manager whose area of responsibility includes the facility, property or program to be supported by the local sponsorship.

(5) SNPs may not ask for or accept sponsorships from a person or entity in litigation with THC or determined by the THC to conflict with THC’s mission or legislative mandates.

(6) Recognition for sponsors:

a. Is allowed only in the context of the particular THC program that the sponsor has supported with a financial or in-kind contribution.

b. Is allowed only if the contribution is greater than the cost of recognition.

c. Will not be in the form of signage on motor vehicles or trailers owned by the state that were purchased or maintained with THC funds.

d. Will not overshadow the project, purposes of the project, mission or branding of THC.

(7) In determining the type of recognition appropriate in each case, THC will consider:

a. The level of contribution in terms of percentage of funds required to complete the program, event, or material.

b. The level of contribution related to total sponsorship dollars received.

c. The scope of exposure (for example statewide, regional, local, or a single location).

d. The duration of exposure (for example one day, one month, one year).

e. The sponsor’s name or logo and a reference to sponsor’s location may be broadcast or displayed. However, the recognition may not promote the sponsor’s products, services, or facilities.
f. THC officers and employees may not act as the agent for any SNP or donor in negotiating the
terms or conditions of any agreement related to the donation of funds, services, or property to THC
by the SNP or donor.

(h) THC shall maintain a list of SNPs, which shall be made available to the public upon request.

(i) THC will not hold or obligate funds or property belonging to an SNP.

(j) THC may develop model policies and procedures for use by SNPs.

(k) THC will provide a liaison to serve as a resource to SNPs and to administer the terms of an
SNP's Memorandum of Agreement with THC.

(l) THC employees may serve as non-voting members of the board of an SNP only in an ex-officio
capacity.
TAB 6.5
Consider approval of the proposed 6-year extension on Antiquities Permit #6247 for principal investigator Jorge García-Herreros, Search for the Twin Sisters Cannons 41HR1105

Background:

On April 15, 2022, Jorge García-Herreros, principal investigator for Gulf Coast Archeology Group, requested a second extension for Antiquities Permit 6247, Search for the Twin Sisters Cannons 41HR1105. At the time of the original 3-year extension on August 17, 2017, the principal investigator reported that the fieldwork was completed, but analysis and report production were ongoing but delayed due to lack of funds and the PI’s health issues. While additional progress has been made, the PI reports that ongoing health issues related to a severe automobile accident and is requesting the second extension to complete the outstanding requirements to close the permit.

Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 26, Subchapter C Rule 26.14 (g)(2) states that “upon review and recommendations by the Antiquities Advisory Board, the commission may by a majority vote of its members, approve or disapprove an additional extension of the expiration date of an Antiquities Permit beyond the single extension that the AD staff of the commission is authorized to issue under subsection (c) of this section and this paragraph, provided that the following conditions are met:

(A) the principal investigator (PI), and/or the investigative firm listed under an Antiquities Permit must complete and submit a Second Extension Application Form to the commission, and give an oral presentation before the Antiquities Advisory Board justifying why a second permit expiration-date extension is warranted; and

(B) the justification for the second extension must show that the extension is needed due to circumstances beyond the control of the PI. Example include but are not limited to: funding problems, death of the PI, and artifact curation problems.

A second permit extension for an additional 6 years has been requested by Jorge García-Herreros. If approved, the new permit deadline will be October 25, 2026.

Suggested Motion:

Move that the Commission approve the granting of Jorge García-Herreros a second 6-year extension for Antiquities Permit #6247.
TAB 6.6 A
Consider approval to amend professional services contract with AJR Media Group LLA, for Mobile Geolocation Data for developing Statewide, Regional, and Site-Specific Heritage Traveler Profiles

Background

Government Code §2155.088 requires the governing board of a state agency to approve by vote in an open meeting any material change to a contract for goods or services, regardless of the dollar amount of the contract. A material change is defined as an extension of the completion date of a contract for six or more months or a change in the amount of the contract by at least ten percent.

The contract with AJR Media Group, LLA is to design, develop, and provide managed services for Mobile Geolocation Data for the purposes of developing Statewide, Regional, and Site-Specific Heritage Traveler Profiles for the Texas Time Travel.com suite of websites. The initial term of the contract ends August 31, 2022. THC has the option to execute a total of two (2) one-year renewal periods beyond the initial contract.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract</th>
<th>Date Executed</th>
<th>Original Contract Term</th>
<th>Original Contract Amount</th>
<th>Proposed Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AJR Media Group, LLA Contract</td>
<td>8/31/2021</td>
<td>Original termination Date: 8/31/2022</td>
<td>Original Contract Amount: $19,761</td>
<td>Amendment requested: Execute the first renewal period of one (1) year, extending the initial term of the contract to 8/31/2023 and increasing the contract amount by $19,761 to $39,522.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recommended motion (Committee): Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of the amendment to contract 808-21-211477 with AJR Media Group, LLA for the first renewal period, not to exceed one (1) year, extending the initial term of the contract to 8/31/2023, and increasing the contract by $19,761 to $39,522.

Recommended motion (Commission): Move to approve the amendment to contract 808-21-211477 with AJR Media Group, LLA, for the first renewal period, not to exceed one (1) year, extending the initial term of the contract to 8/31/2023 and increasing the contract by $19,761 to $39,522.
TAB 6.6 B
Consider approval to amend contract with B-Sign dba Eagle Sign and Design for historical marker fabrication services

Background

Government Code §2155.088 requires the governing board of a state agency to approve by vote in an open meeting any material change to a contract for goods or services, regardless of the dollar amount of the contract. A material change is defined as an extension of the completion date of a contract for six or more months or a change in the amount of the contract by at least ten percent.

The contract with B-Sign dba Eagle Sign and Design is for historical marker fabrication services for the Texas Historical Commission. The initial term of the contract ended September 30, 2020. In June 2020, THC approved a renewal for a period of one (1) year, ending September 30, 2021. In July 2021, THC approved an amendment for an additional period of one (1) year, ending September 30, 2022. THC has the option to execute a total of four (4) one-year renewal periods beyond the initial contract term.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor/Contract Number</th>
<th>Date Executed</th>
<th>Original Contract Term</th>
<th>Original Contract Amount</th>
<th>Proposed Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B-Sign dba Eagle Sign and Design, Contract #808-19-01750</td>
<td>1/17/2020</td>
<td>Original term: 9/30/2020</td>
<td>Original Contract Amount: $2,000,000</td>
<td>Amendment requested: Execute the third renewal of one (1) year, extending the initial term of the contract to 9/30/2023.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Current term: 9/30/2022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Amendment: Renew for a period not to exceed one (1) year.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New term: 9/30/2023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recommended motion (Committee): Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of the amendment to contract #808-19-01750 with B-Sign dba Eagle Sign and Design for a third renewal period, not to exceed one (1) year, extending the initial term of the contract to 9/30/2023.

Recommended motion (Commission): Move to approve the amendment to contract #808-19-01750 with B-Sign dba Eagle Sign and Design for a third renewal period, not to exceed one (1) year, extending the initial term of the contract to 9/30/2023.
TAB 6.6 C
Consider approval to amend professional services contract with Coastal Environments, Inc. for General Archeological Services at the San Felipe de Austin State Historic Site

**Background**

Government Code §2155.088 requires the governing board of a state agency to approve by vote in an open meeting any material change to a contract for goods or services, regardless of the dollar amount of the contract. A material change is defined as an extension of the completion date of a contract for six or more months or a change in the amount of the contract by at least ten percent.

The contract with Coastal Environments, Inc. is for general archeological services. The contractor is currently completing archeological investigations at the San Felipe de Austin State Historic Site. The artifact analysis, curation, and report preparation from these investigations will extend beyond the contract end date. This contract was previously increased and extended to May 20, 2022, to complete the fieldwork, artifact analysis, and the final report. Due to unforeseen staff departure during the COVID pandemic, reporting requirements in the FY 22-23 General Appropriations Act (GAA) and other unanticipated circumstances, two additional contract amendments are needed to allow Coastal Environments, Inc. to complete the artifact curation and final report. In April the Commission approved the first of the two additional amendments extending the contract to 8/18/2022 to allow time for advanced notification to the Legislative Budget Board as required by Senate Bill 1, 87th Leg., Regular Session, 2021, Article IX, Sec. 17.09(e). The advanced notification was provided to the LBB and we are now requesting the final amendment to this contract to extend the end date to 2/20/2023.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract</th>
<th>Date Executed</th>
<th>Original Contract Term</th>
<th>Original Contract Amount</th>
<th>Proposed Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Environments, Inc.</td>
<td>5/20/2014</td>
<td>Original termination Date: 5/20/2018</td>
<td>Original Contract Amount: $500,000</td>
<td>Amendment requested: Extend the contract term by six additional months to February 20, 2023 to allow for the completion of the artifact curation and final report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract #808-14-1256</td>
<td></td>
<td>Current termination end date: 8/18/2022</td>
<td>Current Contract Amount: $895,841</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Amendment: Extend termination date to 2/20/2023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Recommended motion (Committee):** Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of the amendment to contract 808-14-1256 with Coastal Environments, Inc. to extend the contract end date to February 20, 2023, to allow for the completion of the artifact curation and final report.

**Recommended motion (Commission):** Move to approve the amendment to contract 808-14-1256 with Coastal Environments, Inc. to extend the contract end date to February 20, 2023, to allow for the completion of the artifact curation and final report.
TAB 6.6D
Consider approval to amend professional services contract with Samuel Collins, III for advisory and expert services regarding the design, build, and operation of the new facilities at the Levi Jordan Plantation State Historic Site

Background

Government Code §2155.088 requires the governing board of a state agency to approve by vote in an open meeting any material change to a contract for goods or services, regardless of the dollar amount of the contract. A material change is defined as an extension of the completion date of a contract for six or more months or a change in the amount of the contract by at least ten percent.

The contract with Samuel Collins, III is for advisory and expert services. The contractor provides the following services:

- Advisory and expert services regarding the design, construction, interpretation, and operation of the Levi Jordan Plantation State Historic Site;
- Serves as a member of staff or committee advisory groups focused on the project development of the Levi Jordan Plantation State Historic Site;
- Serves as a topic specialist for the content and story line in the site’s planning;
- Works with the staff to propose and develop plans for exhibits, site interpretation and media/technology projects;
- Facilitates and assists with consensus building among stakeholders;
- Assists where appropriate with capital fundraising campaign.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract</th>
<th>Date Executed</th>
<th>Original Contract Term</th>
<th>Original Contract Amount</th>
<th>Proposed Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Collins, III</td>
<td>1/14/2019</td>
<td>Original termination Date: 12/14/2020</td>
<td>Original Contract Amount: $80,000</td>
<td>Amendment requested: Extend the contract term to January 14, 2024.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract # 808-19-00549</td>
<td></td>
<td>Current termination end date: 8/31/2022</td>
<td>Current Contract Amount: $80,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Amendment: Extend termination date to 1/14/2024</td>
<td>Proposed Amendment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Recommended motion (Committee):** Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of the amendment to contract 808-19-00549 with Samuel Collins, III to extend the contract end date to January 14, 2024.

**Recommended motion (Commission):** Move to approve the amendment to contract 808-19-00549 with Samuel Collins, III to extend the contract end date to January 14, 2024.
THIS ITEM WAS NOT AVAILABLE AT TIME OF MAILING AND WILL BE DISTRIBUTED AT THE COMMISSION MEETING
TAB 6.7
Approval of Donations
Third Quarter of SFY 2022 (March – May 2022)

Background
This is a standing item to accept donations made directly to the agency, as well as transfers from the Friends of the Texas Historical Commission

Suggested Motion
Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of any donations received, reimbursements, and gifts-in-kind from the Friends of the Texas Historical Commission in the amount of $1,431,770.

Agency Donations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor/Item</th>
<th>Division/Project</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NorthPoint Development, LLC</td>
<td>PTF/Dallas County Grants</td>
<td>$1,415,924.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington-on-the-Brazos Historical Foundation/Custom Gooseneck stock trailer (per attached letter)</td>
<td>Washington-on-the-Brazos State Historic Site</td>
<td>$15,846.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Friends of THC Reimbursements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Division/Project</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No reimbursements to report this quarter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Friends of THC Gifts-in-Kind

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Division/Project</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Friends Gifts-in-Kind to report this quarter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
April 15, 2022

Jon,

Washington on the Brazos Historical Foundation (WOBHF) has purchased a custom Gooseneck stock trailer which was paid with donations from generous supporters of Washington-on-the-Brazos (WOB). The trailer was custom built to specifications by WOB.

WOBHF is donating the trailer to the Texas Historical Commission to be used exclusively for purposes at Washington-on-the-Brazos SHS. The value of the trailer is $15,846 as shown on the attached invoice. Serial #16G552421NB077310.

Please do not hesitate to call with any questions.

Sincerely,

Henry Mayo

President, Washington on the Brazos Historical Foundation
**INVOICE**

Gooseneck Trailer Mfg. Co., Inc.  
P.O. Box 832  
4400 E. Hwy 21  
Bryan, TX 77806  
Phone # (979)778-0034  
Fax # (979)778-0615

INVOICE NO.: 33816  
INVOICE DATE: 04/13/2022

WASHINGTON ON THE BRAZOS  
HISTORICAL FOUNDATION  
P.O. BOX 1  
WASHINGTON, TX 77880

Bill To: 1201-1022820

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QTY</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PRICE</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1   | STEEL STOCK 24' X 6'8" W/METAL TOP  
     SERIAL: 16GS52421NB077310  
     MODEL: 222468  
     WEIGHT: 7,320 lb  
     LENGTH & WIDTH: 24' X 6'8"  
     BOW HEIGHT 6'6"  
     CLEATED RUBBER FLOOR MATERIAL 1ST 12' 1" GAP  
     FLAT RUBBER LAST 12'-1/2" GAP  
     ELECTRIC BRAKES  
     SIZE OF AXLES: 2-7K (6-LEAF)  
     TIRES 5-ST235/85/R16/12PLY-TRAILFINDER  
     JACK ON DRIVER  
     2-CG-BOTH REMOVABLE @4',8',12',16',-8/8/8  
     TG-SPLIT  
     2-5/16" ADJ.(25K)  
     4-1" X 3" TUBE SIDES  
     ESCAPE DOORS FULL OFF DRIVER  
     FULL METAL TOP/FRONT  
     SPARE BY JACK  
     NOSE SIZE: 4' ENCLOSED-KIND: TAPERED  
     DOOR BEHIND NOSE SPLIT SOLID  
     PAINT: RED  
     7-WAY RV PLUG  
     3-L.E.D. DOME LIGHTS 1@0',8',16'  
     2-MINI SPOTLIGHT 1 UNDER EACH CORNER OF REAR BOW | 15,846.00 | 15,846.00 |

PLEASE PAY THIS AMOUNT: $15,846.00

When you provide a check as payment, you authorize us either to use the information from your check to make a one-time electronic fund transfer from your account or to process the payment as a check transaction. When we use information from your check to make an electronic funds transfer, funds may be withdrawn from your account as soon as the same day we receive your payment. You will not receive your check back from your financial institution. All warranty is void if shipped outside of the continental United States.
ANTIQUITIES ADVISORY BOARD
AGENDA
ANTIQUITIES ADVISORY BOARD MEETING #109
DoubleTree Suites by Hilton Hotel
2nd Floor
Houston Room
303 W 15th Street
Austin, TX 78701
July 25, 2022
9:30 a.m.

This meeting of the Antiquities Advisory Board has been properly posted with the Secretary of State’s Office according to the provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Chapter 551, Texas Government Code. The members may discuss and/or take action on any of the items listed in the agenda.

1. Call to Order – Chairman Bruseth
   A. Board Introductions
   B. Establish a Quorum
   C. Recognize and/or excuse absences

2. Approval of Minutes – Bruseth (advance handout)
   Antiquities Advisory Board Meeting # 108 (April 29, 2022)

3. Consider approval of State Antiquities Landmark Nominations for 11 sites (41PS113, 41PS115, 41PS128, 41PS130, 41PS132, 41PS133, 41PS140, 41PS150, 41PS200, and 41PS1102) located in the Big Bend Ranch State Park, Presidio County – Jones

4. Consider approval of the proposed 6-year extension on Antiquities Permit #6247 for principal investigator Jorge Garcia-Herreros, Search for the Twin Sisters Cannons 41HR1105 (Item 6.5) – Jones

5. Reports – Division Reports/Presentations on recent and current permitted projects – Jones & Brummett

6. Adjournment

NOTICE OF ASSISTANCE AT PUBLIC MEETINGS: Persons with disabilities who plan to attend this meeting and who may need auxiliary aids or services such as interpreters for persons who are deaf or hearing impaired, readers, large print or Braille, are requested to contact Esther Brickley at (512) 463-5768 at least four (4) business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.
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1. AAB Call to Order

Commissioner Jim Bruseth opened the Antiquities Advisory Board (AAB) meeting on April 29, 2022, at 08:30. He welcomed everyone to the meeting and asked the AAB members to introduce themselves.

**Members Present**

Jim Bruseth  
Rick Lewis  
Laurie Limbacher  
Bob Ward  
Douglas Boyd  
Todd Ahlman  
Lilia García  
Nicki Hise  
Norman Alston  
Joaquín Rivaya-Martínez

**Members Absent**

Bruseth announced that all members were present. A quorum was established, and the meeting was opened.

Bruseth welcomed Nicki Hise and Joaquín Rivaya-Martínez, the newest AAB members.

2. Approval of AAB Minutes

Bruseth asked if changes or corrections were needed for the AAB #107 Minutes. He called for a motion.

Todd Ahlman moved.

Douglas Boyd seconded.
Bruseth called for the approval of the minutes and heard no objections. The motion carried unanimously.

3. Division Report

Bruseth called on Archeology Division (AD) director, Brad Jones to present his report.

Jones updated the AAB on the types of permits issued in the last quarter. He announced that permit issuance remained consistent, and intensive survey permits continued to be the most common type of permit issued.

Bruseth thanked Jones.

Bruseth called on Division of Architecture (DOA) director, Bess Graham. He announced that this would be Graham’s final AAB meeting and thanked her for her service. Bruseth called for a round of applause.

Graham presented DOA’s permit activities in the last quarter, issuing eleven permits and closing six. She shared that DOA did not have the same permit demand as AD. Graham announced that permits were issued for the Courthouse Preservation and Disaster Assistance programs along with other permits, which resulted in a 57% increase over the previous year.

Graham provided renovation photos from the Admiral Nimitz Historic Ballroom at the National Museum of the Pacific War in Fredericksburg, Texas. She shared that the museum was a state historic site and that she was glad to issue the permit for this project. Graham concluded her report.

Bruseth thanked Graham.

Bruseth announced that there would be a nine-minute break before convening with the Texas Historical Commission (THC).

Commissioner Nau convened the AAB with the THC.

4. Alamo Church and Long Barrack Masterplan Update

Bruseth called on Kate Rogers of the Alamo Trust, Inc. (ATI) and Patrick and Patrick Gallagher of Gallagher & Associates to present the Alamo and Long Barrack updates.

Rogers thanked the commission. She welcomed the AAB to San Antonio. She announced that her team was fully present and ready to answer questions.

Rogers presented all the components of the Alamo Masterplan. Her presentation moved the AAB through a conceptual journey from the Alamo Promenade to Plaza de Valero. She delineated the footprints of the future visitor center, museum, exhibit hall, education center, event center, and collections building.

Rogers presented the three guiding principles of Gallagher’s plan for the Alamo. The principles focused on preservation of historic buildings, a unified experience across the Alamo district, and
visitor comfort. Additionally, the update worked to eliminate vehicle traffic and focused on a pedestrian experience.

Rogers showcased current and future Alamo Complex photos. Details included native plant selections, drainage control options, Cenotaph plans, views from Crockett Street, the alteration of Plaza De Valero into a community space, and travels through the Paseo de Alamo.

Rogers explained that the design of the new visitor center was still in the early stages. She informed the AAB that the goal was to repurpose the Crockett Building. Gallagher’s team vowed to maintain the interior’s original stone walls. Rogers shared that most buildings within the Alamo Complex had served many purposes throughout the years, and that they would be modified as part of the masterplan. She emphasized the construction of the Crockett Building’s future rooftop event center and the rooftop’s spectacular views.

Rogers gave insight into the Crockett Building’s stone flooring in the lobby space. The proposed flooring provided visitors with interpretive views of the outline of the Alamo’s original West Wall.

Rogers informed the AAB on the installation of the Civil Rights era exhibit proposed for the Woolworth Building. She shared that the exhibit would be housed in the same space where San Antonio’s lunch counter protests occurred. Rogers concluded by stating that a 4D theater would be located on the second floor.

Rogers shared that Gallagher utilized the help of twenty historians to consult on the Alamo’s history. She announced that a digital application was being developed and that the goal was to ease the visitor’s educational experience.

Rogers introduced the timeline of the Alamo reconstruction. Members were informed that the construction would span into 2026 and that a master schedule was being created. Rogers hoped that the plan would help predict future historic preservation requests and minimize visitation interruption.

Rogers announced that the THC remained one of ATI’s most valuable partners and that they valued the THC’s support.

Bruseth thanked Rogers. He asked for questions and comments.

Commissioner Lilia Garcia suggested that history occurred in layers. She wanted further insight into the content that focused on the history after the Alamo Battle.

Rogers answered that eight galleries would occupy the museum space. She informed Garcia that the museum’s content would follow the Alamo’s chronological history.

Nau said that the Visitor Center was important because it captured all sides of the Alamo history and story.

Norman Alston apologized for his absence at the previous meeting. He questioned the construction plan and the claim that three buildings would be repurposed. Alston mentioned that he only saw two of the three buildings portrayed in the construction plans.
Rogers answered that the building in question was the Palace Theater. She informed the AAB that the building had sustained fire damage many years ago. The plan was to transform the old entrance of the theater into the entrance of the new Alamo Visitor Center. Rogers suggested that the facades of the historic buildings would remain the same, but that the theater space would be opened to serve as a viewpoint to the Alamo Complex.

Alston said that he noticed the façade of the building, but asked if any other remains of the building remained?

Rogers answered no.

Gallagher added that an architectural assessment had been completed for all three buildings. The architectural firm concluded that the entrance of the theater was not historically significant. He shared that the architectural firm suggested that the entrance could be replaced in the renovation process.

Commissioner Laurie Limbacher congratulated the group on their efforts and ideas. She asked for Rogers to elaborate on Alston’s question on the use of the Palace Theater.

Rogers explained that the project was in the early design stages. She stated that there would be future consultations with the THC. Rogers asked for further questions and feedback.

Limbacher congratulated the commitment of ATI and asked the group to provide the THC with future updates.

THC Executive Director Mark Wolfe shared that the Woolworth Building was a State Antiquities Landmark (SAL). He explained that the THC did not have permitting authority for other buildings on the site.

Commissioner David Gravelle asked if the design team would interpret the Alamo’s funeral pyre?

Rogers answered yes, depending on the research basis.

Gravelle asked if the visitor would be able to experience the importance of the Alamo’s walls.

Rogers responded that they would integrate as much of the original Alamo footprint as possible. She said that the outline of the walls would be delineated in the pavement. Rogers explained that ATI was working to bring back the original mission gate and the lunette. She hoped that the lunette would provide visitors with an understanding of the original Alamo fortification.

Nau believed that having a masterplan would make it easier for the public to comprehend and understand ATI’s future vision. He congratulated Rogers and Gallagher.

Rogers highlighted the importance that the Alamo’s digital application. She believed that the application would further cultivate a visitor’s experience.

Limbacher agreed that the digital application would help transport the visitor through time.
Bruseth asked for further comments, heard none, and thanked Rogers for her presentation.

Bruseth called on Jeff Kauffman and Pam Rosser. Both reported on future permit and amendment requests for the Alamo Church and Long Barrack.

Rosser introduced herself as the Alamo’s lead conservator.

Kauffman introduced himself as the Chief Deputy for Construction Services for the Texas General Land Office (GLO). He shared that he was a registered architect with seven years of Alamo experience. Kauffman explained that he started his Alamo journey with restoration work and then transitioned into accessibility. His current work focused on the conservation and restoration of the Alamo Church and Long Barrack.

Kauffman introduced various stages of the Alamo restoration project. He stated that the undertaking started in 2018 and continued into the present day. Stages included data collection, analysis of existing conditions, development of options, and the generation of potential recommendations. Kauffman shared that a draft report was delivered in August of 2020.

Rosser shared that she held thirty years of conservation experience. Her work with the Alamo dated back to 2000. She explained that her current work focused on non-destructive conservation and moisture monitoring. Rosser provided photographic documentation of her recent work. Highlights included views of the century old mudline and images of the walls inside of the Alamo Church and Long Barrack. The AAB was informed that experts were studying how voids in the walls impacted stability.

Rosser explained that eight archeological excavations were completed adjacent to the Long Barrack. She shared that the primary purpose of the work was to expose the foundation walls, document wall condition, and determine future repairs. Rosser provided the three major finds of the study. The AAB was informed that plaster, mortar, and a single musket ball from the Mission Era were discovered within the excavation units.

Kauffman shared that the first restoration task was to continue with the moisture monitoring study. The second task was the repair of the Alamo Church’s concrete roof. Kauffman explained that the repairs to the church’s above ground wall were still in the design phase. The rebuild of the Alamo’s North Wall addressed structural flaws and was slated to begin soon. Kauffman mentioned that the Alamo and Long Barrack’s rooftops were undergoing structural analysis.

Kauffman reported that the Long Barrack’s skirted surfaces were cleaned and that the above ground masonry wall repairs were scheduled next. He reported that the completed archeological excavations exposed the necessity for below ground wall repairs and that the structure had been reinforced. It was shared that the Alamo Church and Long Barrack would be renovated using a two-stage renovation plan. Kauffman noted that future permit requests would be focused on long term preservation and interpretation. He concluded by ensuring the THC that future permit request and amendments would follow.

Bruseth called for questions and comments.
Limbacher was curious about the musket ball that was found below the Alamo Battle era soil level. Rosser answered that the musket ball was from an earlier period.

Garcia asked about the voids within the walls. She asked if that was an initial construction method? Kauffman said that it was an intentional building method that was used during the Alamo’s construction era. He was surprised by the sizes and variations of the voids.

Limbacher said that mortar gave way to moisture and caused the formation of voids. Kauffman stated that they were waiting on the results of the lab analysis.

Rick Lewis asked about the tactic used to stabilize the voids. Kauffman answered that his team was working to find a solution. He said that the original plan was to fill all voids and that they needed to halt the potential for water and salts to go from wall to wall.

Doug Boyd said that he visited the Alamo and that he enjoyed the interpretation that was provided for the moisture monitoring. He asked about how the dry weather conditions in Texas impacted a moisture monitoring study? Boyd wanted to know if the monitors could be left in place.

Kauffman said that the monitors could be left in place. He noted that the monitors had experienced snow and heat.

Nau asked about the discussion of adding an additional level to the barracks. He wondered if this is what the survey was intended to study.

Kauffman answered that stage four of the plan dealt with future projects. He mentioned that the discussion would start after the moisture monitoring study was completed.

Nau thanked Kauffman.

5. Battleship Texas Update

Bruseth called on the Battleship Texas Foundation to present their update.

Michael Strutt the director of Texas Parks and Wildlife’s (TPWD) Cultural Resources program introduced the update. He explained that TPWD was the steward of the ship and that they partnered with the Battleship Texas Foundation. Strutt announced that both organizations contributed to repairs of the ship and that they wanted to present two permit applications to the AAB.

Strutt said that the first permit dealt with the coating of the ship and the second with the repairs of the haul. He explained that the restoration work would be complicated. Strutt assured the AAB that all work would meet the Secretary of the Interior’s standards for the preservation of historic vessels. He concluded and introduced Tony Gregory who serves as the chairman of the Battleship Texas Foundation.
Gregory introduced himself and his team. His presentation focused on permit requests and ship updates. He noted that his team worked to repair the ship using approved funds. Gregory informed the AAB that no shipyard in Texas had the ability to lift the ship. He explained that the foundation had secured a repair contract with Gulf Copper Shipyard in Galveston. Gulf Copper’s contract spanned over a ten-month period and focused on providing a dry dock. The dry dock was under repair and would be towed to Galveston to be used for the Battleship’s repairs. Gregory stated that the journey would begin in June of 2022.

Gregory stated that the foundation was working to secure a permanent home for the Battleship Texas. He indicated that Galveston, Baytown, and Beaumont expressed interest in harboring the ship. Gregory introduced Brad Currin.

Currin provided a general update. His current project focused on the management of the ship’s repairs, travel, and a new berth. Currin explained that the foundation’s goal was the long-term preservation of the ship. He noted that his team wanted to secure an SAL nomination and deliver the project within budget.

Currin explained that they utilized thousands of gallons of expanded foam, pumps, and water monitoring systems to increase the hull’s watertight integrity. Currin reviewed the permits and amendments for #1041 and #1042.

Currin spoke of the floating dry dock technique and provided insight into how the dock functioned. He mentioned that the dock served as a stabilizer and rehabilitation tool for the ship. Currin shared that the dry dock would be delivered from the Bahamas and be utilized for transport. He explained that the transport to the Bahamas would be one of the project’s biggest risks. The AAB was informed that numerous stakeholders were involved and that the Coast Guard provided consultation.

Bruseth asked for questions and comments.

Nau asked if the Coast Guard served as the primary authorization entity for the tow of the Battleship.

Currin explained that the Coast Guard served as a consultant. He said that they would deny the transport if it did not meet federal standards. However, they would not serve as the authorizing force for the departure of the ship. The AAB was informed that the Insurer’s Warranty Surveyor (MSW) provided documentation for ships that met all standards for departure. Currin assured the AAB that the documentation would be forwarded to the THC.

Bruseth thanked Currin for the clarification.

Currin indicated that the ship would not move if the group could not secure proper insurance.

Nau asked if TPWD had approved the process thus far.

Strutt confirmed that TPWD was comfortable with the plan.
Nau asked who provided oversight over the ship channel.

Currin said that the Coast Guard controlled the traffic.

Limbacher asked how the dry dock was transported from the Bahamas to Texas.

Currin clarified that the dock would be towed from the Bahamas to Texas.

Alston appreciated the presentation and the attention to detail.

Gregory said that the AAB would be invited to witness the towing of the ship out of the harbor.

Bruseth thanked the Battleship Texas Foundation for their presentation.

Bruseth read the motion for the #1042 permit amendment. He called on Graham to provide the details.

Graham clarified that the #1041 permit addressed repairs to the Battleship Texas. She indicated that the repairs focused on double plating damaged areas, adding stiffeners to the inner bottom of the ship, and rebuilding the blister tanks. Graham pointed out that repairs occurring four feet above the waterline would be visible.

Bruseth read the motion.

Alston moved.

Lewis seconded.

Bruseth called for further discussion, heard none, and the motion carried unanimously.

Bruseth read the motion for the #1042 permit amendment. He called on Graham to provide the details.

Graham stated that this was the fourth amendment to the permit. The current amendment included where the ship would be repaired, Coast Guard involvement, and where the ship would be dry docked. She shared that all items were addressed and that the current permit reflected the changes.

Bruseth read the motion.

Boyd moved.

Alston seconded.

Alston asked about what a dry tow of a ship entailed.

Currin explained that a dry tow ultimately lifted the hull out of the water, but that exposure to water remained a factor. He mentioned that his team originally didn’t foresee the amount of dredging required to move the ship out of Galveston Bay.
Alston thanked Currin.

Bruseth called for further discussion, heard none, and the motion carried unanimously.

6. Adjournment

Bruseth called for a motion to adjourn the AAB meeting.

Limbacher moved.

Todd Ahlman seconded.
## Permits Issued Report

### Active Permits Issued between 4/1/2022 and 6/30/2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permit</th>
<th>SAL</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Issued</th>
<th>Expires</th>
<th>Period Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1154</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
<td>129 S. Fulton Salon and Spa</td>
<td>4/4/2022</td>
<td>4/4/2024</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1155</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reconstruction</td>
<td>Reproduction Fresnel Lens Installation</td>
<td>4/8/2022</td>
<td>12/1/2022</td>
<td>7 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1137</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Fair Park Centennial Building Renovation</td>
<td>4/8/2022</td>
<td>5/1/2024</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1159</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>Verizon Wireless &quot;SA City Hall&quot;-Resubmittal for expired Permit #HS 1079 -colocation of wireless telecom equip to existing rooftop</td>
<td>4/18/2022</td>
<td>12/1/2022</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1152</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
<td>To upgrade the lighting in the building and HVAC control system</td>
<td>4/20/2022</td>
<td>3/31/2023</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1157</td>
<td></td>
<td>Restoration</td>
<td>Flood Damage to the District Courtroom</td>
<td>5/2/2022</td>
<td>9/30/2022</td>
<td>6 Months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1160</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Gonzales Memorial Museum-Underground Crawlspace</td>
<td>5/2/2022</td>
<td>12/31/2022</td>
<td>7 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1162</td>
<td></td>
<td>Demolition</td>
<td>Tree Removal</td>
<td>5/10/2022</td>
<td>11/30/2022</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1158</td>
<td></td>
<td>Preservation</td>
<td>Brick Repointing</td>
<td>5/10/2022</td>
<td>6/1/2023</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1161</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>Construction of new ADA Restroom Building (UT CP792897)</td>
<td>5/11/2022</td>
<td>6/1/2024</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1163</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Texas Tech University of Architecture-Fabrication Shop</td>
<td>5/12/2022</td>
<td>10/1/2022</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permit</td>
<td>SAL</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Project</td>
<td>Issued</td>
<td>Expires</td>
<td>Period Effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1153</td>
<td>10811</td>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Upgrade the Lighting in the Courthouse</td>
<td>5/12/2022</td>
<td>3/31/2023</td>
<td>1 Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1156</td>
<td>10811</td>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Fifth Floor Interior Renovation</td>
<td>5/17/2022</td>
<td>12/1/2023</td>
<td>1 year and 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1151</td>
<td>10811</td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>Transformer and Generator yard for Brazoria Justice Center</td>
<td>5/20/2022</td>
<td>5/1/2024</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1165</td>
<td>10811</td>
<td>Demolition</td>
<td>Brackenridge Park Eve's Necklace-10&quot; Removal</td>
<td>5/25/2022</td>
<td>11/30/2022</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1166</td>
<td>10811</td>
<td>Demolition</td>
<td>Brackenridge Park Pecan -29&quot; Tree Removal</td>
<td>5/25/2022</td>
<td>11/30/2022</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1167</td>
<td>10811</td>
<td>Demolition</td>
<td>Brackenridge Park Celtis Laevigata 21in Tree Removal</td>
<td>5/25/2022</td>
<td>11/30/2022</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1113</td>
<td>10811</td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>Build greenhouse in kitchen garden and extend flatwork of garden into adjacent level grounds area</td>
<td>6/20/2022</td>
<td>7/1/2023</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permit</td>
<td>SAL</td>
<td>Permit Type</td>
<td>Project</td>
<td>Report Approved</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1094</td>
<td>Jefferson County Courthouse</td>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Installation of audio/visual system in Commissioners Courtroom on the Fourth floor</td>
<td>4/19/2022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1140</td>
<td>Harris County Courthouse</td>
<td>Architectural</td>
<td>Geotechnical Test and Report. Plan to do four (4) borings of 4” - 6” diameter on the first floor of the courthouse.</td>
<td>4/19/2022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1122</td>
<td>The Alamo</td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>Installation of a palisade temporary exhibit</td>
<td>5/25/2022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1132</td>
<td>Donley County Courthouse</td>
<td>Restoration</td>
<td>Repair of water damage from leak (HVAC) Winter Storm Viola 2021</td>
<td>6/7/2022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1. Call to Order

Chairman Jim Bruseth opened the Archeology Committee (AC) meeting on April 22, 2022, at 10:00 A.M. Bruseth announced that the members would discuss and act on any of the items listed on the agenda. He welcomed everyone to the Archeology Committee meeting and called the roll.

**Members Present**
- James Bruseth
- Earl Broussard
- Pete Peterson
- Donna Bahorich
- Tom Perini

**Members Absent**

Bruseth announced that all members were present, and that the meeting was opened.

2. Approval of Minutes

Bruseth called for the approval of the AC minutes for February 1, 2022.

Pete Peterson moved.

Broussard seconded.

Bruseth heard no changes, called for the vote, and the motion passed unanimously.

3. Division Report

Bruseth called on Archeology Division (AD) director Brad Jones.

Jones presented the highlights of the last quarter. He started with the Marine Archeology update for Amy Borgens. He shared that Borgens was collaborating with the National Geographic for their
Drain the Oceans program. The episode on Texas focused on San Jacinto, the Alamo, and one of the shipwrecks that was discovered by the THC in 1995.

Jones shared that the Drain the Oceans episode focused on the wreck that Borgens wrote her master's thesis on while at Texas A&M. He explained that when the wreck was found in the 1990s it was originally believed it may be La Salle's vessel *l'Aimble*, or later, that it served as a gun runner during the Texas Revolution. Jones mentioned that the excavations produced an interesting assemblage of weapons of which Borgens was the subject matter expert. Jones showcased photos of the gun assemblage.

Jones revealed that recent research suggested that the shipwreck might have been associated with the privateer Aury’s 1817 encampments in Matagorda Bay. He pointed to the accounts of his ships being attacked by the Spanish and the Karankawa and several being lost. Either way, Jones shared that the ship fit into the early Texas revolution narrative.

Jones also thanked the THC’s Curation Facility for Artifact Research (CFAR) for hosting the film crew. He gave a special acknowledgement to the CFAR’s Jamie Ross.

Jones called on Rebecca Shelton the coordinator of the THC’s Texas Archeological Stewardship Network (TASN), currently made up of 140 volunteer members across the state.

Shelton provided TASN updates. The AC was informed that the program was 38 years old, that 6 new members were inducted, and that the Stewards remained active throughout their regions. She shared the recent publication of the TASN Newsletter. Jones said that the TASN Newsletter would soon be accessible online.

Jones provided his Certified Curatorial Facilities Program (CFCP) update. Jones said that the Southern Methodist University (SMU) was on the cusp of applying for the THC’s curatorial certification. He shared that SMU's facilities were recently updated and that their crew was working diligently to preserve their cultural resources.

Jones also noted that Texas A&M (TAMU) was interested in becoming a certified facility. He mentioned that TAMU maintained Held-In-Trust (HIT) collections and that they wanted to bring their program into compliance. Jones hoped that he would have a solid status update by the AC’s fall meeting.

Jones closed by mentioning the Galveston County Museum also recently showed interest in joining the program.

Jones reported on presentation of Awards of Merit by AD archeologist Bill Martin to the Fort Bend County Independent School District (ISD) staff, construction crews and archeological and historical contractors for their contributions to the successful identification, exhumation and reinterment of individuals from the Bullhead Convict Labor Camp Cemetery.

Jones called on Tiffany Osburn to present on the importance of collaboration with private landowners.
Osburn explained that collaboration with landowners was a major activity and benefit for Texas. She first highlighted an example from the Nueces River Valley (Real County) where a landowner utilized a grant offered by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) to maintain his traditional agricultural property. Osburn shared that part of the grant award called for the conservation of cultural and natural resources and she worked with the landowner to identify and record three new archeological sites. Osburn noted that archeological sites in the Nueces River Valley tended to be heavily looted, but these were not, and this was an important step to preserving and protecting site types that are quickly being lost.

Osburn introduced another recent landowner collaboration in Hays County. After the landowner reached out to the THC, she assisted him in recording a burned rock midden. She said that the landowner was touched by the fact that his land maintained archeological resources and he took it upon himself to study the Early Texans and then was motivated to visit a few of the THC’s Historic Sites. She explained that the THC’s collaboration work often resulted in these successful partnerships.

Osburn finally spoke of her work with a Texas Game Warden to develop a damage assessment for a looted private archeological site in Gillespie County. Her hope was that her collaboration with authorities and the landowner would result with felony charges for the looters. Osburn mentioned that the Gillespie County case would be helpful for future archeological looting prosecutions.

Jones thanked Osburn.

4. Battle of Medina Project

Jones introduced Brandon Seale.

Seale provided a background on his investigation. He said that he focused on early Texas history and specifically the Battle of Medina. Seale shared that the battle was the bloodiest battle to take place in Texas. He noted that the battle was an event that led to Texas independence. Seale provided a broad overview of what occurred on the battlefield in 1813. He explained that the expectation was to locate the core of the battle – where hundreds of musket balls and cannonballs were exchanged – and would presumably be still archeologically present.

Seale informed the AC that there were multiple locations that laid claim to being home to the battlefield site, but none of the existing site locations had to date yielded convincing archeological data. Seale discussed numerous challenges, contradictions, and clues that made it difficult to locate the exact location of the battlefield. He shared that his group approached the research with an analytical method and combined all available research into one master resource.

To try and find the battle location, Seale partnered with the Center for Archeological Research (CAR) and the American Veterans Archaeological Recovery (AVAR). All three teams are working together to help locate the Battle of Medina site. He explained that AVAR volunteers were trained to study and work on conflict sites. Seale shared that their budget was privately raised and mainly came from the public. He provided photos and details from the field work that started on February 1st, 2022.
Though limited, Seale explained that the February investigations were successful and a small follow up survey was scheduled for May 6th, 2022. The May survey was planned to follow Pleasanton Road in San Antonio. AVAR planned to take their team back into the field in October and that money was being raised to fund their research. His hope was to involve the greater San Antonio community and to learn more about the battle through community engagement.

Seale concluded his presentation with a video from AVAR. The video provided drone footage from the recent field work. He shared that part of AVAR’s mission was to strengthen the mental health of their members through studies and fieldwork engagement. Seale opened the floor for questions.

Earl Broussard wanted to know what part of the survey area yielded the highest number of artifacts.

Seale said that there were 3 different areas, and that one area had a high concentration of artifacts. He explained that they were not able to expand the research as they did not have access to the adjoining private property.

Broussard asked if a diverse set of combatants had fought on the site and if this changed how one found artifacts.

Seale answered that the battle included infantry and cavalry forces and that this altered the artifact assemblage.

Broussard asked which of the three recorded sites yielded the greatest number of artifacts.

Seale answered that the location identified by Bruce Moses’s investigations into the Battle of Medina yielded the best location for artifact remains. He provided a background on the deceased Moses and applauded his work.

Donna Bahorich asked how teams went about contacting private landowners for cultural resource investigations and if the THC provided guidance.

Seale answered they had to reach out individually that the THC published a Landowner Resource Guide explaining the laws, but people often changed their mind at the last minute when someone tells them incorrectly that they might lose their land.

Bahorich asked how the guide could be improved and stated that the guide should be very clear.

Seale stated that the guide was good but that it could be clearer.

Bahorich suggested connecting experienced landowners with those landowners who had questions about cultural resources surveys.

Jones and Bruseth thanked Seale.

6. Adjournment

Bruseth adjourned the meeting.
HIGHLIGHTS FROM THE DIRECTOR

During the past quarter, Archeology Division (AD) staff participated in several conferences around the state and the U.S. In early January, State Marine Archeologist Amy Borgens and AD Reviewer Emily Dylla attended the Society for Historical Archaeology (SHA) Conference in Philadelphia. Borgens attended as a new board member on the Advisory Council on Underwater Archaeology and as a presenter, while Dylla organized and chaired a symposium of papers examining the historical archeology of San Antonio. During the Real Places Conference, AD reviewer and Tribal Liaison Marie Archambeault organized a workshop centered on opening dialogues with federally recognized tribes with guests Holly Houghton of the Mescalero Apache Tribe and Martina Minthorn of the Comanche Nation. Though Minthorn was unable to attend in person due to weather, she sent a recorded message, and the workshop was deemed a success by all the participants.

As part of our ongoing efforts to strengthen relationships with our tribal partners in the federal review process, in mid-February several staff virtually attended both the National Association of Tribal Historic Preservation Officers and the U.S. Forest Service’s Bridging the Gap tribal consultation meeting. In late March, AD staff participated in the first in-person Council of Texas Archeologists meeting in Austin since the 2020 meeting went virtual. Finally, Brad Jones, Borgens, and Dylla all attended the Society for American Archaeology (SAA) Annual Conference in Chicago. Jones participated in the annual meeting of the National Association of State Archaeologists, Borgens presented on recent research in the Gulf of Mexico that was originally scheduled for the canceled 2020 meeting in Austin, and Dylla attended in preparation for her role as the arrangements chair for the 2023 meeting in Oregon. Getting back out on the conference circuit has been a wonderful change after too many virtual meetings and reminds us all of the importance of personal interactions in achieving our preservation goals. The following highlights other activities staff were involved in.

February 15–17—AD and Division of Architecture staff visited with City of Brownsville, National Park Service, and SpaceX staff to review ongoing projects. A short field visit was also taken to an eroding precontact burial identified in the Laguna Atascosa Wildlife Refuge (Dylla, Jones, Ashley Sahlie)

February 17—AD staff collected a sample from a dugout canoe found in the Rio Grande that was donated to the Museum of South Texas. This is the sixth sample for a larger study of canoes undertaken by Jones and Borgens meant to describe, radiocarbon date, and speciate the wood of canoes held in public and private collections.

February 17—During the opening of a new community exhibit, Bill Martin presented awards of merit to the researchers, school district, and contractors who identified and ultimately excavated the Sugarland 95 from an endangered cemetery for convict laborers in Fort Bend County.

March 14–19—Drew Sitters visited with groups in the El Paso region to assess projects at Fort Bliss and by the International Boundary and Work Commission. In addition, Sitters met with adjoining landowner to the THC’s Old Mission Socorro State Historic Site to discuss possible future collaboration on archeological deposits associated with the mission and Piro Pueblo.

MARINE ARCHEOLOGY PROGRAM (MAP)

Two significant agency semicentennial anniversaries occur this year: the creation of the MAP on Feb. 1, 1972, and the excavation of *San Esteban* and additional data recovery of *Espíritu Santo*, both of the 1554 Spanish Plate Fleet, from 1972 to 1975. The MAP was formed to lead the field investigations on these important shipwrecks, the oldest shipwreck archeological sites in the U.S. The investigations are believed to be the first such scientific study of their type conducted in U.S. waters and the first state-funded...
underwater archeological project. It was the salvage of Espíritu Santo in 1967 that lead to enactment of the Antiquities Code of Texas and created the Texas Antiquities Committee, now the THC. The MAP has social media and other content planned to recognize these anniversaries, including an article for The Medallion and Sea History magazines. THC blog posts and Facebook posts were published in February and March on the MAP anniversary and about Vida Lee Connor, who discovered the 1554 shipwrecks in 1964.

In January, Borgens presented at the SHA Annual Meeting in Philadelphia on an overview of the 50-year history of the MAP and its evolution; it was submitted as a paper for the conference’s underwater archeological proceedings published by the Advisory Council on Underwater Archaeology (ACUA). Borgens also co-chaired the annual Government Maritime Managers Forum and attended the ACUA Board Meeting. In late March, she presented a paper on a Texas coastal canoe at the SAA Annual Meeting in Chicago.

Also in March, the MAP, with assistance from Texas State University student intern Hunter Johnson, completed preparation of the artifact collection from the Pass Cavallo Shipwreck (41CL92) for curation at Tuscany Way. This early 19th-century shipwreck at the historic entrance to Matagorda Bay may represent the remains of a vessel from Louis-Michel Aury’s privateer fleet, though other shipwreck candidates are also under consideration. The Pass Cavallo Shipwreck is being featured for a segment of National Geographic’s Drain the Ocean series, which will also include the Alamo and San Jacinto Battlefield. Borgens and former THC MAP staff Layne Hedrick were interviewed for the Pass Cavallo Shipwreck segment. The MAP is in the planning stages for AD surveys of Aury’s Matagorda Bay camp and lost abandoned fleet, with the inaugural research meeting held in January. This project had been delayed by the pandemic, but should be field ready by late 2022 or early next year.

REVIEW AND COMPLIANCE ACTIVITIES

Under the auspices of the National Historic Preservation Act and the Antiquities Code of Texas, the state and federal review section staff of the AD reviewed approximately 2,634 proposed development projects from Jan. 1–March 31. Of those, about 84 archeological surveys were required to determine whether any significant cultural resources would be adversely affected, and approximately 5,074 acres were surveyed. About 85 historic and prehistoric sites were recorded, and of those, six were determined eligible for listing in the National Register and 64 were determined not eligible, with 15 of undetermined eligibility.

CURATORIAL FACILITIES CERTIFICATION PROGRAM (CFCP)

The THC’s CFCP ensures that state-associated archeological collections are properly curated. In the past quarter, staff from the Anthropology Department at Texas A&M University and the Galveston County Museum have indicated intent to undertake the CFCP certification process. AD staff Maximilian Hall and Bradford Jones will be working closely with both institutions to assess their potential for becoming certified. In addition, AD staff delivered the first shipment of artifacts from the Lake Gilmer archeological collection to the Stephen F. Austin University Archeology Laboratory for curation.

TEXAS ARCHEOLOGICAL STEWARDSHIP NETWORK (TASN)

The current membership of terrestrial and marine stewards is at 132, with 18 stewards up for renewal in 2022. We sent renewal request letters in February and received confirmation from 15 stewards. We received six outstanding nominations, which AD staff reviewed and provided recommendations to State Archeologist Jones. TASN Coordinator Becky Shelton and Jones met with the Advisory Committee on Feb. 24 to review the nominations. Invitation letters have been sent, and we will finalize these nominations next month. Our annual meeting and workshop will be held Saturday, May 14 at Washington-on-the-Brazos State Historic Site. The updated, reimagined steward newsletter is undergoing the final production edits and should be available very soon.

Last year, five stewards passed away, and we would like to recognize their contributions. They are: Bryan Boyd (Gregg County, 21 years); Sheldon Kindall (Harris County, 37 years); Russell Potocki (Harris County, Marine Steward, 20 years); J. Travis Roberts, Jr. (Brewster County, seven years); and Rolla Shaller (Randall County, 28 years). We were honored to have known them and to have worked with them so closely for many years. Their hard work and dedication to preservation of cultural resources and outreach to the residents of Texas will not be forgotten.
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This meeting of the THC Architecture Committee has been properly posted with the Secretary of State’s Office according to the provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Chapter 551, Texas Government Code. The members may discuss and/or take action on any of the items listed in the agenda.

1. Call to Order — Committee Chair Limbacher
   A. Committee member introductions
   B. Establish quorum
   C. Recognize and/or excuse absences

2. Consider approval of the Architecture Committee meeting minutes — Limbacher
   A. April 28, 2022
   B. June 30, 2022

3. Division of Architecture update and Committee discussion, including updates on staffing, federal and state architectural reviews, courthouse preservation, disaster assistance, trust fund grants, and historic preservation tax credit projects — Brummett (Item 9.1)

4. Consider filing authorization of intent to review and consider for re-adoption, revision or repeal, Chapter 13 (Texas Historic Tax Credit Program) of the TAC, Part 2 for publication in the Texas Register — Wright (Item 9.2)

5. Consider filing authorization of proposed amendments to sections 13.1, 13.2, 13.3, 13.6, and 13.7 of the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 13 related to the Texas Historic Preservation Tax Credit Program for first publication and public comment in the Texas Register — Wright (Item 9.3)

6. Consider approval of Texas Historic Courthouse Preservation Program Round XII grant awards — Brummett (Item 9.4)

7. Consider award of Round X master plan update grant to Kleberg County — Brummett (Item 9.5)

8. Discussion regarding development of Texas Historic Courthouse Preservation Program policy changes — Brummett

9. Adjournment — Limbacher

NOTICE OF ASSISTANCE AT PUBLIC MEETINGS: Persons with disabilities who plan to attend this meeting and who may need auxiliary aids or services such as interpreters for persons who are deaf or hearing impaired, readers, large print or Braille, are requested to contact Esther Brickley at (512) 463-5768 at least four (4) business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.
Committee members in attendance: Chair Laurie Limbacher, and Commissioners Tom Perini, Garrett Donnelly, Earl Broussard, Lilia Garcia, and David Gravelle

Committee members absent: Commissioner Monica Burdette

1. Call to Order
The meeting was called to order at 10:49 on April 28, 2022, by Committee Chair Laurie Limbacher. She announced the meeting had been posted to the Texas Register, was being held in conformance with the Texas Open Meetings Act, Texas Government Code, Chapter 551 and that the notice was properly posted with the Secretary of State’s Office as required.

A. Committee member introductions
Chair Limbacher welcomed everyone and called on each commissioner to individually state their name and the city in which they reside.

B. Establish quorum
Chair Limbacher reported a quorum was present and declared the meeting open.

C. Recognize and/or excuse absences
Commissioner Laurie Limbacher moved to approve the absence of Commissioner Monica Burdette. Commissioner Tom Perini seconded the motion which passed unanimously.

2. Consider approval of the February 1, 2022, Architecture Committee Minutes
Chair Limbacher called for a motion to approve the minutes of the February 1, 2022 meeting. Commissioner Donnelly motioned, and Commissioner Tom Perini seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

3. Division of Architecture Update and Committee Discussion
Division of Architecture Director Bess Graham provided a divisional review of programs and a staffing update. She highlighted the relocation of the Hill-Robberson House as a Registered Texas Historic Landmark (RTHL) project review.

Ms. Graham provided a Texas Preservation Trust Fund Program (TPTF) update. She informed the committee on the program’s applications received for the current grant round. She compared numbers of applications to previous years and discussed the next phase of the project, which will continue with 23 applicants. She informed the committee that the TPTF Advisory Board would meet in October 2022 to make a recommendation to the commission. Ms. Graham provided examples of applicants invited to the project proposal stage and included a brief overview of the scope of work and funding amount requested for each applicant. She noted applicants included Bolivar Lighthouse, McFarland House, Ruidosa Church, Daule School, Wesley Chapel, Old Rockdale City Hall, and Sacred Heart Church.
Lisa Hart, Disaster Assistance Program Coordinator, provided an update on the activities funded by Hurricanes Harvey, Irma, and Maria Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund (HIM ESHPF). Ms. Hart informed the committee that there was one project left on the alternate list, The Texas Freedom Colonies Project run by Dr. Andrea Roberts of Texas A&M University. Ms. Hart related that the project would focus on the counties affected by Hurricane Harvey by training students to lead workshops, demonstrations, and collect oral history interviews in rural historic black communities. According to Ms. Hart, the proposal requested $151,211 for work beginning in the summer of 2022, if approved by the commission.

Caroline Wright, Historic Tax Credit Program Coordinator gave an update on the program’s certified projects this quarter. Ms. Wright stated that 11 projects had been certified with qualified rehabilitation expenses of $169 million dollars. She also noted that Texas had the highest dollar amount of federal tax credit projects certified during fiscal year 2021. Ms. Wright highlighted several projects including the Old Dick Tracy Store, Riverside Baptist Church, and Barbara Jordan Post Office.

Susan Tietz, Courthouse Preservation Program Coordinator, advised the committee on activities of the Texas Historic Courthouse Preservation Program. Ms. Tietz presented the upcoming Round XII grant application and review deadlines. On June 30th, 2022. She noted that the Architecture committee would meet in Austin in June 2022 to review the grant applications and hear public comment. She also informed the committee that final funding scenarios would be presented at the July Quarterly Commission meeting for approval. Ms. Tietz updated the committee about the on-going reconstruction of the Mason County Courthouse. She also provided information concerning the Waller County Courthouse. She noted the THC received documents expressing the County’s intention to demolish the courthouse and explained that THC hoped to work with Judge Duhon, the Waller County Historical Commission, and the community to reconsider demolition. Ms. Tietz reported on the Fannin County Courthouse and its restoration. She noted the Courthouse was rededicated on March 10th, 2022 in Bonham. Ms. Tietz discussed activities of the Courthouse Stewardship Program and provided an overview on the 2022 Real Places Conference stewardship sessions.

4. Consider approval of the recapture of funds from and/or supplemental funding to previously awarded Kleberg County.

Ms. Tietz provided background on the Kleberg County Round X masterplan update grant. She explained that although the funding agreement was executed February 10, 2020, the county had remained unresponsive to THCPP staff and the county’s architecture firm. She related that a formal notice to recapture funds was sent to Kleberg County in December 2021 with a required response by January 2022, followed by staff efforts to meet with the County in-person, but all to no avail. Ms. Tietz recommended the recapture of the $49,500 allocated to the Round X masterplan update grant. Commissioner Garcia questioned if the communication barriers between Kleberg County and the THC were due to an outside circumstance. Ms. Graham and Ms. Tietz both conveyed that staff had done due diligence in attempting to work with Kleberg County. Commissioner Donnelly moved to send forward to the Commission and recommend approval to recapture funds from Kleberg County for the courthouse master plan update in the amount of $49,500. Commissioner Broussard seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

5. Adjournment

Chairman Chair Limbacher called the meeting to adjournment at 11:25 a.m.
MINUTES
ARCHITECTURE COMMITTEE
REJ Conference Center
1501 North Congress Avenue
Austin, TX 78701
June 30, 2022
8:30 a.m.

Note: For the full text of action items, please contact the Texas Historical Commission at P.O. Box 12276, Austin, TX 78711 or call 512-463-6100.

Committee Members in attendance: Commissioners Laurie Limbacher, Tom Perini, Lillia Garcia, David Gravelle, and Earl Broussard

Staff Members in attendance: Mark Wolfe, Elizabeth Brummett, Susan Tietz, Eva Osborne, Tania Salgado, Betsy Frederick-Rothwell, Donye Reese, and Veronica Granados

1. Call to Order
   The meeting was called to order at 8:46 am on June 30, 2022, by Committee Chair Laurie Limbacher. She announced the meeting had been posted to the Texas Register, was being held in conformance with the Texas Open Meetings Act, Texas Government Code, Chapter 551 and that the notice was properly posted with the Secretary of State’s Office as required.

A. Committee member introductions
   Chair Limbacher welcomed everyone and called on each commissioner to individually state their name and the city in which they reside.

B. Establish quorum
   Chair Limbacher reported a quorum was present and declared the meeting open.

C. Recognize and/or excuse absences
   Chair Limbacher moved to approve the absences of Commissioners Monica Burdette and Garrett Donnelly. This motion passed unanimously.

2. Public Comment
   Chair Limbacher invited members of the public to address the Committee concerning any matter within the authority of the Committee. Sixteen representatives spoke in support of their respective applications for the Texas Historic Courthouse Preservation Program Round XII Grant Cycle.

   1. Judge Mark Meyers, Lavaca County
   2. Judge Mike Bennett, Goliad County
   3. Judge J.D. Clark, Wise County
   4. Kristi Cline, Lavaca County
   5. Doug Kubicek, Lavaca County
   6. Judge Roger Harmon, Johnson County
Susan Tietz read written testimony submitted by Judge Stephanie Davis on behalf of Red River County.
Chair Limbacher thanked and briefly addressed those who provided testimony. Chair Limbacher moved to recess until 10:00 am.

3. Presentation of the Texas Historic Courthouse Preservation Program (THCPP) applications for the Round XII grant cycle
Ms. Tietz began her presentation of the THCPP applications by acknowledging Hunt County’s request during public testimony to withdraw their application. She advised that Hunt County was not ready to start a project but were required by rules to submit an application since they received a planning grant. Executive Director Mark Wolfe noted the committee could allow Hunt to withdraw their application. The committee agreed to honor the request by Hunt County to withdraw their application.

Chair Limbacher called on Courthouse staff to introduce themselves. Ms. Tietz advised the scoring committee consisted of Courthouse staff, Division of Architecture Director Elizabeth Brummett, and THC Historic Sites staff member, Glenn Reed.

Ms. Tietz provided a brief overview of the THCPP program and highlighted that the program had awarded over $320 million in grants, accomplished 73 full restoration projects, and awarded counties a variety of grants. Ms. Tietz noted the 87th Legislature appropriated $25 million for Round XII and, due to supplemental grant awards and recaptures at the October 2021 and January 2022 Quarterly Meetings, the grant funds balance was $22,856,476. She noted that 18 applications were received and advised that the applications received were requesting just over $50 million for projects totaling over $85 million. Ms. Tietz advised that nine non-emergency construction grant applications were received, with eight of those projects proposing to accomplish full restorations and one to accomplish a smaller scale project to complete a full restoration. She noted the average cash match was 46%, with three counties contributing over 50% to their total project costs. Ms. Tietz advised that two planning grant applications were received. She then provided an overview of the applications including proposed work.

4. Discussion and possible action on proposed THCPP Round XII Grant Funding Scenarios
Ms. Tietz presented background on the thought process behind the funding scenarios. She pointed out that the 2018 Courthouse Advisory Committee asserted that the primary role of the THCPP was to accomplish fully restored courthouses, while also recognizing that, at times, these buildings would experience significant endangerment and that emergency grants were necessary to preserve the most severely endangered buildings. Ms. Tietz continued, noting that planning grants were important because they created “shovel ready” projects, which gave a line of projects that were ready to go to construction. She advised there were currently 11 “shovel ready” projects waiting to be awarded full restoration grants, which should be kept in mind when considering awarding additional planning grants. Ms. Tietz noted that at minimum two planning grants were typically awarded each round. She reminded the committee that Comanche County and Chambers County were the only two applicants for Round XII. She noted that 10% of the appropriation was typically held when creating funding scenarios. However, in more recent rounds, 5% had been withheld...
due to lower appropriations and increases in project costs. Discussion was held among the committee members, Executive Director Wolfe, and Ms. Tietz regarding the project management and oversight of contractors at risk for counties that choose that option. Ms. Tietz explained that supplemental awards were made using the 5-10% of the appropriation withheld.

Ms. Tietz explained each of the three scenarios in detail, noting that several counties tied with each other in scoring. Executive Director Wolfe noted there had been ongoing concerns regarding the scoring criteria and advised that the Courthouse Advisory committee addressed some of these concerns in 2018. Ms. Tietz continued explaining the funding scenarios and the committee discussed the options of each one. Scenario 3 was discussed in detail. Chair Limbacher moved to send forward to the commission and recommend approval of the Texas Historic Courthouse Preservation Program Round XII grant awards to fund the full restoration of Wise, Hall, Kimble, and Upshur counties and a planning grant for Comanche County, leaving a reserve of a little over $300,000. This motion passed unanimously.

5. Adjournment
Chair Limbacher adjourned the meeting at 12:42 pm.
FEDERAL AND STATE REVIEW
During this quarter, Division of Architecture (DOA) staff completed 152 reviews under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, issued 17 permits for State Antiquities Landmark properties, reviewed 101 Recorded Texas Historic Landmark properties, and provided oversight and guidance to 12 active Texas Preservation Trust Fund architecture grant projects.

State Antiquities Landmark
Staff approved proposed foundation repair work to the Gonzales Memorial Museum. The work includes rehabilitation of the north and south limestone planter walls, stabilization of the museum’s terrazzo floors and concrete slab, and installation of new systems to pump water away from the building and provide adequate ventilation in the crawlspace area. The purpose of the work is to repair previous water damage and prevent future water infiltration from causing further deterioration of this significant building, which is associated with the 1936 Texas Centennial.

Recorded Texas Historic Landmarks
Staff recently consulted with the City of Denton and architecture firm Architexas on a second proposed phase of rehabilitation and restoration for the 1927 Denton City Hall, which was designated a Recorded Texas Historic Landmark in 2015. The proposed exterior scope of work notably includes demolition of the north elevator addition to accommodate restoration of the north façade based on historic photo-documentation. Additional areas of work include replacement of non-historic window assemblies with metal clad divided-light wood sash window and transom assemblies; replacement of a non-original door on the west elevation with a partially glazed, metal-clad wood door; reconstruction of the cast stone window panels and water table; and restoration of the clock tower cast stone balustrade. The project meets the Secretary of Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation and represents the next steps towards comprehensive preservation of this significant historic public resource in the City of Denton.

National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106
In April, staff arranged tours of Camp Bullis, Fort Sam Houston, Lackland Air Force Base, and Randolph Air Force Base, together known as Joint Base San Antonio (JBSA). Due to JBSA’s unique Section 106 challenges and the designation of large portions of Randolph Air Force Base and Fort Sam Houston as National Historic Landmark districts, Executive Director Mark Wolfe accompanied staff along with members of the National Park Service (NPS) Intermountain Regional Office. The visit allowed THC and NPS representatives to further orient themselves to the sites’ historic resources and meet with JBSA cultural resources management staff. Ultimately, the gathering of partner agencies was constructive, facilitating ongoing efforts to develop a new programmatic agreement to coordinate Section 106 consultation more effectively.

Texas Preservation Trust Fund
THC accepted 29 Texas Preservation Trust Fund (TPTF) grant applications on February 7 for the FY 2023 grant round. On April 7, staff invited 23 applicants to proceed to the project proposal stage. Those applicants are currently preparing detailed proposals and budgets to be submitted by July 13. The TPTF Advisory Board is scheduled to meet on September 20 to consider the project proposals and formulate a funding recommendation for the commission to consider at the October quarterly meeting.

Hurricane Harvey Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund (HIM ESHPF)
The Freedom Colonies Project, final project on the approved HIM ESHPF alternate project list, was approved for funding by the commissioners at the April meeting. The commission also approved a policy to redistribute any funds that are not used by the subgrant recipients. A new preservation easement was filed during this quarter, and a new subgrant agreement was signed, along with two subgrant agreement amendments to extend the duration of the respective projects.

PRESERVATION TAX CREDITS
During this quarter, the Texas Historic Preservation Tax Credit (THPTC) program received 14 Part A, 12 Part B, 16 Part B amendment, and 10 Part C applications. Since the date of the last quarterly narrative, Certificates of Eligibility were issued for 12 completed projects in Austin, Bastrop, Dallas, El Paso, Ennis, Fort Worth,
Galveston, Houston, Mineral Wells, Pasadena, and San Antonio. (See highlights for newly certified projects.) Qualified expenses for these projects total over $91 million. A total of 346 projects have now been certified since the beginning of the program in 2015, with $3 billion in qualified expenses.

The Federal Rehabilitation Tax Credit program received 14 Part 1, 7 Part 2, 20 Part 2 amendment, one Part 3, and two Part 3 amendment applications. Four projects were certified by the NPS this quarter.

Tax credit staff made site visits to potential projects in Austin and San Antonio.

COURTHOUSE PRESERVATION PROGRAM

Construction Projects

Selective demolition and abatement are complete at the Callahan County Courthouse in Baird. Removal of brick masonry at lintels is in progress at the Duval County Courthouse in San Diego. Selective removal and replacement of damaged brick on exterior walls with exception of the entry stairs is nearly complete. Most of the window sashes scheduled to be replaced have been removed. Removal of cracked plaster at stairwells is ongoing. Emergency foundation work on the Lee County Courthouse is underway. The basement floor slab has been removed, and drilling and pouring of new structural concrete piers has begun. A continuous concrete structural beam has been poured on top of the parapet of the Mason County Courthouse in Mason. The beam will receive the new roof structure for reconstruction of the roof following the devastating fire in 2021. Bids were advertised for the full restoration of the Polk County Courthouse in Livingston with a pre-bid meeting held on site on June 7. Bids are due by July 8. A construction contract has been signed for the full restoration of the Taylor County Courthouse in Abilene, and construction will begin later this summer.

Non-Grant Funded Projects
The 1955 Waller County Courthouse, located in Hempstead and designed by Herbert Voelcker of renowned courthouse design team Voelcker and Dixon, is still threatened with demolition. The county judge has not agreed to requests for the program coordinator and project reviewer to make a presentation to the commissioners’ court about the grant program and alternative options to demolition. Since notification of the proposed demolition was made on February 8, the county could begin demolition as soon as August 8, and comply with the Courthouse Law (Texas Government Code § 442.008). A large addition and interior alterations are proposed to the 1940 Brazoria County Courthouse in Angleton, designed by famed Texas courthouse architect Eugene Heiner. The building’s only protection is the Courthouse Law, and the project reviewer has been working closely with the county’s architect to minimize impact to the historic courthouse.

The proposed demolition of the 1954 Hidalgo County Courthouse has been halted, and the county plans to repurpose the building to support the new county courthouse constructed on the same site.

Stewardship
The courthouse program specialist and two reviewers held a successful day-long stewardship workshop in Karnes City at the Karnes County Courthouse. Two outside professionals presented training sessions to 37 attendees representing 14 counties, while staff educated the audience about the courthouse grant and stewardship program. The group assessed the Karnes County Courthouse for maintenance issues and discussed how to address them, as well as how to apply the THC’s 2019 Courthouse Maintenance Handbook in the field.

STAFF UPDATES
Bess Graham retired from the THC on June 3, after more than three years as DOA’s division director.

Elizabeth Brummett has returned to the THC as the division director. She was the program coordinator for Federal and State Review for over a decade before becoming the manager of the City of Austin’s Historic Preservation Office two years ago. Brummett was previously the East Texas reviewer in DOA, playing a key role in the recovery efforts following Hurricane Ike in 2008–09. She studied architecture and art history at Rice University and holds a master’s degree in historic preservation from the University of Texas at Austin. She was born and raised in Abilene.

Lydia Woods-Boone, program coordinator for Federal and State Review, has resigned and moved to Denver, Colorado, to be closer to family. She will work for the City of Denver’s Historic Preservation Office.

Alex Toprac has been promoted as the new coordinator for the Federal and State Review program. He has worked as the North Texas reviewer since 2016, except for one year in the Texas Department of Transportation’s Environmental Affairs Division. Before joining the THC, he served as a research associate and adjunct professor at Morgan State University. Toprac has a bachelor’s degree from Goucher College and a master’s degree in historic preservation from the University of Maryland. He is a native Texan and second-generation Austinite.
### Round 9 Construction Status Report

**Count:** 7  
**Total Funds Awarded:** $21,057,539.00  
**Funds Remaining:** $967,048.00

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County &amp; Round</th>
<th>Pre-Construction</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Post-Construction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reviewer</strong></td>
<td><strong>Grant Award &amp; Balance</strong></td>
<td><strong>Architect</strong></td>
<td><strong>Contractor</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameron 9 Emergency Construction</td>
<td>Tamia Salgado</td>
<td>$452,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fannin 9 Full Restoration</td>
<td>James Malanaphy</td>
<td>$5,632,900.00</td>
<td>$801,301.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fannin 9 Full Restoration</td>
<td>Tamia Salgado</td>
<td>$4,963,399.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karnes 9 Full Restoration</td>
<td>Tania Salgado</td>
<td>$493,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kleberg 9 Emergency Construction</td>
<td>Eve Osborne</td>
<td>$5,149,705.00</td>
<td>$801,301.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kleberg 9 Full Restoration</td>
<td>Eve Osborne</td>
<td>$4,911,105.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willacy 9 Emergency Construction</td>
<td>Tania Salgado</td>
<td>$492,375.00</td>
<td>$265,747.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The restoration is nearly complete. While the courthouse has been rededicated, the project has been delayed by the installation of flooring, mill work and cast iron stairs.

A temporary dehumidification system will be installed due to supply chain issues with the permanent system.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County &amp; Round</th>
<th>Pre-Construction</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Post-Construction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reviewer</td>
<td>Grant Award &amp; Balance</td>
<td>Ack Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp 10e Emergency Construction Parks 10</td>
<td>James Malanaphy</td>
<td>$417,576.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guadalupe 10 Emergency Construction 10</td>
<td>Susan Tietz</td>
<td>$3,832,430.00</td>
<td>$583,243.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medina 10 Emergency Construction 10</td>
<td>Tania Salgado</td>
<td>$205,995.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone 10 Emergency Construction 10</td>
<td>James Malanaphy</td>
<td>$438,854.00</td>
<td>$88,422.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipscomb 10 Full Restoration 10</td>
<td>Eva Osborne</td>
<td>$5,050,000.00</td>
<td>$954,049.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mason 10 Full Restoration 10</td>
<td>James Malanaphy</td>
<td>$4,682,610.00</td>
<td>$204,020.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menard 10 Full Restoration 10</td>
<td>Eva Osborne</td>
<td>$1,382,388.16</td>
<td>$107,764.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milam 10 Emergency Construction 10</td>
<td>Susan Tietz</td>
<td>$60,012.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$23,665,090.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Round 10p Planning Status Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Round</th>
<th>Reviewer</th>
<th>Grant Award</th>
<th>Remaining</th>
<th>Agreement</th>
<th>Easement</th>
<th>Contract</th>
<th>Schematic</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>65%</th>
<th>95%</th>
<th>Architect</th>
<th>Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Callahan</td>
<td>10p</td>
<td>Eva Osborne</td>
<td>$450000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Komatsu Architecture</td>
<td>Rick Sacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>10p</td>
<td>Susan Tietz</td>
<td>$450000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Komatsu Architecture</td>
<td>John Herr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Zandt</td>
<td>10p</td>
<td>James Malanaphy</td>
<td>$302552.00</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Barham &amp; Associates</td>
<td>Michael Barham</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Count:** 4
- **Total Funds Awarded:** $1,202,552.00
- **Funds Remaining:** $1.00
## Round 10 Master Plan Update Grants Status Report

### County | Round | Reviewer | Grant Award | Remaining | Agreement | Contract | Schematic | Design | 65% | 95% | Architect | Contact | Notes
---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---
**Banmier** | 10MP | Tania Salgado | $50,000.00 | $50,000.00 | | | | | | | ArchiTexas Austin | Stan Graves | Awaiting reimbursement request
**Bell** | 10MP | James Malanaphy | $22,500.00 | | | | | | | | EIKON Consulting | Michael Tubiolo | Complete
**Bianco** | 10MP | Betsy Frederick-Rothwell | $50,000.00 | | | | | | | | Hutson Gallagher | Chris Hutson | Complete
**Burnet** | 10MP | Susan Tietz | $44,900.00 | | | 2,236.00 | | | | | ArchiTexas Austin | Larry Irsk | Awaiting reimbursement request
**Chambers** | 10MP | Greta Wilhelm | $50,000.00 | | | | | | | | ArchiTexas Dallas | Jay Firsching | Complete
**Clay** | 10MP | Eva Osborne | $50,000.00 | | | | | | | | Harper Perkins | Charles F. Harper | Complete
**Coleman** | 10MP | Eva Osborne | $50,000.00 | | | | | | | | ArchiTexas Austin | Larry Irsk | Complete
**Collin** | 10MP | James Malanaphy | $44,000.00 | | | | | | | | ArchiTexas Dallas | David Chase | Complete
**Duval** | 10MP | Tania Salgado | $50,000.00 | | | | | | | | ArchiTexas Austin | Stan Graves | Complete
**Frio** | 10MP | Tania Salgado | $50,000.00 | | | | | | | | ArchiTexas Austin | Stan Graves | Complete
**Grayson** | 10MP | James Malanaphy | $50,000.00 | | | | | | | | ArchiTexas Dallas | David Chase | Complete
**Hall** | 10MP | Eva Osborne | $46,655.00 | | | | | | | | Arthur Weinman | Arthur Weinman | Complete
**Hutchinson** | 10MP | Eva Osborne | $50,000.00 | | | | | | | | ArchiTexas Austin | David Chase | Complete
**Jefferson** | 10MP | Susan Tietz | $50,000.00 | | | | | | | | ArchiTexas Austin | Stan Graves | Complete
**Kimble** | 10MP | Tania Salgado | $44,625.00 | | | | | | | | ArchiTexas Austin | Stan Graves | Complete
**Kleberg** | 10MP | Tania Salgado | $49,500.00 | | | | | | | | ArchiTexas Austin | Stan Graves | Complete
**Limestone** | 10MP | James Malanaphy | $43,000.00 | | | | | | | | Komatsu Architecture | Charlie Kearns | Recaptured
**Mason** | 10MP | Brit Barr | $49,900.00 | | | | | | | | ArchiTexas Austin | Stan Graves | Complete
**McLennan** | 10MP | James Malanaphy | $44,900.00 | | | | | | | | ArchiTexas Dallas | David Chase | Complete
**Randall** | 10MP | Eva Osborne | $50,000.00 | $50,000.00 | | | | | | | Hutson Gallagher | Tracy Hutson | Extension Granted by THC
**Robertson** | 10MP | Betsy Frederick-Rothwell | $40,000.00 | | | | | | | | ArchiTexas Dallas | Jay Firsching | Complete
**Taylor** | 10MP | Eva Osborne | $20,000.00 | | | | | | | | ArchiTexas Austin | Stan Graves | Complete
**Upshur** | 10MP | James Malanaphy | $44,000.00 | | | | | | | | Komatsu Architecture | Gordon Marchant | Complete
**Willacy** | 10MP | Tania Salgado | $50,000.00 | | | | | | | | Limbacher & Godfrey | Laurie Limbacher | Complete
**Wise** | 10MP | James Malanaphy | $50,000.00 | | | | | | | | Komatsu Architecture | Gordon Marchant | Complete

### Summary

- **Count:** 25
- **Total Funds Awarded:** $1,143,980.00
- **Funds Remaining:** $145,236.00
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County &amp; Round</th>
<th>Reviewer</th>
<th>Grant Award &amp; Balance</th>
<th>Funding Agreement</th>
<th>Easement</th>
<th>Architect &amp; Contract</th>
<th>Construction Docs</th>
<th>Permit</th>
<th>Bid Period Start</th>
<th>End Period</th>
<th>Const Contract</th>
<th>Sub Contract</th>
<th>NTP Construction</th>
<th>Construct Start</th>
<th>Work in Progress</th>
<th>Completion</th>
<th>Substantial Completion</th>
<th>Project Completion</th>
<th>Rededication</th>
<th>Architect</th>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Status Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Callahan</td>
<td>Eva Osborne</td>
<td>$4,684,891.00</td>
<td>$4,684,891.00</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>6/1/2021</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/1/2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duval</td>
<td>Eva Osborne</td>
<td>$1,302,763.76</td>
<td>$1,302,763.76</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>6/1/2021</td>
<td></td>
<td>1/18/2022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee</td>
<td>Betsy Frederick-Rothwell</td>
<td>$1,970,149.00</td>
<td>$1,970,149.00</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>7/15/2021</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/3/2022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>Betsy Frederick-Rothwell</td>
<td>$4,699,369.00</td>
<td>$4,699,369.00</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>12/1/2023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor</td>
<td>Eva Osborne</td>
<td>$5,980,000.00</td>
<td>$5,980,000.00</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1/5/2021</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/22/2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Design Details:**
- Excavation at the interior continues for the new elevator pit and grand staircase to the basement level.
- Excavation under the Lee County Courthouse as part of their foundation repair has begun.
- Design complete. Structural repairs underway and the continuous concrete beam has been poured to accept the new steel roof structure.
- Planning documents to address site and roof drainage, stop rising damp, and repairs to water damaged finishes are underway.
- Notice to proceed to bid was issued 5/2022 and Construction bids for the full restoration project are due in early July.
## Round 11 Planning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Round</th>
<th>Reviewer</th>
<th>Grant Award</th>
<th>Remaining</th>
<th>Agreement</th>
<th>Easement</th>
<th>Contract</th>
<th>Schematic</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>65%</th>
<th>95%</th>
<th>Architect</th>
<th>Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kimble</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Tania Salgado</td>
<td>$378,489.00</td>
<td>$378,489.00</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>Hutzon Gallagher</td>
<td>Chris Hutzon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Betsy Frederick-Rathwell</td>
<td>$713,130.00</td>
<td>$713,130.00</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>Architexas</td>
<td>Susan Frocheur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilacy</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Tania Salgado</td>
<td>$803,359.00</td>
<td>$803,359.00</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>Limbacher &amp; Godfrey</td>
<td>Laurie Limbacher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wise</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>James Malanaphy</td>
<td>$787,753.00</td>
<td>$7,948.00</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>Komatsu Architecture</td>
<td>Karl Komatsu</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Count:** 21

**Total Funds Awarded:** $2,682,731.00

**Funds Remaining:** $1,902,926.00
Texas Theatre 1931
Dallas • Dallas County • Texas

History
Located in the Oak Cliff neighborhood of Dallas, the Texas Theatre was designed by architect Scott Dunne and contained a single screen theatre that was described by the architect as providing an “atmospheric” experience for patrons. In order to fit into the urban environment, the theatre was oriented in an L-shaped plot of land, with the street front section housing the lobby and vertical circulation areas. At its peak, the theatre could seat 2,000 patrons in the ground floor and balcony. In 1963, the Texas Theatre gained further notoriety as being the location of Lee Harvey Oswald’s arrest after the assassination of President Kennedy. In 1989, it closed and changed ownership. In 1995, the theatre suffered fire damage and remained dormant until the current ownership acquired it in 2010.

Rehabilitation Project
As part of the rehabilitation, the theatre saw a complete refresh of its interior finishes and structure. Most notably, a second screen was installed that occupies most of the balcony to allow for more intimate events to be held. A new elevator was installed to aid in accessibility to reach the balcony. Roof repairs were addressed after decades of neglect, though it was determined that an entirely new roof was not needed. Finally, some back of house spaces were reconfigured to create better office spaces for the current owner. This rehabilitation work has allowed the Texas Theatre to be put back to use with more potential event space than when it was first built.

DESIGNATION: Individually listed in National Register of Historic Places
HISTORIC USE: Theatre
CURRENT USE: Theatre
CERTIFIED: March 31, 2022
CONTACT: 231 W. Jefferson Blvd, LLC; MacRostie Historic Advisors, LLC
Also certified for federal tax credits.
For more info www.thc.texas.gov/taxcreditprogram
Ennis Business Forms Building 1952
Ennis • Ellis County • Texas

History
The Ennis Business Forms Building was originally constructed to house offices for the Texas Fire Insurance Company and various retail and commercial tenants. In 1960 the building was purchased by Ennis Business Forms for use as its headquarters. Ennis Business Forms was, for many years, the second-largest employer in Ennis, after the rail line. Ennis Business Forms was formed in 1909 as Ennis Tags and had warehouses across the southern half of the United States. The company went public in 1959 and changed its name, which was reflected in the large-scale signage placed on the roof of their new building. Ennis Business Forms operated out of this building until 2000, though the company still exists.

Rehabilitation Project
The building was purchased by a local developer for use as offices. Initially, the developer thought that the building would not be suitable for tax credits given some of the alterations, but staff and the project design team were able to determine that the development could meet the developer’s needs while still applying for tax credits. Work included restoration of missing storefronts and replacement of aluminum windows that were in poor condition. The main lobby was restored and upper floors were finished out for tenant use. The main tenant is the City of Ennis who moved their city hall into the building, creating a council dais in some of the former retail spaces.

DESIGNATION: Listed in National Register as part of the Ennis Commercial Historic District
HISTORIC USE: Offices, retail
CURRENT USE: Offices leased to City Hall and private tenants
CERTIFIED: April 26, 2022
CONTACT: Ennis School Central Properties; Ibanez-Shaw Architects; L2L Dev Advisors LLC; RJM Contractors Inc; Michael Barnards
Certified for state tax credits only.

For more info
www.thc.texas.gov/taxcreditprogram
926 Main Bastrop Building 1905
Bastrop • Bastrop County • Texas

History
The commercial property located at 926 Main Street in Bastrop is an unassuming building but contributes to the downtown of this Main Street city. Historically it housed various businesses, and the interior floorplan was altered numerous times, such as into a front-and-back-office space that was in place when the most recent rehabilitation began. Several of the previous interventions were not considerate of the historic material, such as the plaster walls and beadboard ceilings. Though located between two similar main street buildings, the large store windows with transoms above bring in ample natural light to the space.

Rehabilitation Project
In order to place the building back into service, some structural work was needed, as well as extensive refresh to the interior finishes and mechanical systems. On the exterior, repointing and painting work was undertaken to ensure that the materials were in good shape and greatly reduced the possibility of any structural failure. Fortunately, the roof was in decent condition and water leakage was not present, and this allowed for the beadboard ceilings to be retained and scraped of old paint. New mechanical was hidden in walls to serve the new retail spaces while remaining unseen. Finally, plaster was placed back on the walls to protect the brick beneath it.

DESIGNATION: Listed as contributing to the Bastrop Commercial District in the National Register of Historic Places

HISTORIC USE: Commercial
CURRENT USE: Commercial
CERTIFIED: April 25, 2022
CONTACT: Historic Contractors, LLC
Also certified for federal tax credits.

For more info
www.thc.texas.gov/taxcreditprogram
Cameron Iron Works 1920  
Houston • Harris County • Texas

**History**
Two conjoined warehouse spaces, along with a former office space, make up the Cameron Iron Works complex, though it was built up over time. The office space and northern half of the warehouse were constructed in 1920, with the south warehouse added fifteen years later to house the tenant, a manufacturer of oil and gas equipment. The company had expanded quickly in the early decades of the 20th century, suffered a downturn during the Great Depression, then used the building as manufacturing during World War II. After the war, the company moved their headquarters to a new location, leaving the Cameron Iron Works warehouses vacant.

**Rehabilitation Project**
As a former warehouse, the building features several large volumes that were open to a creative rehabilitation. Due to its industrial character, spiral mechanical vents were deemed to be appropriate in character in order to provide air conditioning, and they are held tightly to the ceiling so as not to be noticeable. Several office tenants occupy the two side wings of the south warehouse, allowing for some privacy while still opening onto the larger, two-story space. This space features several small offices housed in former storage containers, as well as amenities such as a coffee shop and retail spaces. New restrooms and back of house spaces were added to meet modern needs while still embracing the past use of the building.

**DESIGNATION:** Individually listed in the National Register of Historic Places  
**HISTORIC USE:** Industrial  
**CURRENT USE:** Retail and commercial  
**TOTAL COST:** $9,404,255  
**QUALIFIED EXPENSES:** $5,073,116  
**CERTIFIED:** June 1, 2022  
**CONTACT:** 711 Milby LTD, MacRostie Historic Advisors, LLC  
Also applying for federal tax credits.

For more info  
[www.thc.texas.gov/taxcreditprogram](http://www.thc.texas.gov/taxcreditprogram)
Crazy Water Hotel 1927
Mineral Wells • Palo Pinto County • Texas

History
The first mineral well was struck by an 1870s settler in what is now downtown Mineral Wells. Members of this early family were aided in their rheumatism. As additional wells were drilled, the community quickly grew into an early-twentieth century health resort. An early visitor to the community saw the ‘cure’ of her nervous breakdown in the water, leading one of the main wells to become known as the Crazy Well. The water is known to have a significant amount of lithium. During the early 1900s, Mineral Wells had over 80 hotels, including the large Crazy Water Hotel and later, the Baker Hotel. These attracted tourists in large numbers until the city generally declined in the mid-twentieth century.

Rehabilitation Project
The Crazy Water operated as a hotel until 1965, when it was converted for use as a retirement home, and even temporary hospital. The home functioned into the 1990s. When purchases by its current owner, the hotel was in fair condition. Some elements like the rooftop ballroom were heavily deteriorated, though the pavilion with a water bar, retail storefronts, and terrazzo shuffleboard courts were in good condition. The ground of the floor has been largely restored, with new lease tenants. Upper floor rooms have been converted to apartments, and the rooftop ballroom was rebuilt using the original plans.

DESIGNATION: Listed in National Register as part of Mineral Wells Central Historic District
HISTORIC USE: Hotel, retail tenants, restaurant, banquet hall
CURRENT USE: Hotel, apartments, retail tenants, restaurant, banquet hall
TOTAL COST: $22,500,000
QUALIFIED EXPENSES: $20,800,000
CERTIFIED: April 11, 2022
CONTACT: Crazy Water Hotel PBC, Inc.; Hopkins Architects; Tincher Custom Homes - GC
Also certified for federal tax credits.
For more info www.thc.texas.gov/taxcreditprogram
Fort Worth Stockyards Post Office  ca. 1930
Fort Worth • Tarrant County • Texas

History
The Stockyards in Fort Worth were established as a privately funded facility in the 1890s to support the growth of Fort Worth and its industries. The Stockyards were originally a shipping facility formed by the confluence of major rail lines and the Chisolm and other driving trails. The yards continued to grow as the cattle industry in Texas grew, and as packing houses were added to the facilities. Animal sales were subsequently added and the Fort Worth Stockyards provided needed animals for World War I. A commercial sector grew around the yards, as well. The first post office was within a large office building, then within a small annex until this larger post office was constructed around 1930 and served the area until 1968.

Rehabilitation Project
After closure of the post office, the building was used as a lease space for offices and other commercial services. The south end of the commercial exchange at Main Street and Exchange Avenue saw less tourism development than other parts of the district. The building was in fair condition, though had lost many of its historic features. On the exterior, storefront openings were expanded to historic size and other modern windows were replaced. The interior still features a metal tile ceiling and wooden floors in the small mezzanine. A new stair was constructed in an appropriate character to reach the mezzanine.

DESIGNATION: Listed in National Register as part of Fort Worth Stockyards Historic District

HISTORIC USE: Post offices, leased offices

CURRENT USE: Leased offices

CERTIFIED: April 11, 2022

CONTACT: FW Main Street Partners; Architexas; Bennett Partners; PRIM Construction; M Legacy Construction

Certified for state tax credits only.

For more info
www.thc.texas.gov/taxcreditprogram
Pasadena Post Office 1940
Pasadena • Harris County • Texas

History
The federal post office in downtown Pasadena is a classic representation of New Deal design, with classical and colonial revival features within a modernistic structure. The design is based on standardized federal floor plans with an exterior designed by Ohio architect Carl Guenther, who won multiple prizes in a federal post office design competition. The main façade is noted for its sleek limestone columns along the porch, fronting Colonial Revival windows and entry door. This was the first purpose-built post office in Pasadena, reflecting the city’s growth during the 1920s and 1930s.

Rehabilitation Project
The building was sold by the federal government in 2018. As is common, the sale required placement of a preservation easement on the property, ensuring protection under future owners with THC coordination. The new owners, an engineering and architecture firm, also used the historic tax credit program to convert the property for use as their new offices. The main foyer was restored, with a new glass-walled conference room added to the teller lobby. The primary mail room was converted to open offices, with addition glass-walled offices at the edges. Teller windows were retained in place. A wind scoop at the roof was restored with wood windows and metal gear systems fully repaired. A new addition was added to the rear and the basement was converted for storage and employee use.

DESIGNATION: Individually listed in the National Register of Historic Places and a Recorded Texas Historic Landmark

HISTORIC USE: Post office
CURRENT USE: Architectural offices
CERTIFIED: June 15, 2022
CONTACT: J. Morales, Inc.; Infrastructure Engineering; Construction LTD; Sitek Omni Abatement

Also certified for federal tax credits.

For more info
www.thc.texas.gov/taxcreditprogram
Sealy Hutchings House 1896
Galveston • Galveston County • Texas

History
The Sealy Hutchings House was constructed in the Queen Anne style at the close of the 19th century, and was designed by prominent Galveston architect George B. Stowe. It was constructed with a wraparound porch with a curved corner wall above, making it sit prominently on its corner lot. Due to the flood risks in its neighborhood, it was elevated onto brick piers in 1906, which is the condition it remains in today. Large windows and a generous second floor porch allowed for light and airflow to reach occupants prior to mechanical and electrical equipment installation.

Rehabilitation Project
After nearly a decade of neglect, this rehabilitation sought to bring the Sealy Hutchings House back to a condition that could be used as a rental property. This included cosmetic refreshes to the windows and finishes on both the interior and the exterior, as well as installing new mechanical and electrical systems to bring the house up to code and comfort requirements for its coastal location. Repointing of brickwork, especially around the fireplaces, was a priority as well. Exterior cladding was decaying and covered with vegetation, which was increasing the degradation of the material, and was properly removed and the siding, along with the structural members, were repaired.
Tays Place 1941
El Paso • El Paso County • Texas

History
Tays Place is one of the earliest public housing complexes constructed in El Paso, built as a result of the housing shortage that grew during the Great Depression. Tays was funded by the United States Housing Act and allowed as a “slum clearing project” under state legislation. This slum clearance allowed for the construction of public housing complexes but created political issues within the neighborhoods that were “cleared,” especially as replacement housing was segregated. Remaining portions of Tays Place includes 56 buildings with apartments ranging from one-bedroom to five-bedrooms. The buildings, along with a featured community center, are simple and modernistic, featuring corner windows in end units at each building.

Rehabilitation Project
The rehab project updated the buildings and apartment units throughout. Exterior changes are minimal. Windows had been previously replaced and were re-replaced with new windows that better mimic the original windows. Screen doors were retained, reflecting the period in which the units were built. On the interior, kitchens, bathrooms, MEP systems, and finishes were all replaced and upgraded. Interior floorplans remain largely as they were, though some units were modified to meet ADA guidelines. New playgrounds and other features were added to the site.

DESIGNATION: Individually listed in the National Register of Historic Places
HISTORIC USE: Affordable public housing
CURRENT USE: Affordable housing
CERTIFIED: May 31, 2022
CONTACT: ITEX Group; Housing Authority of the City of El Paso; Heritage Consulting Group; CDA, Architects; Affordable Housing Enterprises; Sundt Construction, Inc; Subcon

Also certified for federal tax credits.

For more info
www.thc.texas.gov/taxcreditprogram
TAB 9.2
Consider filing authorization of intent to review and consider for re-adoption, revision or repeal, Chapter 13, related to the Texas Historic Tax Credit Program, for publication in the *Texas Register*.

**Background:**

Each state agency is required by Texas Government Code, Section 2001.39 to review and consider for re-adoption their rules in the Texas Administrative Code every four years. A notice (proposed rule review) must be filed with the *Texas Register* to inform the public that THC will start reviewing its chapters/rules. This gives the public an opportunity to submit comments regarding the review.

The Commission will accept comments for 30 days following publication of the notice in the *Texas Register* as to whether the reasons for adoption of these rules continue to exist. In a separate action, amendments to the rules are concurrently proposed. Any additional changes to the rules as a result of the review will be published in the Proposed Rules Section of the *Texas Register* and will be open for an additional 30-day public comment period prior to final adoption of any repeal, amendment, or re-adoption.

**Recommended Motion (Committee):**

Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of THC’s intent to review and consider for re-adoption, revision or repeal, Chapter 13, related to the Texas Historic Tax Credit Program, for publication in the *Texas Register*.

**Recommended Motion (Commission):**

Move to approve the filing authorization of THC’s intent to review and consider for re-adoption, revision or repeal, Chapter 13, related to the Texas Historic Tax Credit Program, for publication in the *Texas Register*. 
The Texas Historical Commission files this notice of intent to review and consider for re-adoption, revision or repeal, Chapter 13, related to the Texas Historic Tax Credit Program.

Pursuant to Texas Government Code 2001.039, the Texas Historical Commission will assess whether the reason(s) for initially adopting these rules continue to exist. The rules will be reviewed to determine whether it is obsolete, reflects current legal and policy considerations, reflects current general provisions in the governance of the Commission and/or whether it is in compliance with Chapter 2001 of the Texas Government Code (Administrative Procedures Act).

The Commission will accept written comments received on or before 5:00 p.m. central time on the 31st day after the date this notice is published in the Texas Register. Comments as to whether the reasons for initially adopting these rules continue to exist may be submitted to Elizabeth Brummett, Director, Architecture Division, Texas Historical Commission, P.O. Box 12276, Austin, Texas 78711-2276, or by email to elizabeth.brummett@thc.texas.gov. In a separate action, amendments to the rules are concurrently proposed. Any additional changes to the rules as a result of the review will be published in the Proposed Rules Section of the Texas Register and will be open for an additional 30-day public comment period prior to final adoption of any repeal, amendment, or re-adoption.
TAB 9.3
Consider approval of filing authorization of proposed amendments to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 13, Sections 13.1, 13.2, 13.3, 13.6, and 13.7 related to the Texas Historic Tax Credit Program for first publication in the *Texas Register*

**Background:**

The proposed amendments to Sections 13.1, 13.2, 13.3, 13.6, and 13.7 clarify rules to better align the Texas Historic Tax Credit Program (THPTC) with the Federal Rehabilitation Tax Credit, which THC administers in Texas in conjunction with the National Park Service; reflect changes in legislation to the originating statute Texas Tax Code § 171, Subchapter S; and delete processes that are unnecessary or in inappropriate sections of 13.3.

1) Section 13.1: Definitions is amended to add one phrase to better align the state tax credit program with the Federal Rehabilitation Tax Credit administered in part by the Commission. Clarifying language is added to other existing terms and phrases.
2) Section 13.2: Qualification Requirements is amended to reflect changes in legislation.
3) Section 13.3: Evaluation of Significance is amended to edit several subsections to bring them into better alignment with the Federal Rehabilitation Tax Credit and to reduce an application paperwork requirement.
4) Section 13.6: Application Review Process is amended to bring the THPTC into better alignment with the Federal Rehabilitation Tax Credit, clarify existing operations, and combine information from other sections in an improved manner.
5) Section 13.7: Inspection is amended to delete a section of text that was moved to Section 13.6.

The first publication will take place after approval by the Commission. There is a 30-day comment period following the publication; therefore, rules approved by the Commission for this meeting will be considered for final approval and second publication at the October 2022 meeting.

**Recommended motion (Committee):**

Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of filing authorization of proposed amendments to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 13, Sections 13.1, 13.2, 13.3, 13.6, and 13.7 related to the Texas Historic Tax Credit Program for first publication in the *Texas Register*.

**Recommended motion (Commission):**

Move to approve the filing authorization of proposed amendments to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 13, Sections 13.1, 13.2, 13.3, 13.6, and 13.7 related to the Texas Historic Tax Credit Program for first publication in the *Texas Register*.
Texas Administrative Code
Title 13 Cultural Resources
Part II Texas Historical Commission
Chapter 13 Texas Historic Preservation Tax Credit Program

PREAMBLE

The Texas Historical Commission (Commission) proposes amendments to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 13, Section 13.1, related to definitions.

Section 13.1(17) is added to the rules to clarify certain other rules and requirements of the program, and to bring the Texas Historic Preservation Tax Credit into better alignment with regulations of the Federal Rehabilitation Credit, which the Commission also administers in Texas, in conjunction with the National Park Service. Sections 13.1(19) [previously 13.1(18)] and 13.1(27) [previously 13.1(26)] have been amended to better align with other program rules and requirements.

FISCAL NOTE. Mark Wolfe, Executive Director, has determined that for the first five-year period the amended rules are in effect there will be no fiscal implications for state or local government as a result of enforcing or administering these rules.

PUBLIC BENEFIT. Mr. Wolfe has also determined that for the first five-year period the amended rule is in effect, the public benefit will be the preservation of and education about state historic resources.

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT AND REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS FOR SMALL BUSINESSES, MICROBUSINESSES, AND RURAL COMMUNITIES. Mr. Wolfe has also determined that there will be no impact on rural communities, small businesses, or micro-businesses as a result of implementing these rules. Accordingly, no regulatory flexibility analysis, as specified in Texas Government Code § 2006.002, is required.

ECONOMIC COSTS TO PERSONS AND IMPACT ON LOCAL EMPLOYMENT. There are no anticipated economic costs to persons who are required to comply with the amendments to these rules, as proposed. There is no effect on local economy for the first five years that the proposed new section is in effect; therefore, no local employment impact statement is required under Texas Government Code § 2001.022 and 2001.024(a)(6).

GOVERNMENT GROWTH IMPACT STATEMENT. Because the proposed amendments only concern clarifications to an existing program, during the first five years that the amendments would be in effect, the proposed amendments: will not create or eliminate a government program; will not result in the addition or reduction of employees; will not require an increase or decrease in future legislative appropriations; will not lead to an increase or decrease in fees paid to a state agency; will not create a new regulation; will not repeal an existing regulation; and will not result in an increase or decrease in the number of individuals subject to the rule. During the first five years that the amendments would be in effect, the proposed amendments will not positively or adversely affect the Texas economy.

TAKINGS IMPACT ASSESSMENT. The Commission has determined that no private real property interests are affected by this proposal and the proposal does not restrict or limit an owner's right to his or her property that would otherwise exist in the absence of government action and, therefore, does not constitute a taking under Texas Government Code, § 2007.043.
PUBLIC COMMENT. Comments on the proposal may be submitted to Mark Wolfe, Executive Director, Texas Historical Commission, P.O. Box 12276, Austin, Texas 78711. Comments will be accepted for 30 days after publication in the *Texas Register*.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY. These amendments are proposed under the authority of Texas Government Code § 442.005(q), which provides the Commission with the authority to promulgate rules to reasonably affect the purposes of the Commission, and Texas Government Code § 171.009, of the Texas Tax Code, which authorizes the Commission to adopt rules necessary to implement the Tax Credit for Certified Rehabilitation of Certified Historic Structures.

CROSS REFERENCE TO OTHER LAW. No other statutes, articles, or codes are affected by these amendments.

The Commission hereby certifies that the proposed amendments have been reviewed by legal counsel and found to be a valid exercise of the agency’s authority.
Rule §13.1 Definitions

The following words and terms when used in these rules shall have the following meanings unless the context clearly indicates otherwise:

(1) Applicant--The entity that has submitted an application for a building or structure it owns or for which it has a contract to purchase.

(2) Application--A fully completed Texas Historic Preservation Tax Credit Application form submitted to the Commission, which includes three parts:

   (A) Part A - Evaluation of Significance, to be used by the Commission to make a determination whether the building is a certified historic structure;

   (B) Part B - Description of Rehabilitation, to be used by the Commission to review proposed projects for compliance with the Standards for Rehabilitation; and

   (C) Part C - Request for Certification of Completed Work, to be used by the Commission to review completed projects for compliance with the work approved under Part B.

(3) Application fee--The fee charged by the Commission and paid by the applicant for the review of Part B and Part C of the application as follows:

Figure: 13 TAC §13.1(3) (No change.)

(4) Audited cost report--Such documentation as defined by the Comptroller in 34 TAC Chapter 3, Tax Administration.

(5) Building--Any edifice enclosing a space within its walls, and usually covered by a roof, the purpose of which is principally to shelter any form of human activity, such as shelter or housing, or to provide working, office, parking, display, or sales space. The term includes among other examples, banks, office buildings, factories, warehouses, barns, railway or bus stations, and stores and may also be used to refer to a historically and functionally related unit, such as a courthouse and jail or a house and barn. Functional constructions made usually for purposes other than creating human shelter or activity such as bridges, windmills, and towers are not considered buildings under this definition and are not eligible to be certified historic structures.

(6) Certificate of Eligibility--A document issued by the Commission to the owner, following review and approval of a Part C application, that confirms the property to which the eligible costs and expenses relate is a certified historic structure and the rehabilitations qualifies as a certified rehabilitation; and specifies the date the certified historic structure was first placed in service after the rehabilitation.
(7) Certified historic structure--A building or buildings located on a property in Texas that is certified by the Commission as:

(A) listed individually in the National Register of Historic Places;

(B) designated as a Recorded Texas Historic Landmark under §442.006, Texas Government Code, or as a State Antiquities Landmark under Chapter 191, Texas Natural Resources Code; §21.6 and §26.3(63) - (64) of this title; or

(C) certified by the Commission as contributing to the historic significance of:

(i) a historic district listed in the National Register of Historic Places; or

(ii) a certified local district as per 36 C.F.R §67.9.

(8) Certified local district--A local historic district certified by the United States Department of the Interior in accordance with 36 C.F.R §67.9.

(9) Certified rehabilitation--The rehabilitation of a certified historic structure that the Commission has certified as meeting the Standards for Rehabilitation. If the project is submitted for the federal rehabilitation tax credit it must be reviewed by the National Park Service prior to a determination that it meets the requirements for a certified rehabilitation under this rule. In the absence of a determination for the federal rehabilitation tax credit, the Commission shall have the sole responsibility for certifying the project.

(10) Commission--The Texas Historical Commission.

(11) Comptroller--The Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts.

(12) Contributing--A building in a historic district considered to be historically, culturally, or architecturally significant according to the criteria established by state or federal government, including those formally promulgated by the National Park Service and the United States Department of the Interior at 36 C.F.R. Part 60 and applicable national Register bulletins.

(13) Credit--The tax credit for the certified rehabilitation of certified historic structures available pursuant to Chapter 171, Subchapter S of the Texas Tax Code.

(14) District--A geographically definable area, urban, or rural, possessing a significant concentration, linkage, or continuity of sites, building, structures, or objects united by past events geographically but linked by association or history.

(15) Eligible costs and expenses--The qualified rehabilitation expenditures as defined by §47(c)(2), Internal Revenue Code, including rehabilitation expenses as set out in 26 C.F.R. §1.48-12(c), incurred during the project, except as otherwise specified in Chapter 171, Subchapter S of the Texas Tax Code.

(16) Federal rehabilitation tax credit--A federal tax credit for 20 % of qualified rehabilitation expenditures with respect to a certified historic structure, as defined in §47, Internal Revenue Code; 26 C.F.R. §1.48-12; and 36 C.F.R. Part 67.
(17) Functionally related buildings—A collection of buildings that were constructed or used to serve and support an overall single purpose during their period of significance. Examples include but are not limited to: a residence and carriage house; a multi-building apartment complex; a multi-building industrial or commercial complex; or buildings constructed as a campus. Buildings within a typical neighborhood or downtown commercial historic district, among other property types, do not count as functionally related buildings with other buildings in the district, unless there is a certain historical attachment other than community development. Functionally related buildings owned by one entity are viewed as a single property while those owned by separate entities are viewed as separate properties.

(18) National Park Service--The agency of the U.S. Department of the Interior that is responsible for certifying projects to receive the federal rehabilitation tax credit.

(19) Owner--A person, partnership, company, corporation, whether for profit or not, governmental body, an institution of higher education or university system or any other entity holding a legal or equitable interest in a Property or Structure, which can include a full or partial ownership interest. Not all of these owner entities can qualify as an applicant for the credit, based on the requirements listed in Chapter 171, Subchapter S of the Texas Tax Code. A long-term lessee of a property may be considered an owner if their current lease term is at a minimum 27.5 years for residential rental property or 39 years for nonresidential real property, as referenced by §47(c)(2), Internal Revenue Code.

(20) Phased development--A rehabilitation project which may reasonably be expected to be completed in two or more distinct states of development, as defined by United States Treasury Regulation 26 C.F.R. §1.48-12(b)(2)(v). Each phase of a phased development can independently support an Application for a credit as though it was a stand-alone rehabilitation, as long as each phase meets the definition of a Project. If any completed phase of the rehabilitation project does not meet the requirements of a certified rehabilitation, future applications by the same owner for the same certified historic structure will not be considered.

(21) Placed in Service--A status obtained upon completion of the rehabilitation project as described in the Part B application, and any subsequent amendments, and documented in the Part C application. Evidence of the date a property is placed in service includes a certificate of occupancy issued by the local building official and/or an architect's certificate of substantial completion. Other documents will suffice when certificates of occupancy and/or substantial completion are not available for a specific project, including final contractor invoices or other verifiable statements of completion. Alternate documents should be approved by the Commission before submission. Placed in Service documentation must indicate the date that work was completed.

(22) Project--A specified scope of work, as described in a rehabilitation plan submitted with a Part B application and subsequent amendments, comprised of work items that will be fully completed and Placed in Service. Examples of a project may include, but are not limited to, a whole building rehabilitation, rehabilitation of individual floors or spaces within a building, repair of building features, or replacement of building systems (such as mechanical, electrical, and plumbing systems). Partial or incomplete scopes of work, such as project planning and design, demolition, or partial completion of spaces, features, or building systems are not included in this definition as projects. Per §13.6(d)(5) of this title, the Commission's review encompasses
the entire building and site even if other work items are not included in a submitted project.

(2322) Property--A parcel of real property containing one or more buildings or structures that is the subject of an application for a credit.

(2423) Rehabilitation--The process of returning a building or buildings to a state of utility, through repair or alteration, which makes possible an efficient use while retaining those portions and features of the building and its site and environment which are significant.

(2524) Rehabilitation plan--Descriptions, drawings, construction plans, and specifications for the proposed rehabilitation of a certified historic structure in sufficient detail to enable the Commission to evaluate compliance with the Standards for Rehabilitation.

(2625) Standards for Rehabilitation--The United States Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation as defined in §67.7.

(2726) Structure--A building; see also certified historic structure. “Structure” may be used in place of the word “building,” but all tax credit projects must involve rehabilitation of a building as defined in §13.1(5) above.

(2827) Tax Credit--A credit earned against either the state franchise tax or the insurance premium tax per §171 of the Texas Tax Code and any limitations provided therein.
PREAMBLE

The Texas Historical Commission (Commission) proposes amendments to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 13, Section 13.2, related to program qualification requirements.

Section 13.2(a)(2)(C) and §13.2(b) have been edited to better reflect the types of projects and costs that are allowed based on the program statute in Texas Tax Code (TTC) Chapter 171, Subchapter S. Section 13.2(d) has been heavily altered to delete information that no longer applies to the program due to changes, through legislation, in TTC Chapter 171, Subchapter S. Rules regarding application from retroactive projects and applications from state colleges and universities have been deleted as those projects are no longer qualified to apply for the credit.

FISCAL NOTE. Mark Wolfe, Executive Director, has determined that for the first five-year period the amended rules are in effect there will be no fiscal implications for state or local government as a result of enforcing or administering these rules.

PUBLIC BENEFIT. Mr. Wolfe has also determined that for the first five-year period the amended rule is in effect, the public benefit will be the preservation of and education about state historic resources.

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT AND REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS FOR SMALL BUSINESSES, MICROBUSINESSES, AND RURAL COMMUNITIES. Mr. Wolfe has also determined that there will be no impact on rural communities, small businesses, or micro-businesses as a result of implementing these rules. Accordingly, no regulatory flexibility analysis, as specified in Texas Government Code § 2006.002, is required.

ECONOMIC COSTS TO PERSONS AND IMPACT ON LOCAL EMPLOYMENT. There are no anticipated economic costs to persons who are required to comply with the amendments to these rules, as proposed. There is no effect on local economy for the first five years that the proposed new section is in effect; therefore, no local employment impact statement is required under Texas Government Code § 2001.022 and 2001.024(a)(6).

GOVERNMENT GROWTH IMPACT STATEMENT. Because the proposed amendments concern project types that are no longer eligible due to previous legislative changes, during the first five years that the amendments would be in effect, the proposed amendments: will not create or eliminate a government program; will not result in the addition or reduction of employees; will not require an increase or decrease in future legislative appropriations; will not lead to an increase or decrease in fees paid to a state agency; will not create a new regulation; will not repeal an existing regulation; and will not result in an increase or decrease in the number of individuals subject to the rule. During the first five years that the amendments would be in effect, the proposed amendments will not positively or adversely affect the Texas economy.

TAKINGS IMPACT ASSESSMENT. The Commission has determined that no private real property interests are affected by this proposal and the proposal does not restrict or limit an owner’s right to his or her property that would otherwise exist in the absence of government action and, therefore, does not

PUBLIC COMMENT. Comments on the proposal may be submitted to Mark Wolfe, Executive Director, Texas Historical Commission, P.O. Box 12276, Austin, Texas 78711. Comments will be accepted for 30 days after publication in the Texas Register.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY. These amendments are proposed under the authority of Texas Government Code §442.005(q), which provides the Commission with the authority to promulgate rules to reasonably affect the purposes of the Commission, and Texas Government Code § 171.009, of the Texas Tax Code, which authorizes the Commission to adopt rules necessary to implement the Tax Credit for Certified Rehabilitation of Certified Historic Structures.

CROSS REFERENCE TO OTHER LAW. No other statutes, articles, or codes are affected by these amendments.

The Commission hereby certifies that the proposed amendments have been reviewed by legal counsel and found to be a valid exercise of the agency’s authority.
(a) Qualification for credit.

(1) An Owner is eligible for a credit for eligible costs and expenses incurred in the certified rehabilitation of a certified historic structure if:

(A) the rehabilitated certified historic structure is placed in service on or after September 1, 2013;

(B) the Owner has an ownership interest in the certified historic structure in the year during which the structure is placed in service after the rehabilitation; and

(C) the total amount of the eligible costs and expenses incurred exceeds $5,000.

(2) A property for which eligible costs and expenses are submitted for the credit must meet Internal Revenue Code §47(c)(2) which includes:

(A) non-residential real property; or

(B) residential rental property; or

(C) other property types exempted from parts of Internal Revenue Code §47(c)(2) as described in Chapter 171, Subchapter S of the Texas Tax Code.

(b) Eligible costs and expenses. Eligible costs and expenses means those costs and expenses allowed pursuant to Internal Revenue Code §47(c)(2) or as exempted by Chapter 171, Subchapter S of the Texas Tax Code. Such eligible costs and expenses, include, but are not limited to:

(1) expenditures associated with structural components as defined by United States Treasury Regulation §1.48-1(e)(2) including walls, partitions, floors, ceilings, windows and doors, stairs, elevators, escalators, sprinkling systems, fire escapes, components of central air conditioning, heating, plumbing, and electrical systems and other components related to the operation or maintenance of the building;

(2) architectural services;

(3) engineering services;

(4) construction management and labor, materials, and reasonable overhead;

(5) subcontracted services;

(6) development fees;

(7) construction period interest and taxes; and

(8) other items referenced in Internal Revenue Code §47(c)(2).

(c) Ineligible costs and expenses. Eligible costs and expenses as defined in Internal Revenue Code §47(c)(2) do not include the following:
(1) the cost of acquiring any interest in the property;
(2) the personal labor by the applicant;
(3) any cost associated with the enlargement of an existing building;
(4) site work expenditures, including any landscaping, sidewalks, paving, decks, outdoor lighting remote from the building, fencing, retaining walls or similar expenditures; or
(5) any cost associated with the rehabilitation of an outbuilding or ancillary structure unless it is certified by the Commission to contribute to the historical significance of the property.

(d) Eligibility date for costs and expenses.

(1) Part A of the Texas Historic Preservation Tax Credit Certification Application must be submitted prior to the building being placed in service per §13.1(21). Projects that have been placed in service prior to submission of a Part A application do not qualify for the program.

(2) Applications for rehabilitated historic structures placed in service prior to the program’s effective date, January 1, 2015, must be submitted for review by January 1, 2018.

(3) If the rehabilitated certified historic structure is placed in service on or after January 1, 2015, Part A of the Texas Historic Preservation Tax Credit Certification Application must be submitted prior to the building being placed in service. Projects completed on or after January 1, 2015, but before January 1, 2016, are exempt from this requirement only if their costs and expenses were incurred by an entity exempt from the tax imposed by Section 171.063 of the Tax Code within a 60-month period prior to the building’s placed in service date.

(4) If the rehabilitated certified historic structure was completed and placed in service after January 1, 2015; and the rehabilitated certified structure has a Part 1 Application filed under the Federal Historic Preservation Tax Credit program; then the applicant may use the submission date of their Part 1 Application to satisfy the above placed in service requirement in paragraph (2) of this subsection.

(5) While the credit may be claimed for eligible costs and expenses incurred prior to the filing of an application, potential applicants are urged to file Parts A and B of the application at the earliest possible date. This will allow the Commission to review the application and provide guidance to the applicant that will increase the chances that the application will ultimately be approved and the credit received.

(6) For an institution of higher education or university system as defined by Section 61.003, Education Code, the authorized investment of public funds governed by Chapter 2256, Government Code, relates only to those eligible costs and expenses incurred on or after June 14, 2017 until January 1, 2022 in accordance with Section 171.901(4) of the Tax Code.

(e) Phased development. Part B applications for rehabilitation of the same certified historic structure may be submitted by the same owner only if they describe clearly defined phases of work that align with a cost report that separates the eligible costs and expenses by phase. Separate Part B and C applications shall be submitted for review by the Commission prior to issuance of a certificate of eligibility for each phase.

(f) Amount of credit. The total amount of credit available is twenty-five percent (25%) of the aggregate eligible costs and expenses incurred in the certified rehabilitation of the certified historic structure.
Texas Administrative Code
Title 13 Cultural Resources
Part II Texas Historical Commission
Chapter 13 Texas Historic Preservation Tax Credit Program

PREAMBLE

The Texas Historical Commission (Commission) proposes amendments to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 13, Section 13.3, related to evaluations of significance.

Section 13.3(g) is edited to simplify the application process, by not requiring applicants to submit additional paperwork once a property has received historic designation. Commission staff will handle that documentation internally. Edits to §13.4(h) and §13.3(i) are intended to clarify the rules and bring them into better alignment with the rules of the Federal Rehabilitation Tax Credit.

FISCAL NOTE. Mark Wolfe, Executive Director, has determined that for the first five-year period the amended rules are in effect there will be no fiscal implications for state or local government as a result of enforcing or administering these rules.

PUBLIC BENEFIT. Mr. Wolfe has also determined that for the first five-year period the amended rule is in effect, the public benefit will be the preservation of and education about state historic resources.

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT AND REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS FOR SMALL BUSINESSES, MICROBUSINESSES, AND RURAL COMMUNITIES. Mr. Wolfe has also determined that there will be no impact on rural communities, small businesses, or micro-businesses as a result of implementing these rules. Accordingly, no regulatory flexibility analysis, as specified in Texas Government Code § 2006.002, is required.

ECONOMIC COSTS TO PERSONS AND IMPACT ON LOCAL EMPLOYMENT. There are no anticipated economic costs to persons who are required to comply with the amendments to these rules, as proposed. There is no effect on local economy for the first five years that the proposed new section is in effect; therefore, no local employment impact statement is required under Texas Government Code § 2001.022 and 2001.024(a)(6).

GOVERNMENT GROWTH IMPACT STATEMENT. Because the proposed amendments only simplify the application process and bring requirements into alignment with a related program, during the first five years that the amendments would be in effect, the proposed amendments: will not create or eliminate a government program; will not result in the addition or reduction of employees; will not require an increase or decrease in future legislative appropriations; will not lead to an increase or decrease in fees paid to a state agency; will not create a new regulation; will not repeal an existing regulation; and will not result in an increase or decrease in the number of individuals subject to the rule. During the first five years that the amendments would be in effect, the proposed amendments will not positively or adversely affect the Texas economy.

TAKINGS IMPACT ASSESSMENT. The Commission has determined that no private real property interests are affected by this proposal and the proposal does not restrict or limit an owner’s right to his or her property that would otherwise exist in the absence of government action and, therefore, does not constitute a taking under Texas Government Code, § 2007.043.
PUBLIC COMMENT. Comments on the proposal may be submitted to Mark Wolfe, Executive Director, Texas Historical Commission, P.O. Box 12276, Austin, Texas 78711. Comments will be accepted for 30 days after publication in the Texas Register.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY. These amendments are proposed under the authority of Texas Government Code § 442.005(q), which provides the Commission with the authority to promulgate rules to reasonably affect the purposes of the Commission, and Texas Government Code § 171.009, of the Texas Tax Code, which authorizes the Commission to adopt rules necessary to implement the Tax Credit for Certified Rehabilitation of Certified Historic Structures.

CROSS REFERENCE TO OTHER LAW. No other statutes, articles, or codes are affected by these amendments.

The Commission hereby certifies that the proposed amendments have been reviewed by legal counsel and found to be a valid exercise of the agency’s authority.
Rule §13.3 Evaluation of Significance

(a) Application Part A - Evaluation of Significance. Part A of the application requires information to allow the Commission to evaluate whether a building is a certified historic structure and shall be completed for all buildings to be included in the project. Part A of the application is evaluated against criteria for significance and integrity issued by the National Park Service.

(b) Application Requirements. Information to be submitted in the Part A includes:

(1) Name, mailing address, telephone number, and email address of the property owner(s) and Applicant if different from the Owner;

(2) Name and address of the property;

(3) Name of the historic district, if applicable;

(4) Current photographs of the building and its site, showing exterior and interior features and spaces adequate to document the property’s significance. Photographs must be formatted as directed by the Commission in published program guidance materials on the Commission's online Texas Historic Preservation Tax Credit Application Guide available by accessing thc.texas.gov;

(5) Date of construction of the property;

(6) Brief description of the appearance of the property, including alterations, characteristic features and estimated date or dates of construction and alterations;

(7) Brief statement of significance summarizing why a property is:

(A) eligible for individual listing in the National Register of Historic Places;

(B) contributes to a historic district listed in the National Register of Historic Places or a certified local district; or

(C) contributes to a potential historic district, accompanied by:

(i) a map showing the boundary of the potential historic district and the location of the property within the district;

(ii) photographs of other properties in the district; and

(iii) justification for the district's eligibility for listing in the National Register of Historic Places;

(8) A map showing the location of the historic property;

(9) Signature of the Owner, and Applicant if different from the Owner, requesting the determination; and

(10) Other information required on the application by the Commission.

(c) Consultation with Commission. Any person may informally consult with the Commission to determine whether a property is:
(1) listed individually in the National Register of Historic Places;

(2) designated as a Recorded Texas Historic Landmark or State Antiquities Landmark; or

(3) certified by the Commission as contributing to the historic significance of a historic district listed in the National Register of Historic Places or a certified local district.

d) Automatic qualification as certified historic structure. If a property is individually listed in the National Register of Historic Places or designated as a Recorded Texas Historic Landmark or State Antiquities Landmark, then it is a certified historic structure and should be indicated as such on Part A of the application.

e) Preliminary determination of significance. An Applicant for a property not listed in the National Register of Historic Places, neither individually nor as a contributing element to a historic district; not designated a Recorded Texas Historic Landmark nor State Antiquities Landmark; and not listed in a certified local district may obtain a preliminary determination from the Commission as to whether the property is individually eligible to become a certified historic structure or is eligible as a contributing structure in a potential historic district by submitting Part A of the application. Determination will be based on criteria for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Applications for a preliminary determination of significance must show how the property meets one of the following criteria for listing in the National Register of Historic Places and any applicable criteria considerations from the National Park Service.

(1) National Register of Historic Places criteria. The quality of significance in American history, architecture, archeology, engineering, and culture is present in districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects that possess integrity of location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association and one or more of subparagraphs (A) - (D) of this paragraph:

(A) Properties that are associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history; or

(B) that are associated with the lives of persons significant in our past; or

(C) that embody distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or that represent the work of a master, or that possess high artistic values, or that represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction; or

(D) that have yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.

(2) Criteria considerations. Ordinarily cemeteries, birthplaces, or graves of historical figures, properties owned by religious institutions or used for religious purposes, structures that have been moved from their original locations, reconstructed historic buildings, properties primarily commemorative in nature, and properties that have achieved significance within the past 50 years shall not be considered eligible for the National Register. However, such properties will qualify if they are integral parts of districts that do meet the criteria or if they fall within the following categories:

(A) A religious property deriving primary significance from architectural or artistic distinction or historical importance; or

(B) A building or structure removed from its original location but which is significant primarily for architectural value, or which is the surviving structure most importantly associated with a historic person or event; or
(C) A birthplace or grave of a historical figure of outstanding importance if there is no appropriate site or building directly associated with his productive life.

(D) A cemetery which derives its primary significance from graves of persons of transcendent importance, from age, from distinctive design features, or from association with historic events; or

(E) A reconstructed building when accurately executed in a suitable environment and presented in a dignified manner as part of a restoration master plan, and when no other building or structure with the same association has survived; or

(F) A property primarily commemorative in intent if design, age, tradition, or symbolic value has invested it with its own exceptional significance; or

(G) A property achieving significance within the past 50 years if it is of exceptional importance.

(3) Issuance of a preliminary determination of significance does not bind the Commission to the designation of an individual historic structure or district. Applicants proceed with rehabilitation projects at their own risk. If a structure is ultimately not listed in the National Register of Historic Places, designated as a Recorded Texas Historic Landmark, or certified as a contributing element to a local district pursuant to 36 C.F.R. §67.9, the preliminary determination does not become final, and the owner will not be eligible for the credit. The Commission shall not issue a certificate of eligibility until or unless the designation is final.

(f) Determination of contributing structures in existing historic districts. If a property is located in a district listed in the National Register of Historic Places or in a certified local district, an Applicant or an Owner of the property shall request that the Commission determine whether the property is of historic significance contributing to the district by submitting Part A of the application. The Commission evaluates properties located within historic districts listed in the National Register of Historic Places or certified local districts to determine whether they contribute to the historic significance of the district by applying the following standards:

(1) A property contributing to the historic significance of a district is one which by location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association adds to the district's sense of time and place and historical development.

(2) A property does not contribute to the historic significance of a district if it does not add to the district's sense of time and place and historical development, or if its location, design, setting materials, workmanship, feeling, and association have been so altered or have so deteriorated that the overall integrity of the building has been irretrievably lost.

(3) Generally, buildings that have been built within the past 50 years shall not be considered to contribute to the significance of a district unless a strong justification concerning their historical or architectural merit is given or the historical attributes of the district are considered to be less than 50 years old at the date of application.

(4) Certification of significance will be made on the basis of the appearance and condition of the property before beginning the rehabilitation work.
(5) If a nonhistoric surface material obscures a building’s façade, it may be necessary for the owner to remove a portion of the surface material so that a determination of significance can be made. After the material has been removed, if the obscured façade has retained substantial historic integrity and the property otherwise contributes to the significance of the historic district, it will be considered eligible to be a certified historic structure.

(g) Subsequent Designation. A building must be a certified historic structure prior to the issuance of the certificate of eligibility by the Commission as required by §171.904(b)(1)(A) of the Texas Tax Code. If a property is not automatically qualified as a certified historic structure, an owner of a property shall request that the Commission determine whether the property is of historic significance by submitting Part A of the application in accordance with subsections (e) and (f) of this section. Upon listing in the National Register of Historic Places, designation as a Recorded Texas Historic Landmark, or certification as a contributing element to a local district pursuant to 36 C.F.R. §67.9, THC staff overseeing the National Register program and the Official Texas Historical Marker program (as applicable), shall prepare a notification, to be filed with the tax credit application, indicating that the designation process required by the Part A has been fulfilled. If a property is not automatically qualified as a certified historic structure, an owner of a property shall request that the Commission determine whether the property is of historic significance by submitting Part A of the application in accordance with subsections (e) and (f) of this section. Upon listing in the National Register of Historic Places, designation as a Recorded Texas Historic Landmark, or certification as a contributing element to a local district pursuant to 36 C.F.R. §67.9, a revised Part A should be submitted as stated in subsection (d) of this section. A building must be a certified historic structure prior to the issuance of the certificate by the Commission as required by §171.904(b)(1)(A) of the Texas Tax Code.

(h) Multiple buildings. If a property owned by one entity contains more than one building and the Commission determines that the buildings have been functionally related historically, per 13.1(17), to serve an overall purpose (such as a residence and a carriage house), then the functionally related buildings will be treated as a single certified historic structure, regardless of whether one of the buildings is separately listed in the National Register of Historic Places or as a Recorded Texas Historic Landmark or is located within a historic district. Buildings owned by the same applicant that were not functionally related historically must be submitted as individual buildings on separate applications. Buildings that are functionally related historically are those that have functioned together to serve an overall purpose during the property’s period of significance.

(i) Portions of buildings. Portions of buildings, such as single condominium apartment units, are not independently eligible for certification as an individual space without assessment of any work undertaken elsewhere in the building within the last 24 months, as described in §13.6(f). This rule applies even when a building has multiple owners. A full description of all work at the building must be provided with the application. Two or more buildings or structures located on a single tract or parcel of land (or contiguous tracts or parcels), which are operated as an integrated unit (as evidenced by their operation, management and financing), may be treated as a single building or structure for the purposes of certification.

(j) Relocation of historic buildings. Relocation of a historic building from its original site may disqualify the building from eligibility or result in removal of designation as a certified historic structure. Applications involving buildings that have been moved or are to be moved will be
evaluated on a case-by-case basis under the applicable criteria for designation as provided in this section. For a building listed in the National Register of Historic Places, the applicant will be responsible for updating the National Register of Historic Places nomination for the property or district, or the relocated building will not be considered a certified historic structure for the purpose of this credit. For a building designated as a Recorded Texas Historic Landmark, the applicant will be responsible for notifying the Commission and otherwise complying with the requirements of §21.11 of this title prior to undertaking any relocation.
PREAMBLE

The Texas Historical Commission (Commission) proposes amendments to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 13, Section 13.6, related to the application review process.

Section 13.6(c)(3) has an unnecessary word deleted. Section 13.6(d)(5) has been converted to §13.6(f) to allow for clearer reading and has been expanded to better align with the rules of the Federal Rehabilitation Tax Credit and to match current edits in §13.3.

FISCAL NOTE. Mark Wolfe, Executive Director, has determined that for the first five-year period the amended rules are in effect there will be no fiscal implications for state or local government as a result of enforcing or administering these rules.

PUBLIC BENEFIT. Mr. Wolfe has also determined that for the first five-year period the amended rule is in effect, the public benefit will be the preservation of and education about state historic resources.

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT AND REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS FOR SMALL BUSINESSES, MICROBUSINESSES, AND RURAL COMMUNITIES. Mr. Wolfe has also determined that there will be no impact on rural communities, small businesses, or micro-businesses as a result of implementing these rules. Accordingly, no regulatory flexibility analysis, as specified in Texas Government Code § 2006.002, is required.

ECONOMIC COSTS TO PERSONS AND IMPACT ON LOCAL EMPLOYMENT. There are no anticipated economic costs to persons who are required to comply with the amendments to these rules, as proposed. There is no effect on local economy for the first five years that the proposed new section is in effect; therefore, no local employment impact statement is required under Texas Government Code § 2001.022 and 2001.024(a)(6).

GOVERNMENT GROWTH IMPACT STATEMENT. Because the proposed amendments only simplify text and bring requirements into alignment with a related program, during the first five years that the amendments would be in effect, the proposed amendments: will not create or eliminate a government program; will not result in the addition or reduction of employees; will not require an increase or decrease in future legislative appropriations; will not lead to an increase or decrease in fees paid to a state agency; will not create a new regulation; will not repeal an existing regulation; and will not result in an increase or decrease in the number of individuals subject to the rule. During the first five years that the amendments would be in effect, the proposed amendments will not positively or adversely affect the Texas economy.

TAKINGS IMPACT ASSESSMENT. The Commission has determined that no private real property interests are affected by this proposal and the proposal does not restrict or limit an owner’s right to his or her property that would otherwise exist in the absence of government action and, therefore, does not constitute a taking under Texas Government Code, § 2007.043.

PUBLIC COMMENT. Comments on the proposal may be submitted to Mark Wolfe, Executive Director,
Texas Historical Commission, P.O. Box 12276, Austin, Texas 78711. Comments will be accepted for 30 days after publication in the Texas Register.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY. These amendments are proposed under the authority of Texas Government Code § 442.005(q), which provides the Commission with the authority to promulgate rules to reasonably affect the purposes of the Commission, and Texas Government Code § 171.009, of the Texas Tax Code, which authorizes the Commission to adopt rules necessary to implement the Tax Credit for Certified Rehabilitation of Certified Historic Structures.

CROSS REFERENCE TO OTHER LAW. No other statutes, articles, or codes are affected by these amendments.

The Commission hereby certifies that the proposed amendments have been reviewed by legal counsel and found to be a valid exercise of the agency’s authority.
Rule §13.6 Application Review Process

(a) Application form. The Commission staff will develop the application and may modify it as needed over time. All required forms, including application Parts A, B, C, and amendment forms, are available from the Commission at no cost.

(b) Delivery. Applications will be accepted beginning on January 1, 2015 and continuously thereafter. Applications should be submitted to the Commission in the manner and format directed by the Commission in published program guidance materials on the Commission's online Texas Historic Preservation Tax Credit Application Guide available by accessing thc.texas.gov.

(c) Application Part A - Evaluation of Significance. Part A of the application will be used by the Commission to confirm historic designation or to determine if the property is eligible for qualification as a certified historic structure.

(1) If a property is individually listed in the National Register of Historic Places or designated as a Recorded Texas Historic Landmark or State Antiquities Landmark, the property is qualified as a certified historic structure.

(2) The applicant will be responsible for providing sufficient information to the Commission with which the Commission staff may make a determination. If all requested information is not provided to make a determination that a building is eligible for designation as a certified historic structure, the staff may request additional information from the applicant. If the additional information requested is not provided in a timely manner, the application will be considered incomplete and review of the application will be placed on hold until sufficient information is received.

(3) The Commission staff review of Part A of a complete application, unless otherwise provided in §13.8 of this title (relating to Relationship with the Federal Rehabilitation Tax Credit Program), and shall notify the applicant in writing of any determination it makes upon completing the review of Part A of the application.

(4) There is no fee to review Part A of the application.

(d) Application Part B - Description of Rehabilitation. Part B of the application will be used by the Commission to review proposed projects for compliance with the Standards for Rehabilitation.

(1) The applicant will be responsible for providing sufficient information, including photographs taken prior to the project, to the Commission with which the Commission staff may make a determination. If all requested information is not provided to make a determination that a project is eligible as a certified rehabilitation, staff may request additional information from the applicant, usually required to be submitted within 30 days. If the additional information requested is not provided in a timely manner, the application will be considered incomplete and review of the application will be placed on hold until sufficient information is received.
(2) The Commission staff will review Part B of a complete application, unless otherwise provided in §13.8 of this title, and shall notify the applicant in writing of any determination it makes upon completing the review of Part B of the application. In reviewing Part B of the application, the Commission shall determine if Part B is approved or not as follows:

(A) Consistent with the Standards for Rehabilitation as determined by the Commission. If all aspects of the Part B of the application meet the standards for rehabilitation, no additional information is required, and no conditions are imposed on the work, Part B is approved.

(B) Consistent with the Standards for Rehabilitation with specific conditions of work required. The Commission may determine that the work described in the plan must be performed in a specific manner or with specific materials in order to fully comply with the Standards for Rehabilitation. In such cases, the Part B may be approved with specific conditions required. For applications found to be consistent with the Standards for Rehabilitation with specific conditions required, the applicant shall provide written acceptance to the Commission of all specific conditions required. Otherwise the application will be determined to be not consistent with the Standards for Rehabilitation; applications found to be consistent with the Standards for Rehabilitation with specific conditions required may proceed with the work but will only be eligible for the credit if the conditions listed are met as part of the rehabilitation work. Failure to follow the conditions may result in a determination by the Commission that the project is not consistent with the Standards for Rehabilitation.

(C) Not consistent with the Standards for Rehabilitation. Applications found not to be consistent with the Standards for Rehabilitation will be considered to be ineligible applications; the Commission shall make recommendations to the applicant that might bring the project into conformance with the Standards for Rehabilitation, however no warranty is made that the recommendations will bring the project into compliance with the Standards for Rehabilitation; the applicant may reapply and it will be treated as a new application and will be subject to a new application fee.

(3) An application fee is required to be received by the Commission before Commission review of Part B of the application. The fee is based on the estimated amount of eligible costs and expenses listed by the applicant on Part B of the application.

(A) Applicants must submit the fee with their Part B application or the application will be placed on hold until the fee is received. The fee is calculated according to a fee schedule approved by the Commission and included in the application.

(B) The fee is based on the estimated aggregate eligible costs and expenses indicated in the Part B application and is not refundable. Resubmission of a rejected application or under any other circumstances will require a new fee. Amendments to a pending application or approved project do not require additional fees.

(4) Amendment Sheet. Changes to the project not anticipated in the original application shall be submitted to the Commission on an amendment sheet and must be approved by the Commission as consistent with the Standards for Rehabilitation before they are included in the project. The Commission shall review the amendment sheet and issue a determination in writing regarding whether or not the proposed change in the project is consistent with the Standards for Rehabilitation.

(5) Scope of Review. The review encompasses the building's site and environment as well as any buildings that were functionally related historically. Therefore, any new construction and
site improvements occurring on the historic property are considered part of the project. Individual condominiums or commercial spaces within a larger historic building are not considered individual properties apart from the whole. The scope of review for a project is not limited to the work that qualifies as an eligible expense. Likewise, all work completed by the current owner twenty-four (24) months before the submission of the application is considered part of the project, as is the cumulative effect of any work in previously completed or future phases.

―(A) An applicant may elect to apply to receive the credit on only the exterior portions of a larger project that includes other work, in which case the scope of review will be limited to the exterior work. For properties that are individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places, are designated as a Recorded Texas Historic Landmark or State Antiquities Landmark, or determined to be eligible for these designations, the scope of review must also include primary interior spaces.

―(B) For these projects described in subparagraph (A) of this paragraph, all work completed by the current owner twenty-four (24) months before the submission of the application, and within the same scope of review (e.g. exterior and/or primary interior) is considered part of the project, as is the cumulative effect of any work in previously completed or future phases within the same scope of review.

(e) Application Part C - Request for Certification of Completed Work. Part C of the application will be used by the Commission to review completed projects for compliance with the work approved under Part B.

(1) The applicant shall file Part C of the application after the building is placed in service.

(2) The applicant will be responsible for providing sufficient information, including photographs before and after the project, to the Commission by which the Commission staff may verify compliance with the approved Part B. If all requested information is not provided to make a determination that a project is eligible as a certified rehabilitation, the application is incomplete and review of the application will be placed on hold until sufficient information is received.

(3) The Commission staff will review Part C of a complete application, unless otherwise provided in §13.8 of this title, and shall notify the applicant in writing of any determination it makes upon completing the review of Part C of the application.

(A) If the completed project is found to be in compliance with the approved Part B and any required conditions; consistent with the Standards for Rehabilitation, and the building is a certified historic structure at the time of the application, the Commission shall approve the project. The Commission then shall issue to the applicant a certificate of eligibility that confirms the property to which the eligible costs and expenses relate is a certified historic structure and the rehabilitation qualifies as a certified rehabilitation and specifies the date the certified historic structure was first placed in service after the rehabilitation.

(B) If the completed project is not consistent with the Standards for Rehabilitation, with the approved Part B, and/or the specific conditions required, and the project cannot, in the opinion of the Commission, be brought into compliance, or if the building is not a certified historic structure at the time of the application, then the Commission shall deny Part C of the application and no certificate of eligibility shall be issued.

(C) If the completed project is not consistent with the Standards for Rehabilitation, with the approved Part B, and/or the specific conditions required, and the project can, in the opinion of
the Commission, be brought into compliance, the Commission may issue remedial conditions that will bring the project into compliance. The applicant shall complete the remedial work and file an amended Part C. If the remedial work, in the opinion of the Commission, brings the project into compliance, then the Commission shall issue a certificate of eligibility.

(4) An application fee is charged before Commission review of the Part C of the application based on the amount of eligible costs and expenses listed by applicant on Part C of the application.

(A) Applicants must submit the fee with their Part C application or the application will be placed on hold until the fee is received. The fee is calculated according to a fee schedule approved by the Commission and included in the application.

(B) The fee is based on the eligible costs and expenses as indicated in the audited cost report and is not refundable. Resubmission of a rejected application or under any other circumstances will require a new fee. Amendments do not require additional fees.

(f) Scope of Review. The review encompasses the entire building’s site and environment as well as any buildings that were functionally related historically per §13.1(17) and §13.3(h). The scope of review for a project is not limited to the work that qualifies as an eligible expense. All work completed by the current applicant twenty-four (24) months before the submission of the application can be considered part of the project, as is the cumulative effect of any work in previously completed or future phases. Any new construction and site improvements occurring on the historic property are considered part of the project. Individual condominiums or commercial spaces within a larger historic building are not considered individual properties apart from the whole. Multiple interior finish-out projects occurring at the same time, for example, whether completed by an owner, multiple owners, or tenants, must all be reviewed to ensure all work to the building meets the Standards for Rehabilitation.

(1) Eligibility for the credit. If a project, including a single phase of work or an overall rehabilitation, does not meet the Standards for Rehabilitation, future work on the building or within a set of functionally related buildings, performed by the same owner, shall not be eligible for a credit under this program. If the building is purchased by a new owner, the new owner may apply for tax credits based on a new application and existing conditions at the time of purchase.

(2) An applicant may elect to apply to receive the credit on only the exterior portions of a larger project that includes other work, in which case the scope of review will be limited to the exterior work. For properties that are individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places, are designated as a Recorded Texas Historic Landmark or State Antiquities Landmark, or determined to be eligible for these designations, the scope of review must also include primary interior spaces.

(3) For these projects described in subparagraph (2) of this paragraph, all work completed by the current owner twenty-four (24) months before the submission of the application, and within the same scope of review (e.g. exterior and/or primary interior) is considered part of the project, as is the cumulative effect of any work in previously completed or future phases within the same scope of review.

(g) Closure of Inactive Applications. The Commission staff may close applications that have been deemed inactive. Closed applications do not qualify as certified rehabilitations and are not eligible for the Texas Historic Preservation Tax Credit unless reopened per paragraph (6)
of this subsection.

(1) Applications may be deemed inactive and closed under any of the following circumstances: Part B and Part C application fees have not been received within sixty (60) days of receipt of the application parts; written requests for information necessary to complete the application and provide sufficient documentation to fully review the application are not responded to within sixty (60) days; or, approved application Parts have not progressed to subsequent Parts (for example: a Part B has not been submitted following approval of a Part A, etc.) and there has been no communication from the applicant to the Commission for a period of twenty-four (24) months or greater.

(2) Applications for projects that are simultaneously applying for federal historic tax credits, per §13.8 of this title may also be closed upon closure of the federal application by the National Park Service.

(3) Applicants will be notified in writing of the potential closure and given sixty (60) days to respond, in writing, with a request for the application to remain open; supplying missing or requested information; or to request an extension allowing additional time to compile missing or requested information. If no response is received, the application will be closed. Such requests shall not be unreasonably denied but shall not exceed an additional 60 days.

(4) Extensions will be granted, in writing, for a period of time agreed upon by the Commission and the Applicant, based on the status of the project. If an extension is not met, further extensions may be granted if the Applicant documents to the Commission that the project is progressing.

(5) Applications that have been closed will be reopened under the following conditions: the project applicant has not changed; the overall scope of work presented in the Part B application has not substantially changed; and the request to reopen the application is made in writing within twenty-four (24) months from the date the application was closed.

(6) If all conditions in paragraph (5) of this subsection are not met, a new application must be filed, including new Part B and Part C application fees.
PREAMBLE

The Texas Historical Commission (Commission) proposes amendments to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 13, Section 13.7, related to project inspections.

Section 13.7 is amended to remove rule §13.7(c). This rule did not relate directly to the substance of §13.7 and has been recreated elsewhere in the currently proposed edits.

FISCAL NOTE. Mark Wolfe, Executive Director, has determined that for the first five-year period the amended rules are in effect there will be no fiscal implications for state or local government as a result of enforcing or administering these rules.

PUBLIC BENEFIT. Mr. Wolfe has also determined that for the first five-year period the amended rule is in effect, the public benefit will be the preservation of and education about state historic resources.

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT AND REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS FOR SMALL BUSINESSES, MICROBUSINESSES, AND RURAL COMMUNITIES. Mr. Wolfe has also determined that there will be no impact on rural communities, small businesses, or micro-businesses as a result of implementing these rules. Accordingly, no regulatory flexibility analysis, as specified in Texas Government Code § 2006.002, is required.

ECONOMIC COSTS TO PERSONS AND IMPACT ON LOCAL EMPLOYMENT. There are no anticipated economic costs to persons who are required to comply with the amendments to these rules, as proposed. There is no effect on local economy for the first five years that the proposed new section is in effect; therefore, no local employment impact statement is required under Texas Government Code § 2001.022 and 2001.024(a)(6).

GOVERNMENT GROWTH IMPACT STATEMENT. Because the proposed amendments only concern text moved to a separate section of program rules, during the first five years that the amendments would be in effect, the proposed amendments: will not create or eliminate a government program; will not result in the addition or reduction of employees; will not require an increase or decrease in future legislative appropriations; will not lead to an increase or decrease in fees paid to a state agency; will not create a new regulation; will not repeal an existing regulation; and will not result in an increase or decrease in the number of individuals subject to the rule. During the first five years that the amendments would be in effect, the proposed amendments will not positively or adversely affect the Texas economy.

TAKINGS IMPACT ASSESSMENT. The Commission has determined that no private real property interests are affected by this proposal and the proposal does not restrict or limit an owner’s right to his or her property that would otherwise exist in the absence of government action and, therefore, does not constitute a taking under Texas Government Code, § 2007.043.

PUBLIC COMMENT. Comments on the proposal may be submitted to Mark Wolfe, Executive Director, Texas Historical Commission, P.O. Box 12276, Austin, Texas 78711. Comments will be accepted for 30
days after publication in the *Texas Register*.

**STATUTORY AUTHORITY.** These amendments are proposed under the authority of Texas Government Code § 442.005(q), which provides the Commission with the authority to promulgate rules to reasonably affect the purposes of the Commission, and Texas Government Code § 171.009, of the Texas Tax Code, which authorizes the Commission to adopt rules necessary to implement the Tax Credit for Certified Rehabilitation of Certified Historic Structures.

**CROSS REFERENCE TO OTHER LAW.** No other statutes, articles, or codes are affected by these amendments.

The Commission hereby certifies that the proposed amendments have been reviewed by legal counsel and found to be a valid exercise of the agency’s authority.
Rule §13.7 Inspection

(a) Inspection. The Commission may conduct an inspection of a project for which an application has been submitted to review current conditions, work completed in association with the current application, or previously executed phases of work.

(b) Notice. The Commission must give reasonable notice of not less than 48 hours to the applicant of its intent to inspect the property.

(c) Eligibility for the credit. Completed phases of work that do not meet the Standards for Rehabilitation are not eligible for the credit, and future phases of work performed by the same owner on the same building shall not be eligible for a credit under this program.
TAB 9.4
Consider approval of Texas Historic Courthouse Preservation Program
Round XII grant awards

Background:

The 87th Legislature appropriated $25 million for the Round XII Grant Cycle. The total amount of funds currently available for grant awards is $22,856,476 due to supplemental funding at the October 2021 and the January 2022 Quarterly Meetings.

The Round XII Grant Applications were reviewed and scored by a panel of the Courthouse Preservation Program Reviewers, the Courthouse Preservation Program Coordinator, and a staff member from another THC Division. Based upon the final scores, three funding scenarios were presented to the Architecture Committee on June 30, 2022, where project proposals were reviewed with staff and testimony was received by members of the public in support of applications. The Architecture Committee selected the following Funding Scenario to present to the full commission.

Funding Scenario:
Funds four Full Restoration projects and one Planning project. Full Restoration projects require a minimum match of 15%, whereas Planning and Emergency grants require a minimum 30% match.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Project Cost</th>
<th>Request &amp; Grant Award</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wise</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>Full Restoration</td>
<td>$9,473,201</td>
<td>$5,162,247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Full Restoration</td>
<td>$9,829,904</td>
<td>$5,953,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimble</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>Full Restoration</td>
<td>$9,406,432</td>
<td>$5,294,242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upshur</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>Full Restoration</td>
<td>$12,839,123</td>
<td>$5,218,363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comanche</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>$1,325,802</td>
<td>$928,061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$42,874,462</td>
<td>$22,556,258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$300,218</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recommended motion (Committee):
Move to send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of the Texas Historic Courthouse Preservation Program Round XII grant awards as shown in the above funding scenario. If a grant recipient declines an award, staff has the authority to award the available funds to the next highest scoring full restoration project.

Recommended motion (Commission):
Move to approve the Texas Historic Courthouse Preservation Program Round XII grant awards as shown in the above funding scenario. If a grant recipient declines an award, staff has the authority to award the available funds to the next highest scoring full restoration project.
TAB 9.5
Consider award of Round X master plan update grant to Kleberg County

Background:

Kleberg County was awarded a Round X Master Plan Update grant in the amount of $49,500 at the January 2019 Quarterly Meeting. Kleberg County executed a Funding Agreement for this grant award on February 10, 2020 and signed a contract with an architect to complete the update to their preservation master plan on March 26, 2019.

Initially, the project progressed normally but about midway through, Kleberg County stopped responding to the architect’s representative and the Courthouse Project Reviewer and would not provide answers to critical questions that hindered the continued progress of the Master Plan Update. As a result, the project stalled. The Courthouse Program Reviewer and the architect’s representative made several attempts to get necessary information and to schedule meetings with no response from the County. Formal correspondence was sent on August 23, 2021, and again on December 10, 2021 which required response by January 23 to avoid recapture of the grant funding by the THC. The letter was signed received, but still the THC and architect received no response; therefore, the Round X Master Plan Update grant of $49,500 was recaptured at the April 2022 Quarterly Meeting.

Shortly after the grant was recaptured, Kleberg County’s new Assistant District Attorney contacted the THC and humbly requested that the THC consider reallocating the Master Plan Update grant to Kleberg County. He has agreed to become the primary point of contact for the project. On July 11, 2022, the Kleberg County Commissioners’ Court considered a resolution expressing their support for updating the Kleberg County Courthouse master plan project.

Recommended motion (Committee):
Move to send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of a Round X Courthouse Master Plan Update grant in the amount of $49,500 to Kleberg County.

Recommended motion (Commission):
Move to approve a Round X Courthouse Master Plan Update grant in the amount of $49,500 to Kleberg County.
July 11, 2022

Susan Tietz, AIA  
Texas Historical Commission  
Program Coordinator, Courthouse Preservation Program  
Division of Architecture  
P.O. Box 12276, Austin, Texas 78711-2276

Re: Kleberg County master plan update grant re-award letter

Program Coordinator Teitz;

The Commissioner’s Court of Kleberg County having convened on this day July 11, 2022, and heard the presentation of Assistant District Attorney Jim Holloway regarding the Kleberg County courthouse renovation, hereby issues the following statement:

The Court acknowledges a desire to continue with the overall restoration project of our historic courthouse. To re-initiate the restoration project, the Court recognizes the Historical Commission’s requirement for an update to the master plan prepared in 2009. This update serves to address the overall project where a need has arisen pertaining to the aging HVAC system and long-term building occupancy. Upon review the Court may be more inclined to follow Option 2 of the original plan, but final consideration will be reserved for the completed update.

The Court requests a re-award for the full amount of the Maser Plan Update Grant to enable the completion of the plan update. Upon approval of the grant award, the Court assures the Historical Commission the master plan task will be completed barring any unforeseen event beyond the Court’s control, i.e. hurricane, fire, or similar event.

You attention in this matter is acknowledged and greatly appreciated by the Court.

Respectfully,

Rudy Madrid  
Kleberg County Judge
COMMUNICATIONS
AGENDA
COMMUNICATIONS COMMITTEE
DoubleTree Suites by Hilton Hotel
Houston Room
2nd Floor
303 W 15th Street
Austin, TX 78701
July 25, 2022
11:45 a.m.
(or upon adjournment of the 11:15 a.m. Community Heritage Development committee meeting, whichever occurs later)

This meeting of the THC Communications Committee has been properly posted with the Secretary of State’s Office according to the provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Chapter 551, Texas Government Code. The members may discuss and/or take action on any of the items listed on the agenda.

1. Call to Order — Committee Chairman McKnight
   A. Committee member introductions
   B. Establish quorum
   C. Recognize and/or excuse absences

2. Consider approval of the April 29, 2022, Communications Committee meeting minutes — Chairman McKnight

3. Communications Division update and committee discussion — Chris Florance
   A. Updates
   B. Major Projects Status
   C. Future Planning

4. Adjournment

NOTICE OF ASSISTANCE AT PUBLIC MEETINGS: Persons with disabilities who plan to attend this meeting and who may need auxiliary aids or services such as interpreters for persons who are deaf or hearing impaired, readers, large print or Braille, are requested to contact Esther Brickley at (512) 463-5768 at least four (4) business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.
MINUTES
COMMUNICATIONS COMMITTEE
Alamo Hall
300 Alamo Plaza
San Antonio, TX 78205
April 28-29, 2022
11:30 p.m.

Note: For the full text of action items, please contact the Texas Historical Commission at P.O. Box 12276, Austin, TX 78711 or call 512.463.6255.

Commissioners in attendance: Catherine McKnight, Jim Bruseth, Garrett Donnelly, Donna Bahorich and Rene Dutia.

1. Call to Order
The meeting was called to order by Chairman Catherine McKnight at 12:52 p.m. She announced the meeting had been posted to the Texas Register, was being held in conformance with the Texas Open Meetings Act, Texas Government Code, Chapter 551 and that notice had been properly posted with the Secretary of State’s Office as required.

A. Committee member introductions
Chairman McKnight called on commissioners to individually state their name and the city in which they reside.

B. Establish quorum
Chairman McKnight reported a quorum was present.

C. Recognize and/or excuse absences
No absences.

2. Minutes
Commissioner McKnight moved to approve the April 28, 2022, committee minutes. Commissioner Bruseth seconded the motion which passed unanimously.

3. Communications Division update and committee discussion-Chris Florance

Florance provided an update on the Spanish language site guides for the Casa Navarro and Forts guidebooks. The guides will be available for sale at each of the gift shops at the sites.

Florance also updated on a QR Codes pilot program to access translated site interpretation at the Casa Navarro State Historic Site (SHS).

Florance thanked Commissioner Dutia for the success of the DFW Panels installed at the airport. After six months new panels will be installed.

Florance reported on the purchase of Google and Pandora digital ads for the sites. Chairman Nau asked Florance to report back to Google on the success of the ads.
Florance thanked Commissioner Crane for participating in the Fannin County Courthouse event in March.

Florance mentioned that the THC logo will be present on all Real Places webpages, The Heritage Trails Program, Texas Time Travel and on the Texas Main Street Logo. Commissioner Bruseth commented on the Archeological Stewards branding. Florance said changes would be made to the Texas Treasure Business Award and Stewardship program logos.

Florance announced progress on the new agency website and stated that he would report on the ongoing project at the July 2022 meeting. An Request for Proposal for the project will be out this summer. Florance welcomed the new web content coordinator, Mike Marchio, who will help with the new agency website.

Florance reported on a digital update of the Texas Plains Trail Region brochure. He also mentioned other publications that are due in coming months, including the Biennial Report, Courthouse Cornerstones, Historic Heights, The Strategic Plan and a Tax Credit document.

4. Adjournment

At 1:17 p.m., on the motion of Commissioner McKnight and without objection, the Communications Committee meeting was adjourned.
Quarterly Report

Communications Division
April–June 2022

SOCIAL MEDIA
This quarter, social media supported several agency initiatives, including cultural heritage months, promotion of digital town halls and survey results for the statewide plan.

We also promoted 13 cities’ Imagine the Possibilities tours (several more cities are scheduled to host their tours later in summer and fall). These posts reached a total of just over 415,000 people and had an overall engagement rate of 5.4 percent.

For Asian American and Pacific Islander Heritage Month in May, we published 11 stories across social platforms, including Asian heritage in Houston, the Tongan community of Euless, and Ted Fujita’s work studying the Lubbock tornadoes of 1970. These stories reached more than 500,000 people.

In June, we published nine stories about LGBTQ+ Texas history across platforms. Stories included the Crossroads and Montrose neighborhoods in Dallas and Houston, the midcentury modern Cranfill Apartments in Austin, and historic figures such as Gloria Anzaldúa and Alvin Ailey. This is the second year the THC has offered social content during LGBTQ+ Pride Month.

WEBINARS/PHOTOS
Communications staff developed and hosted the June 15 webinar, “Juneteenth: Freedom Comes to Texas.” Presenting stories from Levi Jordan Plantation and Varner-Hogg Plantation state historic sites, the webinar featured Andrea Barefield, executive director of the Texas Brazos Trail Region; Sam Collins, historian; and Chris Elliott, site manager of Levi Jordan Plantation and Varner-Hogg Plantation state historic sites. The program had 532 registrants and 166 live attendees (31 percent attendance rate); see attached white paper for further details.

Staff photographed the well-attended event in Brazoria for the grand opening of Levi Jordan Plantation State Historic Site on June 11. We also photographed sites in Goliad for an upcoming Medallion article, including Presidio La Bahía and TPWD’s Mission Espíritu Santo State Historic Site.

HISTORIC SITES
Communications continues to focus on in-person travel, site amenities, and upcoming events at state historic sites. General promotions of sites are highlighted on agency social media, email marketing, public relations, and paid advertising efforts.
On Saturday, May 7, the THC hosted Free Historic Sites Day as part of the promotion of National Travel and Tourism Week with the Governor’s Office. The event was heavily promoted on all agency marketing channels. There were over 2,500 visitors across all participating sites.

Through lead generation campaigns with Travel Texas, TxDOT, and TourTexas.com—as well as past webinar attendees, new subscribers on the agency’s GovDelivery email network, and collection of emails at the historic sites point of sale system—there are now nearly 210,000 email addresses to promote initiatives and events at our state historic sites each month. This quarter, nearly 40,000 new subscribers were added to state historic sites promotional email lists.

A weekly branded email is sent to more than 16,000 subscribers about upcoming events at state historic sites. Branded promotional graphics are developed in partnership with select state historic sites that request marketing materials for promotion.

MEDIA RELATIONS
This quarter, press release topics included:

- Battle of San Jacinto annual event
- THC Free Historic Sites Day
- Bluff SchuetzenFest at Kreische Brewery State Historic site
- Marfa Historic District listed in the National Register
- Huston-Tillotson University listed in the National Register
- Juneteenth webinar
- Levi Jordan Plantation grand opening

We continued participating in our weekly Texas Time Travel Stories segment on Dallas’ Radio Caravan. We highlighted different places and topics, including the Dallas Historical Society’s Alamo diorama exhibit, the annual Polka Festival, exhibits at various Dallas museums, the history of barbecue, the recent Most Endangered Places list, THC Free Historic Sites Day, National Parks Week, and the National Museum of the Pacific War’s webinar, “Avengers of Pearl Harbor: Exploring Relics of the Doolittle Raid.”

Talking points were prepared for Chairman Nau for the statewide meeting of the Texas Heritage Trails Program in Waco on June 23–24.

THC sites and programs were featured several times on television and radio affiliates in Texas, including Fox 7 (Austin), KHOU (CBS Houston), KBTX (CBS Bryan), and KVIA (ABC El Paso).

EMAIL OUTREACH
The June edition of the monthly agency e-newsletter went to 143,389 subscribers, and 39,275 subscribers received the quarterly Heritage Traveler e-newsletter. Some of the most-clicked links included a blog post about general stores on TexasTimeTravel.com, the THC’s Texas Historic Sites Atlas, and registration pages for webinars on Juneteenth, genealogy, and the 1841 Santa Fe Expedition.

Other key email outreach efforts focused on numerous webinar and event promotions offered by THC historic sites, the Museum Services Program, the Cemetery Preservation Program, and the Friends of the THC.

PRINT PROJECTS
Print projects have included the spring 2022 edition of The Medallion, with the summer edition in the works. We have continued to distribute the monthly employee newsletter.

Additionally, we designed and coordinated the printing of the Revolution and Republic Historic Sites Passport booklet. We also edited, designed, and coordinated the printing of the biennial publication, FY 2023-27 THC Strategic Plan, and began the development process for other legislative publications such as Courthouse Cornerstones, Historic Heights, and the tax credit report.
Key Metrics

Summary of key metrics indicating account performance, growth, and engagement.

66.3K Change in Subscribers [more details]
Net change in subscribers to your account

63.2K Change in Subscriptions [more details]
Net change in subscriptions to your topics

2.2 Subscriptions Per Subscriber [more details]
Average number of topic subscriptions that each subscriber has as of 05/2022

52.3% Engagement Rate [more details]
Percentage of recipients who opened or clicked on a link in a bulletin in 90 days prior to 05/2022

3.4M Impressions
Total number of bulletin opens and link clicks

136.9% Network Impact [more details]
Percentage growth in subscribers as a result of using the GovDelivery Network
Effectiveness

See how your organization is increasing reach and which sources are bringing in the most subscribers.

Subscribers

Source of New Subscribers

Subscriber Profiles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overlay</td>
<td>2,754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signup Builder</td>
<td>809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network</td>
<td>5,792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upload</td>
<td>80,049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMS Integration</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deleted</td>
<td>23,759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Total Subscribers</td>
<td>+56,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Subscribers as of 05/2022</td>
<td>246,884</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent growth past 12 months: 

36.72%
Effectiveness
See how your organization is increasing reach and which sources are bringing in the most subscribers.

Subscriptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of New Subscriptions</th>
<th>Subscriptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overlay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Signup Builder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Upload</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMS Integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deleted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change in Total Subscriptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>total subscriptions as of 05/2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>5,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overlay</td>
<td>21,063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signup Builder</td>
<td>2,137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network</td>
<td>22,876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upload</td>
<td>155,768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMS Integration</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2,598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deleted</td>
<td>146,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Total Subscriptions</td>
<td>+63,235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total subscriptions as of 05/2022</td>
<td>548,728</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent growth past 12 months: **13.02%**
Effectiveness
See how your organization is increasing reach and which sources are bringing in the most subscribers.

Network impact

New Network Subscribers as Percentage of Direct

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Subscribers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Top Contributors to Your Account

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Subscribers to Your Account</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office of the Governor Texas</td>
<td>784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Department of Family and Protective Services</td>
<td>574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Workforce Commission</td>
<td>434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Real Estate Commission</td>
<td>389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Education Agency</td>
<td>376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Health and Human Services Commission</td>
<td>368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nueces County, Texas</td>
<td>358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Parks and Wildlife Department</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workforce Solutions Gulf Coast</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increase in New Subscribers using GovDelivery Network past 12 months: 136.93%
Engagement

View your most popular topics and how many subscribers are engaging with your communications.

Engagement by Month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Unique Recipients</th>
<th>Engagement Rate*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 2022</td>
<td>223,455</td>
<td>52.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 2022</td>
<td>208,253</td>
<td>51.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 2022</td>
<td>197,756</td>
<td>48.47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 2022</td>
<td>177,997</td>
<td>50.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2022</td>
<td>179,750</td>
<td>52.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 2021</td>
<td>180,196</td>
<td>53.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 2021</td>
<td>180,763</td>
<td>49.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 2021</td>
<td>179,021</td>
<td>44.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 2021</td>
<td>179,031</td>
<td>44.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 2021</td>
<td>178,637</td>
<td>43.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 2021</td>
<td>179,461</td>
<td>45.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun 2021</td>
<td>179,280</td>
<td>43.45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Engagement
View your most popular topics and how many subscribers are engaging with your communications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic Name</th>
<th>Net Change in Subscriptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THC State Historic Sites Updates and Promotions</td>
<td>50,302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History Museum Outreach and Education</td>
<td>6,017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Cemetery Preservation Announcements</td>
<td>3,606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation Scholars Program Updates</td>
<td>3,043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upcoming Events</td>
<td>2,306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas History Education Resources</td>
<td>1,901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL NEON Contacts with Email Addresses</td>
<td>1,807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Heritage Trails Program Updates</td>
<td>1,743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Places Invitees and Attendees</td>
<td>1,477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archeology Division Updates and Events</td>
<td>1,436</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Topics with the Most Bulletins Sent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic Name</th>
<th>Bulletins Sent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>History Museum Outreach and Education</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upcoming Events</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French Legation</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas History Education Resources</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varner-Hogg Plantation</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Jacinto Battleground</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission Dolores</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casa Navarro</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caddo Mounds</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Rayburn House</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Efficiency
Explore which online channels you are leveraging to maximize the impact of your communication efforts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delivery channels</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Messages Sent</strong></td>
<td><strong>Recipients</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMS</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twitter</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Improve Your Performance
by using the tips in our Granicus Best Practices Guide
Executive Summary
In this program, historians discuss the impact of emancipation for Black Texans who became free due to Juneteenth. Speakers are:

- Chris Elliott, site manager of Levi Jordan and Varner-Hogg Plantations
- Sam Collins, Galveston historian and member of the Levi Jordan Plantation advisory board
- Andrea Barefield, executive director of the Texas Brazos Trail Region

Goals

- Discuss the significance of Juneteenth to Texas history, especially as it related to the people enslaved at Levi Jordan and Varner-Hogg plantations
- Promote the reopening of Levi Jordan Plantation State Historic Site

Promotional Channels

- **Website**: homepage banner and calendar event created June 1
- **News Release**: sent to 55 media outlets, ~53% open rate
- **Social Media**:
  - The THC promoted the webinar registration link across Facebook (2 timeline posts), Instagram (2 feed posts), Twitter (2 posts), and LinkedIn (1 post).
- **Email**:
  - Invitations to register for the webinar were sent to targeted audience lists for agency news and SHS updates.

Results

- **Webinar**: 532 people registered. 166 people attended the live broadcast at noon on Wednesday, June 15 (31% of total registrants). Many people asked via email and Zoom Q&A about the availability of a recording.
- **Blog**: 563 unique pageviews of the recap blog with recording
- **Social Media**:
  - Total promotional reach was about 90,078 through 7 social media posts on Facebook, Instagram, Twitter, and LinkedIn (likely including duplicates, people who follow us on multiple platforms). These posts had 7,444 engagements (likes, comments, shares, link clicks, etc.), leading to an overall engagement rate of 8.1%.
  - Following the event, the recording was posted to Facebook, Twitter, and LinkedIn, reaching a total of 13,921 people and earning 1,075 engagements (7.4%).
  - The recording posted on YouTube was watched 748 times.
- **Email**: 5 emails were sent before the webinar:
  - THC agency news (144,167 subscribers), 5/19: 23% open rate, 48 unique clicks to registration link
  - SHS list (15,258 subscribers), 6/2: 34% open rate, 87 unique clicks to registration link
  - SHS list (15,355 subscribers), 6/8: 34% open rate, 64 unique clicks to registration link
  - THC agency news (143,935 subscribers), 6/9: 23% open rate, 355 unique clicks to registration link
  - THC agency news (111,365 subscribers who had not clicked the previous two emails), 6/13: 4% open rate, 151 unique clicks to registration link
Zoom registration links:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Name</th>
<th>Tracking Link</th>
<th>Registrations</th>
<th>Visitors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GovDelivery</td>
<td><a href="https://us06web.zoom.us/webinar">https://us06web.zoom.us/webinar</a>...</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>1011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social media</td>
<td><a href="https://us06web.zoom.us/webinar">https://us06web.zoom.us/webinar</a>...</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THC website (calendar, homepage slider)</td>
<td><a href="https://us06web.zoom.us/webinar">https://us06web.zoom.us/webinar</a>...</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Survey Results: 100 webinar attendees (60%) filled out our post-event survey.

1. How did you find out about this webinar?

   THC website
   
   Social media
   
   THC email
   
   Word of mouth
   
   Radio/TV/newspaper
   
   Other

   21% (21)
   
   8% (6)
   
   67% (67)
   
   5% (5)
   
   0% (0)
   
   5% (5)

2. Including yourself, how many people watched this webinar?
   - One (82, 82%)
   - Two (13, 13%)
   - More than two (5, 5%)
3. Overall, how would you rate this webinar?

Excellent

64% (64)

Very good

34% (34)

Good

2% (2)

Fair

0% (0)

Poor

0% (0)

4. Has your knowledge on this subject increased as a result of this webinar?

• Yes (99, 99%)
• No (1, 1%)

5. Which part(s) of the webinar did you find most valuable? (selected responses)

• All the information. I am black but from another country who was not aware of all this wonderful history. My maternal grand--father was a slave brought to work in the Panama Canal. He freed himself by scaping from the construction site and fled to the jungle and became a free individual in the Rep. of Panama. He obtained lands which he farmed and cared for. We were very happy to spend time in the land that he so happy care for.
• I knew very little about Juneteenth, so it was all a revelation
• The spirit of the members was uplifting; the shared information, a joyful celebration. Spreading the word with genuineness and passion sets the rest of us on fire, too.
• The historical perspective from the enslaved people's point of view and how freedom impacted them.
• Historian Collins' sharing his grandmother's (or other female relative?) recollections of Juneteenth, ie "the men with the guns", the U.S. Colored Troops
• Dates, discussion of army and slaves in Texas at time of emancipation, the plantations to check out and a brief history of them. The presenters were very knowledgeable and shared their passion for Texas. Thank you for the links so we can do further investigation on our own. These folks were great promoters of their respective projects!
• There is so much. The he heart and passion of Ms. Adrea Barefield, breadth of knowledge of Mr. Sam Collins, the inspiration of Mr. Chris Elliott and the work being done at Levi Jordan Plantation.
• The Galveston Juneteenth history. I have visited Galveston multiple times but have never been exposed to the Juneteenth history. My next trip was definitely include the Juneteeth history.
• Because I have been involved researching on the plantations discussed, this topic is very meaningful to me. I absolutely loved being reminded of all of the hard work and sacrifice required at the hands of our AA citizens and appreciate all that is STILL being done to bring awareness. I am honored to play a small part in educating others about the value of each person in hopes that their descendants can come to know and understand the contributions made by their ancestors.
• The Galveston Juneteenth history. I have visited Galveston multiple times but have never been exposed to the Juneteenth history. My next trip was definitely include the Juneteenth history.

6. Which part(s) of the webinar do you think needed improvement?
• one speaker would have been adequate. not enough time to ask questions
• Q&A. There were wonderful questions in the chat and the audience wanted the answers. The host should have gone straight to the questions, read them exactly as written and let the guests answer. If there was any time left, she could have said the things she said. Lost opportunity to engage with the audience and make them feel welcome at Big Mama's house too.
• Maybe more historical images in the presentation?
• It's hard sometimes when talking about the historical aspects of slavery to remember who your audience is. Presenting historical facts can sometimes seem insensitive without providing a broader context of the real pain and suffering that underly them.
• Maybe allot time for more questions to be answered.

7. What topic(s) would you like to see addressed in future webinars?
• Freedom colonies [multiple answers said the same]
• County by County
• African American history in Texas
• Freedom Colonies Notable Former Slaves and their accomplishments Results from reclaiming cemeteries around the state. Early African American Educators in Texas Early African American Undertakers African American Medical Pioneers
• More depth and complexity. Stories are riveting and inspirational.
• How to use THC sites, or stories about or related to them, in the high school or college classroom when a field trip is impossible - like whole lessons or even just short activities (e.g., something that utilizes a thinking strategy) with free downloadable materials or online links
• This topic could definitely be extended. I am interested in the history of Juneteenth celebrations or commemorations themselves. Here in NW La. we see (in old newspapers and oral histories) Juneteenth being celebrated a century ago as a holiday to segregate the Fourth of July. African Americans were given Juneteenth off but then were expected to work July 4th when white workers did have the day off. By the 1970’s or early 80’s the first African American mayor in one of our communities urged fellow African Americans NOT to celebrate Juneteenth and instead reclaim 4th of July. I've also seen in oral histories of African American elders (now deceased) that they considered Juneteenth essentially to be their Thanksgiving feast (and did not celebrate that holiday in November)
• Women during the revolution The Runaway Scrape Hispanic life in early Texas
• Borderlands mercantile development and ranching.
• The soldiers who brought the news and ensured slaves were freed.

8. Do you have any additional comments or feedback about this webinar?
• Thank you very much. I have a grand-son who was born in Tx.. He lives in Michigan. He was one listened to the webinar. I sent him and his mother the information so he can become aware of the history of the state he was born. It was only possible because of the ability to connect through the different technology advances.
• The two main speakers were fantastic and so knowledgeable! I also appreciated the professional but still collegial manner of the facilitator.
• Keep up the good work of spreading everyone’s stories - the good ones and the hard to hear ones. We must learn our true history to understand and work thru our present realities.
• Excellent job! Can't wait to see more like this!
- I really like the zoom platform except that there were some glitches in the audio this time. It may have been my equipment since you made it so easy to join.
- Thank you all for all the work you do to help my fellow Texans get better educated about our history.
- It was an excellent webinar and I truly enjoyed it.

9. What is your age range?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Range</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75 years or older</td>
<td>13% (13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-74 years</td>
<td>26% (26)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64 years</td>
<td>27% (27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54 years</td>
<td>16% (16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44 years</td>
<td>6% (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34 years</td>
<td>8% (8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-24 years</td>
<td>1% (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 18 years</td>
<td>1% (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to say</td>
<td>2% (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. What is your gender?
- Female: 14
- Male: 5
11. What is your race or ethnicity?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Origin</th>
<th>Percentage (Count)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>66% (66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino, any race</td>
<td>18% (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>15% (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>0% (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American, American Indian, or Alaska Native</td>
<td>4% (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>0% (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Another race not listed here</td>
<td>0% (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to say</td>
<td>5% (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12. What is your highest level of education?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education Level</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Some high school</td>
<td>0% (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school diploma or GED</td>
<td>5% (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate's degree</td>
<td>7% (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor's degree</td>
<td>34% (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master's degree</td>
<td>34% (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional degree (for example: MD, JD, DVM)</td>
<td>2% (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctorate (for example: PhD, EdD)</td>
<td>8% (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4% (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to say</td>
<td>5% (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Executive Summary

- **Total Social Media Followers:** 372,423 (3.3% increase)
- **Total e-Newsletter Subscribers:** 246,203 (15.6% increase)
- **Total Reach on Social Media:** 9,754,069 (27.3% increase)
- **Total Engagements (likes, comments, shares, etc.):** 598,009 (21% increase)

### Social Media Followers, Agency Accounts

- **Facebook:** 105,973 (3.3% increase)
- **Instagram:** 57,593 (4.9% increase)
- **Twitter:** 20,180 (4.1% increase)
- **YouTube:** 21,831 (5.8% increase)
- **LinkedIn:** 4,595 (13.7% increase)

### Total Social Media Followers, Including Historic Sites

- **Facebook:** 242,308 (2.4% increase)
- **Instagram:** 81,030 (4.3% increase)
- **Twitter:** 20,729 (3.9% increase)
- **YouTube:** 23,051 (6% increase)
- **LinkedIn:** 5,305 (12.9% increase)

### Engagement Rate by Platform, Agency Accounts

- **Facebook:** 2.4%; **Instagram:** 5.1%; **Twitter:** 2.4%; **YouTube:** 7.1%; **LinkedIn:** 5.5%

### Online Video

- **Total Video Views in Q2**
  - YouTube: 604,271
  - Facebook: 30,793

- **Top Videos (by number of views in Q2)**
  - *Speaking Texas German*: 454,302 (6,195,928 total views)
  - *Goliad Massacre 360*: 21,647 (277,567 total views)
  - *Vaqueros of South Texas*: 9,929 (660,635 total views)

- **Juneteenth: Freedom Comes to Texas webinar**
  - 532 registrations, 166 live attendees (31% attendance rate); see attached white paper for further details.

### e-Newsletters

- **Total Subscribers:** 246,203 (15.6% increase)
- **Top Email Topic Subscriptions**
  - SHS Updates and Promotions: 210,133
  - THC e-Newsletter: 143,184
  - Heritage Traveler e-Newsletter: 39,229
  - History Museum Outreach and Education: 24,848
  - Historical Marker Program Updates: 17,373

- **Total Unique Email Opens:** 657,541 (22.8%)
- **Overall Engagement Rate:** 52.3%
- **Unique Link Clicks:** 38,642 (1.3%)
  - *Explore the THC's Frontier Forts*: 1,321
  - Statewide Historic Preservation Plan Survey (link deprecated when survey closed): 1,095
  - *Historic General Stores in Texas*: 648
Agency Blog

- **Total Blog Views in Q2:** 23,537 (0.6% increase over Q1)
- **Top Blog Posts:**
  - *Explore the THC’s Frontier Forts* (featured in SHS e-newsletter in June): 1,466 views
  - *Plantations’ Past* (featured on Juneteenth webinar landing page): 1,324 views
  - *Descendants of Austin’s Old 300:* 1,226 views

Top Social Media Posts

- **Facebook**
  - *HEB history:* 506,331 reach, 69,076 engagements
  - *Barbette:* 212,491 reach, 32,999 engagements
  - *JA Ranch:* 182,713 reach, 28,107 engagements
- **Instagram**
  - *HEB history:* 324,597 reach, 14,774 engagements
  - *St. John Colony:* 52,223 reach, 3,405 engagements
  - *Texas City disaster:* 69,558 reach, 2,766 engagements
- **Twitter**
  - *HEB history:* 11,318 impressions, 474 engagements
  - *Tex Avery:* 6,836 impressions, 230 engagements
  - *First gay pride parade in Texas, Dallas:* 6,525 impressions, 266 engagements
- **LinkedIn**
  - *HEB history:* 39,832 impressions, 2,199 engagements
  - *San Jacinto Day:* 4,822 impressions, 256 engagements
  - *SWP survey results, SHS:* 3,022 impressions, 208 engagements

Historic Sites Performance, Facebook

- **Most Engaged Historic Site Facebook Posts**
  - *Bluff SchuetzenFest promo,* Kreische Brewery: 31,041 reach, 3,403 engagements
  - *Doolittle Raid,* NMPW: 32,757 reach, 2,471 engagements
  - *Dorothy Wade McHugh,* Fulton Mansion: 39,762 reach, 2,446 engagements
- **SHS videos on Facebook:**
  - Historic sites shared 54 videos in Q2 with a total reach of 70,079 and 30,793 total views
  - **Most viewed SHS videos:**
    - *History on Tap promo,* Kreische Brewery: 7,502
    - *Easter egg hunt promo,* Fulton Mansion: 3,043
    - *Foraging with Merriwether,* Caddo Mounds: 2,317

Historic Site Educational Webinars

- Casa Navarro hosted two webinars this quarter, with 220 total registrations and 117 total live attendees (53% attendance rate)
  - Working Women into the Borderlands: 82 registrations, 38 live attendees (46% attendance rate)
Executive Summary
In celebration of National Preservation Month and National Travel and Tourism Week, the Texas Historical Commission (THC) offered free admission to participating state historic sites on Saturday, May 7.

Goals
- Recognize National Preservation Month and National Travel and Tourism Week
- Raise awareness of our state historic sites to first time visitors
- Encourage future travel and support of state historic sites

Promotional Channels
- Social Media:
  - The THC promoted the event in nine posts across Facebook, Instagram, Twitter and LinkedIn.
- Email Marketing:
  - Marketing emails were sent to the state historic sites marketing list and the THC newsletter list to promote the event.
- Press Release:
  - A press release was sent to statewide media and submitted to Travel Texas for their promotion of upcoming events as part of National Travel and Tourism Week.
- Website:
  - An event banner was created for the THC homepage and an event landing page was posted on the online calendar of events.

Results
- Attendance: Over 2,500 people visited participating state historic sites on May 7
- Social Media:
  - Total reach: 175,877 (organic 74,628; paid 101,249)
  - Total impressions: 268,553 (organic 70,006; paid 198,547)
  - Total engagements: 6,022 (organic 2,532; paid link clicks 3,490), 2.2%
- Email:
  - April 21 agency news email: 144,586 subscribers; 22% open rate (30,117 unique opens); 1% click rate (1,397 unique clicks); 173 unique clicks were to the Free Historic Sites Day link.
- Media:
  - KBTX TV: Visit Texas Historic Sites for free on May 7
  - Austin Chronicle: Day Trips & Beyond: May Events Roundup (Free Sites Day)
  - Groesbeck Journal: Free Admission to Texas Historical State Historic Sites Saturday, May 7
Promotional Graphics

Promo Flyer

Social Media Square

Newsletter Banner

Social Media Landscape
### Overview

**Periods Compared:**
- Apr 1, 2022 - Jun 28, 2022
- Apr 1, 2021 - Jun 28, 2021

**Pageviews**
- **Apr 1, 2022 - Jun 28, 2022:** 16,000
- **Apr 1, 2021 - Jun 28, 2021:** 456,679

**Change:**
- **Pageviews:** -3.22% (456,679 vs 471,875)
- **Unique Pageviews:** -2.49% (383,808 vs 392,565)
- **Avg. Time on Page:** -7.52% (00:02:04 vs 00:02:14)
- **Bounce Rate:** -2.23% (61.81% vs 63.22%)
- **% Exit:** -2.00% (53.03% vs 54.11%)

### Page Title

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page Title</th>
<th>Pageviews</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. <a href="http://www.thc.texas.gov">THC.Texas.Gov - Texas Historical Commission</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 1, 2022 - Jun 28, 2022</td>
<td>26,651</td>
<td>5.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 1, 2021 - Jun 28, 2021</td>
<td>23,019</td>
<td>4.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>15.78%</td>
<td>19.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Search Results</td>
<td>THC.Texas.gov - Texas Historical Commission</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 1, 2022 - Jun 28, 2022</td>
<td>16,285</td>
<td>3.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 1, 2021 - Jun 28, 2021</td>
<td>16,974</td>
<td>3.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>-4.06%</td>
<td>-5.87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. State Historical Markers</td>
<td>THC.Texas.gov - Texas Historical Commission</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 1, 2022 - Jun 28, 2022</td>
<td>14,752</td>
<td>3.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 1, 2021 - Jun 28, 2021</td>
<td>15,463</td>
<td>3.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>-4.60%</td>
<td>-1.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Washington-on-the-Brazos State Historic Site</td>
<td>THC.Texas.gov - Texas Historical Commission</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 1, 2022 - Jun 28, 2022</td>
<td>12,067</td>
<td>2.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 1, 2021 - Jun 28, 2021</td>
<td>13,780</td>
<td>2.92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>-12.43%</td>
<td>-8.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. 2022 Free Historic Sites Day</td>
<td>THC.Texas.gov - Texas Historical Commission</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 1, 2022 - Jun 28, 2022</td>
<td>10,442</td>
<td>2.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 1, 2021 - Jun 28, 2021</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Job Opportunities</td>
<td>THC.Texas.gov - Texas Historical Commission</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 1, 2022 - Jun 28, 2022</td>
<td>10,995</td>
<td>2.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 1, 2021 - Jun 28, 2021</td>
<td>9,266</td>
<td>1.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>12.31%</td>
<td>16.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. San Jacinto Battleground State Historic Site</td>
<td>THC.Texas.gov - Texas Historical Commission</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 1, 2022 - Jun 28, 2022</td>
<td>9,948</td>
<td>2.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 1, 2021 - Jun 28, 2021</td>
<td>13,239</td>
<td>2.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>-24.82%</td>
<td>-22.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Explore State Historic Sites</td>
<td>THC.Texas.gov - Texas Historical Commission</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 1, 2022 - Jun 28, 2022</td>
<td>9,111</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 1, 2021 - Jun 28, 2021</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>108.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Fulton Mansion State Historic Site</td>
<td>Rockport-Fulton, Texas</td>
<td>Texas Historical Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 1, 2022 - Jun 28, 2022</td>
<td>6,311</td>
<td>1.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 1, 2021 - Jun 28, 2021</td>
<td>7,239</td>
<td>1.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-12.82%</td>
<td>-9.92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 1, 2022 - Jun 28, 2022</td>
<td>5,808</td>
<td>1.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 1, 2021 - Jun 28, 2021</td>
<td>9,595</td>
<td>2.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>-38.53%</td>
<td>-36.49%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

© 2022 Google
April Print

Publications: 124
Clips: 237
Column Inches: 13,320
Advertising Equivalent ($): 1,272,609.71
Readership: 5,291,920

April Digital
Mentions/Exposure: 97
Potential Reach (people/readers): 80,480,000
Advertising Equivalent ($): 744,600

May Print

Publications: 142
Clips: 318
Column Inches: 18,013
Advertising Equivalent ($): 795,577.83
Readership: 5,312,905

May Digital
Mentions/Exposure: 78
Potential Reach (people/readers): 813,670,000
Advertising Equivalent ($): 7,526,200

June Print*

Publications: 95
Clips: 231
Advertising Equivalent ($): 617,882.28
Readership: 3,377,210

June Digital*
Mentions/Exposure: 103
Potential Reach (people/readers): 554.9 million
Advertising Equivalent ($): 5,132,000

* Data is representative of coverage through June 28, 2022.
Highlights from Q2

Levi Jordan Opening – June 11, 2022
Number of Media Outlets who covered it: 4
Media Outlet Types: print, online
Potential Reach: 2,730,000 people

Highlights: Original article by The Facts was re-printed in the San Antonio Express-News.

Free Sites Day - May 7, 2022
Number of Media Outlets who reported on Workshop: 5
Media Outlet Types: TV, print, online
Potential Reach: 1,588,000 people

Highlights: Received air time on KBTX (CBS Bryan/College Station).

Top 10 Publications by Reach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Historic Sites</th>
<th>News</th>
<th>Texas Historical Commission</th>
<th>News</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yahoo! News</td>
<td>WOAI-AM (Radio)</td>
<td>425.4 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131.7 M</td>
<td>The Houston Chronicle</td>
<td>KTVT-DAL (CBS)</td>
<td>197.9 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1 M</td>
<td>San Antonio Express</td>
<td>KLRU</td>
<td>43.3 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 M</td>
<td>KTBC-TV</td>
<td>KDAF (CW)</td>
<td>36.1 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 M</td>
<td>Austin American-State</td>
<td>CW 39</td>
<td>16. M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>888,916</td>
<td>Roadtrippers</td>
<td>The CW Austin</td>
<td>13.3 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>521,974</td>
<td>The Austin Chronicle</td>
<td>CBS 4 Valley Central</td>
<td>9.9 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313,702</td>
<td>newsexplorer.net</td>
<td>Spectrum News Austin</td>
<td>9.8 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151,630</td>
<td>KTEN-TV</td>
<td>Texas Public Radio</td>
<td>9.3 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128,080</td>
<td>The Facts</td>
<td>KWTX-WAC (CBS)</td>
<td>6.5 M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2022 Second Quarter (April – June)
Distribution of Coverage by Subject

Created: 28 Jun 2022
Apr 1, 2022 to Jun 30, 2022
Report generated by Meilwater ©
## African Americans in Texas: A Lasting Legacy
### FY2022 Booklet Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Calls &amp; Written Requests</strong></td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direct Mail</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Legislative Requests</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Relations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Southern Living</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Texas Highways</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Texas Monthly</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TTIA Insert</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Web Site</strong></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal:</strong></td>
<td>43</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Box Requests</strong></td>
<td>49</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(60 per box)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td>2,583</td>
<td>1,578</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>17,817</td>
<td>4,638</td>
<td>1,878</td>
<td>2,035</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Booklet launched December 2016

#Revised AA delivered 10.12.16

*Out of stock 6.9.22
## Bankhead Highway
### FY2022 Brochure Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct Mail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative Requests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Relations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Living</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Highways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Monthly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TourTexas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTIA Insert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX State Trav. Guide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Site</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>481</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>216</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Box Requests (500 per box)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>18</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>45</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>9,216</td>
<td>2,574</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>23,049</td>
<td>2,945</td>
<td>4,437</td>
<td>3,425</td>
<td>2,198</td>
<td>4,487</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>September</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>November</td>
<td>December</td>
<td>January</td>
<td>February</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>June</td>
<td>July</td>
<td>August</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls &amp; Written Requests</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Folder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative Requests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Relations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Living</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Highways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Monthly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TourTexas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTIA Insert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX State Travel Guide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Site</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>233</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>107</td>
<td>7514</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box Requests (200 per box)</th>
<th>34</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>27</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>6,907</td>
<td>10,314</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>15,108</td>
<td>2,359</td>
<td>5,429</td>
<td>2,245</td>
<td>1,151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reprint 6.1.2017
Received Boxes at warehouse 6.28.17
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calls &amp; Written Requests</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Folder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative Requests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Relations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Living</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Highways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Monthly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tour Texas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTIA Insert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX State Trav.Guide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Site</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal:</strong></td>
<td><strong>99</strong></td>
<td><strong>21266</strong></td>
<td><strong>22</strong></td>
<td><strong>58</strong></td>
<td><strong>133</strong></td>
<td><strong>118</strong></td>
<td><strong>184</strong></td>
<td><strong>156</strong></td>
<td><strong>51</strong></td>
<td><strong>47</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box Requests (200 per box)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>6,899</strong></td>
<td><strong>31,266</strong></td>
<td><strong>22</strong></td>
<td><strong>258</strong></td>
<td><strong>13,133</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,918</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,384</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,956</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,051</strong></td>
<td><strong>847</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call &amp; Written Requests</td>
<td>September 2021</td>
<td>October 2021</td>
<td>November 2021</td>
<td>December 2021</td>
<td>January 2022</td>
<td>February 2022</td>
<td>March 2022</td>
<td>April 2022</td>
<td>May 2022</td>
<td>June 2022</td>
<td>July 2022</td>
<td>August 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls &amp; Written Requests</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Folder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative Requests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Relations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Living</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Highways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Monthly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tour Texas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTIA Insert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX State Trav. Guide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Site</td>
<td>2547</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>1039</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>173</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>2556</td>
<td>22973</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>1383</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box Requests (60 per box)</th>
<th>107</th>
<th>90</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>23</th>
<th>166</th>
<th>26</th>
<th>41</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>15</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8,976</td>
<td>28,373</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>1,586</td>
<td>11,343</td>
<td>2,304</td>
<td>2,881</td>
<td>2,280</td>
<td>1,971</td>
<td>1,145</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Brochure launched in December 2014
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calls &amp; Written Requests</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Mail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative Requests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Relations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Approach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Living</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Highways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Monthly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tour Texas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTIA Insert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX State Trav. Guide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Site</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal:</strong></td>
<td><strong>179</strong></td>
<td><strong>30516</strong></td>
<td><strong>38</strong></td>
<td><strong>72</strong></td>
<td><strong>108</strong></td>
<td><strong>184</strong></td>
<td><strong>226</strong></td>
<td><strong>106</strong></td>
<td><strong>151</strong></td>
<td><strong>44</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box Requests (200 per box)</th>
<th>34</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>66</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>6,979</strong></td>
<td><strong>31,716</strong></td>
<td><strong>838</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,272</strong></td>
<td><strong>13,308</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,184</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,026</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,306</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,151</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,044</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Brochure launched April 2010
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calls &amp; Written</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newspaper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor Mailroom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Relations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Living</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Highways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Monthly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tour Texas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTIA Insert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX State Trav. Guide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Site</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>77</strong></td>
<td><strong>95</strong></td>
<td><strong>96</strong></td>
<td><strong>106290</strong></td>
<td><strong>388</strong></td>
<td><strong>316</strong></td>
<td><strong>208</strong></td>
<td><strong>194</strong></td>
<td><strong>252</strong></td>
<td><strong>179</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box Requests (90 per box)</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>5,747</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,525</strong></td>
<td><strong>186</strong></td>
<td><strong>106,740</strong></td>
<td><strong>14,338</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,016</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,458</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,454</strong></td>
<td><strong>882</strong></td>
<td><strong>809</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Texas Pecos Trail Region
### FY2022 Brochure Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calls &amp; Written Requests</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Mail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative Requests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Relations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Living</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Highways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Monthly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tour Texas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTIA Insert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX State Trav. Guide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Site</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal:</strong></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75064</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box Requests (375 per box)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>9,075</strong></td>
<td><strong>95,314</strong></td>
<td><strong>34</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,555</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,349</strong></td>
<td><strong>106</strong></td>
<td><strong>178</strong></td>
<td><strong>93</strong></td>
<td><strong>88</strong></td>
<td><strong>60</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls &amp; Written Requests</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Folder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative Requests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Relations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Living</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Highways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Monthly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tour Texas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTIA Insert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX State Trav. Guide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Site</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>71</strong></td>
<td><strong>64587</strong></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
<td><strong>48</strong></td>
<td><strong>89</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
<td><strong>202</strong></td>
<td><strong>47</strong></td>
<td><strong>93</strong></td>
<td><strong>35</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Box Requests (200 per box)     | 27             | 45           | 1              | 1              | 62            | 6             | 7          | 7          | 3        | 3         |           |             |
| <strong>Total</strong>                      | <strong>5,471</strong>      | <strong>73,587</strong>   | <strong>220</strong>        | <strong>248</strong>        | <strong>12,489</strong>    | <strong>1,300</strong>     | <strong>1,602</strong>   | <strong>1,447</strong>  | <strong>693</strong>  | <strong>635</strong>   | <strong>0</strong>     | <strong>0</strong>       |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calls &amp; Written Requests</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newspaper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative Requests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Relations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Living</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Highways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Monthly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tour Texas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTIA Insert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX State Trav. Guide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Site</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29016</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Box Requests (90 per box)    | 33        | 18      | 0        | 2        | 93      | 7        | 10    | 2     | 4   | 3    |      |        |
| Total                        | 2,999     | 30,636  | 4        | 215      | 8,425   | 703      | 935   | 213   | 376| 284  | 0    | 0      |

Brochure launched in September 2015
### Texas Heritage Trails Program: Regional and Thematic Brochures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRAIL REGION BROCHURE</th>
<th>INITIAL PRINTING QUANTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>REPRINT (1) QUANTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>REPRINT (2) QUANTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>REPRINT (3) QUANTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>REPRINT (4) QUANTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>YTD QUANTITY</th>
<th>INITIAL PRINTING COST</th>
<th>REPRINT (1) COSTS</th>
<th>REPRINT (2) COSTS</th>
<th>REPRINT (3) COSTS</th>
<th>REPRINT (4) COSTS</th>
<th>TO DATE COST</th>
<th>NUMBER LEFT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Texas Heritage Trail Cost</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>12/9/16</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>10/19/15</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>9/24/15</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>300,751.44</td>
<td>63,605.25</td>
<td>73,510.92</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Out of Stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Ports Region</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>9/30/16</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>12/01/15</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>07/06/15</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>4/20/15</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>669,889</td>
<td>55,280</td>
<td>75,084</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$225,649</td>
<td>9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Texas Region</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>9/1/16</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>1/31/15</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>1/31/15</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>69,768</td>
<td>57,395</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$127,163</td>
<td>Out of Stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Lakes Region</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>4/20/16</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>6/2005</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>05/11/15</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>900,000</td>
<td>68,000</td>
<td>45,565</td>
<td>88,323</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$205,927</td>
<td>Out of Stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Border Region</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>10/1/16</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>85,566</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$85,966</td>
<td>Out of Stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Plains Region</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>8/24/16</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>82,481</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$82,481</td>
<td>Out of Stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Ranch Region</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>2/10/16</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>84,647</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$84,647</td>
<td>Out of Stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Mountain Region</td>
<td>550,000</td>
<td>2/6/16</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>550,000</td>
<td>92,431</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$92,431</td>
<td>Out of Stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Hill Country</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>1/28/16</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td>Out of Stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Hill Country</td>
<td>550,000</td>
<td>5/9/16</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>550,000</td>
<td>91,375</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td>Out of Stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Hill Country</td>
<td>550,000</td>
<td>4/10/16</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>550,000</td>
<td>83,480</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$83,480</td>
<td>10,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4,950,000</td>
<td>900,000</td>
<td>855,000</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,188,788</td>
<td>225,845</td>
<td>386,374</td>
<td>75,984</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$81,167,749</td>
<td>$408,537</td>
<td>$304,186</td>
<td>$54,010</td>
<td>$110,574</td>
<td>$158,426</td>
<td>Out of Stock</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### THEMATIC BROCHURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INITIAL PRINTING QUANTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>REPRINT (1) QUANTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>REPRINT (2) QUANTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>REPRINT (3) QUANTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>REPRINT (4) QUANTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>YTD QUANTITY</th>
<th>INITIAL PRINTING COST</th>
<th>REPRINT (1) COSTS</th>
<th>REPRINT (2) COSTS</th>
<th>REPRINT (3) COSTS</th>
<th>REPRINT (4) COSTS</th>
<th>TO DATE COST</th>
<th>NUMBER LEFT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African Americans in Texas</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>125,000</td>
<td>81.99</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>5.111</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>10.1216</td>
<td>875,000</td>
<td>$59,257</td>
<td>$53,526</td>
<td>$83,541</td>
<td>$83,256</td>
<td>$128,057</td>
<td>$408,137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic Texans</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>4.115</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>267,767</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$267,767</td>
<td>44,010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Hispanic Spanish</td>
<td>109,310</td>
<td>8.115</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>109,310</td>
<td>110,574</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$110,574</td>
<td>15,570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas in the Civil War</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>125,000</td>
<td>17.10</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>1.310</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>34,717</td>
<td>$122,955</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$158,426</td>
<td>Out of Stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>934,380</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>650,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>253,410</td>
<td>469,299</td>
<td>254,901</td>
<td>83,541</td>
<td>83,256</td>
<td>$128,057</td>
<td>$117,054</td>
<td>$58,580</td>
<td>$54,186</td>
<td>$164,994</td>
<td>$146,994</td>
<td>$158,426</td>
<td>Out of Stock</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heritage Tourism Brochure Summary.
COMMUNITY HERITAGE DEVELOPMENT
AGENDA
COMMUNITY HERITAGE DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE
DoubleTree Suites by Hilton Hotel
Houston Room
2nd Floor
303 W 15th Street
Austin, TX 78701
July 25, 2022
11:15 a.m.
(or upon the adjournment of the 10:30 a.m. Architecture committee meeting, whichever occurs later)

This meeting of the THC Community Heritage Development Committee has been properly posted with the Secretary of State’s Office according to the provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Chapter 551, Texas Government Code. The members may discuss and/or take action on any of the items listed in the agenda.

1. Call to Order — Committee Chairman Peterson
   A. Committee member introductions
   B. Establish quorum
   C. Recognize and/or excuse absences

2. Consider approval of the April 28, 2022 committee meeting minutes — Committee Chairman Peterson

3. Community Heritage Development Division update and committee discussion — Patterson
   A. Update on division staffing
   B. Update on TexasTimeTravel.com
   C. Status of Texas Treasures Business Award improvements
   D. Update on the Texas Main Street Program activities including DowntownTX.org
   E. Update on heritage tourism activities including Texas Heritage Trails Program
   F. Update on the Certified Local Government activities including grants, training, and prospective CLGs
   G. Update on the Real Places Conference

4. Adjournment
1. Call to Order

The meeting of the Texas Historical Commission (THC) Community Heritage Development Committee was called to order by Committee Chairman Pete Peterson at 11:30 a.m.

A. Committee member introductions

Chairman Peterson welcomed everyone. Members in attendance, in addition to the Chair, included Commissioners Garrett Donnelly, Lilia Garcia, Donna Bahorich, and Renee Dutia.

B. Establish Quorum

Chairman Peterson noted a quorum was present.

C. Recognize and excuse absences

Chairman Peterson noted that Commissioners Daisy Sloan White and Monica Zárate Burdette were absent. Commissioner Garcia moved to excuse their absences, seconded by Commissioner Bahorich. Motion passed unanimously.

2. Consider approval of the February 1, 2021 committee meeting minutes—Committee Chairman Peterson

Commissioner Bahorich moved, Commissioner Garcia seconded, and the committee voted unanimously to approve the February 1, 2021 Community Heritage Development Committee meeting minutes.

3. Consider approval of the FY 2023 funding plan for the Texas Heritage Trails Program—Committee Chairman Peterson

Division director Bradford Patterson discussed the recurring plan for financial support for the 10 trail regions. The funding available is $1,000,000 for each year of the biennium, which is the same amount as 2021 and 2022.

Note: For the full text of action items, please contact the Texas Historical Commission at P.O. Box 12276, Austin, TX  78711 or call 512.463.6100.
Last year, in July, the Commission only approved fiscal year 2022 and requested that the division return for FY 2023 after considering whether to increase funds directed to the heritage trail regions.

Mr. Patterson proposes no changes in the funding plan wherein a base funding of $60,000, divided quarterly, is provided to each of the regions. They also can earn up to $21,500 in matching contributions. Referring to expenditure line items, Mr. Patterson explained that increasing the funding directly to the regions is not a viable option due to the portions of the funds already needed for activities that support the program.

Chairman Peterson moved to approve the FY 2023 funding plan. Commissioner Bahorich seconded.

Chairman Peterson requested explanation how the trail regions report their usage of the funding. Mr. Patterson cited the contract that each region submits at the beginning of the year. This includes a scope of work and a proposed budget with an explanation for how funding, both from the THC and their own fundraising, will be used. At the end of the year, each region provides a revised budget detailing more accurately how their funding was used.

Motion passed unanimously.

4. Presentation and discussion of the heritage tourism consumer data dashboard—Sarah Page, Heritage Tourism Program coordinator, and Justin Minsker, historic sites communications coordinator

Mr. Patterson introduced Sarah Page and Justin Minsker to discuss their ongoing collection of anonymous consumer data. To accrue relevant details on heritage travelers, cell phones are tracked, as they cross through digital geofences, around historic sites, museums, and trail regions across Texas. For this evaluation of the data, a heritage traveler is someone that lives at least 50 miles from the attraction. These details are organized in a web-based dashboard which will then be used to create reports for the historic sites and the trail regions. This project will help update the varying profiles of today’s heritage tourist and ultimately shape more nuanced marketing strategies. Programming will likely also be influenced by this collection of consumer data. The Texas Main Street Program and Museum Services will be receiving these updates for their communities so that they may modernize and grow their outreach efforts in response to the changing demographic in Texas.

Ms. Page confirmed that the data is truly anonymous, we are unable to match data to a specific cell phone or user. Further we do not know the names of the cell phone users in general either.

Commissioner Bahorich suggested that the Agency offer education support toward the increased inclusion of Texas history in public school curriculum.

Commissioner Garcia commented that the Hispanic population is not monolithic, there is in fact a lot of diversity, as the data shows. She looks forward to promoting the diversity within that group in Texas.

5. Community Heritage Development Division update and committee discussion—Division director Patterson

Mr. Patterson provided an update on staff changes. Since the last meeting, three vacancies have developed within the Community Heritage Development Division: event planner, Certified Local Government
coordinator, and Main Street special projects coordinator. One position has been filled by current Architecture Division staffer, Kelly Little. She has been working with Lisa Hart as a project reviewer, has a wealth of prior experience, and will start as the Certified Local Government coordinator in mid-May.

The Real Places Conference 2023 planning is underway. Partial refunds have been offered for those attendees that could not join the 2022 conference due to weather, though many have opted to generously donate those funds back to the Friends of the Texas Historical Commission.

May is Preservation Month and the beginning of the Downtown,TX series of local tours, Imagine the Possibilities. Local Main Street programs plan and oversee the events while the agency provides promotional support, including graphics, social media posts, and technical assistance.

This year’s statewide Texas Heritage Trails Program meeting will take place on June 23rd and 24th in either New Braunfels or Waco.

6. Adjournment
The meeting was adjourned at 12:05 p.m.
WORK IN COMMUNITIES

The communities participating in CHD’s programs rely heavily on our staff expertise and guidance, which often must be delivered onsite.

Throughout March, April, and May, staff provided measurable assistance to all 10 trail regions and 41 communities. Assistance, or in some cases multiple incidences of assistance, was provided to Bay City, Beeville, Canton, Carthage, Castroville, Comstock, Conroe, Corsicana, Cuero, Denison, Denton, Elgin, Farmersville, Fort Griffin, Freeport, Galveston, Goliad, Gonzales, Kerrville, Kingsville, La Grange, Laredo, Levelland, Llano, Marfa, McKinney, Mesquite, Montgomery, Mount Pleasant, Nacogdoches, New Braunfels, Paris, Pilot Point, Plainview, San Angelo, San Augustine, San Marcos, Taylor, Uvalde, Waco, Weatherford.

REAL PLACES 2023 CONFERENCE

The seventh annual Real Places Conference will take place on February 1-3, 2023, at the Doubletree by Hilton Austin Hotel.

The staff and public are responding positively with more than 80 session proposals submitted for 30 available spots. The evaluation and selection process is underway, as is the identification of keynote speakers. The budget and technology to permit a fully hybrid conference with both in-person and online participants is being investigated. We are cautiously optimistic that the conference can make this leap with the 2023 event to expand the audience by reaching students and others who cannot make the trip, as well as to recapture the out-of-state participants from the 2021 virtual conference.

DOWNTOWNTX.ORG LICENSING EFFORT WITH GEORGIA

The Georgia Department of Community Affairs has completed its due diligence and federal procurement requirements. They are preparing the initial project submission that includes a Letter of Intent necessary to fund the drafting of the legal agreements, which will then be approved by Georgia and the Friends of the Texas Historical Commission Board of Trustees. Software development will not begin until the legal and contractual steps are completed.

HERITAGE TOURISM

The Heritage Tourism Team successfully soft launched the redesigned TexasTimeTravel.com on June 1. Immediately following the launch, the team distributed a user feedback survey. The survey was accessible from the website itself, as well as through emails to targeted THC constituencies. According to early survey results, nearly 60 percent of the respondents were seeking travel information and roughly 40 percent sought information on Texas history. Almost 90 percent of respondents were satisfied or very satisfied with the site’s design and appearance, and nearly 85 percent were satisfied or very satisfied with the site’s options for navigation. Specific recommendations from the user feedback survey will be used to continue to improve the website.

The team is utilizing the consumer research dashboard to influence the messaging on TexasTimeTravel.com, the direction of new travel publications, and to assist the heritage trail regions in specific marketing efforts. We have developed a series of standardized quarterly reports for each region that contains information about the previous quarter’s visitors, including demographics, length of stay, visitor origin, and much more. The regions can use this information to better understand their visitors and improve their consumer marketing and outreach.
Data from the consumer research dashboard shows the following heritage tourism statistics from calendar year 2022 to date:

**The State of Heritage Tourism in Texas in 2022**
- 2,372,814 total visitors to heritage sites and attractions
- 15,189,370 total visitor days
- Average trip length is 2 days
  - 44 percent are day trips
  - 30.5 percent are 5+ days
- 7,441,106 total number of trips
- Friday–Sunday are the biggest travel days
- The top origin markets are:
  - Texas (63 percent)
  - Oklahoma
  - California
  - Louisiana
  - Arkansas
  - Florida
- Demographics
  - Caucasian (70-72 percent)
  - Hispanic (18-22 percent)
  - African American (2-3 percent)
  - 32-37 percent are between 45-64 years of age
  - 19-23 percent have a household income between $100,000 and $150,000 annually

**TEXAS TREASURE BUSINESS AWARD IMPROVEMENTS**
The Texas Treasure Business Award (TTBA) is halfway through the first year of a strategic improvement plan that introduces several promotional and administrative strategies, including new quarterly nomination deadlines. The result of these and other efforts to market TTBA and engage more of our constituencies, has been a substantial increase in nominations. As of this quarter, we have already surpassed the total number of nominations we received in all of 2021 at 22 total nominations. At this pace, we hope to double if not triple prior year numbers.

We are also processing an additional 56 nominations thanks to our partnership with Daniel Vaughn, barbecue editor at *Texas Monthly*, who helped compile a list of TTBA-eligible barbecue businesses across Texas. The awarded businesses will be honored at a special event during *Texas Monthly*’s BBQ Fest in Lockhart this November.

We’re launching a similar effort on the THC’s social media channels this summer to identify historic *taquerias* and *tortillerias* across the state. This initiative—along with another project to turn the business histories and their many remarkable stories into a digital archive—will be spearheaded by our 2022 Preservation Scholars, Nati Roman and Deborah Hill.

**NEW STAFF MEMBERS JOIN THE DIVISION**
Kelly Little started as the Certified Local Government coordinator on May 16. She was previously with the Division of Architecture team administering disaster grants, planning, and recovery projects. Little has been with the agency in various roles and with a few breaks since 2009. She started as a project reviewer in Architecture, but also worked as a FEMA and GLO employee embedded within the THC. In those roles, she reviewed and facilitated disaster recovery projects sponsored by those agencies, handling both eligibility and effect determinations. Little has also worked on the private sector side of preservation as a consultant with McDoux Preservation working on resource surveys and other projects for public and private clients.

Little has a master’s degree in historic preservation from the School of the Art Institute of Chicago, with a bachelor’s degree in journalism and mass communication with a focus on advertising and a minor in Spanish.

The agency welcomed Audrey Butera as the new event planner on June 1. Prior to joining the agency, she spent over a decade as an event planner for an international asset management firm, managing more than 200 events annually.

Butera has a bachelor’s degree in English, with an emphasis on creative writing from the California State University, Northridge, and a graphic design (Design Communication Arts) certification from the University of California, Los Angeles.
FINANCE & GOVERNMENT RELATIONS
AGENDA
FINANCE & GOVERNMENT RELATIONS COMMITTEE
DoubleTree Suites by Hilton Hotel
2nd Floor
Houston Room
303 W 15th Street
Austin, TX 78701
July 25, 2022
1 p.m.

This meeting of the THC Finance & Government Relations committee has been properly posted with the Secretary of State’s Office according to the provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Chapter 551, Texas Government Code. The members may discuss and/or take action on any of the items listed in the agenda.

1. Call to Order – Chair McKnight
   A. Committee member introductions
   B. Establish quorum
   C. Recognize and/or excuse absences

2. Consider approval of the April 29, 2022, Finance and Government Relations Committee meeting minutes

3. Consider approval of contract amendment with XXXX (Item 6.6) – Rubin
   A. AJR Media for Geolocation data – extend initial term to 8/31/23 and increase by $19,761
   B. B-Sign dba Eagle Sign and Design for Historical Markers – extend term to 9/30/23
   C. Coastal Environments for San Felipe – extend term to 2/20/23
   D. Samuel Collins, III for Levi Jordan State Historic Site – extend term to 1/14/24

4. Consider acceptance of donations (Item 6.7) Rubin – Custom Gooseneck stock trailer from Washington on the Brazos Historical Foundation, valued at $15,846 (Washington-on-the-Brazos SHS) and $1,415,924 from NorthPoint Development, LLC for the Texas Preservation Trust Fund/Dallas County Grants

5. Consider approval of annual operating budget for FY 2023 (item 12.2) – Estrada

6. Financial dashboard review – Estrada

7. Legislative Report – Aldredge

8. Adjournment

NOTICE OF ASSISTANCE AT PUBLIC MEETINGS: Persons with disabilities who plan to attend this meeting and who may need auxiliary aids or services such as interpreters for persons who are deaf or hearing impaired, readers, large print or Braille, are requested to contact esther.brickley@thc.texas.gov at least four (4) business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.
1. Call to Order
The meeting of the Texas Historical Commission (THC) Finance and Government Relations Committee was called to order by committee Chair Catherine McKnight at 3:39 p.m. on April 28, 2022. She announced the meeting had been posted with the Secretary of State's Office according to the provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Chapter 551, Texas Government Code.

A. Committee member introductions
Committee members present included:
Committee Chair Catherine McKnight
Chairman John Nau
Commissioner John Crain
Commissioner Garrett Donnelly
Commissioner Renee Dutia

B. Establish quorum
Chair McKnight reported a quorum was present and declared the meeting open.

C. Recognize and/or excuse absences
Chair McKnight noted that committee members Commissioner David Gravelle and Commissioner Daisy White were absent. Commissioner John Crain moved to excuse the absence of Commissioners Gravelle and White, Chairman John Nau seconded, and the committee voted unanimously.

2. Consider approval of the February 1, 2022, Finance and Government Relations Committee meeting minutes
Commissioner John Crain moved to approve the minutes from the February 1, 2022, committee meeting. Commissioner Garrett Donnelly seconded, and the committee voted unanimously to approve the minutes of the February 1, 2022, Finance and Government Relations committee meeting.

3. Consider approval of contract amendment with Coastal Environments, Inc. (808-14-1256) to extend the contract to February 20, 2023, for the completion of artifact curation at the San Felipe de Austin SHS (Item 6.7)
Deputy Executive Director Alvin Miller reported the contractor currently completing archeological investigations at the San Felipe de Austin State Historic site needed to extend the contract to May 20, 2022, to complete fieldwork, artifact analysis, and the final report. He noted this extension request was due to
unforeseen contractor staff departure during the Covid pandemic, reporting requirements in the FY 22-23 General Appropriations Act (GAA), and other unanticipated circumstances. Two additional contract amendments were needed to allow Coastal Environments, Inc. to complete the artifact curation and final report. Only one amendment for the execution of the remaining 90-day extension in the contract was presented for consideration of the Commission. He explained that process would allow for the continuation of the work under the contract while providing time to complete the Legislative Budget Board contracts database notification required by GAA, Art. IX, Sec. 17.09 (e) 30-days in advance to extend the contract outside the options allowed within the current contract. Miller noted that a second amendment would be requested in July 2022 after the advance notification period had been fulfilled and would include nine additional months needed to complete work under the contract.

Commissioner Garrett Donnelly moved that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of the amendment to contract 808-14-1256 with Coastal Environments, Inc. to extend the contract end date to August 18, 2022, to allow for the advanced notification required by GAA, Art. IX, Sec. 17.09(e). An additional extension would be requested in July 2022 to extend for the total time needed to complete the artifact curation and final report. Commissioner John Crain seconded, and the committee voted unanimously.

4. **Consider acceptance of donations/gifts-in-kind** (None)
   Miller reported there were no donations during the second quarter.

5. **Financial dashboard review**
   Miller introduced Daniel Estrada, THC Chief Financial Officer. Estrada gave a brief overview of the first and second quarter; noting the budgets were obligated for the rest of the Fiscal Year with room for any anticipated needs that may arise. He reported there were no other concerns with the budget.

6. **Legislative Report**
   Vaughn Aldredge, Government Relations Specialist reported six legislators attended the Friends of THC Gala, Senator Larry Taylor, Senator Dawn Buckingham, Representative John Cyrier, Representative Tom Craddick, Senator Charles Schwertner, and Senator Lois Kolkhorst. Aldredge noted the House and Senate had announced interim studies for the summer and THC was working on preparing legislative publications to be ready for the session.

7. **Adjournment**
   The committee adjourned at 3:53 p.m.
Quarterly Report
Staff Services Division
March–May 2022

PURCHASING
The purchasing section has processed 1,757 purchase orders and 1,531 procurement card shopping lists for FY 2022.

ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND PAYROLL
Accounts payable processed 7,374 travel, and payment transaction vouchers totaling $20,039,295.72 during FY 2022.

For FY 2022, $534,323.99 of procurement card expenditures have been processed.

For FY 2022, 22 payrolls (regular and supplemental) have been processed totaling $16,846,738.56.

BUDGET
THC budget staff have reviewed budgets for 1,630 requisitions and 1,531 procurement card shopping lists during FY 2022

FINANCIAL REPORTING
These financial reports have been prepared and submitted since Dec. 1, 2021:

- Monthly Set-Aside Report
- 941 Quarterly Tax Returns
- Monthly Bond Fund Reports
- Monthly Operating Budgets
- Monthly Sales Tax Returns
- Quarterly Performance Measures
- Quarterly Binding Encumbrance Report
- Quarterly ABEST/USAS Reconciliation
- Quarterly Disaster Federal Funds Report to LBB for SB 8 funding received for Washington-on-the-Brazos ($20 million)
- Base Reconciliation

HUB
The THC percentages for FY 2022 through May 31 are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>THC Actual</th>
<th>THC Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heavy Construction</td>
<td>4.41%</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Construction</td>
<td>42.21%</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Trade</td>
<td>18.81%</td>
<td>32.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Service</td>
<td>18.99%</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Service</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodity Purchasing</td>
<td>11.06%</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The HUB coordinator and staff are reviewing policies and procedures to streamline and find new ways to enhance our good-faith effort in meeting and exceeding our goals.

We continue to reach out to HUB vendors for projects through agency-sponsored forums and other agency forums, as well as soliciting on the Electronic State Business Daily and utilizing the Centralized Master Bidders List for all formal bids and proposals. We are also reaching out to non-HUB vendors that could be eligible to be a HUB by assisting in the certification process or identifying those expenditures for supplemental reporting consideration.

The agency participated in the following HUB events:


Teacher Retirement System 20th Annual Purchasing and HUB Connection Forum on June 7. Ryan McHale represented the agency.
DASHBOARD
The information contained in this report is for State Fiscal Year 2022, which began on September 1, 2021. This report contains revenues and expenditures that were processed during the first quarter of fiscal year 2022 through May 31, 2022.

**AGENCY FUNDING - FY 2022**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources of Funding</th>
<th>Estimated Appropriations and Revenue</th>
<th>Actual Appropriations and Revenue</th>
<th>% Budget Received</th>
<th>Explanations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Revenue</td>
<td>$25,079,260.00</td>
<td>$25,079,260.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Revenue (UB)</td>
<td>$2,901,501.55</td>
<td>$2,901,501.55</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Star of the Republic Museum (445 - HB2, 87th); Capitol Complex Deferred Maintenance ($150,000 - HB2, 87th); HSD Deferred Maintenance ($2,250,000 - HB2, 87th); Technology Upgrades - Website ($36,590 - HB2, 87th); Courthouse Grants ($15,559.91 - HB2, 87th)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporting Goods Tax</td>
<td>$13,783,000.00</td>
<td>$10,337,295.00</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>Tax revenue transferred from Comptroller at the end of each month. The agency has a 14,185,583/12/month from the Comptroller's Office.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporting Goods Tax (Additional)</td>
<td>$2,422,000.00</td>
<td>$1,614,666.67</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>Revised tax revenue transferred from Comptroller at the end of each month due to November CRE (Certified Revenue Estimate). The agency receives $2,459,111.12/month that began on December 1, 2021.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporting Goods Tax (UB)</td>
<td>$3,112,277.61</td>
<td>$3,112,277.61</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Unexpended Sporting Goods Sales tax from FY 2021. Difference between 2nd Qtr and 3rd Qtr is a reversal back to 2021 in the amount of $40,000 to cover any outstanding obligations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gate Fees Appropriated</td>
<td>$56,666.00</td>
<td>$42,926.43</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation Trust Fund</td>
<td>$248,625.00</td>
<td>$1,664,149.00</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>Actuals reflect $1,415,924 received from NorthPoint Development in addition to the $486,265 original appropriation. The $1,415,924 is anticipated to be UB-ed into the next fiscal year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funds</td>
<td>$1,186,965.30</td>
<td>$531,108.87</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>The THC submitted the Federal Application for 2022 during the 3rd Quarter and is awaiting approval. A draw has been submitted during the 4th quarter but not reflected in current totals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funds - National Park Services (HRM Funds)</td>
<td>$2,114,239.00</td>
<td>$1,222,502.11</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>Current budgeted amounts include amounts for salaries, other operating expenses, and grants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funds - COVID 19 funding</td>
<td>$20,000,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Funding received in 3rd Called Session for the Washington-on-the-Brazos Capitol project. The Agency's application to the Governor's Office for this funding was approved in April. Funds will be drawn as expended in quarterly quarters as the project progresses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Sites Bond Fund 7213 (UB)</td>
<td>$39,877.77</td>
<td>$39,877.77</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Unexpended balance of bond fund 7213 for Historic Sites projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Sites Bond Fund 7838 (UB)</td>
<td>$10,874.93</td>
<td>$10,874.93</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Unexpended balance of bond fund 7838 for Historic Sites projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Stabilization Fund (UB)</td>
<td>$29,272,186.61</td>
<td>$29,272,186.61</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Courthouse Grants ($232,587,045.79 - HB2, 87th); HSD Deferred Maintenance ($205,140.82 - SB500. 86th); National Museum of the Pacific War ($5,500,000.00 - HB2, 87th)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriated Receipts</td>
<td>$366,363.00</td>
<td>$92,709.00</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>Cost Recovery program - Fees from marker sponsors. The amounts expended for the program, markers paid through May total $86,654.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Credit Review Fees</td>
<td>$92,709.00</td>
<td>$537,781.73</td>
<td>369%</td>
<td>The Commission is only appropriated the first $57,000 collected for review fees and anything over that amount is swept by the Comptroller's Office to the General Fund. The total actual amounts collected is just a reference figure to understand the popularity of this program and represents what has been collected through May.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Street Ovens</td>
<td>$80,000.00</td>
<td>$83,985.00</td>
<td>105%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gift Shop Sales</td>
<td>$215,819.00</td>
<td>$277,805.32</td>
<td>115%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle Sales &amp; Grazing Lease</td>
<td>$14,200.00</td>
<td>$16,359.00</td>
<td>115%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Housing</td>
<td>$20,170.00</td>
<td>$18,186.88</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>Based on current staff housing projections; it is estimated the housing receipts will exceed the current budgeted amount by approximately $12,000. Budget will be adjusted in the 4th Quarter for actual collected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialty License Plates</td>
<td>$8,023.12</td>
<td>$6,890.42</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>Original budget is $2,000. Budget and Revenues include UB from 2021 of $5,109.25 and interest earned in 2022 of $13.87.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other Appropriated Receipts</td>
<td>$41,125.88</td>
<td>$106,616.79</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>Donations of $7,913.58; Copies of $651.30; Other rental of $30,500 for contract between TPWD and San Jacinto Battleground (24,000) and easement for Varner Hogg from CenterPoint Energy ($6,158); National Museum of the Pacific War Administrative Fees of $40,451.72; Sale of Publications $63.71; Insurance settlements of $22,916.59; and reimbursements of $4,119.89. Budget adjustments will be made in the 4th Quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interagency Contracts</td>
<td>$218,362.00</td>
<td>$84,696.88</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>Received 1st and 2nd Quarter reimbursements, 3rd Quarter will be billed during the 4th Quarter.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Funding** | $101,816,337.77 | $77,153,821.77 | **100%** | **Year to date as of February 28, 2022** |
### TXH Budget Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division</th>
<th>Total Budgeted</th>
<th>Total Expended</th>
<th>% Budget Expended</th>
<th>Remaining Total</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>$1,211,903.00</td>
<td>$749,731.12</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>$762,171.88</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archeology</td>
<td>$3,244,349.00</td>
<td>$1,282,562.56</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
<td>$2,007,786.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architecture</td>
<td>$1,882,054.68</td>
<td>$1,173,865.80</td>
<td>62.4%</td>
<td>$708,188.88</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Development</td>
<td>$1,731,544.00</td>
<td>$765,649.05</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>$965,894.95</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CourtHouse</td>
<td>$32,501,935.70</td>
<td>$32,101,582.02</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>$390,353.68</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Sites</td>
<td>$54,159,376.95</td>
<td>$37,995,423.32</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>$16,163,953.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History Programs</td>
<td>$1,528,050.44</td>
<td>$1,050,966.78</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>$477,083.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation Trust Fund</td>
<td>$248,825.00</td>
<td>$21,119,036.75</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>$229,709.25</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Heritage Trust</td>
<td>$1,000,000.00</td>
<td>$367,647.68</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>$632,352.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Budget and Expenditures</td>
<td>$101,816,337.77</td>
<td>$71,115,046.75</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
<td>$30,701,291.02</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Budget and Expenditures by Category - FY 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Total Budgeted</th>
<th>Total Expended</th>
<th>% Budget Expended</th>
<th>Remaining Total</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>History Programs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries and Wages</td>
<td>$17,276,678.24</td>
<td>$4,392,566.59</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
<td>$12,884,111.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Personnel Costs</td>
<td>$677,683.96</td>
<td>$208,078.53</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
<td>$469,595.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel in-State</td>
<td>$222,054.66</td>
<td>$44,734.51</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>$177,320.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Out-of-State</td>
<td>$36,297.00</td>
<td>$42,317.77</td>
<td>24.1%</td>
<td>$9,984.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>$112,720.10</td>
<td>$266,003.63</td>
<td>23.4%</td>
<td>$86,280.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracted Services</td>
<td>$1,064,697.86</td>
<td>$510,746.28</td>
<td>32.9%</td>
<td>$553,951.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing and Reproduction</td>
<td>$141,448.45</td>
<td>$74,737.29</td>
<td>52.9%</td>
<td>$66,711.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumable Supplies</td>
<td>$567,262.61</td>
<td>$259,774.48</td>
<td>45.9%</td>
<td>$307,488.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>$673,770.57</td>
<td>$259,774.48</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
<td>$413,996.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Expenditures</td>
<td>$1,804,579.43</td>
<td>$1,127,220.61</td>
<td>62.2%</td>
<td>$677,358.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History Programs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Services</td>
<td>$1,847,953.04</td>
<td>$1,068,960.84</td>
<td>57.8%</td>
<td>$778,992.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants</td>
<td>$31,877,262.43</td>
<td>$33,036,280.34</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>$117,017.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital</td>
<td>$33,684,974.64</td>
<td>$31,824,786.78</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>$8,859,187.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Service</td>
<td>$577,800.00</td>
<td>$434,960.31</td>
<td>75.5%</td>
<td>$142,839.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital, Grants, and Debt Service</td>
<td>$17,711,880.68</td>
<td>$16,165,498.48</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>$546,382.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total Budget and Expenditures        |                |                |                   |                |             |
|                                     | $101,816,337.77| $71,115,046.75| 20.7%             | $30,701,291.02 | 6.6%        |

---

**TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION - FINANCIAL DASHBOARD**

**FISCAL YEAR 2022**

**Year to date as of February 28, 2022**

**BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY CATEGORY - FY 2022**
# TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION - FINANCIAL DASHBOARD
## FISCAL YEAR 2022

### PERSONNEL - FY22

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division</th>
<th>Budgeted FTEs</th>
<th>Actual FTEs</th>
<th>Over/Under</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>21.70</td>
<td>18.70</td>
<td>(3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archeology</td>
<td>18.10</td>
<td>17.50</td>
<td>(0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architecture</td>
<td>18.50</td>
<td>14.60</td>
<td>(3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Heritage Development</td>
<td>18.30</td>
<td>16.80</td>
<td>(1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courthouse</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Sites</td>
<td>183.30</td>
<td>183.60</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History Programs</td>
<td>25.80</td>
<td>24.50</td>
<td>(1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Holocaust, Genocide, Antisemitism Advisory Comm</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>(1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation Trust Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total FTEs</strong></td>
<td><strong>299.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>288.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>(11.1)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

 Harvey, Irma, Maria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund</th>
<th>Budgeted FTEs</th>
<th>Actual FTEs</th>
<th>Over/Under</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Architecture National Park Service Grant</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>(1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archeology National Park Service Grant</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration National Park Service Grant</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total FTEs</strong></td>
<td><strong>5.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>4.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>(1.50)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### KEY DATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Report Name</th>
<th>Agency Report Recipient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 20, 2022</td>
<td>Base Reconciliation 2021-2023</td>
<td>Legislative Budget Board, Governor's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 31, 2022</td>
<td>Federal Funds Application for 2022 Funds</td>
<td>National Park Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1, 2022</td>
<td>Strategic Plan for 2023-2027</td>
<td>Legislative Budget Board, Governor's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 5, 2022</td>
<td>LAR for 2024-2025</td>
<td>Legislative Budget Board, Governor's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 18, 2022</td>
<td>Annual Financial Report</td>
<td>Comptroller of Public Accounts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 31, 2022</td>
<td>Annual Report of Nonfinancial Data</td>
<td>Governor's Office, State Auditor's Office, Legislative Budget Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 31, 2022</td>
<td>2022 Federal End-of-Year Report Due</td>
<td>National Park Service</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TAB 12.2
Annual Operating Budget FY 2023 Summary

The 87th Legislature appropriated THC approximately $29.9 million for fiscal year 2023. These appropriations include approximately $26.2 million of General Revenue, which includes $14.6 million of Sporting Goods Sales Tax.

Recommended motion (Committee): Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of the Texas Historical Commission Fiscal Year 2023 Operating Budget

Recommended motion (Commission): Move to approve the Texas Historical Commission Fiscal Year 2023 Operating Budget.
## THC Budget Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THC Budget Categories</th>
<th>Administration Division</th>
<th>Archeology Division</th>
<th>Community Heritage Division</th>
<th>Division of Architecture</th>
<th>Historic Sites Division</th>
<th>History Programs Division</th>
<th>Communications Division</th>
<th>Staff Services Division</th>
<th>Texas Holocaust, Genocide, and Antisemitism Advisory Commission</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 Salaries and Wages</td>
<td>$1,673,000</td>
<td>$1,318,000</td>
<td>$1,222,000</td>
<td>$1,445,000</td>
<td>$8,773,000</td>
<td>$1,418,000</td>
<td>$660,000</td>
<td>$1,299,000</td>
<td>$399,000</td>
<td>$17,970,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105 Other Personnel Costs</td>
<td>51,123</td>
<td>53,300</td>
<td>36,550</td>
<td>47,800</td>
<td>315,700</td>
<td>68,267</td>
<td>25,650</td>
<td>50,300</td>
<td>8,500</td>
<td>657,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 Travel In-State</td>
<td>34,500</td>
<td>19,248</td>
<td>29,604</td>
<td>35,425</td>
<td>87,296</td>
<td>36,500</td>
<td>6,100</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>283,673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201 Travel Out-of-State</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>7,404</td>
<td>12,350</td>
<td>10,509</td>
<td>4,986</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>53,229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202 Fuel</td>
<td>8,304</td>
<td>5,792</td>
<td>3,400</td>
<td>9,619</td>
<td>103,250</td>
<td>2,900</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>138,165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 Professional Services</td>
<td>162,688</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>125,398</td>
<td>2,335</td>
<td>1,106,935</td>
<td>2,400</td>
<td>15,250</td>
<td>26,000</td>
<td>74,000</td>
<td>1,519,006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301 Contracted Services</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>2,600</td>
<td>49,308</td>
<td>328,725</td>
<td>18,900</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>400,923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 Printing and Reproduction</td>
<td>5,500</td>
<td>5,500</td>
<td>100,100</td>
<td>2,450</td>
<td>18,635</td>
<td>2,100</td>
<td>46,385</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>187,270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>401 Consumable Supplies</td>
<td>7,777</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>7,710</td>
<td>408,578</td>
<td>4,311</td>
<td>2,325</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>447,201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>402 Utilities</td>
<td>98,008</td>
<td>14,140</td>
<td>32,161</td>
<td>18,050</td>
<td>974,112</td>
<td>13,670</td>
<td>25,879</td>
<td>8,150</td>
<td>6,050</td>
<td>1,190,419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>403 Rent</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>10,502</td>
<td>13,900</td>
<td>13,691</td>
<td>285,270</td>
<td>2,600</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>10,600</td>
<td>11,500</td>
<td>381,563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 Other Expenditures</td>
<td>255,069</td>
<td>5,075</td>
<td>20,650</td>
<td>13,252</td>
<td>533,950</td>
<td>6,370</td>
<td>11,441</td>
<td>34,523</td>
<td>10,273</td>
<td>892,593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>501 Giftshop Merchandise</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>235,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>235,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>502 Historical Markers</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>341,442</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>344,442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600 Computers and Furniture</td>
<td>320,803</td>
<td>23,994</td>
<td>42,058</td>
<td>14,466</td>
<td>1,200,803</td>
<td>25,333</td>
<td>11,848</td>
<td>21,715</td>
<td>4,920</td>
<td>1,665,939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>605 Repairs and Maintenance</td>
<td>4,750</td>
<td>3,413</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96,664</td>
<td>772,835</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17,500</td>
<td>1,700</td>
<td>896,873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700 Grants</td>
<td>500,150</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>935,000</td>
<td>1,322</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96,669</td>
<td>1,593,142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800 Capital</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>515,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>515,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900 Debt Service</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>490,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Budget Categories</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,159,892</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,338,866</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,478,771</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,770,601</strong></td>
<td><strong>$16,209,092</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,349,979</strong></td>
<td><strong>$810,478</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,488,438</strong></td>
<td><strong>$665,712</strong></td>
<td><strong>$23,871,925</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Texas Historical Commission Annual Operating Budget Fiscal Year 2023**
- Texas Holocaust, Genocide, and Antisemitism Advisory Commission
  - **July 25-26, 2022 Quarterly Meeting**
  - **1 of 2 7/11/2022**
# Texas Historical Commission Annual Operating Budget Fiscal Year 2023

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method of Finance</th>
<th>Administration Division</th>
<th>Archeology Division</th>
<th>Community Heritage Division</th>
<th>Division of Architecture</th>
<th>Historic Sites Division</th>
<th>History Programs Division</th>
<th>Communications Division</th>
<th>Staff Services Division</th>
<th>Texas Holocaust, Genocide, and Antisemitism Advisory Commission</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Revenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,691,991</td>
<td>$566,667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporting Goods Tax</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14,533,000</td>
<td>56,393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Site Gate Fees (Rider 8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGST - Capital Appropriations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>834,035</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGST - Debt Service (Rider 9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>56,393</td>
<td>56,393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporting Goods Sales Tax Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>890,428</td>
<td>890,428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Cemeteries (Rider 5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>97,000</td>
<td>97,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation Trust Fund GR-D (Rider 12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>497,250</td>
<td>497,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funds (Historic Preservation Fund)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>142,991</td>
<td>142,991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funds (HIM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>55,900</td>
<td>55,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriated Receipts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32,968</td>
<td>36,660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical Markers (Rider 3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$366,363</td>
<td>366,363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Cemeteries (Rider 5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,800</td>
<td>3,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Credit Review Fees (Rider 15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>97,000</td>
<td>97,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Street Program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gift shop Sales</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>282,569</td>
<td>282,569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle &amp; Grazing Receipts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14,200</td>
<td>14,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Reimbursements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20,170</td>
<td>20,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriated Receipts Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>860,302</td>
<td>860,302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interagency Contracts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$218,362</td>
<td>218,362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TxDOT Section 106 Contract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interagency Contracts Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>218,362</td>
<td>218,362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>License Plate Fees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,900</td>
<td>2,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Stabilization Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Method of Finance</td>
<td>$3,159,892</td>
<td>$1,338,866</td>
<td>$2,478,771</td>
<td>$1,770,601</td>
<td>$16,209,092</td>
<td>$1,949,979</td>
<td>$810,478</td>
<td>$1,488,438</td>
<td>$665,712</td>
<td>$29,871,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTEs</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>22.50</td>
<td>170.50</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>305.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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HISTORIC SITES
This meeting of the THC Historic Sites committee has been properly posted with the Secretary of State's Office according to the provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Chapter 551, Texas Government Code. The members may discuss and/or take action on any of the items listed in the agenda.

1. Call to Order
   A. Committee member introductions
   B. Establish quorum
   C. Recognize and/or excuse absences

2. Consider approval of the April 28, 2022, Historic Sites Committee meeting minutes

3. Consider approval to repeal rule 16.7 of the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, related to Historic Sites, Friends Organizations without changes to the text as published in the May 20, 2022, issue of the Texas Register (47 TexReg 2939-2940) – (Item 6.4B)

4. Consider adoption of rule 16.7 of the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, related to Historic Sites, Supporting Nonprofit Partners, without changes to the text as published in the May 20, 2022, issue of the Texas Register (47 TexReg 2940-2942) – (Item 6.4C)

5. Approval of the FY2023 Longhorn Herd workplan – (Item 13.2)

6. Consider approval to deaccession items from the National Museum of the Pacific War, Sam Rayburn House, and Washington-on-the-Brazos State Historic Sites – (Item 13.3)

7. Historic Sites Facilities Report

8. Community Engagement Report


10. Casa Navarro State Historic Site Report


12. Levi Jordan Plantation State Historic Site Project Report

13. Deputy Executive Director of Historic Sites Update

14. Adjournment

NOTICE OF ASSISTANCE AT PUBLIC MEETINGS: Persons with disabilities who plan to attend this meeting and who may need auxiliary aids or services such as interpreters for persons who are deaf or hearing impaired, readers, large print or Braille, are requested to contact Esther Brickley at (512) 463-5768 at least four (4) business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.
Commissioners in attendance: John Crain (Chair), Earl Broussard, Jim Bruseth, David Gravelle, Laurie Limbacher, and Pete Peterson.

1. Call to Order
   The meeting was called to order by Chairman John Crain at 2:01 pm on April 28, 2022. The meeting had been posted to the Texas Register, was being held in conformance with the Texas Open Meetings Act, Texas Government Code, Chapter 551 and that notice had been properly posted with the Secretary of State’s Office as required.

   A. Committee member introductions
      Chairman Crain welcomed all present and conducted roll call.

   B. Establish quorum
      Chairman Crain reported that a quorum was present and declared the meeting open.

   C. Recognize and/or excuse absences
      Absences: Chairman Crain noted that Commissioner Monica Zárate Burdette was absent and called for a motion to excuse her absence. Motion to excuse the absence was made by Commissioner Pete Peterson and was seconded by Commissioner Jim Bruseth. Chairman Crain called for a vote. Vote to approve was unanimous.

2. Consider approval of the February 1, 2022, Historic Sites Committee meeting minutes
   Chairman Crain asked if anyone had any comments regarding the minutes. There being none, he called for a motion. Commissioner Bruseth moved to approve the February 1, 2022, minutes and the motion was seconded by Commissioner Earl Broussard. Chairman Crain called for a vote. Vote to approve was unanimous.

3. Consider approval of Phase II Assessment for G. W. Bush Childhood Home – (Item 13.2)
   Deputy Executive Director of Historic Sites Joseph Bell introduced Ellen Cone Busch, Director of Historic Sites Operations to provide background on the assessment of the George W. Bush Childhood Home. Busch noted that for the Phase II Assessment, staff worked with a review committee of experts to advise on the assessment of the site. She noted that their input and feedback was valuable to both affirm and to add to the initial assessment, and to deepen the understanding of the site’s contribution to local heritage tourism and what its contribution to the THC system of historic sites would be. She provided information on the expert panel: Sam Childers is a Historian and author, currently the operations director for the LBJ National Historical Park; Melissa Hagins, heritage tourism expert and Executive Director of the Texas Pecos Trail Region; and Kathy Shannon, museum professional currently serving as the Executive Director at the Petroleum Museum in Midland.
Busch noted that, in the Phase II report, staff were able to detail some of the initial cost estimates in the Phase I Assessment to present a fuller and more accurate picture of projected costs. She said that the most significant increase was the estimate for development, repairs, and improvements to the site that was revised upward by $1,488,243 to $3,085,879. The change reflected more thorough detailing of repair costs as well as two significant additions by means of a small maintenance facility, which the site did not currently have, and the expansion to the current visitor building, adding square footage to better accommodate exhibits.

Chairman Crain then called for a motion. Commissioner Bruseth moved to send forward to the full commission and recommended that the Phase II Evaluation of the G. W. Bush Childhood Home be accepted with the recommendation that the site be approved as a state historic site. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Peterson. Chairman Crain called for a vote. Vote to approve was unanimous.

4. Consider filing authorization to the repeal of section 16.7 of the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, related to Historic Sites, Friends Organizations, for first publication and public comment in the Texas Register – (Item 13.3)
Bell introduced Angela Reed to provide background information regarding this and the next item on the agenda. Reed said that the repeal of the rule and the subsequent submitting of a new rule was housekeeping to update the Texas Administrative Code. She noted that the rule, as currently stated, allowed for sites to organize and to partner with supporting nonprofit organizations, but it did not support the requirements that the partner nonprofits comply with current legal requirements and industry best practice standards for public transparency. Chairman Crain moved to send forward to the commission and recommend approval for first publication and public comment in the Texas Register. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Bruseth. After some brief discussion, Chairman Crain called for a vote. Vote to approve was unanimous.

5. Consider filing authorization of new section 16.7 of the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, related to Historic Sites, Supporting Nonprofit Partners, for first publication and public comment in the Texas Register – (Item 13.4)
Bell again introduced Angela Reed to provide background information regarding this item. Reed said that the new rule established the minimum criteria for the terms of the MOA between the THC, the historic site, and the nonprofit, and reflected IRS and Texas Secretary of State law for nonprofits, as well as industry best practice standards to achieve transparency and mission alignment between the historic site and the supporting nonprofit. She further noted that this new rule would be identical to that of Texas Parks and Wildlife's Rule for Nonprofit Partners. Chairman Crain moved to send forward to the full commission and recommend approval for first publication and public comment in the Texas Register. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Bruseth. Chairman Crain called for a vote. Vote to approve was unanimous.

6. Historic Sites Facilities Report
Bell began the report by saying that the graph on the screen showed the progress of major architectural projects from planning through construction. He provided updates on the following sites, referencing the slides and the images being shown.

Caddo Mounds: The visitor center project progress had been steady since March. The structural steel had been installed to support the main roof dome and the smaller steel rings and the radiating wooden beams were being installed.

Fort Ruins Stabilization: The ruins stabilization projects at the forts were moving forward. Fort Griffin was complete and the work at Fort Lancaster was expected to be complete in July 2022.

Sabine Pass Battleground: The construction RFP had been posted, and staff conducted a pre-bid conference on March 24. Six general contractors attended this conference. Bids were due on May 24.
Magoffin Home: The structural repairs to the 1901 Visitor Center building were continuing and would be completed this summer.

Palmito Ranch Battlefield: The construction documents were complete, and THC was awaiting news concerning its request for capital authority so that THC could put the project out for bid.

Varner-Hogg Plantation: The house stabilization and preservation project continued, with a focus on coordinating the necessary archeological clearance requirements with the work of the construction contractor.

Landmark Inn: The engineers were moving into the technical design phase for the preservation work on the Medina River dam.

Fanthorp Inn: Staff were continuing to develop the scope documents for the Fanthorp Inn exterior preservation project.

San Felipe de Austin: Staff had begun programming and schematic design work for three new buildings that would house site maintenance functions, archeological processing, and retail operations. Bell noted that the staff began referencing this project as the “MARS” complex for short.

Bell said that the Port Isabel Lighthouse lens would be installed in the fall.

7. Casa Navarro Site Development Overview
Bell said that conversations continue with the City of San Antonio, Bexar County, and University of Texas San Antonio (UTSA). He noted that the Red Building was scheduled to be demolished and THC would lease that land to the creek from Bexar County. He also said that the County Jail demolition was complete, and the land had been sold to UTSA. Bell stated that design work was underway on a university classroom building on the location. He continued noting that the city parking lot in front of the historic site was scheduled to be sold to UTSA. Staff continue conversations with the City and UTSA to secure a small portion of land in front of the historic site to develop a small parking lot. Referencing the slide being shown, he said that the conceptual plan for the development of the county land and right-of-way on Laredo Street would include eight parking spaces, an educational center and relocation of the Jose Navarro statue to a new entry. Chairman Nau made some comments about his discussions with the City, County, and UTSA regarding THC needs for the site.

8. Levi Jordan Plantation Project update
Bell began by noting that work continued on the site development at Levi Jordan. He stated that the African American advisory committee was meeting regularly and providing feedback to the design team. He said that the next meeting was scheduled for May 13. He continued noting that part of the exhibit design was the integration of contemporary art into the galleries. This will include art pieces, music, spoken word, and readings from literature and would tell the distinct stories of Texans of African American heritage from slavery to today highlighting the role African heritage has in American culture.

Bell then introduced Chris Elliot, Site Manager for Levi Jordan Plantation. Elliot, describing the slides being shown and noted that the work was almost complete on the Levi Jordan Archeological Lab, dorm rooms, and the classroom/kitchen area. He described the scheduled Black Market event and provided some details on the vendors that would be attending. Elliott concluded by saying that the Varner-Hogg Easter Egg Roll was a grand success and had grown exponentially over its very short life.
9. **San Jacinto Development Overview**

Bell said that the Legislative Budget Board approved the capital authority to purchase the Almonte Surrender Site. Staff was waiting on Texas Parks and Wildlife Department and the National Park Service to provide the executed contract. THC was moving forward with acquisition. He stated that Historic Sites staff was working with the Friends of the THC on grants for an archeology master plan for San Jacinto. He noted that the new exhibit gallery addition was to be located to the left of the monument in the designed amphitheater. He continued noting that the new site plan was to fill in the ship slip to restore the Texan camp area, to strengthen vehicle approach to the new visitor center, and maintain parking around the monument.

Bell said that the battleground would also be restored. Detailing what was being shown on the slides, he explained that the floor plan of the visitor center would connect the new addition to the monument. He further noted that the next several slides showed renderings of the visitor center with mention of the different rooms contained therein: the lobby with café and store; 1836 theater; the battle theater which would provide a high-level overview of the battle sequence of events; a place for changing exhibits; and a special events and collections section.

10. **Washington-on-the-Brazos Project Overview**

Bell stated that the Washington-on-the-Brazos Museum Committee work is moving forward. There is an onsite visit with the design team and stakeholders scheduled for May 18 and 19 to review the interpretive plan, exhibit design needs, and infrastructure needs. Bell said that site staff are developing a Corporate and Executive leadership program with Texas A&M and Blinn College, using the site and the revolution to highlight leadership qualities. Bell noted that the WOB Historic Foundation’s Sundown Social was a great success.

Bell continued describing the slides and images being shown of the Star of the Republic Museum. He described the conceptual design for the upper level noting that it will focus on personalities and major events in the forming of the Republic. He said that the lower level will focus on daily life in the Republic of Texas, the development of the government, and civic life.

Bell explained that the committee has selected two entry gate designs, one single point of entry and one double gate design- one in and one out, that will be sent to Texas Department of Transportation for pricing. He said that the goal is to create a grander, more prominent, entry to the site.

Bell noted that the Blinn College Advisory Committee was notified during a regular meeting that Blinn College had established a $100,000 annual budget for the group. He said that staff were working with the College on a process to access funds at the Blinn College Foundation.

11. **E-commerce report and suggested next steps**

Bell began by noting that the product development was moving forward and that several items have been created. He also noted that samples were given to the Commissioners at the last quarterly meeting.

Bell continued describing the slides and images being shown noting that the three examples of products directly connect to specific sites stories. Bell said that the e-commerce report examined four operational models, the pros and cons were detailed for each. He said that domain names are now being considered and acquired.

12. **Joint agency facility and database report**

Bell introduced Laura DeNormandie, Chief Curator to provide an update on the progress that has been made regarding Senate Bill 1177. DeNormandie noted that since July 2021, the task force, consisting of THC
and four other state agencies, Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD), Texas State Library and Archives Commission (TSLAC), General Land Office (GLO), and Texas State Preservation Board (TSPB), have been implementing Senate Bill 1177, the bill sponsored by Senator Birdwell that directs THC to revisit and update solutions made in two separate Sunset Reports in 2018 and 2019. The bill requests that these same state agencies form the task force to explore the possibility for a multi-agency collections facility and a searchable public catalog across state agencies that care for state held-in-trust collections. She noted that the bill also specified the task force to partner with the Texas Facilities Commission (TFC) and the Department of Information Resources (DIR). DeNormandie said that the next slide was meant to diagram the evolution of these initiatives from the initial investigation three years ago to SB1177’s reporting deadline, December 2022.

DeNormandie continued noting that, in the 2018 report, four approaches were compared for the joint curatorial facility, those being: leasing warehouse space and renovating that building through a build-to-suit arrangement; purchasing and renovating an existing building; renovating a state-owned facility; and constructing a new facility on state property. That report demonstrated that renovation on state property was the most cost-effective option. She said that, after revisiting and updating the options developed in 2018, the first task was to update all partner agency collections storage requirements as shown on the slides. She noted that the smaller agencies had very similar collection types and storage needs and that they required active daily collections access by collections managers, whereas TSLAC’s records are rarely accessed and are stored in standardized boxes. She explained that TSLAC’s space requirements dominate at approximately 75 percent of the proposed square footage of the 250,000 square feet needed and they also have distinct requirements such as non-aqueous dry pipe fire-suppression and a perimeter security fence. DeNormandie said that the GLO determined they did not have any storage space needs as all their future collection’s needs will be met by the new concepts for the Visitor Center and Museum, at the Alamo complex in San Antonio. Certain basic needs such as a loading dock, receiving area, and cold storage are common across agencies.

DeNormandie continued noting that the task force had reached consensus regarding the location of this potential facility being state-owned land in south Austin, across from TPWD’s main campus at 4200 Smith School Road but that it would be a new build. Referencing the map being shown, she noted that the two parcels of land where the facility would be built was about five acres.

Again, describing the slide, DeNormandie said that the TFC produced a Feasibility Study for Multi-agency Collections Storage Facility, in March 2022. She continued noting that the preliminary cost estimates must be more fully researched and documented to develop a complete project analysis in the future.

DeNormandie said that the TFC’s preliminary numbers reflected the high cost of specialized collections storage requirements and the current market’s cost escalation for planning and construction. She stated that the first cost estimate of $140 M offered by TFC was single-phase construction with all requirements being considered and all agencies included under one roof. She said that after receiving this estimate, TFC was asked to provide a second estimate taking into consideration the needs that TSLAC collections have and would need to be separated from the others. DeNormandie continued saying that in response to THC’s request, TFC provided another cost estimate of $55.4M, proposing the building be broken into a two-part building project: the first part would include collections storage for TPWD, TSPB, and THC’s common spaces, office spaces for all agencies, a processing lab and wet pipe fire-suppression system. She noted that the second building phase would incorporate all TSLAC’s needs to include a multi-story modular warehouse, at least 20 decks of shelving for standardized boxed TSLAC records, and a Sapphire dry pipe fire suppression system. The cost estimate for this phase came in at $120.4M.

In conclusion, DeNormandie said that the task force learned that TSLAC would be asking for an LAR for an addition to their records center at Shoal Creek at a significantly reduced cost than the estimate that TFC
provided. She noted that the task force was close to defining a path forward, and that a possible framework would have the multi-agency collections facility serving TPWD, TSPB and THCs collections needs under one roof while the GLO and TSLAC would expand on their already existing properties.

Bell then introduced Jamie Ross, Archeological Collections Manager to provide background and updates on the searchable public catalog. Ross noted that the process of investigating options for a public facing searchable catalog arose from the Sunset Advisory Commission evaluation in 2018 that recommended that the THC work with TPWD, TSLAC, GLO, and TSPB to complete a master inventory of state-owned collections. She said that staff from each agency participated in meetings to outline potential challenges, identify general system requirements, and discuss the goals and objectives for the potential platform. The agencies collaborated on the report entitled Options for the Creation of a Searchable Public Catalog of Collections.

Ross stated that the catalog working group agreed that any final product must address the following five requirements: 1) be a public-facing search engine that would allow the public to query collections across all five subject agencies; 2) segregation of working collections data which would allow staff to maintain functional, backend data and allow each agency’s collections management system to maintain security and integrity of collection information; 3) translation of unique nomenclature and distinct file formats into a standard template that permits a single search function; 4) collections information security would be prioritized and accomplished through filtering and restricting catalog information that should be limited in public collections access; and 5) maintenance and oversight for the software and platform, as well as adequate agency support staffing. Ross said that after researching options of adapting existing state-held platforms managed by partner agencies, the workgroup determined that the best path forward would be the adoption of the first proposal outlined in the report. The proposal would require subject state agencies to coordinate with the DIR to develop a standalone intermediary database and public interface. She noted that in 2019 the cost over a five-year period would be $6.4M to have this master inventory system follow a data-ingestion model where exports provided by each subject state agency from their collections management systems would be aggregated into a centralized database.

Referencing the slides, Ross said that the task force is doing comparative research into how other groups have implemented and managed the development of a multi-institutional, multi-disciplinary public platform built on a data aggregation model. She noted that all institutions they researched followed a unifying workflow. She provided technical information and requirements for each type of platform.

Ross concluded noting that the next meeting was scheduled for May 2 to discuss technological solutions and determine which solution to proceed with timeline, cost estimate development, and determine what the next steps should be.

13. Deputy Executive Director of Historic Sites update

Bell said that the Faison Preservation Society contacted Historic Sites staff requesting that the Faison House join the network of Historic Sites. He noted that the house was an important community asset and that its condition showed that the organization cared deeply for this local landmark. He further noted that many of these historic house museums were found in communities across the state and that each one contributed to the many diverse stories of Texas history. He said that THC extended appreciation to their generous offer, but believed the Faison House was best left in local ownership.

Bell then introduced Chris Elliot, Site Manager, Sabine Pass Battleground to provide a brief report on the fund-raising initiatives at Sabine Pass. Elliott noted that the site had seen its share of hurricanes and each time it was hit by one, the seawall was affected. He said he contacted the Sabine River Refinery Consortium who was looking into ways to completely fund the $8M that it would take to replace the seawall. He also said
that the Army Corp of Engineers was studying how the increased shipping traffic had accelerated erosion along the Sabine River which had a direct effect on the seawall.

Bell stated that material for the grass house construction at Caddo Mounds had been harvested and was in the seasoning process. Staff was scheduling workers to begin the construction, tentatively scheduled for July 5-17. He said that there were over 30 Caddo members signed up to assist with the work. Bell noted that the images on the slide represent the exhibit gallery looking into the visitor center. He said that the design firm was fabricating the exhibit cases and would be scheduling the installation within the next few months.

Referencing the slides Bell said that the French Ambassador hosted a garden party at the French Legation on Sunday March 13 and invited the French community of Austin. He said it was well attended.

Continuing with the French Legation, Bell noted that the Daughters of the Republic of Texas were well on their way with the construction of the new museum scheduled to open this summer. For the Aquila Office Building, Bell said that the slides showed illustrations of what the building would look like when it is finished.

Bell stated that at the National Museum of the Pacific War, the Admiral Nimitz Foundation and THC staff were moving forward with several projects, one of them being the 3D artifact conservation on the exterior military hardware. He said that many of the items were rusting and needed immediate conservation based on THC’s loan agreements with the Navy. He continued noting that the artifacts were tarped to contain any harmful environmental material and showed photos of the finished work on the artifacts.

Bell said that, at San Jacinto Battleground, they had nearly 4400 visitors over the weekend immediately following San Jacinto Day. The school day on April 22 and public event on Saturday April 23 were very well attended.

Bell said that the Historic Sites Free Day was schedule for Saturday, May 7. He said that the image on the slide was the advertisement banner for it.

Bell continued noting that the Revolutionary and Republic Sites Passport was nearly complete. He said that this was a promotional project which will be monitored and if it proves successful will be replicated at other sites. He stated that each site would stamp the Passport when presented and once the passport was completed, the visitor would receive an award.

Bell said that the next slide showed a picture of Sam Rayburn sitting at his desk in his Congressional Office in Washington, DC. The desk was donated to THC from Mr. Townsend Van Fleet. Bell said that it was the desk used by him as a member of the House of Representatives prior to him becoming Speaker of the House.

Lastly, Bell concluded by letting everyone know that the Levi Jordan Planation Learning Center Open House was scheduled for Saturday, April 30 and everyone was invited to attend.

14. Adjournment
At 3:32 pm, Chairman Crain asked for any other business to be brought before the committee. There being none, he stated without objection that the Historic Sites Committee meeting was adjourned.
OPERATIONS
Visitation and outreach at the sites this quarter was 233,699, a 24 percent increase compared to this time last year.

Work is underway to finalize the management agreement with the San Jacinto Museum and Battlefield Association for next fiscal year. The drafted plan is scheduled for board review and approval on July 20.

The project planning meeting at Washington-on-the-Brazos was successful in refining the scope of the project, its sequencing, and timing. The WOB Foundation, Blinn College, and Sen. Lois Kolkhorst’s office participated.

The Levi Jordan Plantation African American Advisory Committee met with the Friends of the THC to review the project and questions needing to be addressed as the capital campaign planning moves forward.

Mary Margaret Kennedy was brought onboard as the new French Legation site manager in April, and she has begun filling staff vacancies beginning with a new educator who began in June. Staff met with Foodworks and the OAG to review the contract and address issues of concern. Staff is working to structure business operations at the French Legation to bring professional event planning services to activate the rental program for private functions and events.

The Blinn College/Star of the Republic Advisory Committee will be working with the college administration and Blinn College Foundation to allocate funds to support programming and events at the Washington-on-the-Brazos SHS/Star of the Republic Museum.

The Levi Jordan Plantation Learning Center opening event was remarkably successful despite the hot weather. There were approximately 300 visitors to the site and a dozen vendors highlighting Black-owned businesses.

The City of San Antonio has corrected the property line along Laredo Street, filing an updated deed and giving an access easement to the THC and UTSA on the street. The city is also addressing the transfer of ownership of the Navarro statue. It is scheduled to be presented to city council in July. Discussions continue with UTSA on the university’s purchase and development of the lots around Casa Navarro SHS.

The Battleship Texas Foundation has completed dredging operations around the ship in preparation for the move to drydock at Gulf Copper Shipyard in Galveston.

FRIENDS GROUPS AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT
Correspondence continues through the monthly e-newsletter, First Friday News for Friends.

Meetings were held with site staff who are considering new Friends Groups, including the French Legation, Goodnight Ranch, Sam Rayburn, and Eisenhower Birthplace state historic sites. Each are interested in utilizing the fiscal sponsorship model allowing them to organize under the Friends of the THC.

Staff met with Friends Groups seeking to provide funding for capital projects at Landmark Inn and Mission Dolores.

Staff continue to attend stakeholder meetings with the Washington-on-the-Brazos Foundation, citizen advisory committee for Levi Jordan Plantation, and with the San Pedro Creek project stakeholders for Casa Navarro.

Staff developed and updated the Rule 16.7 for Nonprofit Partners to reflect current law and best practices for nonprofits. The update was approved for first posting and public comment in the Texas Register.
CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

Caddo Mounds: Persistent supply chain issues have delayed completion of the Caddo Cultural Center Phase I, now projected for completion in November.

Fort Griffin and Fort Lancaster: The ruins stabilization work at Fort Griffin is complete, and work at Fort Lancaster will be completed by the end of July.

Landmark Inn Dam: The engineers are in the schematic design phase for the preservation work at the Median River dam.

Levi Jordan Plantation: The architectural and exhibit design work for the larger museum and visitors center project is progressing, with active collaboration with the African American Advisory Committee.

Magoffin Home: The structural repairs project at the visitor center was completed in May.

Palmito Ranch Battlefield: The engineering design for the proposed elevated viewing platform is complete. The project is on hold until a source of construction funds can be identified.

Sabine Pass: The construction contract has been awarded. A construction schedule is pending.

Varner-Hogg Plantation: The design for the plantation house stabilization project continues to move forward. The construction documents are 85 percent complete, and staff is coordinating with the Archeology Division on excavation activities that will be part of the project.

Washington-on-the-Brazos: HSD architectural staff is working with other disciplines to coordinate and administer the major exhibit and building renovation project at the site.

The Levi Jordan advisory committee continues to meet to advise the design team.

The major exhibit and interpretative re-envisioning/redesign project at Washington-on-the-Brazos/Star of the Republic Museum is proceeding.

The fabrication of the Caddo Mounds exhibit refabrication is underway. The majority of replicas created by Caddo tribal artisans have been received.

The second draft of sections for the French Legation guidebook are currently being reviewed by HSD staff.

COLLECTIONS

The multi-agency cultural collections task force held several meetings to discuss both facility and database initiatives mandated by SB 1177. An interim summary will be forwarded to Sen. Birdwell’s office for review by late June.

TPWD continues to transfer collections records to the historic sites. This archives transfer will increase the need for archival collections space by 30 percent by 2023.

Staff continues to implement an NPS Save America’s Treasures grant for $101,673 for the processing, preservation, and reanalysis of the archeological collection at Mission Dolores. Ceramic collections have been transferred for analysis. An inventory of the Mission Dolores collections is underway.

A digital scavenger hunt was held during the Levi Jordan Plantation grand opening to guide visitors through the collection. Varner-Hogg Plantation SHS artifacts are currently on loan to Rice University.

Collections staff provided museum and archeological collections training and education at Fulton Mansion and Kreische Brewery.

Since May, 116 artifacts from five sites have been submitted and conserved with Texas A&M’s Conservation Research Lab.

INTERPRETATION

The solicitation for the contracting of an interpretive master plan for the Charles and Mary Ann Goodnight Ranch SHS is complete. The contract was awarded to History Behind the Scenes. Initial background files have already been provided to its team.

An RFP has been posted to solicit proposals for a new interpretive master plan for Presidio La Bahía.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acton</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caddo Mounds</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>845</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confederate Reunion Grounds</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eisenhower Birthplace</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>1,700</td>
<td>991</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>1,131</td>
<td>1,374</td>
<td>1,138</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fannin Battleground</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fanthorp Inn</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Griffin</td>
<td>1,130</td>
<td>2,535</td>
<td>3,599</td>
<td>15,348</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>1,038</td>
<td>9,263</td>
<td>902</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>34,316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Lancaster</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort McKavett</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>1,304</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>1,348</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6,566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French Legation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton Mansion</td>
<td>847</td>
<td>971</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>1,185</td>
<td>1,242</td>
<td>1,182</td>
<td>1,789</td>
<td>1,487</td>
<td>1,076</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10,546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodnight Ranch</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landmark Inn</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>789</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levi Jordan</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipantilian</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magoffin Home</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission Dolores</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kreische Brewery/Monument Hill</td>
<td>1,008</td>
<td>2,690</td>
<td>1,026</td>
<td>3,413</td>
<td>809</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>1,383</td>
<td>1,255</td>
<td>1,870</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ntl Museum of the Pacific</td>
<td>7,058</td>
<td>9,495</td>
<td>11,318</td>
<td>10,185</td>
<td>7,480</td>
<td>8,985</td>
<td>21,478</td>
<td>18,568</td>
<td>16,512</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>111,079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Isabel Lighthouse</td>
<td>3,269</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,883</td>
<td>2,128</td>
<td>2,380</td>
<td>5,278</td>
<td>3,835</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20,773</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabine Pass Battleground</td>
<td>1,328</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,236</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Bell Maxey</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Rayburn House</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Felipe de Austin</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>1,851</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>1,028</td>
<td>1,920</td>
<td>1,464</td>
<td>1,153</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10,508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Jacinto Battleground</td>
<td>17,062</td>
<td>19,074</td>
<td>24,009</td>
<td>23,008</td>
<td>15,979</td>
<td>14,409</td>
<td>29,723</td>
<td>22,232</td>
<td>20,109</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>185,605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Jacinto Monument</td>
<td>2,435</td>
<td>2,091</td>
<td>1,984</td>
<td>2,988</td>
<td>1,431</td>
<td>1,849</td>
<td>5,561</td>
<td>4,323</td>
<td>3,764</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26,426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starr Family Home</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varner-Hogg Plantation</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1,407</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington-on-the-Brazos Complex*</td>
<td>5,105</td>
<td>2,182</td>
<td>7,653</td>
<td>4,443</td>
<td>5,366</td>
<td>8,330</td>
<td>13,701</td>
<td>19,832</td>
<td>2,680</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>69,292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly totals</td>
<td>44,015</td>
<td>46,281</td>
<td>58,678</td>
<td>68,263</td>
<td>38,714</td>
<td>43,327</td>
<td>89,895</td>
<td>88,383</td>
<td>55,421</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>532,977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly totals</td>
<td>148,974</td>
<td>150,304</td>
<td>233,699</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The WOB Complex consists of Washington-on-the-Brazos, Star of the Republic Museum, Independence Hall, and Barrington Plantation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Budget (incl. design fees &amp; const.)</th>
<th>Consultant selected</th>
<th>Design contract executed</th>
<th>Consultant</th>
<th>Schematic Design</th>
<th>Design Dev.</th>
<th>Const. Docs.</th>
<th>Bidding</th>
<th>Contractor selected</th>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Const. contract executed</th>
<th>Construction (%) complete</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caddo Mounds</td>
<td>Visitor Center - Phase 1</td>
<td>$2,500,000</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>Richter Architects</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>Garrett &amp; Associates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levi Jordan</td>
<td>Plantation</td>
<td>New Visitor Center</td>
<td>$2,500,000</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>Richter Architects</td>
<td>in progress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magoffin Home</td>
<td>Visitor Center</td>
<td>structural repairs</td>
<td>$360,448</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>TreanorHL</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mirador Enterprises</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabine Pass</td>
<td>Seawall Repairs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LIA Engineering</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>McInnis Construction, Inc. in progress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varner-Hogg</td>
<td>Plantation</td>
<td>Stabilization and Exterior Preservation</td>
<td>$624,000</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>WJE Engineering</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td>in progress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landmark Inn</td>
<td>Medina River Dam Repairs</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Freese &amp; Nichols</td>
<td>in progress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmito Ranch</td>
<td>Battlefield</td>
<td>Observation Platform</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>Chanin Engineering</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>on hold</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Felipe de Austin</td>
<td>Maintenance, Archeology, and Retail Support (MARS) Complex</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>Chanin Engineering</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TAB 13.2
Consider approval of the Longhorn FY2023 Annual Work Plan

**Background**

As stipulated in the Herd Management Agreement between the Texas Parks & Wildlife Department and the Texas Historical Commission, the Longhorn Herd Manager will provide an annual work plan for the Herd, which is subject to review and approval by the Longhorn Herd Committee. The Committee’s approval shall be considered final approval by TPWD. The Committee’s approval shall be considered a recommendation for adoption by the THC, and the THC’s final approval shall be indicated through a vote of the full commission. The Longhorn Herd Committee has approved the FY23 Annual Work Plan for the Longhorn Herd.

**Suggested Motion**

Move to approve the Longhorn FY2023 Annual Work Plan
Official State of Texas Longhorn Herd
FY2023 Work Plan

Will Cradduck, Herd Manager
July 2022
Official State of Texas Longhorn Herd
FY2023 Work Plan

This is the FY2023 Work Plan for the Official State of Texas Longhorn Herd (Herd), as called for in the Herd Management Agreement between the Texas Parks & Wildlife Department and the Texas Historical Commission. The Work Plan lays out the objectives, projects and direction for the Herd for FY2023 and looking forward several years.

The state Herd is a very important genetic and historical resource that the Texas Historical Commission and the Texas Parks & Wildlife Department must continue to maintain through both good genetic and operational decisions. This Work Plan outlines important items and projects to keep the Herd operational into the future.

Herd Locations and Current Cattle Numbers

The Herd currently consists of about 272 animals divided between five locations:

Fort Griffin and nearby Lease Property

  99 cows and heifers
  100 calves
  38 steers
  6 bulls

San Angelo State Park

  7 steers

Copper Breaks State Park

  9 steers

Palo Duro Canyon State Park

  3 steers

LBJ State Park

  10 steers
Cattle Breeding and Interpretive Herd Management Plan

The Herd is an important repository of unique Texas Longhorn and bovine genetics not duplicated anywhere else in the world. The breeding herd is the heart of the Herd, and its proper management is essential for the long-term success of the Herd.

Currently, four breeding groups are maintained for the Herd at Fort Griffin. A few heifers for replacement animals will be kept from each breeding group, and a few non-productive and undesirable animals will be sold. Heifers kept from one breeding group will be placed in another breeding group with an unrelated bull, before breeding age, or the bull will be changed in that group.

Bulls are sourced from (1) the Cattlemen’s Texas Longhorn Registry (CTLR), (2) from within the Herd, or (3) from other high-quality sources of historically correct and genetically pure Texas Longhorn cattle. Several young bulls of good historic conformation and good breeding are at Fort Griffin in development for use as herd sires. One will be selected and allowed to breed with a few of the better non-related cows in a continued effort to keep as much Fort Griffin genetics in the Herd as possible. A few Herd sire prospects will be retained from
Herd cows, allowing the further retention of some of the Herd genetics. The Herd Manager will be searching for a few high-quality, historically correct sires from reputable well-documented historic sources over the next couple of years to integrate additional historic longhorn genetics into the Herd.

The four breeding groups will be maintained as this will allow better preservation of the Herd genetics by raising young bulls and heifers and moving them to other breeding groups, and to put their genetics back into the Herd by breeding with unrelated Herd cattle. These genetics are important because they are a unique source of longhorn genetics not duplicated anywhere else in the world. They retain all the true-type longhorn characteristics, many of which have been lost to selective breeding in other herds and cannot be duplicated even from other sources of pure longhorn genetics, such as CTLR cattle or Wichita Mountains Wildlife Refuge (WMWR) cattle.

Registrations will continue to be kept current on all animals, with either the Texas Longhorn Breeders Association of America or the CTLR. A project is currently underway to register most of the Herd with the CTLR after DNA testing and inspection by trained inspectors. Private party sales of calves will continue at Fort Griffin, resulting in increased revenue and placement of heifers and bulls with breeders interested in preservation of historic Texas Longhorn cattle. An electronic Herd book linked with pictures is maintained, which allows Herd staff to make better informed management decisions on all members of the Herd.
Completion of movement of the entire breeding herd to Fort Griffin and the nearby lease has proven to be an excellent arrangement. All breeding herd management tasks have been simplified. It is important to note that movement of animals between breeding groups to better manage Herd genetics is now much more practical.

Herd Numbers
The three main and one smaller breeding groups will be maintained with approximately 35 producing cows in each main group and 5-10 producing cows in the smaller group. This will be a total of about 115 to 125 producing cows. Yearling heifers and bulls in development will be about 20-30 head. Herd bulls will number about 5 head. This is a total of about 160 animals in the breeding herd, not counting calves.

The interpretive herd of steers will be maintained at current locations at current numbers, and replacements will continue to be kept so that an even age distribution of steers can be maintained, and all Herd animals will continue to exhibit good historical correctness and good conformation.

It is important to note that these plans for the Herd look forward many years. The plans for numbers in the breeding and interpretive Herd looks forward a minimum of 25 years. The genetic management of the Herd looks forward perpetually to ensure the genetic base of the Herd will always remain viable.
Grazing Plan

Rotational grazing will be practiced on all locations to allow forage species to rest and recover. Pastures will continue to be monitored for proper forage management. Brush and cactus control and maintenance will continue as needed at the Fort Griffin pastures. Plans for future controlled burns at Fort Griffin will be integrated into the grazing plans to allow for proper recovery time of pastures. Any additional property acquired for grazing will be evaluated for overall grazing management needs and invasive species control, and plans implemented accordingly.

For the near future, hay needs will be met by purchasing local hay as the price is reasonable and delivery can usually be negotiated at no additional cost.

Requirements to Elevate and Enhance the Herd Operations

The Herd is a tremendous asset for the THC and the State of Texas. As such, ensuring the long-term conservation of the Herd and effectively interpreting the Herd to the public is critical. Detailed here are plans and justifications to elevate the Herd to its full potential as a repository of unique Texas Longhorn genetics and as a program to educate all ages of the public about the history and importance of longhorn cattle and the early cattle and ranching history of Texas.

The conservation of the Herd in perpetuity is essential because it is a unique genetic resource not found anywhere else in the world. These unique genetics help to maintain the Texas Longhorn breed of cattle. This breed was formed from introduced Spanish cattle by the forces of nature while roaming wild in Texas and northern Mexico. As such, an adequate home for this Herd should be secured, developed, and preserved in perpetuity to ensure the legacy and genetics of the Herd continues for many decades.

Most of the herd is housed at a grazing lease located about 30 miles from Fort Griffin. The lease meets the grazing requirements of the cattle, but it is limited in other aspects. Since it is a lease, there is no guarantee that it will remain available going forward. The property owner is only willing to lease the property one year at a time. Securing a lease of this size and quality would be difficult if this property were to become unavailable. Also, since it is a lease property and long-term use is not ensured, significant enhancements to long-lasting infrastructure that would improve the efficiency and effectiveness of Herd operations (such as pens, fences, surface water resources and other items) is limited. The current annual lease payment is $60,843.38. This is a significant amount that often increases each year. Due to these infrastructure limitations, it is prudent to consider securing a property as the permanent home for the Herd.

Securing a property for the Herd would also enhance interpretive opportunities. While our current interpretive programming for the Herd is excellent, it lacks the ability to show the entire Herd to significant numbers of visitors. A small number of Herd animals are at Fort
Griffin, but due to limited grazing and viewing areas there, few members of the public can see the cattle. Tours of the bulk of the Herd are possible at the grazing lease, but only to a few people at a time due to limitations imposed by the property owner. Facilities such as restrooms do not exist, and the location is remote with poor roads especially in bad weather conditions. The existing travelling interpretive programs with some of the cattle are effective but are time and resource consuming and can only showcase a few cattle at one time and location. Ideally, a property could be acquired that would be large enough to house most of the Herd and would be developed in a way to allow interpretation to large numbers of visitors on a regular basis. This would allow the THC to effectively share this wonderful asset and its importance to many more visitors.

This can be accomplished with the following components:

1. Purchase of a property for grazing and housing of the portion of the Herd that currently resides at the lease property.
2. Develop the property to allow for regular viewing of the Herd by the public.
3. Designate the property as a home for the Herd in perpetuity.
4. Assign additional 2 FTEs to the Herd to be based primarily at this property:
   a. One FTE for property and cattle management.
   b. One FTE for full-time interpretation and guided tours of the Herd on-location.

Approximate costs associated with these components:

1. Property purchase of about 3,000 acres $10,000,000
2. Initial infrastructure on the property
   a. Main pens $30,000
   b. Livestock sheds $40,000
   c. Equipment barn and shed $125,000
   d. Fence repair/replace $100,000
   e. Livestock water system repair/install $35,000
   f. Infrastructure repair/maintenance $25,000
   g. Manager residence $250,000
3. Equipment
   a. Tractor and implements $80,000
   b. ATV $8,000
   c. Pickup and trailer for Herd Wrangler $85,000
   d. Vehicle for Herd Interpreter $40,000
4. FTEs to operate the property and provide interpretation
   a. Herd Wrangler $40,000 + benefits
   b. Herd Interpreter $40,000 + benefits
## Cost Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Property, 3000 acres</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure and Equipment</td>
<td>$818,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 FTE</td>
<td>$80,000 + benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$10,898,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These details are an estimate for what it will take for the THC to elevate the State of Texas Longhorn Herd to its maximum potential as the leading exceptional effort for preserving the Texas Longhorn breed, and to present the significance of the Texas Longhorn to the history of the State of Texas and its citizens through interpretive programs.
Included here are a few questions and answers central to the project of acquiring grazing property for the Herd.

**How many total acres do we own and lease?**

THC owns 504 acres at Fort Griffin. Of this, only 345.7 acres are utilized for grazing in order to protect the historic fort structures and ruins.

THC leases 4680.26 acres for grazing for the herd, all of it is utilized.

**What is the carrying capacity per acre for the herd?**

Recommended carrying capacity per NRCS for native vegetation pastures in our area (which is what we have at Fort Griffin and the Jones lease) is generally considered to be between 20 and 30 acres per animal unit. One of our cows with her 5-month-old calf approximates one animal unit, and a grown steer is about 1.5 animal units.

345.7 acres grazed at Fort Griffin
22 animal units
=15.7 acres grazed per animal unit, with supplemental hay and feed
The herd is managed to have more animal units per acre at Fort Griffin than the recommended rate, in order to keep more animals visible to the public. Compensation is made with supplemental hay and feed.

4680.26 acres grazed at the Jones Lease
156 animal units
=30.0 acres grazed per animal unit, with supplemental feed in the winter
The herd is managed to maintain the minimum recommended animal units per acre at the grazing lease, per the request of the owners, in order to correctly manage their pastures for wildlife and hunting.

To have adequate grazing for the Herd, a property of approximately 3900 acres would be required. This is based on the current 156 animal units residing at the lease, utilizing native pasture at the median recommended rate of 25 acres per animal unit.

**What is the land management cost per acre, annually?**

This question is difficult to get at directly. THC does not spend much annually on supplies used for land management, typically less than $5000 on fencing and brush control chemicals for the fort and lease combined. Most of the land management costs are imbedded in other costs. Specifically, those are primarily personnel costs for the Herd Manager and Herd Assistant, lease cost, and vehicle and fuel costs.
The biggest and most effective land management tool is the longhorn Herd. Through careful observation of vegetation growth patterns, grazing patterns, and weather, the combined expertise of the Herd Manager, Herd Assistant and ranch manager (employed by the Jones family) are considered to regularly change grazing pressure through animal movement and rotation. This allows management of the quality and amount of future grazing available for the Herd, as well as minimizing the impact of invasive and undesirable vegetation.

The vehicle cost is primarily for regular pasture observation and for animal transport. The Herd Manager and assistant manager spend a significant amount of time repairing and maintaining fences at the Fort and lease, also included in personnel costs. Also, the Jones family covers the cost of regular road maintenance and occasional large fencing projects at the lease, so THC shares in those costs through the lease payment.

Overall, the THC spends between $10 and $12 per acre annually on land management, but only about $3-$4 per acre of this is paid through our lease contract. In short, THC covers the bulk of the land management costs for our current grazing properties. This means that this cost will not increase greatly when acquiring grazing property for the Herd.

**Current Herd Needs and Plans**

The Herd has a few current needs for capital purchases to maintain Herd operations.

Currently, one of the two F350 herd pickups needs to be replaced due to age and high mileage. It is used extensively for Herd maintenance and operation, and to support interpretive programs on- and off-site.

Herd pickup approximate cost: $65,000.

Additionally, it would be very useful to add underground electrical service to the herd barn for equipment maintenance, and upgrade to underground electrical service (remove poles, lines and transformer) to the herd office at the Jackson/Ward House for period-correct appearance and interpretation.

Herd barn and office electrical service addition/upgrade approximate cost: $25,000.

Also, replacement of the windows in the herd office at the Jackson/Ward House is needed. The existing aluminum framed windows are in poor repair and are not period-correct for the 1874 structure. It would greatly enhance site appearance and interpretation, as well as aid in preservation of the structure and support daily Herd operations to replace the windows.

Herd office window replacement at the Jackson/Ward House approximate cost: $50,000.
Finally, priming, caulking and painting of the trim of the herd office at the Jackson/Ward house is needed. Most of the paint has peeled away from the trim areas at the Jackson/Ward House, and proper preparation and painting is needed for preservation of the structure, as well as for appearance and interpretation. This requires specialty equipment as most areas that need painting are located around the top of the two-story structure.

Herd office trim preparation and painting at the Jackson/Ward House approximate cost: $10,000.

Current Herd infrastructure needs Total: $150,000

Cattle Sales

Looking forward, THC cattle sales will increase due to more animals available for sale from the entire breeding herd. Over nine fiscal years, total cattle sales averaged about $30,000 annually. For FY2020, cattle sales were at an exceptional high of $71,274, due to sale of excess cattle from San Angelo State Park. Total sales returned to a normal level in FY2021 at $28,647.
The Herd Manager expects an average of about $3,000 in cattle sales annually going forward. This may increase as marketing options increase with the sale of more animals. Marketing opportunities should increase with the resolving of COVID-19 and may allow more revenue from cattle sales. FY2022 cattle sales are at $16,769 through 6/30, and totals are expected to be similar to FY2021.

**Interpretive Events and Programs Planned**

With COVID-19 affecting the Herd interpretive schedule severely, program numbers for FY20 were only about 2,317. Longhorn interpretive program numbers rebounded to 10,308 people attending for FY2021, and most programs and events are back in place, but not all back to full capacity.

Currently, restructuring of the on-site longhorn programs is planned, to better spark interest and encourage attendance.

Included below is a list of planned outreach programs for FY2023 for the Herd.

**Off-Site Programs**

Fort Griffin Barbecue, Albany, September

Presidio de San Saba Spanish Colonial Heritage Day and Texas Forts Trail Wine Festival, September

Fort Griffin Trail Ride, September or May

Fort Griffin Living History Event, October

Fort Belknap Living History Event, October

Abilene State Park Living History Event, Buffalo Gap, November

Fort Griffin Trail Driver Cooking Demonstration, March

Seymour Texas Independence Day Celebration, Seymour, March

Crawfish and Cannons with the Texas Forts Trail Wine Festival, April

Fort Concho Cavalry Competition, April

Coleman Living History Event, April

Hardin Simmons University Western Heritage Day, Abilene, April

Fort Richardson Living History Event, Jacksboro, April
Cowboy True Western Art Exhibit, Wichita Falls, May

Fort Griffin Artist and Teacher Workshop, June

Fort Griffin Fandangle Longhorn Display and Program, Albany, June

Fort Griffin Fandangle Parade, Albany, June

On-Site Programs

Fort Griffin SHS
  -Horns and Tales Program
  -Campfire Tales Program
  -Annual Fort Griffin Living History Event, October

San Angelo SP – Regular Longhorn Tours plus school tours and special tours

Palo Duro Canyon SP – Daily Longhorn Program

Copper Breaks SP – Weekly Longhorn Program plus school tours and special tours

LBJ State Park – Longhorn Programs as arranged by the park

  Outreach will continue through the Fort Griffin Facebook page as it has proven to be popular. Posts will be regular and informative and will include upcoming programs.
Conclusion

The Official State of Texas Longhorn Herd is unrivalled anywhere as both a Texas Longhorn genetic preservation and breeding operation, and as a valuable educational program that reaches thousands of people yearly to inform them about the importance of Texas Longhorn cattle to Texas history. This Work Plan has covered the essential functions, needs and projects that will allow us to continue Herd operations.

The goal is to refine Herd operations and build on the foundations of genetics and outreach that have been established over the past years, and to maintain the Herd as an entity that all of Texas can be proud of for many years to come.
TAB 13.3
Consider approval for the deaccessioning of objects from the National Museum of the Pacific War, Sam Rayburn House, and Washington-on-the-Brazos State Historic Sites

**Background**
Deaccessioning is a tool used for defining and refining the scope and quality of collections that have grown over the years. Over the course of the last several months, Historic Sites (HS) curatorial staff have selected and prepared certain objects for deaccession from the National Museum of the Pacific War, Sam Rayburn House, and Washington on the Brazos State Historic Sites. (Please see attached).

Collections objects from these three sites are listed on the attached spreadsheets and are proposed for deaccession due to the following circumstances:

- They are outside of the site’s period of significance or are not site-associated and therefore lack the provenance that qualifies them for permanent collections status.
- They are cataloging errors on inventory and therefore records need to be updated.
- They are deteriorated beyond usefulness.

**Suggested Motion (Committee):**
Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend approval to deaccession items from the National Museum of the Pacific War, Sam Rayburn House, and Washington on the Brazos State Historic Sites.

**Suggested Motion (Commission):**
Move to approve the deaccession of items from the National Museum of the Pacific War, Sam Rayburn House, and Washington on the Brazos State Historic Sites.
Proposed Deaccessions

July 2022

National Museum of the Pacific War

Total Deaccessions: 10

The (5) objects of this group are outside of the site’s period of significance, redundant, or are not site-associated and therefore lack the provenance that qualifies them for permanent collections status. These items were collected as props and erroneously accessioned. Collections staff recommend that they be transferred to the NMPW’s Education Collection.

905    Beachmaster Set
1991.548.007    Shell
1985.638.001    Projector
2005.710.001    Firearm fragment
1985.638.001D    Film reel

The (4) items of this group are redundant within the museum collection. Collections staff recommend the return of these objects to the donor family.

2017.024.001    Kabar knife
2017.024.002    Trench art bracelet
2017.024.003    Scrapbook pages
2017.024.004    Documents and News Clippings

This (1) object is hazardous and outside of the museums scope of collections. Therefore, it should be removed from the permanent collection. Staff recommend appropriate disposal.

2005.704.001    Flare round
Proposed Deaccessions

July 2022

Sam Rayburn House State Historic Site

Total Deaccessions: 1

This (1) object number was a former loan that was miscataloged. Collections staff recommend removing the miscataloged entry from the database and updating the inventory. Object has been returned to the lender.

N72.452    TABLE
Proposed Deaccessions

July 2022

Washington on the Brazos State Historic Site

Total Deaccessions: 7

The (4) objects of this group being proposed for deaccession are not site associated. These items were erroneously accessioned into the collection as permanent collection objects after they were purchased as props in the 1970s by TPWD. Current THC collections policy excludes such non-site associated objects from the permanent collection. Collections staff recommend that these items be transferred to the Education Collection. Inventory will be updated upon final disposition.

1976.1.33 Settee
1976.1.355 Cabinet, dentist's
1976.1.255 Settee
1976.1.607 Bed

The (3) objects of this group are deteriorated beyond all usefulness. Collections staff recommend documentation of the condition of these objects and their appropriate disposal.

1976.1.602 Mirror
1976.1.342 Cabinet, food storage
1976.1.273 Wardrobe
AGENDA
HISTORY PROGRAMS COMMITTEE
DoubleTree Suites by Hilton Hotel
2nd Floor
Houston Room
303 W 15th Street
Austin, TX 78701
July 25, 2022
1:15 p.m.
(or upon the adjournment of the 1 p.m. Finance and Government Relations committee meeting, whichever occurs later)

This meeting of the THC History Programs Committee has been properly posted with the Secretary of State’s Office according to the provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Chapter 551, Texas Government Code. The members may discuss and/or take action on any of the items listed in the agenda.

1. Call to Order — Committee Chair White
   A. Committee member introductions
   B. Establish quorum
   C. Recognize and/or excuse absences
2. Consider approval of the April 28, 2022 committee meeting minutes
3. Certification of Historic Texas Cemetery Designations (item 6.2)
4. Consider approval of text for Official Texas Historical Markers (item 6.3)
5. Consider adoption of amendments to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Section 21.12, related to marker text requests, without changes to the text published in the May 20, 2022 issue of the Texas Register (47 TexReg 2942-2943) (item 6.4A)
6. 2022 Official Texas Historical Markers topics report and discussion (item 14.2)
7. Consider approval of work plan for 2023 Official Texas Historical Markers (item 14.3)
8. Consider removal of historical marker for Burleson Quadrangle, Waco, McLennan County (item 14.4)
9. Consider approval of executive director’s appointments to the State Board of Review (item 14.5)
10. History Programs Division update and committee discussion — Division Director Charles Sadnick
11. Adjournment

NOTICE OF ASSISTANCE AT PUBLIC MEETINGS: Persons with disabilities who plan to attend this meeting and who may need auxiliary aids or services such as interpreters for persons who are deaf or hearing impaired, readers, large print or Braille, are requested to contact Esther Brickley at (512) 463-5768 at least four (4) business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.
1. Call to Order

The meeting of the Texas Historical Commission (THC) History Programs Committee was called to order by Commissioner Tom Perini at 1:00 p.m. She announced the meeting had been posted to the Texas Register, was being held in conformance with the Texas Open Meetings Act, Texas Government Code, Chapter 551, and that notice had been properly posted with the Secretary of State’s Office as required.

A. Committee member introductions

Commissioner Perini welcomed everyone and called on commissioners to individually state their names and the cities in which they reside. Members in attendance included Commissioners Donna Bahorich, Laurie Limbacher, Renee Dutia, Lilia Garcia, and Tom Perini.

B. Establish quorum

Commissioner Perini reported a quorum was present and declared the meeting open.

C. Recognize and/or excuse absences

Commissioner Bahorich moved, Commissioner Limbacher seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to excuse the absence of Chair Daisy White and Commissioner Monica Burdette.

2. Consider approval of the February 2, 2022 committee meeting minutes

Commissioner Bahorich moved, Commissioner Garcia seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to approve the February 2, 2022 History Programs Committee meeting minutes.

3. Certification of Historic Texas Cemetery Designations (item 6.2)

History Programs Division (HPD) Director Charles Sadnick explained that Historic Texas Cemetery (HTC) designation helps cemeteries that are at least 50 years old by recording cemetery boundaries in county deed records, which alerts present and future owners of land adjacent to the cemetery of its existence. Sadnick
brought forth twenty-six cemeteries and recommended that the committee send forward to the Commission to formally certify them as HTCs.

Commissioner Bahorich moved, Commissioner Garcia seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to recommend and send forward to the Commission to formally certify the designations as Historic Texas Cemeteries.

4. **Consider approval of text for Official Texas Historical Markers (item 6.3)**

Sadnick brought forty-six marker inscriptions before the committee for approval. Out of the forty-six markers, seven of the inscriptions are Recorded Texas Historical Landmark (RTHL) markers, fourteen of the inscriptions are Historical Texas Cemetery (HTC) markers and one supplemental. Two marker texts requests were received, and staff handled both of those. He thanked the Commissioners for reviewing the texts and for the suggested revisions received.

Commissioner Limbacher moved, Commissioner Bahorich seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of the final form and text of forty-six (46) Official Texas Historical Markers with delegation authority to the Executive Director of the Texas Historical Commission, working with the Commission chair, to resolve minor textual issues arising after Commission approval.

5. **Consider approval of price changes for Official Texas Historical Markers (item 14.2)**

Sadnick brought forth an approval of price changes for Official Texas Historical Markers. Packet contained table with changes of prices over the years. Table highlights in red the Historical Markers the Commission is losing money on without price changes. Price increases taking into consideration next cycle contract but also increase in future years.

Commissioner Limbacher moved, Commissioner Bahorich seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of the price changes for the Official Texas Historical Markers as recorded in the attached table.

6. **Consider approval of filing authorization of an amendment to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Section 21.12, related to marker text requests, for first publication in the Texas Register (item 14.3)**

Sadnick brought forth a rule amendment for marker text requests. This specific amendment does two things, 1) makes language stronger that marker text requests will be paid for the Commission as long as the funds are available, 2) emphasis on replacing full markers and not using supplemental plaques.

Commissioner Bahorich moved, Commissioner Limbacher seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of the filing authorization of the proposed amendments to Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Section 21.12, related to marker text requests, for first publication in the Texas Register.

7. **History Programs Division update and committee discussion**

Sadnick gave an update on the History Programs Division. Point out some upcoming events: Making Meaning and Introduction to Interpretation Workshop with Museum Services and CHC Outreach staff at San Felipe; on May 12, webinar from Cemetery Preservation Program; Marker Application cycle deadline May 16, and finally, State Board of Review Meeting in San Antonio at the Witte Museum on May 21.
THC Mobile App is still in phase one with vendor. Next major component is beta user testing which will begin in early May. Phase one will be ending in next couple of months. Phase two will have more extended resources and content, including education materials.

8. **Adjournment**

At 1:57 p.m., on the motion of Commissioner Perini and without objection, the committee meeting was adjourned.
DIVISION HIGHLIGHTS
Highlights for History Programs Division (HPD) during this quarter included Park Day, the May State Board of Review Meeting, and interpretation workshops.

COUNTY HISTORICAL COMMISSION (CHC) OUTREACH
The CHC Outreach Program received 193 annual reports from CHCs in April. Staff read each annual report and disseminated relevant information to THC staff. Based on the reports, staff also recommended 83 CHCs receive Distinguished Service Awards for their exceptional programs of work in 2021. Certificates will be mailed to county judges in July. Nano Calderon, CHC Outreach Program coordinator, partnered with Museum Services to facilitate three Introduction to Interpretation workshops held in Belton, San Felipe De Austin SHS, and Clifton. Sixty-five workshop attendees learned the fundamentals of interpretation, including qualities of interpretation, tangibles, intangibles, universals, visitor motivations, and themes. Staff also attended and presented at a CHC regional meeting organized by the Washington CHC at Washington-on-the-Brazos SHS. Lynnette Cen departed the CHC Outreach Program in May, with Jaclyn Zapata taking her place. Her first day with THC will be July 1.

HISTORICAL MARKERS
Sarah McCleskey is moving from Austin and leaving the THC after more than 10 years with the historical marker program and more than 16 years at the agency. Max Rhodes, a journalism major with a history minor, has joined the marker program as a summer intern. Marker staff continues to draft inscriptions for topics approved through the regular and undertold application periods. Applications for 190 topics were received for 2022 and have been evaluated, with recommendations for those moving forward. Staff gave a May 12 presentation on undertold markers through the Friends of the THC Virtual Event Series. HPD and IT staff have made hundreds of corrections to Atlas entries in preparation for the mobile app launch and will also be coordinating with CHCs to update and correct information on the remaining marker records, particularly RTHLs.

MILITARY HISTORY
Military Sites Program Coordinator Stephen Cure participated in Park Day with the American Battlefield Trust, local partners, and Sites staff on April 9 at Palmito Ranch Battlefield SHS. Cure also continues to work with National Park Service (NPS) staff at Vicksburg National Military Historical Park to facilitate repairs to the Texas Monument. Initial cleaning work has been completed and masonry work is underway, to be followed by stone and bronze work to address issues with the monument’s nomenclature and to clean and protect bronze features. Cure assisted Cemetery Preservation Program staff in researching and facilitating the proper marking of a World War I veteran’s grave in Pampa; a donor was identified for the purchase of an appropriate stone, which was placed just before Memorial Day. He has been assisting with planning for a military history-oriented workshop series sponsored by the General Land Office that will include teacher workshops at San Jacinto Battleground SHS, the Alamo, and Palo Alto National Military Historical Park. Cure continued working with TSLAC staff on the digitization of THC Oral History Collection items.

MUSEUM SERVICES
Museum Services offered three webinars each in April, May, and June, with topics included rebranding, citywide events, starting a museum, fundraising, and 2022 hurricane preparedness. Program staff continued working with the CHC Outreach Program on the aforementioned interpretation workshops. Staff also continues work with the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) and the Bullock Texas State History Museum in developing a workshop series for 2023. Working with the Tribal Advisory Committee for this project, the planning group made its first visit to the Alabama-Coushatta Tribe to tour their museum and cultural center. Planning continues for two additional trips in July and a Tribal Advisory Committee meeting.
later this summer. In May, staff worked with the Texas Association of Museums to set dates for the first two workshops funded by the Summerlee Foundation, which are scheduled for July 12 (National Museum of the Pacific War) and July 14 (Fulton Mansion).

**FEDERAL PROGRAMS**

**National Register of Historic Places**

National Register (NR) staff members Gregory Smith, Alyssa Gerszewski, and Bonnie Wilson processed nine NR nominations for review at the May State Board of Review meeting, which was held in San Antonio on May 21. Approved nominations included those for the Indiana Street Historic District in Wichita Falls, the Tyler Downtown Historic District, and the Kress Building in El Paso. NPS approved nominations for the Central Marfa Historic District, the Huston-Tillotson University Historic District, and the Chapel for Children at the Austin State Supported Living Center. Smith evaluated 18 federal tax credit projects (Part 1 of the application), and 18 state tax credit projects (Part A of the application). Gerszewski continued to help prepare the statewide preservation plan. Smith and Judy George-Garza continued preparation for the next State Board of Review meeting in September (Mineral Wells), and Smith completed review of nomination research designs for properties receiving Hurricane Harvey grants.

**Review of Projects under Section 106 and the State Antiquities Code**

This quarter Justin Kockritz worked with staff from the Architecture and Archeology divisions to finalize the programmatic agreement for the proposed SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy launch operations at Boca Chica Beach in Cameron County. He also coordinated with TxDOT to close out the Memorandum of Agreement for Loop 335 in Potter County, which had an adverse effect on the historic Amarillo Helium Plant. TxDOT has now completed all required mitigation for the project, and the funds that were contributed to the Texas Preservation Trust Fund will become available to applicants in the Amarillo area for the 2024 grant cycle. Kockritz is also consulting with TxDOT and CapMetro regarding the proposed I-35 Capital Express and Orange Line projects, including meetings with Austin-area stakeholders. Caitlin Brashear met extensively regarding several programmatic agreements, including for the proposed transfer of portions of the Beaumont Hospital property from Fort Bliss to the City of El Paso, with Joint Base San Antonio for the ongoing operation and development of the base, and with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for the development of the Trinity River Central City project in Fort Worth.

Charles Peveto continues to consult with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and the San Antonio Housing Authority regarding a potential redevelopment of the Alazán Courts housing development. In May, Jonathan Moseley joined the review team as the disaster recovery project reviewer, focusing on projects from the General Land Office and the Federal Emergency Management Agency. He has a master’s degree in public history from Texas State University and comes to the THC most recently from the East Tennessee Development District.

**HISTORIC HIGHWAYS AND HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY**

Survey Coordinator Leslie Wolfenden continued to work with volunteers to gather information on resources listed in historic African American travel guides. She also made locational corrections to the Atlas map for the Neighborhood Survey icons.

**CEMETERY PRESERVATION**

Program Coordinator Jenny McWilliams continues to oversee McDoux Preservation’s research of five Hill and Henderson county cemeteries through the Hughes Nelson Endowment. Staff also continues to manage Terracon’s development of an educational series on disaster preparedness supported by HIM-ESHPF funds and conducted a three-day cemetery preservation and recording workshop at the Texas Archeological Survey Field School. Participants reported positive feedback. Staff continues to plan website updates, including CHC recommendations for cemetery inventories, prioritizing county-wide cemetery needs, and updated information on common inquiries. Carlyn Hammons also continues to process Historic Texas Cemetery applications, and McWilliams assisted partners with cemetery inventories.

**YOUTH EDUCATION**

Lead educator Linda Miller worked with multiple agency divisions to develop strategies to maximize onsite and virtual education initiatives and to expand the collection of publicly available resources. Collaborative development continued on e-learning platform content, as well as supplemental Texas history curriculum resources, student-oriented agency publications, and professional education workshops. Miller also closely tracked the development of the social studies K-12 TEKS revision initiative currently underway, including providing feedback to the State Board of Education about potential curriculum inclusion of historic preservation knowledge, skills, and strategies.
TAB 14.2
2022 Official Texas Historical Markers topics report and discussion

Background:
Under the provisions of the historical marker program, an annual list of applications is presented to THC Commissioners. The THC received 179 marker applications from 86 counties from March 1 to May 15, 2022 for the 2022 cycle. The Commission is required to establish a limit for the number of markers awarded annually, to apply guidelines and criteria for ranking marker applications, and to give priority to high-ranking applications. The maximum number of markers for 2022 is 170 new applications as adopted by the Commission in October 2020. Thematic priorities adopted for 2022 applications are: Communications; Industry, Business and Commerce; and Natural Resources. Marker topics within these themes received additional points when scored. Staff has evaluated each application and makes the following recommendations. For each submission, the attached table includes the county, job number, marker topic, description, and any comments or concerns.

Summary:
Staff will be proceeding with 153 interpretive markers and cancellation of 26 applications for Official Texas Historical Markers in calendar year 2022.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Job#</th>
<th>Topic submitted</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aransas</td>
<td>22AS01</td>
<td>Kline’s Café</td>
<td>1968-2002 restaurant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atascosa</td>
<td>22AT02</td>
<td>Peanut Farming in Atascosa County</td>
<td>1900s-present industry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atascosa</td>
<td>22AT01</td>
<td>Poteet United Methodist Church</td>
<td>1912 congregation</td>
<td>Also submitted 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>22AU01</td>
<td>National Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1879 community cemetery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>22AU02</td>
<td>St. James Lutheran Church of New Wehdem Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1872 community cemetery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bastrop</td>
<td>22BP01</td>
<td>Alum Creek DeShay Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1900s African American cemetery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bastrop</td>
<td>22BP04</td>
<td>Henry Barnett Family</td>
<td>(1831-1911) African American landowner and civic leader</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bastrop</td>
<td>22BP06</td>
<td>Hopewell Rosenwald School</td>
<td>1921 African American / Rosenwald school</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bastrop</td>
<td>22BP02</td>
<td>Mount Olive Evergreen Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1877 African American cemetery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bastrop</td>
<td>22BP03</td>
<td>Saul Wright Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1870s African American cemetery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bastrop</td>
<td>22BP05</td>
<td>St. Mary’s Colony Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1891 African American cemetery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bexar</td>
<td>22BX01</td>
<td>Malvina Nelson House (RTHL)</td>
<td>1880s/1904 residence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bexar</td>
<td>22BX02</td>
<td>Jacobs Chapel United Methodist Church</td>
<td>1895 congregation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bexar</td>
<td>22BX06</td>
<td>John William and Maria Jesusa Curbelo-Delgado Smith House Site</td>
<td>1840s homesite / Mexican American topics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bexar</td>
<td>22BX03</td>
<td>Alexander Joske House (RTHL)</td>
<td>1881/1900 residence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bexar</td>
<td>22BX05</td>
<td>Friedrich Refrigeration Company</td>
<td>1883 business</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bexar</td>
<td>22BX07</td>
<td>Southwestern Bell Telegraph Building (RTHL)</td>
<td>1917 commercial building</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blanco</td>
<td>22BC01</td>
<td>Girl Scout Camp Blanco</td>
<td>1938 recreation camp</td>
<td>Also submitted as undertold 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazos</td>
<td>22BZ03</td>
<td>City of College Station</td>
<td>1876/1938 city</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazos</td>
<td>22BX01</td>
<td>Primera Iglesia Bautista Mexicana de Bryan</td>
<td>1922</td>
<td>Mexican American congregation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazos</td>
<td>22BX02</td>
<td>Shiloh Baptist Church</td>
<td>1870</td>
<td>African American congregation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>22BR01</td>
<td>The Greenleaf Fisk House (RTHL)</td>
<td>1878</td>
<td>NR listed 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>22BR02</td>
<td>George Edward Smith</td>
<td>(1845-1913)</td>
<td>Buffalo soldier, civic leader Also submitted as undertold 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caldwell</td>
<td>22CW01</td>
<td>Martindale Motor Corporation Building (RTHL)</td>
<td>1924</td>
<td>commercial building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calhoun</td>
<td>22CL01</td>
<td>The Port Lavaca Shrimp Industry</td>
<td>1924</td>
<td>industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameron</td>
<td>22CF01</td>
<td>Stonewall Jackson Hotel (RTHL)</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>hotel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameron</td>
<td>22CF02</td>
<td>Bobby Joe Morrow, 3 Gold Medal Olympian</td>
<td>(1935-2020)</td>
<td>track athlete Also submitted as undertold 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp</td>
<td>22CP01</td>
<td>Carroll Hall Shelby</td>
<td>(1923-2012)</td>
<td>automotive designer and racer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherokee</td>
<td>22CE01</td>
<td>History of Landrum, Texas</td>
<td>1860s</td>
<td>community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childress</td>
<td>22CI01</td>
<td>Childress Army Airfield</td>
<td>1942</td>
<td>military airfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coleman</td>
<td>22CN01</td>
<td>Talpa Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1889</td>
<td>cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>22COL01</td>
<td>Bethany Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1877</td>
<td>cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>22COL02</td>
<td>Lair Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1855</td>
<td>cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>22COL03</td>
<td>Yates-Strickland House (RTHL)</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>residence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>22CD01</td>
<td>The Masonic Lodge of Eagle Lake 150 Years</td>
<td>1872</td>
<td>fraternal organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comal</td>
<td>22CM01</td>
<td>Edgar Alfred Grist, DVM</td>
<td>(1915-1994)</td>
<td>veterinarian and founder of Wurst Fest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comanche</td>
<td>22CJ01</td>
<td>Bibb Community</td>
<td>1891</td>
<td>community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comanche</td>
<td>22CJ02</td>
<td>Board Church and Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1869</td>
<td>congregation and cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooke</td>
<td>22CO01</td>
<td>First Presbyterian Church (RTHL)</td>
<td>1879</td>
<td>congregation / 1919 church building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coryell</td>
<td>22CV01</td>
<td>Carver School</td>
<td>1929</td>
<td>African American school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Site Number</td>
<td>Name/Description</td>
<td>Date/Description</td>
<td>Note</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>22DL04</td>
<td>Elm Thicket/Northpark Neighborhood</td>
<td>1880s African American Freedmen's town/neighborhood</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>22DL05</td>
<td>Fields Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1910 African American cemetery</td>
<td>Also submitted as undertold 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>22DL06</td>
<td>Henry Keller</td>
<td>(1817-1911) African American landowner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>22DL02</td>
<td>Junior League of Dallas</td>
<td>1922 organization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>22DL01</td>
<td>Manuel and Maria Valle Family</td>
<td>1920s-40s civic leaders</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>22DL03</td>
<td>Salem Institutional Baptist Church</td>
<td>1891 African American congregation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Paso</td>
<td>22EP02</td>
<td>The Coffin House and the Settlement of Duranguito</td>
<td>1881-82 residence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellis</td>
<td>22EL01</td>
<td>From Slavery to Equal Opportunity, Heman Sweatt</td>
<td>(1912-1982) African American civil rights leader</td>
<td>Also submitted as undertold 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erath</td>
<td>22ER01</td>
<td>Chalk Mountain Masonic Lodge #894</td>
<td>1904 fraternal organization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls</td>
<td>22FA01</td>
<td>Booker T. Washington Schools</td>
<td>1875-1968 African American schools</td>
<td>Also submitted as undertold 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fayette</td>
<td>22FY01</td>
<td>P. Breymann Building (RTHL)</td>
<td>1883 commercial building</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fayette</td>
<td>22FY02</td>
<td>The Morgan Family of Plum</td>
<td>1880s family</td>
<td>Also submitted 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fayette</td>
<td>22FY03</td>
<td>Katy Depot, La Grange TX</td>
<td>1897 railroad depot</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fayette</td>
<td>22FY04</td>
<td>Stevens Chapel UMC (RTHL)</td>
<td>1893 congregation, 1939 church building</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gillespie</td>
<td>22GL01</td>
<td>African Americans in Gillespie County</td>
<td>1850s African American families, civic leaders</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonzales</td>
<td>22GZ01</td>
<td>St. James Missionary Baptist Church</td>
<td>1869 African American congregation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregg</td>
<td>22GG01</td>
<td>History of Boy Scout Troop 201</td>
<td>1917 youth organization (earliest troop in Texas)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Date or Type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregg</td>
<td>22GG03</td>
<td>Trinity Episcopal Church of Longview</td>
<td>1880s congregation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregg</td>
<td>22GG02</td>
<td>Utzman Farm House (RTHL)</td>
<td>1938 residence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grimes</td>
<td>22GM03</td>
<td>St. Louis Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1890s African American cemetery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardeman</td>
<td>22HX01</td>
<td>The Demise of Joe Earle</td>
<td>1879 murder</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardeman</td>
<td>22HX04</td>
<td>Medicine Mounds</td>
<td>Natural feature and Native American site</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardeman</td>
<td>22HX03</td>
<td>Quanah, Acme &amp; Pacific Railway</td>
<td>1902 railroad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardeman</td>
<td>22HX02</td>
<td>Shoot Out at The Depot</td>
<td>1893 shootout</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris</td>
<td>22HR04</td>
<td>1230 Houston St (Knapp Chevrolet Building) (RTHL)</td>
<td>1940 commercial building</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris</td>
<td>22HR05</td>
<td>Charles W. Luckie School</td>
<td>1909-44 African American school</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris</td>
<td>22HR02</td>
<td>Goose Creek Oil Field</td>
<td>1904-18 oil industry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris</td>
<td>22HR10</td>
<td>Harris County Poor Farm, 1894-1992</td>
<td>1894 county poor farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris</td>
<td>22HR01</td>
<td>Hill of Rest Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1903 cemetery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris</td>
<td>22HR09</td>
<td>Payne Chapel A.M.E. Church</td>
<td>1886 African American congregation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris</td>
<td>22HR07</td>
<td>Southside Place Park</td>
<td>1924 neighborhood park</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris</td>
<td>22HR08</td>
<td>Willis Wood Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1876 African American cemetery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrison</td>
<td>22HS02</td>
<td>The Cooperville Rosenwald School</td>
<td>1925-48 African American / Rosenwald school</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrison</td>
<td>22HS04</td>
<td>First Telegraph Office in Texas</td>
<td>1854 telegraph office and line</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrison</td>
<td>22HS03</td>
<td>Marshall Fire Department</td>
<td>1871 fire department</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays</td>
<td>22HY06</td>
<td>108 South Nance (Peel-Kretzmeier-Tenorio House) (RTHL)</td>
<td>1900s residence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays</td>
<td>22HY04</td>
<td>Danforth- Kerbow House (RTHL)</td>
<td>1941 residence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays</td>
<td>22HY01</td>
<td>Max E. Schiwitz Homestead (RTHL)</td>
<td>1933 residence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays</td>
<td>22HY02</td>
<td>Peal-Pleasant Valley Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1881 cemetery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Year Details</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays</td>
<td>22HY05</td>
<td>San Vicente Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1907 Mexican American cemetery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson</td>
<td>22HE01</td>
<td>Baxter School</td>
<td>1907 rural school</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill</td>
<td>22HI02</td>
<td>Bragg Williams Lynching</td>
<td>1919 racial violence murder</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasper</td>
<td>22JP01</td>
<td>Roganville Baptist Church</td>
<td>1921 congregation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaufman</td>
<td>22KF01</td>
<td>Deed Transaction of C.C. Nash and John G. Moore</td>
<td>1873 founding of City of Terrell</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kendall</td>
<td>22KE01</td>
<td>Casper Sueltenfuss_Guadalupe and Schiller,Texas</td>
<td>(1844-1916) pioneer, 1870s-1880s German communities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kendall</td>
<td>22KE02</td>
<td>Battle of Walker’s Creek</td>
<td>1844 Texas Ranger-Comanche conflict</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kendall</td>
<td>22KE03</td>
<td>Dan Holekamp</td>
<td>(1851-1931) merchant and civic leader</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimble</td>
<td>22KM01</td>
<td>Cobb Ranch House (RTHL)</td>
<td>1915 residence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamar</td>
<td>22LR01</td>
<td>Cobb Ranch House (RTHL)</td>
<td>1915 residence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamar</td>
<td>22LR02</td>
<td>George W. Wright_Founder of Paris_Texas</td>
<td>(1809-1877) town founder, merchant, Republic of Texas and state official</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamar</td>
<td>22LR03</td>
<td>William J. McDonald</td>
<td>(1844-1926) lawyer, banker, philanthropist (McDonald Observatory)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Salle</td>
<td>22LS01</td>
<td>Hispanic Heritage in South Texas Public Parks (RTHL)</td>
<td>1925 Mexican American park and structures Florita Plaza. NR listed 2021.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavaca</td>
<td>22LC01</td>
<td>Menger School</td>
<td>1898 community school</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavaca</td>
<td>22LC02</td>
<td>Shiner Game &amp; Fish Protective League</td>
<td>1931-present environmental organization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leon</td>
<td>22LN01</td>
<td>Taylor Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1868 cemetery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Place/Individual</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberty</td>
<td>22LB01</td>
<td>Bailey's Corner</td>
<td>1890s businesses and civic activities</td>
<td>Submitted as Early Businesses and Businessmen in Liberty, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberty</td>
<td>22LB02</td>
<td>The Pounds House (RTHL)</td>
<td>1898/1914 residence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubbock</td>
<td>22LU03</td>
<td>Bess Hubbard</td>
<td>(1896-1977) sculptor and artist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubbock</td>
<td>22LU01</td>
<td>Mollie Abernathy</td>
<td>(1866-1960) rancher, businesswoman and civic leader</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubbock</td>
<td>22LU04</td>
<td>Paul Horn</td>
<td>(1870-1932) educator, first president of Texas Tech</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubbock</td>
<td>22LU02</td>
<td>Peaceful Gardens Memorial Park (HTC)</td>
<td>1963 cemetery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubbock</td>
<td>22LU04</td>
<td>Texas Tech University Administration Building (RTHL)</td>
<td>1924/50s main university building</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matagorda</td>
<td>22MG01</td>
<td>Abel Head “Shanghai” Pierce_Jonathan Edwards Pierce</td>
<td>(1834-1900) and (1839-1915) cattlemen and land developer brothers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matagorda</td>
<td>22MG02</td>
<td>Allenhurst Community and Cemetery</td>
<td>1905 African American community and 1915 cemetery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matagorda</td>
<td>22MG03</td>
<td>Casa de Dios</td>
<td>1912 Mexican American congregation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLennan</td>
<td>22ML02</td>
<td>Alpha Theater (RTHL)</td>
<td>1947-70 African American theater / commercial building</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLennan</td>
<td>22ML03</td>
<td>Katy Park</td>
<td>1905-65 baseball field and recreational facility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medina</td>
<td>22ME01</td>
<td>Castro Out-lot No. 60</td>
<td>1844 community agricultural acreage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midland</td>
<td>22MD01</td>
<td>Mabel Holt</td>
<td>(1905-1972) landowner, businesswoman and civic leader</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Site/Individual</td>
<td>Year/Category</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milam</td>
<td>22MM01</td>
<td>Gause Baptist Church</td>
<td>1877 congregation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitchell</td>
<td>22MH01</td>
<td>Spade Community and Wild Horse Creek Bridge</td>
<td>1881 community</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery</td>
<td>22MQ01</td>
<td>Chilton-Dean House (RTHL)</td>
<td>1851/1891 residence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nacogdoches</td>
<td>22NA01</td>
<td>Robert Bruce Blake, Historian Extraordinaire</td>
<td>(1877-1955) historian and translator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Also submitted as undertold 2021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navarro</td>
<td>22NV03</td>
<td>Bethel AME Church</td>
<td>1872 African American congregation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22NV02</td>
<td>GW Jackson – Pioneer Educator</td>
<td>(1856-1940) African American educator and civic leader</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Also submitted as undertold 2021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nueces</td>
<td>22NY06</td>
<td>Nueces County Junior Livestock Show</td>
<td>1936-present youth livestock exhibition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22NU07</td>
<td>Skirmish at Corpus Christi Pass</td>
<td>1862 Civil War naval battle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22NU03</td>
<td>Tito Rivera</td>
<td>(1843-1894) Comanche captive, translator, businessman, city official. Also submitted as undertold 2019 and 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker</td>
<td>22PR01</td>
<td>Prince Memorial CME Church</td>
<td>1867 African American congregation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker</td>
<td>22PR03</td>
<td>Quintessential Pioneer Woman, Martha Elizabeth (Cockburn) Tucker</td>
<td>(1839-1915) pioneer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22PR02</td>
<td>Red Ochre Reburials/Annetta Cemetery</td>
<td>Two prehistoric burials, reinterred at Annetta Cemetery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>22PK01</td>
<td>Bluff Creek Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1890 cemetery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potter</td>
<td>22PT01</td>
<td>Life and Legacy of Bones Hooks</td>
<td>(1867-1951) African American cowboy and civic leader</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockwall</td>
<td>22RW02</td>
<td>New Hope Baptist Church</td>
<td>1859 African American congregation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runnels</td>
<td>22RN01</td>
<td>Fairview Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1910 cemetery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Saba</td>
<td>22SS01</td>
<td>Edgar T. Neal, Texas Ranger, Sheriff, Freemason</td>
<td>(1869-1946) Texas Ranger, county sheriff, state official, environmentalist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starr</td>
<td>22SR01</td>
<td>Union Service Station and Feed &amp; Seed Store</td>
<td>1926 gas station and commercial building</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarrant</td>
<td>22TR02</td>
<td>Board and Chorn Drugstore</td>
<td>1898-present health and medical businesses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarrant</td>
<td>22TR03</td>
<td>Haley's Meat Market</td>
<td>1933-present business</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarrant</td>
<td>22TR04</td>
<td>Stagecoach Ballroom</td>
<td>1961-present music venue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarrant</td>
<td>22TR05</td>
<td>Fort Worth Poetry Society</td>
<td>1910 literary organization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis</td>
<td>22TV03</td>
<td>Cisco’s Restaurant Bakery and Bar</td>
<td>1928 African American congregation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis</td>
<td>22TV07</td>
<td>Olivet Baptist Church</td>
<td>1924-present recreational center and community facility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis</td>
<td>22TV05</td>
<td>Oswaldo “A.B.” Cantu Pan American Recreational Center</td>
<td>1924 residence / 1960s-70s civil rights site</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis</td>
<td>22TV04</td>
<td>The Richard Moya House (RTHL)</td>
<td>1922-25 African American / Rosenwald school</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upshur</td>
<td>22UR01</td>
<td>Bethlehem Colored School (RTHL)</td>
<td>1876 African American congregation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upshur</td>
<td>22UR02</td>
<td>St. James Baptist Church</td>
<td>1946 football team undefeated and unscored on in regular season</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Zandt</td>
<td>22VN01</td>
<td>Canton HS Eagles 1964 Football Team</td>
<td>1964 football team undefeated and unscored on in regular season</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Zandt</td>
<td>22VN04</td>
<td>Potters Brown</td>
<td>1971 artists cooperative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Zandt</td>
<td>22VN03</td>
<td>Railroad Spur Line to Van Oil Field</td>
<td>1930 railroad spur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria</td>
<td>22VT01</td>
<td>Zion Lutheran Church – Mission Valley</td>
<td>1915 congregation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>22WT02</td>
<td>Jaeger Witte Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1850s cemetery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wharton</td>
<td>22WH01</td>
<td>Drastata Farm</td>
<td>1897 Czech immigrant farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>Job#</td>
<td>Topic submitted</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wharton</td>
<td>22WH03</td>
<td>Roberts Family Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1921 cemetery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wharton</td>
<td>22WH05</td>
<td>St. James Missionary Baptist Church</td>
<td>1866 African American congregation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wichita</td>
<td>22WC01</td>
<td>Church of the Good Shepherd</td>
<td>1884 congregation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>22WD01</td>
<td>East Texas Serenaders</td>
<td>1927-37 musical group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>22WD02</td>
<td>Site of New Hope Common School No. 33</td>
<td>1884-1964 rural school</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young</td>
<td>22YN01</td>
<td>1921 Young County Jail (RTHL)</td>
<td>1921 county jail building</td>
<td>Also submitted 2020 (approved but later cancelled).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Interpretive markers to be cancelled (26)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Job#</th>
<th>Topic submitted</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bee</td>
<td>22BE01</td>
<td>1874 Bee County Jail (RTHL)</td>
<td>1874 county jail</td>
<td>Also submitted 1967. Building moved at least six times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burnet</td>
<td>22BT01</td>
<td>The Parsonage of the First Baptist Church (RTHL)</td>
<td>1904-05 parsonage</td>
<td>Building moved 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duval</td>
<td>22DV01</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1876 Mexican American cemetery</td>
<td>No $100 application fee received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Paso</td>
<td>22EP01</td>
<td>Old Fort Bliss Officer Quarters (RTHL)</td>
<td>1890s military building</td>
<td>No CHC approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Bend</td>
<td>22FB01</td>
<td>Cole Theatre (RTHL)</td>
<td>1918 theater building</td>
<td>Attachment A not signed or notarized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonzales</td>
<td>22GZ02</td>
<td>Terryville Community</td>
<td>1865 African American community</td>
<td>Attachment A not signed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grayson</td>
<td>22GS01</td>
<td>Kendall Chapel Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1878 cemetery</td>
<td>Attachment A not signed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grimes</td>
<td>22GM02</td>
<td>329 E. Washington Ave (Hamilton House) (RTHL)</td>
<td>1925 residence</td>
<td>No CHC approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris</td>
<td>22HR06</td>
<td>Sikh Center of the Gulf Coast Area, INC</td>
<td>1972 religious center</td>
<td>All associated individuals are still living</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrison</td>
<td>22HS01</td>
<td>Red Woodall's Cities Service Filling Station</td>
<td>1945-85 gas station</td>
<td>No $100 application fee received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Site Description</td>
<td>Year/Feature Details</td>
<td>Status Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays</td>
<td>22HY03</td>
<td>The Old Mill (RTHL)</td>
<td>1924/39 industrial building</td>
<td>Also submitted 1991 and 2021.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madison</td>
<td>22MA01</td>
<td>Daniel Larrison (1786-1845) pioneer</td>
<td>1941 New Deal art</td>
<td>No $100 application fee received. Also submitted as undertold 2019 and 2020. Marker proposed to be placed across the street from historic post office site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nueces</td>
<td>22NU04</td>
<td>Corpus Christi WPA Murals</td>
<td>1941 New Deal art</td>
<td>No $100 application fee received. Also submitted as undertold 2019 and 2020. Marker proposed to be placed across the street from historic post office site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>22OR01</td>
<td>First Baptist Church West Orange</td>
<td>(unknown)</td>
<td>No narrative submitted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>22OR02</td>
<td>The Boathouse</td>
<td>1926 timber industry building</td>
<td>Incomplete application submitted after deadline.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rusk</td>
<td>22RK02</td>
<td>Laneville Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1854 cemetery</td>
<td>Already has (name and date plaque?) (count as replacement?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somervell</td>
<td>22SV01</td>
<td>George's Creek Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1870 cemetery</td>
<td>No $100 application fee received. Attachment A not signed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarrant</td>
<td>22TR01</td>
<td>Fort Worth Flood of 1949</td>
<td>1949 natural disaster</td>
<td>No narrative submitted. Submitted as Fort Worth Flood of 1949 and Montgomery Ward Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis</td>
<td>22TV09</td>
<td>Darnall House (RTHL)</td>
<td>1940 residence</td>
<td>No CHC approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis</td>
<td>22TV06</td>
<td>Herrera House (RTHL)</td>
<td>1900 residence</td>
<td>Attachment A not signed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis</td>
<td>22TV08</td>
<td>M.W. St. Joseph Grand Lodge Masonic Temple</td>
<td>1924 African American fraternal organization / 1949-50 lodge building</td>
<td>No CHC approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis</td>
<td>22TV01</td>
<td>Dr. Sidney and Helen White House (RTHL)</td>
<td>1968 residence for African American family</td>
<td>No CHC approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>File No.</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Status/Reason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Zandt</td>
<td>22VN05</td>
<td>Texas Short Line Railroad - Grand Saline to Hoyt and Alba</td>
<td>1891-1959</td>
<td>No $100 application fee received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>22WT01</td>
<td>Palestine Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>1883</td>
<td>No CHC approval. Hard copy application mailed after deadline.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wharton</td>
<td>22WH04</td>
<td>First Missionary Baptist Church</td>
<td>1890</td>
<td>Insufficient narrative and sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wharton</td>
<td>22WH02</td>
<td>St. John Cemetery Wharton County (HTC)</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Insufficient narrative and sources</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TAB 14.3
Consider Approval of Work Plan for 2023 Official Texas Historical Markers

**Recommendations for 2023:** For new historical markers to be considered for calendar year 2023, staff recommends application period dates of **March 1 – May 15, 2023.** This will allow sufficient time to score and rank all new applications. Staff recommends the following thematic priorities for 2023: **Community Planning and Development; Education; and Military.** Topics addressing these themes will receive additional points when new applications are scored. Staff recommends approving and processing no more than **170** new applications and no more than **15** markers produced through the Undertold marker program (accumulated Marker Application Funds). The total of no more than **185** historical markers in calendar year 2023 shall proceed by the following work plan schedule:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INTERNAL THC DATES</th>
<th>EXTERNAL CHC/SPONSOR DATES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 2023</td>
<td>Marker applications posted to website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May 15, 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 1 – May 15, 2023</td>
<td>Staff processes and scores all applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May 16-30, 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2023</td>
<td>RTHL Meeting with DOA staff and scoring meeting with DDs, Admin staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 2023</td>
<td>Commissioners review and comment on 2023 marker topics at quarterly meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By August 4, 2023</td>
<td>Staff sends out payment vouchers to recommended topics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2023</td>
<td>Commissioners select application dates, priority themes and number of markers to be processed for 2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 2023 – Feb. 2024</td>
<td>Staff gives workshops and webinars on successful marker applications and other topics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Recommended motion (Committee):** Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of staff recommendations for qualified Official Texas Historical Marker applications and adopt a work plan to complete no more than 185 new historical markers in calendar year 2023.

**Recommended motion (Commission):** Move to approve staff recommendations for qualified Official Texas Historical Marker applications and adopt a work plan to complete no more than 185 new historical markers in calendar year 2023.
Consider removal of historical marker for Burleson Quadrangle, Waco, McLennan County

Background
In 2009, an Official Texas Historical Marker was processed and shipped for Burleson Quadrangle at Baylor University, Waco, McLennan County, Texas. In June 2022, Baylor University contacted the THC to request removal of the historical marker. Their materials are enclosed here. The McLennan CHC responded to say that they had no comment (their response also enclosed).

Staff Recommendation
This request for removal of an Official Texas Historical Marker complies with all procedures and contains all information specified in Texas Administrative Code, Rule 21.13, Removal of Markers and Monuments. In 2022, Baylor University renamed the space designated Burleson Quadrangle as “The Quad.” The university also plan to relocate a 1905 Pompeo Coppini sculpture of Rufus Burleson. As a result, the historical marker is now inaccurate in its title, its references to Dr. Rufus C. Burleson and Burleson Quadrangle, and its reference to the 1905 sculpture.

Motion Option 1 (Committee): Move that the committee forward to the Commission and recommend approval of the request to remove the Official Texas Historical Marker for Burleson Quadrangle, Waco, McLennan County.

Motion Option 2 (Committee): Move that the committee forward to the Commission and recommend rejection of the request remove the Official Texas Historical Marker for Burleson Quadrangle, Waco, McLennan County.

Motion Option 1 (Commission): Move to approve the request to remove the Official Texas Historical Marker for Burleson Quadrangle, Waco, McLennan County.

Motion Option 2 (Commission): Move to reject the request remove the Official Texas Historical Marker for Burleson Quadrangle, Waco, McLennan County.
To: Texas Historical Commission

From: Baylor University
Patrick J. Carley
Associate Vice President for Facilities and Operations

Date: June 9, 2022

Re: Baylor University Removal of Burleson Quadrangle Historical Marker

This memorandum serves as an official request to remove the Burleson Quadrangle Historical Marker on the campus of Baylor University. The below information is provided per guidance received from Ms. Trisha Norton on June 3, 2022, via e-mail.

(1) The name and contact information for the requesting individual, group, or CHC.
   a. Baylor University
   b. Point of Contact: Patrick J. Carley, Associate Vice President for Facilities and Operations
   c. 254-710-2668
   d. Patrick_Carley@baylor.edu

(2) The name and location of the marker or monument for which removal is requested.
   a. “Burleson Quadrangle” Historical Marker (see atchs 1 & 2)
   b. Baylor University (see atch 3)

(3) Justification for removal of the marker or monument.
   On June 26, 2020, the Baylor University Board of Regents announced the establishment of the Commission on Historic Campus Representations, which was charged with reviewing the historical record and context of the University and its early leaders regarding connections to slavery and the Confederacy and offering guidance regarding all statues, monuments, buildings, and other aspects of the campus within this context.

   The Commission delivered its final report to the Board Chair and University President in December 2020. Several key historical facts that previously had not been acknowledged set the stage for the Commission’s recommendations, including the fact that Rufus Burleson was a slaveholder and enlisted in the Confederate army, serving as a chaplain. As president of Baylor and subsequently Waco University, Burleson encouraged faculty and male students over 18 to join the fight against what he called “Abolition despotism.” Following the war, and during his service as president of Baylor in Waco, he was a prominent supporter of the “Lost Cause” movement, which promoted the concept of a divinely white Southern future that honored the memory of antebellum whiteness and Confederate heroes.

   The recommendations found in the Commission’s report included renaming Burleson Quadrangle, a prominent gathering place for the Baylor Family, and relocating the monument to Rufus Burleson (see atch 4) to a less prominent location on campus. In May 2022, the University’s leadership announced that Burleson Quadrangle would be renamed “The Quad”
and that the monument honoring Rufus Burleson would be moved to the courtyard directly behind Burleson Hall, a building named in honor of his wife.

Due to these changes, the “Burleson Quadrangle” historical marker no longer accurately describes this area of the Baylor campus. Accordingly, Baylor is seeking permission to remove the marker.

(4) Narrative history and photographs of the marker or monument.

The monument honoring Rufus Burleson was dedicated on June 7, 1905, in the area that would later become known as Burleson Quadrangle. It was the work of sculptor Pompeo Coppini of San Antonio. The monument, consisting of a bronze statue and a base of one block of pink granite and three blocks of blue granite, cost $4,000, which was raised by a group of Baylor alumni.

The “Burleson Quadrangle” Texas Historical Commission marker (see atchs 1 & 2) was put in place in 2009.

(5) Written owner consent for removal from the landowner for sites not located on state land.

This memo constitutes a formal request from the owner, Baylor University. The desired timeline is for removal of the marker is by the end of July 2022.

(6) A plan explaining how the marker or monument will be removed in such a way as to protect its condition and be delivered to a location approved by THC.

Baylor University has hired a professional contractor to provide meticulous care during the removal of the Burleson Quadrangle Historical Marker. The operation will be overseen by Baylor construction project management team members. The scope of work consists of ensuring the marker is inspected prior to removal and protected during removal by using appropriate equipment and straps. The marker will be separated from the post and wrapped in protective cloth for storage/transport.

Respectfully,

PATRICK J. CARLEY, P.E.
Associate Vice President for Facilities and Operations

Attachments:
1. “Burleson Quadrangle” Historical Marker
2. “Burleson Quadrangle” Historical Marker and Burleson Monument
3. Campus Map
4. Burleson Monument
BURLESON QUADRANGLE

Dr. Rufus C. Burleson was the first president of Baylor's Waco campus and Burleson Quadrangle was named in his honor. With the completion of Baylor's four original buildings—Old Main (1886), Georgia Burleson Hall (1888), the F. L. Carroll Chapel and Library (1903), and the George W. Carroll Science Building (1903)—the Quadrangle took on its current appearance, and has since that time served as a social area and a link to Baylor's history and tradition.

Burleson Quadrangle has often been the site of the evolving social norms and customs at Baylor. During the 1920s, the 'ring out' ceremony, held every spring in Burleson Quadrangle, became a Baylor tradition and is still performed today. The ceremony involves the passing of an ivy chain from senior students to junior students, and symbolizes the passing of custodianship of the historic bells that are located in the Quadrangle to Baylor’s next graduating class. The ceremony was originally performed by female students, but has since grown to also include male students. Since 1945, students have participated in the passing of the key ceremony, also held in the Quadrangle at graduation time. The key opens a Baylor time capsule that was placed in the Quadrangle during the University's 1945 centennial.

Burleson Quadrangle is the location of a bronze sculpture of Dr. Burleson unveiled in 1935 and created by renowned Italian-born Texas sculptor Romolo Coppini (1870-1957). The centennial monument, also located within the Quadrangle, was constructed in 1945 using stones from Tryon Hall (formerly located at the Independence campus) and several buildings on the Waco campus.

(1) “Burleson Quadrangle” Historical Marker
(2) “Burleson Quadrangle” Historical Marker and Burleson Monument in the background
(3) Campus Map with “Burleson Quadrangle” Historical Marker
Burleson Monument to be moved
MCLENNAN COUNTY HISTORICAL COMMISSION
P. O. Box 311
Waco, Texas 76703-0311
June 19, 2022

Mr. Bob Brinkman
Coordinator, Historical Markers Program
Texas Historical Commission
P. O. Box 12276
Austin, Texas 78711-2276

Dear Mr. Brinkman:

Thank you for giving the McLennan County Historical Commission an opportunity to respond to the Texas Historical Commission regarding the request to remove the 2009 Official Texas Historical Marker for Burleson Quadrangle at Baylor University. The McLennan County Historical Commission has no comment.

Yours very truly,

[Signature]
Charles K. Barrow, Chairman
TAB 14.5
Consider approval of executive director’s appointments to the State Board of Review

Background:
The State Board of Review is an advisory committee with eleven members appointed by the Texas Historical Commission based on the recommendation of the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). The board reviews nominations to the National Register of Historic Places, and members must meet professional standards established by the National Park Service in the areas of history, prehistoric and historical archaeology, architectural history and architecture. Citizen members with demonstrated knowledge and experience in historic preservation may also be members of the board. The board meets at least three times per year.

According to rules established by the Texas Historical Commission, State Board of Review members in Texas serve two-year terms, with a maximum of three consecutive terms. Four current terms will expire at the end of September 2022. All four board members are eligible for reappointment and have expressed a willingness to serve. Two new appointments must be made for the citizen and architect member positions. THC executive director Mark Wolfe (the State Historic Preservation Officer) recommends that the following four individuals be reappointed to the board and two new appointments be made:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reappointment Recommendations</th>
<th>New Appointment Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nesta Anderson, archaeologist member</td>
<td>Fernando Brave, architect member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin, Texas</td>
<td>Houston, Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sehila Mota Casper, citizen member</td>
<td>David Danenfelzer, citizen member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bastrop, Texas</td>
<td>Austin, Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tara Dudley, architectural historian member</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin, Texas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Schroeder, archaeologist member</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin, Texas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recommended motion (Committee):** Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of the State Historic Preservation Officer’s recommendations to appoint Fernando Brave and David Danenfelzer and to reappoint Nesta Anderson, Sehila Mota Casper, Tara Dudley and Eric Schroeder to the State Board of Review.

**Recommended motion (Commission):** Move to approve the State Historic Preservation Officer’s recommendations to appoint Fernando Brave and David Danenfelzer and to reappoint Nesta Anderson, Sehila Mota Casper, Tara Dudley and Eric Schroeder to the State Board of Review.
State Board of Review Members

The State Board of Review is an advisory committee with eleven members appointed by the Texas Historical Commission based on the recommendation of the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). The board reviews nominations to the National Register of Historic Places, and members must meet professional standards established by the National Park Service in the areas of history, prehistoric and historical archeology, architectural history and architecture. Citizen members with demonstrated knowledge and experience in historic preservation may also be members of the board. The board meets at least three times per year.

According to rules established by the Texas Historical Commission, State Board of Review members in Texas serve two-year terms, with the maximum of three consecutive terms.

Nesta Anderson  
Archaeologist member, Austin  
State Board of Review member since October 2020  
*Dr. Anderson is an archaeologist and Co-Owner of Legacy Cultural Resources*

Kenna Lang Archer  
Historian member, San Angelo  
State Board of Review member since October 2021  
*Dr. Lang Archer is an Assistant Professor of History, Angelo State University*

Sehila Mota Casper  
Citizen member, Bastrop  
State Board of Review member since October 2018  
*Ms. Mota Casper is the Executive Director of Latinos in Heritage Conservation*

David Danenfelzer  
Citizen member, Austin  
State Board of Review member since October 2022 (pending approval)  
*Mr. Danenfelzer is the Senior Director with the Texas State Affordable Housing Corporation in Austin*

Tara Dudley  
Architectural historian member, Austin  
State Board of Review member since October 2020  
*Dr. Dudley is a Lecturer with the School of Architecture, University of Texas at Austin*

Brantley Hightower  
Architect member, San Antonio  
State Board of Review member since October 2021  
*Mr. Hightower is an architect and founding partner of HiWorks Architects*
Brian Ingrassia
Historian member, Canyon
State Board of Review member since October 2021
Dr. Ingrassia an Associate Professor of History, West Texas A&M University

Fernando Brave
Architect member, Houston
State Board of Review member since October 2022 (pending approval)
Mr. Brave is an architect and owner of Brave Architecture

Jeffrey Lieber
Citizen member, Austin
State Board of Review member since October 2021
Dr. Lieber is an Associate Professor of Art History, Texas State University

Paula Lupkin
Architectural historian member, Denton
State Board of Review member since October 2021
Dr. Lupkin is an Associate Professor of Art History, University of North Texas

Eric Schroeder
Archaeologist member, Austin
State Board of Review member since October 2020
Dr. Schroeder is a Cultural Resource Specialist with Texas Parks & Wildlife Department in Austin
EXECUTIVE
1. **Call to Order** – *Chairman John Nau*
   A. Committee member introductions
   B. Establish quorum
   C. Recognize and/or excuse absences

2. **Consider approval of the Executive Committee meeting minutes**
   A. April 29, 2022
   B. June 17, 2022

3. **Consider approval of a new appointment to the Texas Preservation Trust Fund Advisory Board** (item 15.2) – *Brummett*

4. **Consider approval of the Project Fundraising Priorities list requiring private funds in excess of $50,000** (Item 15.3) – *Zutshi*

5. **Consider confirmation of reappointments to the Board of Trustees of the Friends of the Texas Historical Commission** (Item 15.4) – *Zutshi/Wolfe*

6. **Discussion and possible action regarding the Legislative Appropriations Request for the 2024-2025 biennium** – *Wolfe/Bell/Rubin*

7. **Information technology update** – *Rubin*

8. **Committee Chairman’s Report**
   A. Ongoing Projects; and
   B. Updates and Upcoming Events

9. **Adjourn**

**NOTICE OF ASSISTANCE AT PUBLIC MEETINGS:** Persons with disabilities who plan to attend this meeting and who may need auxiliary aids or services such as interpreters for persons who are deaf or hearing impaired, readers, large print or Braille, are requested to contact esther.brickley@thc.texas.gov at least four (4) business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.
MINUTES

April 28, 2022
San Antonio
1. Call to Order
The meeting of the Texas Historical Commission (THC) Executive Committee was called to order by Chairman John L. Nau, III at 3:55 p.m. on April 28, 2022. He announced the meeting had been posted to the Texas Register, was being held in conformance with the Texas Open Meetings Act, Texas Government Code, Chapter 551 and that notice had been properly posted with the Secretary of State’s Office as required.

A. Committee member introductions
Chair Nau welcomed everyone, and introductions were made around the table. Members present included:
Chair John Nau
Vice-Chair Catherine McKnight
Secretary Garrett Donnelly
Commissioner John Crain
Commissioner Pete Peterson

B. Establish quorum
Chairman Nau reported a quorum was present and declared the meeting open.

C. Recognize and/or excuse absences
Chairman Nau noted that Commissioner Daisy White was absent due to an unavoidable scheduling conflict. Commissioner John Crain moved, Vice-Chair Catherine McKnight seconded, and the committee voted unanimously to excuse the absence of Commissioner White.

2. Consider approval of the February 1, 2022 Executive Committee meeting minutes
Commissioner Pete Peterson moved, Vice-Chair McKnight seconded, and the committee voted unanimously to approve the minutes from the February 1, 2022 executive committee meetings.

3. Consider adoption of new rule to the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 11, Subchapter A, Section 11.25 related to the Family Leave Pool, without changes as published in the February 25, 2022, issue of the Texas Register (47 TexReg 845-846) (Item 6.4)
Deputy Executive Director Alvin Miller reported that this new rule had been posted to the Texas Register following the February 1, 2022 approval by the commission. He explained that the new section, 11.25 was
proposed for adoption in order to comply with Texas Government Code requirements for rulemaking. He explained that the Commission had been in compliance with the requirements of the related statute but had not formally adopted rules. Miller noted that the Human Resources policy had been established in the personnel manual and no comments were received following the posting of the proposed rule. Commissioner Garrett Donnelly moved, Vice-Chair McKnight seconded, and the committee voted unanimously to send forward to the Commission and recommend adoption of the new rule to Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 11, section 11.25 related to the State Employee Family Leave Pool, without changes as published in the February 25, 2022, issue of the Texas Register (47 TexReg 845-846).

4. Hurricane Harvey Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund

A. Consider approval of grant award to alternate project (Item 15.2A)

THC Disaster Assistance Program Coordinator Lisa Hart reported that seven alternate projects had been awarded funding by the commission to date. She explained that the final project remaining on the alternate list, Texas Freedom Colonies Project, was a trademarked research initiative copyrighted by Andrea R. Roberts, Ph.D., an associate professor in the Department of Landscape Architecture and Urban Planning in the College of Architecture at Texas A&M University. Hart noted that Dr. Roberts would be transitioning to employment with the University of Virginia this fall necessitating a contract directly through her company, Freedom Colonies Project LLC. She explained that the project would cover strategic and technical planning, including support for student research this summer (2022). Vice-Chair Catherine McKnight moved, Commissioner Pete Peterson seconded, and the committee voted unanimously to send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of funding from the Hurricanes Harvey, Irma and Maria Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund Grant program to Freedom Colonies Project LLC in the amount of $151,211.

B. Consider approval of process to award supplemental funding to previously awarded projects (Item 15.2B)

Hart reported that, after the grant awards were given, several projects had declined funding due to a variety of reasons and a process was being proposed to distribute the remaining funds as it becomes available. She stated that all projects would need to be completed by the deadline of March 31, 2024, as established by the NPS. Hart also stated that the process in the proposed policy would redistribute funding as efficiently as possible to ensure the state makes full use of grant funds according to terms of the THC’s contract with the NPS.

Executive Director Wolfe confirmed that the process was the same used for the Certified Local Government grants and, due to grant deadlines, expeditious funding was necessitated. He also stated that the projects being considered would be those that had already been approved and prioritized by the commission. Commissioner Crain moved, Vice-Chair McKnight seconded, and the committee voted unanimously to send forward to the Commission and recommend directing the Executive Director to award supplemental funding from the Hurricanes Harvey, Irma and Maria Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund Grant program to the highest ranking qualified subgrantee projects as outlined in the motion policy (Exhibit #1).

5. Consider delegation of authority to the Executive Committee to approve the Legislative Appropriations Request for FY 2024-2025 (Item 15.3)

Executive Director Wolfe reported that the Legislative Appropriations Request (LAR) for the 2024-25 biennium was the agency’s budget request to the Texas Legislature. He provided an overview of the LAR components, process, and noted that agencies, including the THC, were also able to request additional funding for agency initiatives, known as exceptional items. Executive Director Wolfe stated that the LAR policy letter and submission schedule was expected to be released in mid-late June, with an expected due date to the Legislative Budget Board (LBB) typically the first week of August, 2022. He explained that the timeframe fell in between THC quarterly meetings and warranted delegation of authority to the Executive Committee for approval of the LAR to facilitate the timely submission. Executive Director Wolfe clarified that the delegation would necessitate a committee meeting in the interim. Chairman Nau confirmed that delegating authority to the executive committee to approve the LAR had been the standard practice over the past years. Commissioner Peterson moved, Vice-Chair McKnight seconded, and the committee voted unanimously to send forward to the
commission, the recommendation to delegate authority to the Executive Committee to approve the Legislative Appropriations Request (LAR) for FY 2024-25. Any final adjustments necessary before submission of the LAR to the Legislature may be made by the Executive Director with the approval of the Chairman.

6. Consider delegating authority to the Executive Committee to approve the THC Strategic Plan FY 2023-2027 (Item 15.4)

Executive Director Wolfe reported that the THC was required to submit a strategic plan to the Governor’s Office of Budget, Planning and Policy and the Legislative Budget Board every biennium (even-numbered years). He explained the agency’s final plan was due to the Governor’s Office of Budget, Planning and Policy and the Legislative Budget Board on June 1, 2022. Executive Director Wolfe stated the request to delegate authority to the Executive Committee to approve the THC Strategic Plan for FY 2023-2027 was being submitted in order to meet the June 1, 2022 submission deadline. Discussion was held regarding optional dates for an interim committee meeting to consider the plan. Secretary Donnelly moved, Commissioner Peterson seconded, and the committee voted unanimously to send forward to the commission and recommend approval to delegate authority to the Executive Committee to approve the Strategic Plan for FY 2023 – 2027. Any final adjustments necessary before submission of the Plan may be made by the Executive Director with the approval of the Chairman.

7. Human Resources Update

A. Survey of Employee Engagement (SEE) Report

Deputy Executive Director Alvin Miller reported that the THC had participated in the SEE biennial survey since 1994. He explained that the survey provided information about employees’ perceptions of the effectiveness of the agency and their job satisfaction. Miller referred commissioners to their meeting packets for the full SEE report and stated that the results were better than average as compared to other state agencies. Miller presented numerous charts and graphs depicting the overall scores by constructs and explained that the scores had steadily increased over the years. Questions and discussion followed regarding the various reasons for the improved scores.

B. Consider approval of the FY 2020 Survey of Employee Engagement Operational action plan (item 6.6)

Miller reviewed the agency action plan that addressed the three lowest scoring construct areas of the SEE - pay; internal communications; and employee development. He noted that the plan consisted of a comprehensive list of actions under the following four strategies:

- **Strategy 1**: Re-enforce THC as a positive place to work by recognition of staff performance through monetary and non-monetary recognition and awards.
- **Strategy 2**: Re-enforce an environment where internal communications and feedback is welcomed, valued and utilized.
- **Strategy 3**: Continue improvements to information systems infrastructure, data accessibility and productivity tools for staff.
- **Strategy 4**: Re-enforce and Support Strategic Leadership and Supervisory Development.

Commissioner Peterson moved, Secretary Donnelly seconded, and the committee voted unanimously to send forward to the commission and recommend approval of the THC FY 2022 SEE action plan.

8. Information technology update

Miller offered a summary of the IT activities over the past quarter including the completion of a password change initiative and annual network penetration testing.
9. Committee Chairman’s Report
Chairman Nau stated that, in the interest of time, he would provide his report at the full commission meeting the following day.

10. Adjourn
On the motion of the vice-chair, and without objection, the meeting was adjourned at 4:16 p.m.
Consider approval of process to award supplemental funding to previously awarded 
Hurricane Harvey Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund 
projects

Background

Congress appropriated $50 million from the Historic Preservation Fund to address the impacts of Hurricanes Harvey, Irma, and Maria, which occurred in 2017. In August 2018, the Texas Historical Commission (THC) applied to the National Park Service to receive an apportionment of these funds to assist affected historic properties in Texas. Staff received official notice on March 8, 2019 of the successful grant award in the amount of $12,318,047. In August of 2019, the NPS awarded THC an additional $200,000 for a mid-century modern survey and elevation study, bringing the grant funding total to $12,518,047.

The THC Commission awarded 39 projects in May and July 2019 for a total of $8,373,401. In addition, eight projects were identified as alternate projects. The Commission reserved $626,599 in grant funding for distribution to alternate project funding, program-required National Register nominations and possibly supplementing projects which previously received awards. Since the grant awards were made, several projects have declined funding due to a variety of reasons. Now that all eight alternate projects have been funded, a process is proposed to distribute the remaining funding as it becomes available. All projects must be completed by the deadline established by the National Park Service of March 31, 2024. The process below will redistribute funding as efficiently as possible to ensure the state makes full use of grant funding according to terms of the THC’s contract with the National Park Service award contract.

Proposed Policy

To facilitate distributing all funds awarded to the state, the Executive Director will award supplemental funding to those subgrant projects that identified the need for additional funding in their original applications, in the order of the original score ranking and project status. To be eligible for supplemental funding, a project must have an executed subgrant agreement and a filed preservation easement in place and demonstrate progress in project development.

The Executive Director shall defer awarding supplemental funding to projects that do not meet the required criteria or are unready to utilize the additional funding and will then consider the next highest-ranked qualified project. As additional funding becomes available, the Executive Director will again consider the highest ranked project for supplemental funding, with no guarantee that funding will become available to projects at a later date. Internal THC projects may also be considered for supplemental funding.

Prior to determining the amount of a supplemental funding award, the applicant may submit a revised budget and scope of work. The Executive Director may use updated cost and budget to determine supplemental funding, if appropriate. The Executive Director may make multiple awards to a single project, depending on the viability of the project, the use of prior funding, and the demonstrated ability to meet the final project closure deadline of March 31, 2024.
Recommended motion (Committee):
Move to send forward to the Commission and recommend directing the Executive Director to award supplemental funding from the Hurricanes Harvey, Irma and Maria Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund Grant program to the highest ranking qualified subgrantee projects as outlined in the motion policy.

Recommended motion (Commission):
Move to direct the Executive Director to award supplemental funding from the Hurricanes Harvey, Irma and Maria Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund Grant program to the highest ranking qualified subgrantee projects as outlined in the motion policy.
1. Call to Order
The meeting of the Texas Historical Commission (THC) Executive Committee was called to order by Chairman John Nau at 1 p.m. He announced the meeting had been posted to the Texas Register, was being held in conformance with the Texas Open Meetings Act, Texas Government Code, Chapter 551 and that notice had been properly posted with the Secretary of State’s Office as required.

A. Committee member introductions
Chairman Nau welcomed everyone and called on commissioners to individually state their name and the city in which they reside. Members in attendance included Commissioners Cathy McKnight, Pete Peterson, Garrett Donnelly, Daisy White, and John Crain. Staff attendees included Executive Director and SHPO Mark Wolfe; Deputy ED for Historic Sites Joseph Bell, and Deputy ED for Administration Amy Rubin.

B. Establish quorum
Chairman Nau reported a quorum was present and declared the meeting open.

C. Recognize and/or excuse absences
There were no absences.

2. Consider approval of the May 24, 2022 Executive Committee meeting minutes
Commissioner McKnight moved and Commissioner Peterson seconded that the committee meeting minutes for May 24, 2022 be approved and the commission voted unanimously in favor of the motion.

3. Discussion and possible action regarding the marker application for the New Hope Baptist Church
Executive Director Wolfe told the commissioners that New Hope Baptist Church had applied last year for a marker, but that the application required extensive corrections and so was not approved. The church resubmitted a much-improved application this year, and would be recommended by staff for approval at the upcoming quarterly commission meeting. In view of the fact that Juneteenth occurs on June 19th and the church is holding a special celebration, State Rep. Holland had requested permission to announce to the congregation that their application would be approved. However, marker approval requires commission action. Wolfe explained
that chairman Nau agreed to add this to the executive committee agenda. Commissioner White, in her position as chair of the History Programs committee, spoke in favor of approving this marker, noting that the actual language of the marker is still in development and would come back to the commission for approval at a later date. She moved approval of the request, seconded by Commissioner Crain. The committee voted unanimously in favor of the motion.

4. Discussion and possible action regarding the Legislative Appropriations Request for the 2024-2025 biennium
Committee members discussed the agency’s various planning processes and directed Executive Director Wolfe to work with his staff to develop an agency plan in advance of the upcoming legislative session. Chairman Nau directed that the framework for the plan be completed before the October quarterly meeting.

Commissioner Donnelly guided the Committee members through the draft list of potential exceptional items. Committee members asked that the San Jacinto project be placed as priority #1, followed by a new item covering the cost of filling in the slip that will be left vacant by the departure of the Battleship Texas. They agreed that the third priority should be vehicles, but left the decision as to how much should be requested for another meeting.

There was extensive discussion regarding vehicles, and Deputy E.D. Rubin explained that state agencies are required to request capital authority every biennium for the funds necessary to purchase both vehicles and IT equipment.

Several individual items on the list were discussed including the General Counsel position, the deferred maintenance list, and exhibit improvements. The decision was made to remove the request for funding for exhibits at Mission Dolores, and to remove the shade structure at the French Legation from the “Health Safety and Urgent Deferred Maintenance” project list.

During the discussion regarding the Levi Jordan project, Wolfe shared an email from the agency’s Development Director, Anjali Zutshi, regarding her thoughts for the next steps in defining this project and determining public financial support. The committee members generally agreed with her approach, and chairman Nau expressed his support.

Committee members agreed that they would meet again to finalize the list.

5. Committee Chairman’s Report
Chairman Nau updated the committee members on the Vicksburg monument, announcing that the time capsule had been discovered, but would be returned to its place for later rediscovery as the monument is only about 60 years old. He noted that he had shared with the committee members a report from John Poindexter proposing the reconstruction of the town of Shafter and suggested that once the project is complete it might operate as an “affiliate” of the THC’s historic sites program. He announced that a replica of the severely damaged 1936 monument at Ft. Bliss was almost complete. He then asked staff to prepare one-pagers on each of the exceptional items, with particular regard to the courthouse program where such information might be useful to former first lady Laura Bush in her advocacy efforts.

Executive Director Wolfe noted that funds to cover staff salary increases are typically handled by the legislature without reference to any particular agency, so there is no request for such funds in
the THC’s LAR. However, it has become very difficult to find qualified applicants for staff vacancies due in large part to the increasing cost of housing in Austin. Chairman Nau requested a one-pager on this subject for his use in meetings with elected officials.

**Adjournment**

The meeting was adjourned at 4 p.m.
TAB 15.2
Consider approval of a new appointment to the Texas Preservation Trust Fund Advisory Board

Background:

The Texas Preservation Trust Fund (TPTF) Advisory Board is an eleven-member board comprised of dedicated Texans with special expertise and interest in historic preservation. In accordance with the Texas Preservation Trust Fund rules and regulations, members of the TPTF Advisory Board shall serve a two-year term expiring on February 1 of each odd-numbered year. Advisory board members may be reappointed. Barry Moore, FAIA, a longstanding member of the board, recently resigned from the Architect (Place 2) position, which now provides an opportunity for a new member to join the board. The Executive Committee and full Commission will consider a new appointment to the board.

The individual being considered for the new appointment is Dohn LaBiche, FAIA, an architect and principal of The LaBiche Architectural Group, Inc., formed in 2000 in Beaumont, Texas. Mr. LaBiche and his firm have been involved in many historic preservation projects including the Jefferson County Courthouse, Tyler County Courthouse, Orange County Courthouse, and Rose Hill Manor, a previous TPTF grant project. In 2021, Mr. LaBiche and firm were recognized with the THC’s Award of Excellence in Historic Architecture for the rehabilitation of the City of Galveston’s 30th Street Water and Electric Light Pump Station. This project was a labor of love for Mr. LaBiche and has since gone on to win numerous awards from AIA Houston, City of Galveston, and Preservation Texas.

THC staff confirmed with Mr. LaBiche that he is willing to serve on the TPTF Advisory Board if appointed by the Commission. He stated that he is honored and flattered to be considered for such a position.

Recommended motion (Committee):
Move to send forward to the Commission and recommend approval for the appointment of Don LaBiche, Architect (Place 2), to the Texas Preservation Trust Fund Advisory Board.

Recommended motion (Commission):
Move to approve the appointment of Don LaBiche, Architect (Place 2), to the Texas Preservation Trust Fund Advisory Board.
TAB 15.3
Review and approve projects requiring private funds in excess of $50,000 for FY 2023

Background

In accordance with the Memorandum of Understanding between the Texas Historical Commission and the Friends of THC projects exceeding $50,000 requiring funding from the Friends must be approved by a vote of the Commission or by a vote of the Executive Committee of the Commission.

The attached list of projects (attachment provided for your review) was developed by the Friends of the Texas Historical Commission, with input from, and consultation with, the division directors of each THC division, as well as with final review by the Executive Director of the THC. Upon approval by the Commission, this list of projects requiring private funds in excess of $50,000 will be approved by the Board of the Friends of the THC at their quarterly board meeting on July 29, 2022.

Suggested Motions

Move to approve projects as presented and to request that the Friends proceed with fundraising.
## FRIENDS OF THE TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION
### PROJECT FUNDING PRIORITIES FY 2023 (FINAL July 08, 2022)

**Notes:**
1. Friends fundraising priorities are categorized into three focus areas - Capital, Education, and Stewardship.
2. Projects marked with a plus sign (+) were approved in previous fiscal years, but have amended (increased) $ goals.
3. Projects marked with a minus sign (-) were approved in previous fiscal years, but have amended (decreased) $ goals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project name</th>
<th>Division</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Fundraising Goal</th>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Priority 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mobile App (Education) (new)</strong></td>
<td>Admin</td>
<td>Curate, catalog, and process images for mobile app (2 temps at $33K per, for 8 months)</td>
<td>$66,000</td>
<td>2023-2024</td>
<td>Immediate need for temp staff to curate, catalog and process images for the app, to be added following Ph 1 launch. Additional funding for the launch of Phase 1 includes 1 year of maintenance and support, as well as funding for additional refinements of the app before launch. Funding requirements for Phase 2 are being reviewed and will be provided as soon as possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Texas Archeology Stewardship Network (Stewardship)</strong></td>
<td>Archeology</td>
<td>Ongoing training/workshops for the TASN</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Still a priority - Archeology Division is expanding the program and exploring ideas for regional workshop; coming up on the 40th anniversary year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Real Places Conference &amp; Awards Banquet (Education) (+)</strong></td>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>Conference underwriting</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>No commitments as of yet; revising sponsorship program for RP2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eisenhower Birthplace (Capital) (-)</strong></td>
<td>HSD</td>
<td>Pedestrian Plaza Capital Improvements - Monument, landscape design, upgrades (Ph I)</td>
<td>$295,336</td>
<td>2022-2023</td>
<td>Total cost of pedestrian Plaza is $2.12M. Total fundraising goal for Phase 1 is $610,336; $315,000 raised towards this goal; $295,336 remaining.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Caddo Mounds - Visitor Center Phase II (Capital) (+)</strong></td>
<td>HSD</td>
<td>Construction of Phase II (education building) of the Caddo Mounds SHS visitor center and outdoor educational infrastructure; match for $2.9 million in state appropriations</td>
<td>$2,100,000</td>
<td>2023-2024</td>
<td>Numbers included here are for the Education Center building only (as provided by Richter Architects), and do not include support buildings (renovation of the old packing building and site improvements), additional storm protection, as well as archeology (as included in the LAR capital projects list).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goodnight Ranch - Acquisition (Capital) (new)</strong></td>
<td>HSD</td>
<td>Acquisition of the neighboring property as an addition to Goodnight Ranch SHS</td>
<td>$480,000</td>
<td>2023-2024</td>
<td>New. FTHC to buy and hold until THC receives statutory authority to spend SGST $s to acquire from the Friends.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Levi Jordan Plantation Museum (Capital)</strong></td>
<td>HSD</td>
<td>Capital Improvements and interpretation over the next 3-5 years</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>2022-2024</td>
<td>To begin and complete a full campaign feasibility analysis as recommended by the Friends. Funds expended for this process will be included in the final campaign budget and will be reimbursed to the Friends.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Star of the Republic Museum (capital)</strong></td>
<td>HSD</td>
<td>Construction of the museum</td>
<td>No fundraising by the Friends</td>
<td></td>
<td>The fundraising is being coordinated by WOBHF, with the FTHC supporting. FTHC Staying on this project as a consultant, support the WOBHF’s campaign.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Project Funding Priorities FY 2023 (Final July 08, 2022)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project name</th>
<th>Division</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Fundraising Goal</th>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Jacinto Monument - Museum Addition (Planning) (new)</td>
<td>HSD</td>
<td>Feasibility study</td>
<td>No fundraising by the Friends</td>
<td>2023-2025</td>
<td>Priority 1 project, but TBD about Friends of the THC involvement in the fundraising. On the project as a consultant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-12 Education Access Program for Historic Sites (Program) (New)</td>
<td>HSD</td>
<td>The program will provide funding for economically disadvantaged school districts, for transportation and admission for field trips to SHSs</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>2023-2024</td>
<td>New program. This need has been identified over the years by several HS managers and educators who are currently doing outreach to school districts ahead of the 2021-22 school year. Transportation and admission fees are among the primary hurdles, especially for schools from economically disadvantaged districts, to send students on field trips.MOVED TO PRIORITY 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Socorro Mission (Capital)</td>
<td>Archeology/HSD</td>
<td>Development plan</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Primarily for development and interpretation. Check with JB: Does this tie in with the Capital Construction project for Old Socorro, and does the FTHC need to be in that loop?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The 1554 Shipwrecks at 50 - the Archeology of North America's Oldest Excavated Shipwrecks</td>
<td>Archeology</td>
<td>Raise research funding for new archeological research on 1554 shipwrecks and salvage camps, including re-release of previous publications as digital files in English and translated into Spanish.</td>
<td>$50,000 - $100,000</td>
<td>2023-2025</td>
<td>NEW PROJECT; Note from BJ: THC has been partnering with NPS the past year to do work on the island and offshore, and with the exception of our staff time, NPS has provided all the funding. They have asked if we could start helping support the project if we want to continue. It is a good project, but we’d be hard pressed without outside funding. This would involve raising money to assist in supporting the offshore research and investigation of the onshore salvage camps. It could also be raised to support educational efforts, but I would particularly like to see the existing publications re-released by THC as digital resources (we already have them, but we need to do some marketing) with Spanish translation versions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archeological Stewards and Staff Research Fund (Program)</td>
<td>Archeology</td>
<td>A grant program for Stewards to support on-site research</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>2023-2024</td>
<td>Provide grant funding to TASN stewards for on-site research, like chronometric dating, or materials analysis. Also provide additional funding for regional review staff for research.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courthouse Stewardship Program. (Stewardship)</td>
<td>Architecture</td>
<td>Two regional and one statewide workshop</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Request approved. Funds will be received in FY 2023.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Lady's Tour (Education) (+)</td>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>Main Street Tour</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Traditionally funded primarily by IBAT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project name</td>
<td>Division</td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Fundraising Goal</td>
<td>FY</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Sites Virtual Learning Portal, Phase II (Education) (new)</td>
<td>HSD</td>
<td>Continue to build on Phase I, which was supported by the IMLS Cares Act grant</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>2023-2025</td>
<td>NEW. TO build on the IMLS funded virtual learning portal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collections Care Project (Program)</td>
<td>HSD</td>
<td>Expand emergency response and salvage capabilities at all regional collections repositories for THC, and institute environmental &amp; condition monitoring for all collections stored at THC HS and THC Curatorial Facility for Artifact Research. Includes software, equipment, and remote monitoring.</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>2023-2024</td>
<td>Working with Jamie Ross on identifying potential federal funding sources for this project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THC Digital Archives (Education &amp; Stewardship)</td>
<td>THC</td>
<td>A complete management system (software license and server/cloud storage) to digitize all THC-owned images, videos, oral histories, designation application files, permit files, legal documents such as funding agreements and easements, completion reports, historic structure reports, and construction documents to be shared between THC divisions.</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>This has been identified as a priority by multiple divisions. Mark and Amy’s input required to define scope, identify requirements, archival standards, etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Priority 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project name</th>
<th>Division</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Fundraising Goal</th>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cemetery Support Fund (Program) (new)</td>
<td>Archeology/HPD</td>
<td>Grant program to assist private landowners with preservation of prehistoric and abandoned or lost cemeteries.</td>
<td>??</td>
<td>2023-2024</td>
<td>To fund a grant program to assist private landowners with preservation efforts for prehistoric &amp; abandoned or lost cemeteries, including recording, protecting and possibly for exhumation. The changes to the Health and Safety Code has created tension between landowners and their interest groups &amp; archeologists, and developing a program that could provide resources might be a way to mitigate the anxiety and lack of trust.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project name</td>
<td>Division</td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Fundraising Goal</td>
<td>FY</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Texas Music History Trail (new)</strong></td>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>Visioning/Planning to develop the full scope of the Texas Music History Trail Program, and to identify long term resource needs. Initial funding will provided for professional planning expertise.</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>The 85th legislature, by passing H.B. 2079 authored by Rep. Todd Hunter, calls for the Texas Historical Commission to develop a Texas Music History Trail program to promote tourism related to the musical heritage of the state. The THC is working with the Texas Music Office of the Office of the Governor, the Center for Texas Music History at Texas State, and Texas Folklife to bring together musicians, scholars, and experts to realize this project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>THC Education Program (Program) (new)</strong></td>
<td>HPD</td>
<td>A comprehensive Education Program that provides funding for K-12, post-secondary, and professional development programs.</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>2023-2024</td>
<td>$10,000 for Youth Education (virtual summer camps and content development); $10,000 for 3rd party e-learning platform for K-12 education &amp; professional development; and $10,000 for Museum Services Webinars program. Some funding for these initiatives will be available from the THC Education Fund (distributions from the Texas Heroes Endowment).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monument Hill and Kreische Brewery (Capital)</strong></td>
<td>HSD</td>
<td>Architectural restoration; stabilization of the ruins; interpretive masterplan for the ruins</td>
<td>$560,000</td>
<td>2023-2025</td>
<td>This number includes $485K for restoration, stabilization, etc., and $75K for the interpretive masterplan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pavilion at the site</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cost of the pavilion is not included in the LAR capital projects list. Actual numbers will be updated once the a design concept is in place. The Friends will work with Friends of Kreische Brewery on the fundraising for the pavilion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Digital Collections Archives (Education)</strong></td>
<td>HSD</td>
<td>Expanding capabilities of the existing Digital Collections Database to enable all collections to be digitally inventoried, and collection information made &quot;web ready&quot;. Cost: part time staff, equipment, software, ops costs, etc. Focused primarily on archeological sites.</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>2023-2024</td>
<td>Potentially submitting a NPS request for this project (confirm project budget with Jamie Ross)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sam Bell Maxey House (planning)</strong></td>
<td>HSD</td>
<td>Cultural Landscape Plan and implementation</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>2023-2025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ft. Griffin - Longhorn Herd (Capital)</strong></td>
<td>HSD</td>
<td>Land/easement acquisition (~2,000 acres) for effective management of the THC longhorn herd at Ft. Griffin</td>
<td>$11,000,000</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Address herd needs with consolidation. Amount increased.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project name</td>
<td>Division</td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Fundraising Goal</td>
<td>FY</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation Scholars Program <em>(Education)</em></td>
<td>Friends - Restricted</td>
<td>Stipend for at least four interns for FY 2023</td>
<td>$22,000</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Per FTHC 2023 Draft Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends Unrestricted Fundraising <em>(Gen Operating)</em></td>
<td>Friends - Unrestricted</td>
<td>Unrestricted $s from the Spirit of Texas program.</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Per FTHC 2023 Draft Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTHC Fundraiser/Unrestricted fundraising from foundations &amp; Corporations <em>(Gen Operating)</em></td>
<td>Friends - Unrestricted</td>
<td>Unrestricted funds</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Per FTHC 2023 Draft Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Seminars <em>(Education)</em></td>
<td>Friends - Unrestricted</td>
<td>One annual seminar at RP, and one field seminar hosted at a historic site, and multiple virtual seminars offered</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Per FTHC 2023 Draft Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAB 15.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Confirm re-appointments and new appointment to Board of Trustees of the Friends of the Texas Historical Commission

Background:
The Friends of the Texas Historical Commission (Friends) is a nonprofit 501 (c) (3) organization dedicated to supporting the historic preservation programs of the THC. The Friends was formed in 1996 to assist the THC in the protection, preservation, and promotion of the state’s rich heritage, and in educating Texas citizens about their shared legacy. Through the Friends, the THC has raised more than $15 million to support programs such as the La Belle Shipwreck Project, the Red River War Battle Sites Project, the excavation of La Salle’s Fort St. Louis, the Texas in World War II Initiative, the THC Diversity Internships, the Texas Civil War Monuments Fund, and most recently the San Felipe de Austin State Historic Site Museum. A board of trustees, including the executive director of the Texas Historical Commission, oversees the Friends.

Trustees are appointed for three-year terms and are selected to provide preservation, operational and investment advice to the organization and to ensure that the activities of the organization support the preservation efforts of the THC.

In order to facilitate a close working relationship, the Commission appoints at least one more than half of the Trustees who serve as “Commission-appointed Trustees”. The Friends board appoints the remaining trustees as “Corporate Trustees”. The current Board of Trustees includes twelve (12) Commission appointees, and nine (9) Corporate appointees. Please see the attached “Trustees Term of Service FY 2023-2025”.

Suggested Motion

Move to confirm the re-appointment of Jane Barnhill, Lareatha Clay, Bonnie McKee, and Welcome Wilson Jr. as Commission Trustees of the Friends of the Texas Historical Commission for another three-year term (FY 2023-2025), beginning on September 1, 2022 and ending on August 31, 2025, and confirm the new appointment of Joe Thrash as a Commission Trustee of the Friends of the Texas Historical Commission for a three-year term (FY 2023-2025) beginning September 1, 2022 and ending on August 31, 2025.

CLASS III (September 1, 2022 – August 31, 2025)
Jane Cook Barnhill (re-appointment)
Lareatha Clay (re-appointment)
Bonnie McKee (re-appointment)
Joe Thrash (new appointment – bio attached)
Welcome Wilson Jr. (re-appointment)
JOE THRASH, Attorney, Austin

Joe Thrash is a native Texan, born in Beaumont, raised in Houston, and a resident of Austin since 1968. He graduated from the University of Texas at Austin with a degree in government. After two years in the United States Army, he attended and graduated from the University of Texas School of Law.

Following law school, Joe worked for agencies of the State of Texas for 42 years, including the Comptroller of Public Accounts, Lieutenant Governor's Office, the Governor's Office, and, for the last 21 years of his career, with the Office of the Attorney General.

As an Assistant Attorney General, Joe represented a number of state agencies, including the Texas Historical Commission, in both general counsel and litigation roles. He retired from the state in 2016. Since retiring, he has volunteered with Foundation Communities and is Treasurer of the AFSCME Retired State Employees Union. Mr. Thrash is married to Kay Trostle and has two grown daughters.
Trustee Terms of Service
FY 2023-2025
(September 1, 2022 – August 31, 2025)

Class I (Term Expires August 31, 2023)
Aaron Dorfner – Corporate
Sarah Zenaida Gould – Corporate
Francisco Guajardo – Corporate
Sarita Armstrong Hixon – Commission
Harriet Latimer – Commission
Jessica Rule – Corporate
Dianne Duncan Tucker – Commission

Class II (Term Expires August 31, 2024)
Donna Carter – Commission
Sehila Mota Casper – Commission
Rowena Houghton Dasch – Corporate
Courtney Read Hoffman – Commission
John Mayfield – Corporate
Wes Reeves – Commission
Sally Anne Schmidt – Corporate
Brian Shivers – Commission

Class III (Term Expires August 31, 2025)
Jane Cook Barnhill – Commission
Lareatha Clay – Commission
Terry Colley – Corporate
Michael Hurd – Corporate
Bonnie McKee – Commission
Welcome Wilson, Jr. – Commission

THC Commission Liaisons
Monica P. Burdette
John W. Crain
John L. Nau, III

Advisory Board
Killis Almond
Diane Bumpas
Harold Courson
Mary Stripling Duncan
Stuart Gleichenhaus
Albert "Boo" Hausser

Lynn McBee
Discussion and possible action regarding the Legislative Appropriations Request for the 2024-2025 biennium

**Background:**

The Legislative Appropriations Request (LAR) for the 2024-25 biennium is the agency’s budget request to the Texas Legislature and is due to the Legislative Budget Board (LBB) on approximately August 5, 2022. A due date is expected from the LBB in June 2022.

The LAR contains the actual expenditures from the previous year (2021), the estimated expenditures from the current year (2022), budgeted expenditures for the coming year (2023), and the requested budget for the coming biennium (FY 2024-2025). Agencies, including THC, are also able to request additional funding for agency initiatives, known as exceptional items.

**Suggested Motion:**

Move to approve the Legislative Appropriations Request for FY 2024-25. Any final adjustments necessary before submission of the LAR to the Legislature may be made by the Executive Director with the approval of the Chairman.
## EXCEPTIONAL ITEM AND FTE REQUEST SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>EXCEPTIONAL ITEM</th>
<th>PROGRAM/FUNCTION</th>
<th>FY24-25 TOTAL COST</th>
<th>RUNNING TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>San Jacinto Capital Construction</td>
<td>Historic Sites</td>
<td>$ 89,000,000</td>
<td>$ 89,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>USS Texas Battleship Site Restoration</td>
<td>Historic Sites</td>
<td>$ 15,000,000</td>
<td>$104,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Vehicle Replacement</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$ 1,966,983</td>
<td>$105,966,983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Computer Replacement</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$ 425,804</td>
<td>$106,392,787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>FTE Request</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$ 1,280,000</td>
<td>$107,672,787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Austin Campus - Deferred Maintenance</td>
<td>All Non-Historic Sites</td>
<td>$ 327,000</td>
<td>$107,999,787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Magoffin Home - Structural Integrity Repairs</td>
<td>Historic Sites</td>
<td>$ 4,144,000</td>
<td>$112,143,787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Varner-Hogg Plantation House Stabilization</td>
<td>Historic Sites</td>
<td>$ 825,000</td>
<td>$112,968,787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Historic Sites Deferred Maintenance</td>
<td>Historic Sites</td>
<td>$ 2,875,000</td>
<td>$115,843,787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Courthouse Grant Funding</td>
<td>Courthouse</td>
<td>$ 45,000,000</td>
<td>$160,843,787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Levi Jordan Plantation Capital Construction (Amt. Tentative)</td>
<td>Historic Sites</td>
<td>$ 5,000,000</td>
<td>$165,843,787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Heritage Tourism Publication Re-prints</td>
<td>Community Heritage</td>
<td>$ 522,240</td>
<td>$166,366,027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>NMPW (Bush Gallery)</td>
<td>Historic Sites - Nimitz</td>
<td>$ 7,500,000</td>
<td>$173,866,027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Eisenhower - Visitor Center - Renovation &amp; Addition</td>
<td>Historic Sites</td>
<td>$ 3,401,000</td>
<td>$177,267,027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Kreische Brewery - Visitor Center (New)</td>
<td>Historic Sites</td>
<td>$ 4,300,000</td>
<td>$181,567,027</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S REPORT
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S REPORT
ACTIVITIES APRIL 1 – JUNE 30, 2022

Met and consulted with:
AG’s office re: various actions (weekly)
Governor’s office re: various actions
City of San Antonio and General Services Administration re: Confluence Theater building/Wood federal courthouse
UTSA re: Casa Navarro easement and parking issues
Texas Facilities Commission re: Capitol complex development project (3)
Texas Facilities Commission re: border wall project
Annual site meeting, Joint Base San Antonio
Levi Jordan Advisory Committee
Texas Council on the Arts re: border event
Texas State Library and Archives and others re: joint curatorial facility
OAG staff re: availability of legal services
Trained new members of the Texas Holocaust, Genocide and Antisemitism Advisory Commission
Texas Military Forces re: new building at Camp Mabry

Attended events including:
Governor’s Award presentation, Austin
Friends of the THC Gala event, Austin
Friends of the Texas Historical Commission board meeting, Austin
THC Executive Committee meetings, Houston and Dallas
Washington on the Brazos project update, Brenham
Preservation Dallas awards banquet, Dallas
San Jacinto Museum and Battlefield Association annual banquet, Houston
Sundown Social event, Washington on the Brazos
Odom family reunion and project completion celebration, Shankleville
Preservation Austin historic homes tour (volunteered), Austin
NCSHPO Executive committee conference calls
Architecture Committee courthouse program application review meeting, Austin
Texas Holocaust, Genocide and Antisemitism Advisory Commission meeting, Austin
Texas Heritage Trail Program regional executive directors and board chairs meeting, Waco

Upcoming Events:
NCSHPO board meeting, Cincinnati, OH
Levi Jordan Plantation project status meeting
Preservation Scholars lunch
State Tourism MOU partner agencies meeting
Goodnight Under the Stars fundraiser, Goodnight
Texas Holocaust, Genocide and Antisemitism Advisory Commission meeting, Dallas
Texas Preservation Trust Fund Advisory Board meeting