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AGENDA
1. Call to Order and Introductions – Chairman Nau
   1.1 Welcome
   1.2 Pledge of Allegiance
      A. United States
      B. Texas
   1.3 Commissioner introductions
   1.4 Establish quorum
   1.5 Recognize and/or excuse absences

2. Public comment
   Members of the public may address the Commission concerning any matter within the authority of the Commission. The Chairman may limit the length of time available to each individual.

*The Commission will meet concurrently with the Antiquities Advisory Board

3. Joint AAB meeting
   3.1 Alamo Church and Long Barrack, Alamo Plaza, San Antonio, Bexar County
      A. Update on Alamo master plan – Kate Rogers, ATI, Inc. and Patrick Gallagher, Gallagher & Associates
      B. Report on future permits and amendment requests – Jeff Kauffman, GLO and Pam Rosser, ATI, Inc.
   3.2 Battleship Texas (USS Texas), La Porte, Harris County
      A. Presentation – Aldo Benavides, Valkor; Brad Currin, Valkor; Tony Gregory, Battleship Texas Foundation
      B. Discussion and possible action regarding Historic Buildings and Structures Antiquities Permit #1041 application for hull and blister rehabilitation – Graham
      C. Discussion and possible action to amend Historic Buildings and Structures Antiquities Permit #1042 for preparation, transportation, and temporary relocation at a Shipyard – Graham
4. Additional Reports and Presentations
4.1 Texas Holocaust, Genocide & Anti-Semitism Advisory Commission – Joy Nathan, E.D., THGAAC
4.2 Texas Statewide Historic Preservation Plan update – Nick Kalogeresis, AICP, The Lakota Group
4.3 Washington on the Brazos Update – Jim Kolkorst & Cyndee Smith
4.4 Friends of the Governor's Mansion Annual Report – Erika Herndon, Administrator, TxFGM

5. Friends of the THC – Anjali Zutshi, E.D., Friends OF THC

6. Consent Items – The Commission may approve agenda items 6.1 – 6.6 by a majority vote on a single motion. Any commissioner may request that an item be pulled from this consent agenda for consideration as a separate item.
6.1 Consider approval of February 2, 2022 meeting minutes
6.2 Consider certification of Historic Texas Cemetery Designations including: Beth Israel, Leal, Alum Creek Deshay, Mt. Olive Evergreen, Talpa, Glass-Chapman, Smith, Board Church, Fulshear, Watts, St. Louis, Bogs-Hufsmith, Gate of Heaven-Kerrville State Hospital, Real Family, Taylor, Peaceful Gardens Memorial Park, Antioch, Union, Smith Valley, Little West, Holly Grove, Rockwall Memorial, Fairview, Longview, St. John, and Jackson
6.3 Consider approval of text for Official Texas Historical Markers including: Kelty’s United Methodist Church, River Oaks Courts (RTHL), Carpenter Cemetery (HTC), College Station Cemetery (HTC), Edge Community, Belltown Community, Olivia Cemetery (replacement), Fernandez-Champion-Garcia-Warburton House (RTHL), Jose Callandret School, Jacksonville’s Public Square, Alley Cemetery (THC), Our Lady of Perpetual Help Cemetery (HTC), Dr. Edgar Ewell Ward, David Gregory Fox Jr., Roark-Griffith Pottery Site, Wilson Cemetery (THC), Bowie High School (El Paso Co), Fayette County Poor House and Farm, Sealy and Mary Hutchings Home (RTHL), Robert A. McClain House (RTHL), Schertz-Cibolo Cemetery (HTC), Hulver Cemetery (HTC), William B. Travis League, Czerner-Kowalik House (RTHL), Wren Cemetery (HTC), Double Mountain Salt Works (Replacement), First Federal Community Bank, Straley Cemetery (HTC), 1897 Howe Truss Train Trestle (RTHL), Pyland African American Cemetery (HTC), The Mansola Road of Nacogdoches, Fair Play Baptist Church, Fairground Cemetery, First Baptist Church, Smith County, CSA (supplemental), Broken Spoke, The Children’s Haven Assoc., Willie Wells Home (RTHL), Yellow Jacket Stadium, Site of Gibson Hotel, Concord Cemetery (HTC), Henry “Ragtime Texas” Thomas, Table Top Mountain (replacement), Newgulf Campo Santo (HTC), Old Jerusalem Cemetery (HTC), and Bill Mack
6.4 Consider adoption of new rule to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 11 Subchapter A, Section 11.25 related to the Family Leave Pool without changes as published in the February 25, 2022 issue of the Texas Register (47 TexReg 845-846)
6.5 Consider approval of State Antiquities Landmark nominations
   A. Consider approval of State Antiquities Landmark Nominations for 9 archeological sites (41BS477, 41PS48, 41PS50, 41PS117, 41PS120, 41PS124, 41PS139, 41PS322, 41PS800) located in the Big Bend Ranch State Park, Brewster and Presidio, Counties
   B. Consider approval of State Antiquities Landmark Nominations for archeological site 41VV838 located in the Dan Allen Hughes Unit, Devils River State Natural Area, Val Verde County
   C. Consider approval of State Antiquities Landmark Nominations for 10 privately-owned parcels as part of James Bute Park \ Frost Town Historic Site (41HR982) State Antiquities Landmark
   D. Consider approval of State Antiquities Landmark Nominations for 4 archeological sites (Centipede Cave Parcel, Cunningham Parcel, Jaguar Parcel, and Mullen Parcel) located in the Sunset Ranch Development, Hudspeth County
6.6 Consider approval of the FY 2022 Survey of Employee Engagement Operational Action Plan
6.7 Consider approval of contract amendment with Coastal Environments, Inc. (808-14-1256) to extend the contract to February 20, 2023 for the completion of artifact curation at the San Felipe de Austin SHS
6.8 Consider acceptance of donations/gifts-in-kind - None
7. **Antiquities Advisory Board** – Commissioner Bruseth

8. **Archeology** – Commissioner Bruseth

8.1 Committee report – report on items considered at the committee meeting held on April 28, 2022, including updates on the Battle of Medina project, Marine Archeology program, Fort Bend ISD Merit Awards, Texas Archeology Stewardship Network, Curatorial Facilities Certification program, Society for American Archeology, Council of Texas Archeologists, landowner survey project, and upcoming activities/events

9. **Architecture** – Commissioner Limbacher

9.1 Committee report – report on items considered at the committee meeting held on April 28, 2022, including updates on staffing, federal and state architectural reviews, courthouse preservation, disaster assistance, trust fund grants; historic preservation tax credit projects; and easement project
9.2 Consider approval of the recapture of funds from and/or supplemental funding to previously awarded Texas Historic Courthouse Preservation Program projects

10. **Communications** – Commissioner McKnight

10.1 Committee report – report on items considered at the committee meeting held on April 28, 2022, including division updates and media outreach

11. **Community Heritage Development** – Commissioner Peterson

11.1 Committee report – report on items considered at the committee meeting held on April 28, 2022, including updates on division staffing, 2022 Real Places conference, Texas Main Street program, DowntownTX.org, heritage tourism activities including the Texas Heritage Trails program, Certified Local Government activities, and Texas Treasures Business Award program
11.2 Consider approval of the FY 2023 funding plan for the Texas Heritage Trails Program

12. **Finance and Government Relations** – Vice-Chair McKnight

12.1 Committee report – report on items considered at the committee meeting held on April 28, 2022, including a review of the agency financial dashboard and legislative report

13. **Historic Sites** – Commissioner Crain

13.1 Committee report – report on items considered at the committee meeting held on April 28, 2022, including updates on the Historic Sites facilities; San Jacinto development; Washington-on-the-Brazos project; Levi Jordan Plantation project; E-commerce; Casa Navarro site development; joint agency facility and data base
13.2 Consider approval of Phase II Assessment for the G.W. Bush Childhood Home
13.3 Consider filing authorization of the repeal of section 16.7 of the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, related to Historic Sites, Friends Organizations, for first publication and public comment in the Texas Register
13.4 Consider filing authorization of new section 16.7 of the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, related to Historic Sites, Supporting Nonprofit Partners, for first publication and public comment in the Texas Register

14. **History Programs** – Commissioner Perini

14.1 Committee report – report on items considered at the committee meeting held on April 28, 2022, including an update on division activities
14.2 Consider approval of price changes for Official Texas Historical Markers
14.3 Consider approval of filing authorization of an amendment to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Section 21.12, related to marker text requests, for first publication in the Texas Register
15. Executive – Chairman Nau
15.1 Committee report – report on items considered at the committee meeting held on April 28, 2022, including updates on information technology, human resources, ongoing projects and upcoming events
15.2 Hurricane Harvey Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund
   A. Consider approval of grant award to an alternate project – Hart
   B. Consider approval of process to award supplemental funding to previously awarded projects – Hart
15.3 Consider delegation of authority to the Executive Committee to approve the Legislative Appropriations Request for the 2024-2025 biennium
15.4 Consider delegating authority to the Executive Committee to approve the THC Strategic Plan FY 2023-2027

16. Legal matters – Assistant Attorney General Dennis McKinney
16.1 Report from and/or conference with legal counsel on ongoing and/or pending legal matters

17. Executive Director's Report – Mark Wolfe, E.D.
17.1 Staff introductions
17.2 Report on activities of THC Executive Director and staff for the preceding quarter including meetings held, consultations, contacts and planned travel/events

18. Chairman’s Report – Chairman Nau
   Report on the ongoing projects and operations of the Commission including updates on meetings held, consultations, contacts and planned travel/events

19. Adjourn

NOTICE OF ASSISTANCE AT PUBLIC MEETINGS: Persons with disabilities who plan to attend this meeting and who may need auxiliary aids or services such as interpreters for persons who are deaf or hearing impaired, readers, large print or Braille, are requested to contact esther.brickley@thc.texas.gov at (512) 463-5768 at least four (4) business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.
MEETING SCHEDULE
# QUARTERLY MEETING
**PUBLIC SCHEDULE**

Alamo Hall  
300 Alamo Plaza  
San Antonio, TX 78205  
April 28-29, 2022

**NOTE:** Alamo Hall is a separate building from the Alamo and can be found by following the path to the right of the Alamo.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>MEETING/EVENT</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, April 28</td>
<td>10 a.m.</td>
<td>Archeology Committee</td>
<td>Alamo Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, April 28</td>
<td>10:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Architecture Committee</td>
<td>Alamo Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, April 28</td>
<td>11:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Community Heritage Development Committee</td>
<td>Alamo Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, April 28</td>
<td>11:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Communications Committee</td>
<td>Alamo Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, April 28</td>
<td>12:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Finance &amp; Govt. Relations Committee</td>
<td>Alamo Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, April 28</td>
<td>1:00 p.m.</td>
<td>History Programs Committee</td>
<td>Alamo Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, April 28</td>
<td>1:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Historic Sites Committee</td>
<td>Alamo Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, April 28</td>
<td>2:45 p.m.</td>
<td>Executive Committee</td>
<td>Alamo Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friday, April 29</td>
<td>8:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Antiquities Advisory Board</td>
<td>Alamo Hall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Friday, April 29  | 8:45 a.m. | Antiquities Advisory Board & Full Commission  
  *(Joint meeting)* | Alamo Hall              |
COMMITTEE LIST
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAST</th>
<th>FIRST</th>
<th>EXECUTIVE</th>
<th>FINANCE &amp; GOV. RELATIONS</th>
<th>ARCHEOLOGY</th>
<th>ARCHITECTURE</th>
<th>HISTORY PROGRAMS</th>
<th>COMM. HERITAGE DVLPMNT</th>
<th>HISTORIC SITES</th>
<th>COMMS</th>
<th>LIAISONS/ FRIENDS OF THE THC</th>
<th>FRIENDS OF GOV'S MANSION</th>
<th>ADMIRAL NIMITZ FOUND.</th>
<th>ANTIQUITIES ADVISORY BOARD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BAHORICH</td>
<td>DONNA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROUSSARD</td>
<td>EARL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRUSETH</td>
<td>JIM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BURDETTE</td>
<td>MONICA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRAIN</td>
<td>JOHN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONNELLY</td>
<td>GARRETT</td>
<td>SECRETARY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUTIA</td>
<td>RENEE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GARCIA</td>
<td>LILIA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRAVELLE</td>
<td>DAVID</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMBACHER</td>
<td>LAURIE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCKNIGHT</td>
<td>CATHERINE</td>
<td>V-CHAIR</td>
<td>CHAIR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAU</td>
<td>JOHN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERINI</td>
<td>TOM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PETERSON</td>
<td>PETE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHITE</td>
<td>DAISY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ALAMO
PRESENTATION & REPORT
TAB 3.2 B
Discussion and possible action regarding Historic Buildings and Structures Permit #1041 for Hull and Blister Rehabilitation, USS Texas, La Porte, Harris County

Background

The Battleship Texas (USS Texas) is the last remaining battleship that participated in both World War I and World War II. The ship was commissioned on March 12, 1914 by the U.S. Navy. In 1916, it became the first battleship to mount anti-aircraft guns and the first to control gunfire with rangecatchers and directors. In World War I, USS Texas was part of the 6th Battle Squadron of the British Grand Fleet. Later in the war, the ship was converted to run on fuel instead of coal. In World War II, the ship was involved in firing on Nazi defenses in Normandy on D-Day. USS Texas was decommissioned on April 21, 1948 to serve as a museum located along the Houston Ship Channel and adjacent to the San Jacinto battleground and monument. The USS Texas is a National Historic Landmark, a National Historic Mechanical Engineering Landmark, and a State Antiquities Landmark (SAL).

In accordance with a 99-year lease stipulated in Texas Senate Bill 1511, 83d Leg., R.S. (2019), the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department applied for a Historic Buildings and Structures Permit on behalf of the Battleship Texas Foundation with Valkor as the project professional. When this item was first presented at the Joint Meeting of the Antiquities Advisory Board and the Texas Historical Commission on July 18, 2019, the motion granted authority to the Executive Director and the Executive Committee of the Texas Historical Commission to issue a permit consistent with the conceptual treatment plan presented during that meeting. Permit 1041 was approved in March 2020, but was placed on hold by mutual agreement until design details were finalized.

Other Recent Historic Structure (HS) Permits on the Battleship

- **HS1042 Hull and Blister Rehabilitation** (issued 6/7/2020)
  - This permit covers preparation and transit to a shipyard

- **HS1043 Macro-Objects** (issued 4/13/2020):
  - This permit covers restoration of the large, fixed objects, primarily from the ship decks.

- **HS1062 Equipment Reproduction** (issued 11/13/2020):
  - This scope addressed reproduction of missing equipment and features.

- **HS1081 Repairs to the Aft Fire Control Tower** (issued 8/1/2021):
  - This scope corrects severe deficiencies in the superstructure, including the Aft Fire Control Tower, mainmast, smokestack, superstructure deck, and foremast.
Permit HS 1041 Scope of Work – approved 3/24/2020, but not issued

Since many details of the hull and blister rehabilitation plan changed following the July 18, 2019 Commission meeting, a new permit application was required with a more limited scope which was approved at an Executive Committee meeting on March 24, 2020.

The approved permit application scope covered the rehabilitation of the ship at a shipyard, including:
  - Hull replacement below the wind/water line
  - Structural frame repairs of the ship
  - Repair of the blister tanks
  - Applying a marine-grade coating
  - Installing a cathodic protection system
  - Repairing other structural deterioration discovered during the project.

Permit HS 1041 Scope of Work – Requested Revisions (under consideration)

Over two years has elapsed since permit 1041 was approved as the design was refined. The Battleship Texas Foundation requests the following revisions to the permit scope before issuance:
  - Rehabilitate the hull by double plating the hull from approximately four feet above the waterline down
  - Rebuild the blister tanks from the waterline down to eight feet above the keel
  - Repair framing in the inner bottom of the ship, adding stiffeners as necessary

Staff Recommendation

THC staff has reviewed the revisions to the Historic Buildings and Structures Permit #1041 application provided by the Battleship Texas Foundation on behalf of TPWD and Valkor and finds the submitted revised documentation to be sufficiently complete for issuance of a permit to rehabilitate the hull and blister.

Suggested Motion

- Move to authorize the Executive Director to issue State Antiquities Landmark Historic Buildings and Structures Permit #1041 for the rehabilitation of the hull and blister of the USS Texas, La Porte, Harris County, as described in the permit application with the submitted scope revisions, contingent on the work adhering to the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Historic Vessel Preservation Projects and Guidelines for Applying the Standards.
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TAB 3.2 C
Discussion and possible action to amend Historic Buildings and Structures permit #1042 for preparation, transportation, and temporary relocation at a Shipyard, Battleship Texas, La Porte, Harris County

Background

The Battleship Texas (USS Texas) is the last remaining battleship that participated in both World War I and World War II. The ship was commissioned on March 12, 1914 by the U.S. Navy. In 1916, it became the first battleship to mount anti-aircraft guns and the first to control gunfire with rangekeeper and directors. In World War I, USS Texas was part of the 6th Battle Squadron of the British Grand Fleet. Later in the war, the ship was converted to run on fuel instead of coal. In World War II, the ship was involved in firing on Nazi defenses in Normandy on D-Day. USS Texas was decommissioned on April 21, 1948 to serve as a museum located along the Houston Ship Channel and adjacent to the San Jacinto battleground and monument. The USS Texas is a National Historic Landmark, a National Historic Mechanical Engineering Landmark, and a State Antiquities Landmark (SAL).

In accordance with a 99-year lease stipulated in Texas Senate Bill 1511, 83d Leg., R.S. (2019), the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department applied for a Historic Buildings and Structures Permit on behalf of the Battleship Texas Foundation with Valkor as the project professional. When this item was first presented at the Joint Meeting of the Antiquities Advisory Board and the Texas Historical Commission on July 18, 2019, the motion granted authority to the Executive Director and the Executive Committee of the Texas Historical Commission to issue a permit consistent with the conceptual treatment plan presented during that meeting. Following that action, this permit and three amendments were approved over the past 33 months.

Other Recent Historic Structure (HS) Permits on the Battleship

- **HS1041 Hull and Blister Rehabilitation** (approved by Commission, currently on hold):
  - This permit covers work at the shipyard, including inner framing repair/stiffening and plating of hull and blister tanks

- **HS1043 Macro-Objects** (issued 4/13/2020):
  - This permit covers restoration of the large, fixed objects, primarily from the ship decks.

- **HS1062 Equipment Reproduction** (issued 11/13/2020):
  - This scope addressed reproduction of missing equipment and features.

- **HS1081 Repairs to the Aft Fire Control Tower** (issued 8/1/2021):
  - This scope corrects severe deficiencies in the superstructure, including the Aft Fire Control Tower, mainmast, smokestack, superstructure deck, and foremast.

Permit HS 1042 Scope of Work - issued 6/7/2020, expires 12/31/2025

This scope covers the transport of the ship to the shipyard, including advance preparations using marine salvage techniques to mitigate and minimize flooding and water communication inside the vessel and ballasting the ship to relieve structural strain. It also includes dredging the existing slip to
remove the ship, which was previously permitted by the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE).

Three previous amendments were made to Antiquities Permit #1042 at the staff level as follows:

- **Amendment 1 (June 2020)** – Changing the location of a 2ft by 4ft temporary hole from tank C-852-I-V to blister tank C-45-P-I-V
- **Amendment 2 (July 2020)** - Cut access holes in the tops of all blister tank tops, 22 port side and 22 starboard side, for ventilation and temporary staging of equipment to enable safe and efficient entry and work within the blister tanks for the installation of closed-cell foam. Cut into side of the blisters A-94-I-V, A-95-I-V, D-95-2-V, and D-96-2-V to facilitate foaming. Temporarily weld tabs to the blister tank tops and sides to attach temporary structural supports to facilitate safe lowering and extracting of personnel and equipment into the blister tanks. Add foam to an additional number of blister tanks.
- **Amendment 3 (November 2020)** - Cut two approximately 18” x 24” holes in tanks D-94-F and D-93-F and weld a temporary hatch over the holes to allow safe access.

**Permit HS 1042 Scope of Work – Amendment 4** (under consideration)

Over two years has elapsed since permit 1042 was issued. The Battleship Texas Foundation requests the following amendments to update and clarify open issues in the original permit scope:

- Submission and validation of the tow preparations and dead ship tow plan to the U S Coast Guard (USCG) Salvage Engineering Response Team (SERT) may not be required, as previously specified for Permit 1042 to become effective.
- Battleship will be wet-towed from the San Jacinto Battleground State Historic Site through the Houston Ship Canal to Galveston.
- Gulf Copper in Galveston has been selected as the shipyard qualified to make repairs.
- At the shipyard, Battleship will be dry docked for repairs.

**Staff Recommendation**

THC staff has reviewed the amendments to the Historic Buildings and Structures Permit #1042 provided by the Battleship Texas Foundation on behalf of TPWD and Valkor and finds the submitted documentation to be sufficiently complete to amend the permit to prepare and transport the ship to Gulf Copper shipyard in Galveston. Gulf Copper qualifications to repair historic vessels have been evidenced by similar repairs to historic vessels including the USS Orleck (Jacksonville, FL), the USS Stewart (Seawolf Park, Galveston), the Ocean Star (Offshore Energy Center), and the tall ship Elissa (Galveston Historical Seaport).

**Suggested Motion**

Move to authorize the Executive Director to amend State Antiquities Landmark Historic Buildings and Structures Permit #1042 for the preparation, transportation, and temporary relocation of Battleship Texas, La Porte, Harris County, to Gulf Copper shipyard in Galveston as stated in the permit amendment scope, contingent upon adherence to the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Historic Vessel Preservation Projects and Guidelines for Applying the Standards and effective upon the issuance of the Dead Ship Tow plan letter by the USCG Captain of the Port and final approval by the Marine Warranty Surveyor.
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Valkor Energy Services
21732 Provincial Blvd
Ste. 160
Katy, TX.  77450

Attn: Brad Currin
Email: brad.currin@valkor.com
Phone: 832-493-5100

Subj: Battleship Texas Availability
Scope: Statement of Qualifications

Dear Brad,

Gulf Copper has been involved in the preservation and restoration of several Maritime Historical Artifacts.

Gulf Copper was instrumental in the preservation and make ready for the USS Orleck, DD-886 for its debut as the latest museum ship. The Orleck was drydocked on behalf of the Jacksonville Fl Historic Naval Ship Association for repairs prior to the opening of the Museum in June of 2022.

Gulf Copper installed doubler plates on the riveted portion of the hull located midships similar to what the plan is for repairs the Battleship Texas. Additionally, the overboard openings in the side shell were covered and closed using 1/4” plate.

Prior to beginning any work, paint was tested and determined to contain lead. Normal Containment, testing and some abatement was accomplished to minimize the exposure and risk of lead paint.

Gulf Copper installed approximately 1,880 square feet of plate on the ORLECK due to wastage or damage. Approximately 10% of welds were tested using MT.

We removed the remaining shafts which were protruding thru the stern tubes and sealed the tubes using plate. All welds were tested using MT.

A new platform was created with a stairway and installed as an emergency egress leaving from oft of the flight deck to the main deck. A hatch leading to the aft quarters was replaced with an owner furnished period specific hatch. Various handrails in need of repair were repaired or replaced in preparation for opening to the public.

After all repairs were completed, the ship was blasted, and painted using Jotan paint. This includes the UW Hull, Freeboard, Main Deck, Guns and other appendages, the mast, super structure and applied non-skid on the decks.
While working on the USS Orleck, Gulf Copper saw the historical significance of the asset and worked extremely diligent to maintain the period authenticity as much as possible.

Recently we have completed repairs on the USS Stewart, DE-235, located at Seawolf Park for the Cavalla Historic Society. We removed the upper mast, brought it to the shop, rebuilt it to resemble the original configuration, galvanized it for longevity, and reinstalled it on the Stewart.

Additionally, we removed, procured, and installed 4 watertight doors representative of period authenticity.

We removed, designed, and fabricated a mock antenna resembling the original configuration and reinstalled it on the mast.

Along the way, we have also removed and replaced several beams on the gun mount foundations that have rotted due to age.

Gulf Copper accomplished a successful drydocking of the Tall Ship Elissa for a routine and periodic docking. Work we performed was to remove, inspect, clean, and reinstall the tail shaft and prop. We repaired the rudder at various eroded points by clad weld and inserts. Gulf Copper worked with the Texas Seaport Museum and regulatory agencies to retain as much of the historical significant structures and original iron while maintaining the overall integrity of the hull and structure.

Prior to undocking, Gulf Copper did a blast and paint with a standard marine coat system the entire UW Hull, Freeboard and topside surfaces.

Gulf Copper has accomplished repairs to the Ocean Star, an historic asset of the Offshore Energy Center. We have repaired leaking roofs on the doghouse and the walkway. There has been steel replacement accomplished on the superstructure, doghouse, and lower skirt as well as the drill floor substructure.

Additionally, Gulf Copper has accomplished the repairs to various electrical systems and the exhibits. We also replaced the Half Pipe scuppers.

All work was done to keep the original look and configuration of the vessels while recognizing the historical significance of all of these assets.

Respectfully,

Robert Harris
Estimating & Planning Manager
Gulf Copper Drydock & Rig Repair.
TEXAS HOLOCAUST, GENOCIDE AND ANTI-SEMITISM ADVISORY COMMISSION
COMMISSIONERS
On Jan. 6, Gov. Abbott appointed five of the nine Commissioners to the Texas Holocaust, Genocide, and Antisemitism Advisory Commission. The Commissioners are Jeffrey Beck of Dallas, Kenneth Goldberg of Dallas, Lucy Taus Katz of Austin, Providence Umugwaneza of San Antonio, and Ilan Emanuel of Corpus Christi.

HOLOCAUST REMEMBRANCE WEEK
The third annual Texas Holocaust Remembrance Week took place Jan. 24-28. THGAAC Director of Education Dr. Jake Wolfson gave multiple presentations on campuses, speaking to over 2,000 students and faculty at the following schools: Leo Adams Middle School in Northwest ISD, the University of North Texas, Harmony Science Academy-Dallas High in Richardson, Fort Bend Middle School in Lake Travis ISD, and Jarrell High School. Dr. Wolfson also provided two days of virtual presentations to Gorzychki Middle School in Austin ISD, with an audience of 450 students and faculty.

In preparation, the THGAAC met with the staff of the four Texas Holocaust museums in Dallas, El Paso, Houston, and San Antonio to promote materials and build awareness for the week, and hosted a series of webinars to assist teachers in planning their classroom curriculums.

The THGAAC is collecting feedback forms from educators and students to access what worked this year and plan for next year’s Holocaust Remembrance Week on Jan. 23-27, 2023.

EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES/OUTREACH
The THGAAC website (thgaac.texas.gov) sees an average of 2,500 visitors each month, with a spike in January of over 5,000 visitors, who seek guidance and resources on Holocaust, genocide, and antisemitism education. In anticipation of Genocide Awareness Month in April, the THGAAC website has reconfigured the Genocide page with additional information to give visitors more effective learning materials and an enhanced search experience.

The THGAAC staff participated in the Association of Holocaust Organizations (AHO) Winter Conference, hosted online, Jan. 10-11, and connected with other educational agencies on topics ranging from antisemitism, Uyghur genocide, and standards for Holocaust education, and regularly attends meetings with the United States Holocaust Memorial Museum (USHMM) and the Conference for Holocaust Education Centers (CHECH).

THGAAC Outreach and Communications Director Christian Acevedo attended the Texas Council for Teachers of English Language Arts Conference, Feb. 17-20, in Galveston. At the conference, Acevedo hosted a table in the exhibit hall, where the 450 attendees learned more about the work of the THGAAC. Twenty-five additional subscribers joined the e-mail list from connecting at the conference.

The THGAAC staff met with representatives of various organizations, including the American Jewish Committee (AJC) and the Anti-Defamation League (ADL), to discuss future partnerships and the development of materials to assist with the antisemitism study that the THGAAC is required to produce for the Legislature.

GRANTS
In January, THGAAC Program Director Cheyanne Perkins closed the Winter 2021 Nonprofit Grants. These grants began on Feb. 1, 2021, and funded projects included a Holocaust exhibit in Dallas, which had over 34,000 visitors; the creation of virtual museum tours in El Paso to broadcast its galleries to online visitors; Holocaust Remembrance Week programming in San Antonio, which reached an audience of over 7,000; a multi-day educator workshop in Houston, which hosted teachers from 16
different school districts; and the translation of many of the Dallas museum’s educational and public materials into Spanish to greatly increase the accessibility and inclusion of its offerings.

**VOLUNTEERS and COMMEMORATIONS**

The THGAAC staff has begun building a volunteer base of speakers and leaders, including teachers, Holocaust scholars, and descendants of the Armenian genocide, to assist with Holocaust, genocide, and antisemitism education across the state. The team has also had stakeholder meetings to plan future commemoration programs.
FRIENDS OF THE THC
The Friends of the THC (FTHC) are pleased to submit the following report on our activities from January through March.

PLANNED AND ENDOWMENT GIFTS
The FTHC is excited to share the following planned gift commitment, and endowment gifts received in the last quarter:

The FTHC is grateful to Commissioner Jim Bruseth and Toni Turner for their commitment of a James E. Bruseth and Toni S. Turner Endowed Fund for Archeology, to be established through a distribution from the estate of either donor.

We are also thrilled to share that in January, the FTHC received a gift of $525,000 to establish the Lana Hughes Nelson Endowment for Cemetery Preservation. This endowment gift, made by the Neely Hughes Trust, will provide support for cemetery preservation in Henderson County, and will also provide support for training and education workshops to support increased stewardship of Texas historic cemeteries.

Finally, with negotiations and guidance from Mark Wolfe, the FTHC received an endowment gift of $450,000 from NorthPoint Development/DGIC LLC, to establish the DGIC Preservation Scholars Endowment supporting the Preservation Scholars Program. Starting in 2023, this endowment will support three named positions in perpetuity, and with this endowment gift, the FTHC will be poised to fully support six Preservation Scholars positions in perpetuity.

FUNDRAISING ACTIVITIES/THC DIVISIONS SUPPORT

Community Heritage Development
Real Places 2022 Conference: The Friends ended with a total of $114,600 in sponsorships and exhibitor registrations for RP 2022. This includes the following new sponsorships/support since our last report: Humanities Texas, Komatsu Architecture, CAPTRUST, and Siebler, Inc. The conference had a record number of sponsors (13), Partners in Preservation (18) and Media Partners (3).

Following the Commission’s approval of the pilot licensing project for DowntownTX.org, FTHC has been working with CHD staff and the State of Georgia team on the next steps.

Historic Sites Division
San Jacinto—The Almonte Surrender Site Acquisition: The overall budget that the FTHC is working to fundraising for is $500,000—$250,000 for the acquisition, $100,000 for an archeology master plan, and $150,000 for site improvements and interpretation. Of this goal, the FTHC has received a total of $240,000 in grants and grant commitments in support of the acquisition of the Almonte Surrender Site. In addition, we have requests submitted to the Summerlee Foundation and the Meadows Foundation, which if approved, will provide the balance of funds for the acquisition, and for the archeological master plan. Donors include the Fondren Foundation, the Wortham Foundation, the Brown Foundation, Inc., the Strake Foundation, the Herzstein Foundation, and Welcome Wilson, Jr.

San Felipe de Austin—The Eideman House Acquisition: On February 8, the FTHC closed on the second phase of the transaction for the Eideman House, transferring the property to the Texas Historical Commission. This historic structure, as well as the attached newer apartment, has been acquired as an addition to San Felipe de Austin State Historic Site. The FTHC used the line of credit from Amegy Bank to acquire this property and hold it while the THC completed its process of getting the capital authority to purchase this property from the FTHC.

Eisenhower Birthplace SHS: The FTHC continues its fundraising efforts for the capital improvements for the Eisenhower Birthplace State
Historic Site. Last quarter, the FTHC submitted requests to the BNSF Foundation and the Hillcrest Foundation. We have also been invited to submit requests to the Hoblitzelle Foundation and the Union Pacific Foundation in April.

Requests Submitted: 1) a request for $117,717 from the NPS Save America’s Treasures Program for the THC’s Collections Stewardship through Community Curation Program, with a decision expected by October; 2) a request for $25,000 to the BNSF Foundation for Eisenhower Birthplace; 3) a request for $75,000 to the Hillcrest Foundation for Eisenhower Birthplace; and 4) a request for $50,000 to the Meadows Foundation for the Almonte Surrender Site acquisition and archeological master plan.

OTHER ACTIVITIES
Preservation Scholars Program
This summer, the FTHC will again fill seven Preservation Scholar positions to be placed in internships within the THC. We are grateful to the following donors for their ongoing and unwavering support for this program: The Fondren Fund for Texas of the National Trust for Historic Preservation, Sally Anne Schmidt, Robert Oliver, the FTHC Board of Directors, Nicola Contreras, the Clay Preservation Scholars Endowment, and the FTHC Preservation Scholars Endowment.

Of the seven positions for summer 2022, we will have the following named positions: two Larry Oaks Preservation Scholars, one Clay Preservation Scholar, and one Jose Contreras, II Preservation Scholar.

Development Workshops and Webinars
The FTHC continues to offer development workshops and webinars in partnership with the THC. We provided an all-day pre-conference workshop at Real Places 2022, and also continue to work in partnership with the THC’s Museum Services Program, with a discussion group focused on board development offered in February.

FTHC Events
25th Anniversary Gala: The FTHC 25th Anniversary Gala is scheduled for April 6 at the Bullock Texas State History Museum. We deeply appreciate the generous support from Commissioners and FTHC trustees for this event.

Virtual Events: In February, the FTHC launched its 2022 season of virtual events, with two parallel event tracks. The first track is a continuation of the monthly virtual programming focused on foodways, book talks, THC programs, etc. The second, new track offers programming focused on Texas land heritage. We started the regular programming in February with a foodways event from the French Legation. In March, Commissioner Lilia Garcia presented the first of our “(Hi)Stories of the Soil” speaker series—The Yturria Ranch Lands. We look forward to continuing both these programming tracks with additional events scheduled for April 21 and May 12.

FY 2022 YEAR-TO-DATE FINANCIAL DASHBOARD (as of March 29, 2022)
FTHC Unrestricted Revenues: $131,104.68
Restricted Program Revenues: $1,053,385.46
Total Rest. & UR Revenues FY2022: $1,184,490.14
Endowment Gifts: $935,000.00
Total Revenues FY 2022 to Date: $2,119,490.14

FUND BALANCES
FTHC Permanently Restricted as of March 29
Bob and Kathleen Gilmore Endowment:
Total Current Value: $244,108.98
Available to Grant: $37,227.55

FTHC Preservation Scholars Endowments
Matthew Honer and Larutha Odom Clay Preservation Scholars Fund
Total Current Value: $96,339.11
DGIC Preservation Scholars Endowment
Total Current Value: $450,000.00
FTHC Preservation Scholars Endowment
Total Current Value: $96,831.13

Lana Hughes Nelson Endowment for Cemetery Preservation
Total Current Value: $480,813.60

Texas Heroes Endowment
Current Value: $130,022.86

TOTAL ASSETS as of March 29:
Cash + Pledges: $3,156,868.44
CONSENT ITEMS
1. Call to Order and Introductions
THC Vice-Chair Catherine McKnight called the meeting of the Texas Historical Commission (THC) to order at 9:01 a.m. on Feb. 2, 2022. She announced the concurrent meeting with the Antiquities Advisory Board (AAB) was posted to the Texas Register, was being held in conformance with the Texas Open Meetings Act, Texas Government Code (TGC), Chapter 551, and that notice had been properly posted with the Secretary of State’s office as required.

1.1 Welcome
Vice-Chair McKnight welcomed all attendees to the meeting.

1.2 Pledge of Allegiance
THC Secretary Garrett Donnelly led the group in reciting the U.S. and the Texas pledges of allegiance.

1.3 Commissioner introductions
Introductions were made around the table and the following commissioners were present:

Donna Bahorich   John Crain   Laurie Limbacher
Earl Broussard   Garrett Donnelly  Catherine McKnight
Jim Bruseth      Lila M. Garcia   Gilbert (Pete) Peterson
Monica Burdette  David Gravelle   Daisy White

1.4 Establish quorum
Vice-Chair McKnight reported a quorum was present and declared the meeting open.

1.5 Recognize and/or excuse absences
THC Chairman John Nau, Commissioner Renee Dutia, and Commissioner Tom Perini were absent due to scheduling conflicts. Commissioner Crain moved, Commissioner White seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to excuse the absences of Chairman Nau, Commissioner Dutia, and Commissioner Perini.

2. Public comment
Public comment was provided as follows:

- Rosalind Alexander-Kasparik—Introduced and provided background information on the historic Alexander Farm in South Austin. She expressed her frustration with the highway expansion, encroachment by developers, and advocated for its preservation.

- Valerie Bates—Provided an overview of the quarterly activities of the Texas Tropical Trail Region and the Port Isabel Lighthouse State Historic Site, and noted that a brochure had been developed to identify area museums within the Tropical Trail Region.
3. Joint Antiquities Advisory Board (AAB) meeting

3.1 Alamo masterplan update
Alamo Trust Inc. (ATI) Executive Director Kate Rogers provided an update on the Alamo project, which included a progress report on the moisture monitoring project that began in April 2021; ongoing work on the masonry repairs and excavation exhibit in the Long Barrack; the removal of the construction trailer and fencing; activities associated with the phase one project; progress made on the exhibition hall and collections building; and work in progress on the visitors center and museum. Rogers provided an overview of the preliminary planning on the south gate, education building, and ATI administration offices. In closing, she stated that an in-depth report on the master plan would be presented to the commission this fall.

3.2 Discussion and possible action to amend Historic Buildings and Structures Antiquities Permit #1095 for ongoing conservation work on the Alamo Church and Long Barrack, Alamo Plaza, San Antonio, Bexar County
Architecture Division Director Bess Graham reported that the permit under consideration encompassed the interior and exterior walls of the Church and Long Barrack to assess, document, clean, and stabilize surfaces. It also included removal of fasteners, assessment of salt content, and removal/reinstallation of modern flooring to facilitate assessment and electrical repairs. She further noted that the project would excavate the previously investigated unit 9 to allow repairs to the below-grade walls and install sensors in the southwest corner below-grade masonry foundations and above-grade walls, including freestanding masts to accommodate data loggers identical to those installed in the Church. Commissioner Crain recused himself from the vote. Commissioner Bruseth moved to authorize the executive director to amend Historic Buildings and Structures Antiquities Permit #1095 for ongoing conservation work on the Alamo Church and Long Barrack to include re-excavating unit 9 to repair below-grade masonry walls and install moisture monitors in the southwest corner below-grade masonry foundations and above-grade walls in the Long Barrack, Alamo Plaza, Bexar County as described in the submitted scope of work. Commissioner Garcia seconded the motion, which passed by a majority vote.

*At 9:28 a.m., the AAB adjourned, and the Commission proceeded with its regular business meeting.*

4. Friends of the THC
Anjali Zutshi, executive director of the Friends of the THC (Friends), provided a recap of the fundraising and support activities for THC programs, including the Real Places 2022 conference; Almonte Surrender Site acquisition; Eisenhower Birthplace capital improvements project; Eideman House purchase and conveyance; Villa de Austin project grand opening and donation; additional grants received and requested for various historic sites; development of the digital engagement and crisis management toolkit project; progress on the FY 2022 Preservation Scholars program; virtual events; and the Friends 25th anniversary gala. She also provided an overview of scheduled programs, projects, and activities. Zutshi reported on the Friends marketing activities and website overhaul. In closing, she provided the FY 2021–22 financial report, including endowment values, accruals, cash, and liabilities.

5. Texas Holocaust, Genocide, and Antisemitism Advisory Commission (THGAAC)
THGAAC Executive Director Joy Nathan reported that Gov. Abbot had recently appointed five of their nine commissioners. She referred commissioners to their meeting packets for background information on the newly appointed members and noted that the group included a childhood survivor of the Holocaust and a survivor of the genocide against the Tutsi in Rwanda. Nathan stated that the staff was preparing for the new commissioner training while they await the other four appointments. She reported on a rise of incidents of antisemitism across the state and explained that information on these occurrences would be included in the study of antisemitism to be shared with the Texas Legislature. Nathan recounted activities undertaken by the staff relating to the 2022 Annual Texas Holocaust Remembrance Week and International Holocaust Remembrance Day. She also noted numerous website- and social media-related activities during the past quarter. Nathan explained that the current version of the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills Test (TEKS)
has standards that include questions that are relevant to Holocaust and genocide education and would be under evaluation in the coming year. She noted that the THGAAC would be monitoring the evaluation and expressed the desire to be helpful to ensure that Holocaust and genocide-related questions continue to be part of the TEKS. In closing, she thanked THC Commissioner Bahorich for her guidance in helping navigate the process.

6. Chairman’s Report
On behalf of THC Chairman Nau, Vice-Chair McKnight presented an award of merit to Historian Michael R. Moore in recognition of his lifelong contributions and enthusiasm in bringing the stories of San Felipe de Austin State Historic Site (SHS) back into the consciousness of Texans and visitors to the State of Texas. She noted that, over the past 15 years, Moore had been a constant and trusted champion of the site, sharing significant site research along with his advocacy and onsite support. In closing, Vice-Chair McKnight stated that the award presented was specifically for Moore’s work and efforts toward the latest exhibit, the Villa de Austin, which opened this past November.

7. Executive Director’s Report
THC Executive Director Mark Wolfe recognized David Shoemaker, Budget Advisor, Office of the Governor, in the audience and thanked him for attending the meeting.

7.1 Staff introductions
Executive Director Wolfe presented a staffing update and introduced recently hired agency staff from various divisions.

7.2 Report on activities of THC Executive Director and staff for the preceding quarter, including meetings held, consultations, contacts, and planned travel/events
In addition to his submitted written activity report, Executive Director Wolfe reported on additional events he had attended, including the Falls County Courthouse rededication; French Legation SHS grand re-opening; new exhibit opening at Mission Dolores SHS; and the Villa de Austin opening at the San Felipe SHS. Other activities he reported on included a presentation at the Texian Rally in La Grange and participation at the online Statewide Planning meeting regarding THC’s identity and vision. Executive Director Wolfe announced various upcoming meetings and events and noted that all employees would undergo a two-day customer service training during the current fiscal year. He reported on the passing of the Magoffin Home SHS site manager Jeff Harris and noted his passion for the site and his exceptional dedication. In closing, Executive Director Wolfe also stated that Bruce MacDougal, past executive director of the San Antonio Conservation Society and long-time friend of the THC, had passed away.

8. Consent Items
8.1 Consider approval of October 29, 2021, meeting minutes
8.2 Consider certification of Historic Texas Cemetery Designations, including: Willborn; Spring Creek; Lair; Panteon Hidalgo; Santa Cruz; Shilo; Leonard; Hill of Rest; James Lee; Briar Creek; Pleasant Hill; Wright; Magnolia; Laneville; and Roberts cemeteries
8.3 Consider approval of text for Official Texas Historical Markers, including: Rutledge Cemetery (HTC); Millican Massacre; Dabney Hill Freedom Colony; Julius Bremer Family and Rebecca Creek School; Lt. Col. Thadd Blanton; 1910 Lynching of Allen Brooks; Pleasant Hill Cemetery; City of Pelly; James D. Burrus Elementary School; Houston Arboretum and Nature Center; Mt. Olive C.M.E. Church; Oakland Cemetery; First Coca-Cola Served in Texas; Washington High School; Hill Family in Springtown; Site of Old Andress Inn (REPLACEMENT); McMahan Chapel Cemetery; Mason Cemetery (HTC); Sam Bass and Gang in Stephens County; King Mountain (REPLACEMENT); First Presbyterian Church of El Campo; Ella Ware, M.D.; Capture of Willie and Bud Ball; Glass Manufacturing in Wise County; Big Sandy Creek Bridge; and Falcon International Reservoir
8.4 Consider adoption of amendments to Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 26, Practice and Procedure, of the Texas Administrative Code
A. Subchapter C, Archeology, sections 26.14 and 26.16, related to the submission of archeology permit applications and reports with changes as published in the Nov. 19, 2021, issue of the Texas Register (46 TexReg 7854-7857)

B. Subchapter D, Historic Buildings and Structures, sections 26.20 and 26.23, related to permit applications and reports without changes as published in the Nov. 26, 2021, issue of the Texas Register (46 TexReg 7937-7940)

8.5 Consider approval of contract amendments
A. Contract #808-21-201631, Wiss, Janey, Elstner Assoc. Inc. to increase by $20,650 for engineering design services for Varner-Hogg Plantation SHS
B. Contract #808-20-200856, Garrett & Assoc. to increase by up to $260,000 for construction services for the Caddo Mounds SHS
C. Contract #808- 20-192622, Richter Architects to increase by $40,000 for professional services for the Caddo Mounds SHS
D. Contract #808-20-R201162, Design and Production Incorporated, to increase by up to $32,022,000 for comprehensive design and installation for the Star of the Republic Museum at Washington-on-the-Brazos SHS

8.6 Consider approval of State Antiquities Landmark nominations
A. The Kimble County Courthouse, Junction, Kimble County
B. 24 archeological sites (41PS978, 980, 981, 984, 986, 987, 1000-1002, 1057, 1065, 1068-1072, 1074, 1082, 1087, 1098, 1107, 1138, 1157, and 1161) located in the Big Bend Ranch State Park, Presidio County

8.7 Consider acceptance of donations/gifts-in-kind in the amount of $2,420,813 from the Friends of the THC for the San Felipe SHS

Vice-Chair McKnight asked the commissioners if any of the consent items should be pulled from the consent agenda for consideration as a separate item. There being none, Commissioner Peterson moved, Commissioner White seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to approve the consent items 8.1 through 8.7.

9. Antiquities Advisory Board
Commissioner Bruseth announced that all commissioners were present during the AAB meeting, had received activity reports from the various program staff, updates on permitted projects, and no further report was necessary.

10. Archeology
10.1 Committee report
Commissioner Bruseth called on Archeology Division Director Brad Jones, who reported the committee received an overview on anticipated archeological work to identify the Battle of Medina, a presentation on a shipwreck project near the town of Carthage, and a report on ongoing work related to shipwrecks at Indianola. He also provided updates on the division’s internship program, 2021 Texas Archeology Month, the Certified Curatorial Facilities Program, and upcoming activities/events.

11. Architecture
11.1 Committee report
Commissioner Limbacher reported that there were no action items considered at the committee meeting and called on Architecture Division Director Bess Graham to provide an overview of the reports given at the committee meeting. Graham recounted the committee’s proceedings, which included updated reports on staffing, federal and state architectural reviews, the disaster assistance program, trust fund grants, state tax credit projects, ongoing preservation projects, courthouse rededications, and courthouse stewardship program activities.
12. Communications
12.1 Committee report
Commissioner Gravelle called on Communications Division Director Chris Florance, who reported that the committee received updated reports on the progress made toward the agency’s translation efforts, Spanish-language media coverage, spring social media promotions for the sites, Pandora app advertisements, THC website redesign, and progress on the DFW airport panel project.

13. Community Heritage Development
13.1 Committee report
Commissioner Peterson called on CHD Division Director Brad Patterson, who reported on the items considered at the committee meeting held on Feb. 1, 2022, including updates on the 2022 Real Places conference, newly hired staff, Texas Main Street program, DowntownTX wordmark, Texas Heritage Trails Program, Certified Local Government (CLG) activities, agency brochure distribution, and revisions to the processing of the Texas Treasures Business Award program.

13.2 Consider approval of the application ranking and funding recommendations for the FY 2022 Certified Local Government (CLG) Grants and allocation of available FY 2021 grant funds, regular FY 22 Grant Round:
Patterson explained that the THC annually assists local historic preservation programs of CLGs through the administration of subgrants funded by the Historic Preservation Fund (HPF) allocation of the National Park Service (NPS) to the agency and must set at least 10 percent of this annual figure aside for distribution to the CLGs. Patterson further noted that the agency received $167,727 to allocate as subgrants in FY 2021 and anticipates a similar appropriation for FY 2022. He stated that those funds were contingent upon a resolution of the federal budget and notification from the NPS. Patterson reported that eight CLGs applied for a regular FY 2022 CLG grant, and an interdisciplinary committee of THC staff evaluated the grant requests against established criteria, considering previous grant distribution, viability of proposed projects and coordination with statewide preservation goals and objectives. He referred commissioners to their meeting packets for an attachment (Exhibit #1), which detailed the results of the evaluations, recommended funding levels, and briefly provided an overview of each project. Patterson noted that the City of San Antonio’s score and recommended funding was conditioned upon successful completion of its FY 2020 grant project to survey the Tobin Hill neighborhood. In closing, he reported that funds might not be available to fund all the recommended projects and staff would fund viable projects in the order of the rankings as federal funds became available. Commissioner Peterson moved, Commissioner White seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to approve acceptance of the ranking as presented and funding recommendations for the FY 2022 Certified Local Government grants based on the availability of federal funds. Funds will be committed in the order of staff rankings as federal funds become available. Individual recommended funding amounts may be adjusted as necessary to ensure efficient use of the available funds.

Travel stipends:
Patterson reported that, in addition to the regular CLG grant round, one additional call for applications was announced for travel stipends to attend the National Alliance of Preservation Commission’s FORUM Conference in Cincinnati, Ohio, July 13–17, 2022. He explained that staff recommended awarding 17 attendees and increasing the allocated amount by approximately $890 to total $19,710 (Exhibit #1). Patterson noted that the FORUM was the only national conference specifically for preservation commission members and staff, and the stipends would allow recipients to receive specific preservation training that would result in a widespread benefit for communities in the state. Patterson stated that travel stipend grants would be implemented on a reimbursement basis, with appropriate documentation required. Commissioner Peterson moved, Commissioner Crain seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to accept the ranking as presented and funding recommendations for the allocation of available FY 2021 funds to travel stipends for FORUM22. Funds will be committed in the order of staff rankings as federal funds become available. The commission waives the match requirement for stipends. Individual recommended funding amounts may be adjusted as necessary to ensure efficient use of the available funds.
13.3 Consider authorization for Friends of the THC to license DowntownTX.org and associated intellectual property as a pilot to a single organization with similar mission
Executive Director Wolfe explained that the State of Georgia had expressed interest in licensing the use of DowntownTX with modifications that would allow it to use the software in that state with an estimated cost of approximately $60,000. He stated that Georgia was willing to front those funds in exchange for a five-year license to use the modified software. He also stated that those modifications would, in turn, make it possible to license other users without additional investment from the THC. Due to complications of the state procurement and contracting processes, he reported that it would be difficult for the agency to enter into a licensing agreement with another state; however, the THC has an agreement with the Friends of the THC (Friends) much like the agreement regarding the Real Places conference. Executive Director Wolfe reported that the agreement allowed the Friends to contract with Georgia, receive the license fees, and apply those fees to the necessary software modifications, in exchange for a modest fee that will cover its legal costs and administrative overhead in carrying out this project. He provided a brief overview of the legal fees and explained that the topic had been discussed in detail during a recent meeting of the Executive Committee and the proposed motion reflected that committee’s recommendation. Discussion followed regarding the monthly traffic tracking data and reports of DowntownTX. Commissioner Peterson moved, Commissioner White seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to authorize the Friends to license DowntownTX.org and associated intellectual property as a pilot to a single organization with a similar mission, with all terms and conditions to be approved by the Friends’ Board of Trustees. The business plan and model for DowntownTX will continue to be adapted and fully developed with long-term goals and additional opportunities identified for the program’s future.

14. Finance and Government Relations
14.1 Committee report
Vice-Chair McKnight called on Deputy Executive Director of Administration Alvin Miller, who reported that the review of the first quarter’s financial dashboard of the fiscal year indicated no anomalies or risks. He explained that the major objective for the first quarter was to properly allocate the additional funding received from the Sporting Goods Sales Tax. Miller also noted that the committee reviewed the proposed contract amendments. Government Relations Specialist Vaughn Aldredge provided a summary of the changes in law to the State Historic Tax Credit effective Jan. 1, 2022.

15. Historic Sites
15.1 Committee report
Commissioner Crain called on Deputy Executive Director of Historic Sites Joseph Bell, who provided an overview of ongoing projects at the historic sites across the state.

15.2 Consider approval of Phase I Assessment for the G.W. Bush Childhood Home
Bell relayed the highlights of the phase I assessment for the G.W. Bush Childhood Home. He reported that the Historic Sites Division staff had made a site visit and crafted the phase I evaluation for the commission’s consideration. Bell explained that the committee had reviewed the evaluation in detail and recommended approval. Commissioner Crain moved, Commissioner Peterson seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to accept the phase I recommendation that a phase II assessment should be authorized for the George W. Bush Childhood Home in Midland. Commissioner Crain recognized the staff for their work with the historic sites. He acknowledged his appreciation for Bell’s diligent work to manage the historic sites across the state and reported that the agency had posted a job opening for an assistant deputy executive director of historic sites to help Bell with his growing duties and responsibilities.

15.3 Consider approval of the Phase II Assessment of Nuestra Señora Santa María de Loreto de la Bahía del Espíritu Santo, also known as Presidio La Bahia
Bell reported that Bishop Brendan J. Cahill of the Diocese of Victoria requested that the THC consider developing an operational partnership at Presidio La Bahia and receive the site into its historic sites program.
under a programmatic agreement. He stated that a phase I assessment had been approved at the last quarterly meeting and staff had continued with a phase II evaluation. Bell stated that the phase II assessment resulted in a recommendation that the site be moved into the state historic site network. Commissioner Crain moved, Commissioner Burdette seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to accept the phase II recommendation that Presidio La Bahia become a THC State Historic Site.

15.4 Consider approval to deaccession items from the Starr Family Home and Varner-Hogg Plantation State Historic Sites

Bell referred commissioners to their meeting packets for a complete list of items that had been identified for deaccession. He explained that the items were outside of the site’s period of significance or had deteriorated beyond their usefulness. Bell stated that the committee had reviewed the report and recommended approval. Commission Crain moved, Commission White seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to approve the deaccession of items from the Starr Family Home and Varner-Hogg Plantation SHSs as noted on the attached lists (Exhibit #2).

15.5 Consider approval of the update to the Historic Sites Fee Structure

Bell reported that Historic Sites Division staff had assessed the current fee structures against market pricing at other historic sites statewide. He provided a summary of the proposed fee structure and referred commissioners to a chart in their meeting packets for a complete list of updates (Exhibit #3). Discussion was held regarding a possible “passport system” at some point in the future. Bell stated that a similar reward program was under development as a pilot program. Commissioner Crain moved, Commissioner White seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to approve the update to the Historic Sites Fee Structure.

15.6 Consider approval to request capital authority for Palmito Ranch Tower, San Felipe de Austin archeology lab/maintenance facility, acquisition of land at the Levi Jordan Plantation State Historic Site, and the acquisition of the Almonte Surrender Site at San Jacinto Battleground State Historic Site

Bell explained that there were four capital projects that could not move forward because the THC did not have the necessary capital spending authority to carry them out. The projects identified, and reviewed in detail by the committee, included Palmito Ranch Tower; the San Felipe de Austin archeological lab/maintenance facility; the acquisition of land for Levi Jordan Plantation SHS; and the acquisition of the Almonte Surrender Site at San Jacinto Battleground SHS. Bell reported that the funds were available; however, the capital authority was necessary as state rules required that the THC obtain permission from the Legislative Budget Board before spending those funds on capital projects (construction or acquisition). Bell noted that full descriptions of the projects were provided to the Historic Sites Committee, which recommended approval to the commission. Secretary Donnelly moved, Commissioner Peterson seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to authorize the approval to request capital authority for Palmito Ranch Tower, San Felipe de Austin archeology lab/maintenance facility, acquisition of land at Levi Jordan Plantation SHS, and the acquisition of the Almonte Surrender Site at San Jacinto Battleground SHS.

15.7 Consider acceptance of donation of real property adjacent to the French Legation SHS, Travis County

Bell provided a summary of the events leading up to the donation of the property at the French Legation SHS. He reported that the 2019 agreement between the THC and Aquila Construction to support a height variance for construction of an office building on E. 7th and San Marcos streets in Austin resulted in the donation of property located at 903 E. 8th St. for the French Legation operations. He explained that the lot had been transferred to the THC and the deed was recorded with the City of Austin on Dec. 27, 2021. Bell provided an outline of the improvements still to be completed by Aquila and stated that the building’s parking structure would be available for Commission-sponsored events and rentals during after hour periods during the week and weekends. He noted that the parking availability would provide an opportunity to address business operational needs for large events and rentals and would provide the ability to secure parking adjacent to the property at a reasonable rate. In closing, Bell reported that the property would be used for construction staging until June 30, 2023. After that
period, the lot would be developed into additional surface parking for the French Legation utilizing TxDOT funds. Commissioner Crain moved, Commissioner White seconded, and the commissioned voted unanimously to authorize acceptance of donation of real property adjacent to the French Legation SHS.

16. History Programs
16.1 Committee report
Commissioner White reported that the committee considered, discussed, and recommended the approval of Historic Texas Cemetery designations and texts for Official Texas Historical Markers. She called on History Programs Division Director Charles Sadnick, who provided an update on phase I of the mobile application (app) development. He noted that the app would focus on heritage travel but would also include opportunities for education and preservation. Commissioner Donnelly acknowledged his and the agency’s appreciation to the Summerlee Foundation for its support and funding of phase I of the project. Sadnick also outlined various proposed components to be included in the phase II development.

16.2 Report on 2021 Official Texas Historical Markers and Undertold Markers
Sadnick reported that 15 markers were approved for the Undertold marker program by an interdisciplinary review committee of THC staff. He stated that the THC received 143 marker applications from 70 counties from March 1 to May 15, 2020, for the 2020 round. Sadnick stated that the staff proceeded with 121 applications and cancelled 22 applications, including one for the 1921 Young County Jail, which was canceled because the owner’s permission was not provided with the application (Exhibit #4).

16.3 Consider approval of marker text requests
Sadnick explained that the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 21, Section 21.12, relates to the Official Texas Historical Marker Program and allows for review of existing marker texts that:

1. Includes the name of an individual or organization that is not spelled correctly;
2. Includes a date that is not historically accurate;
3. Includes a statement that is not historically accurate; or
4. Has been installed at the wrong location.

A. “Karankawa Campsite,” Galveston County
Sadnick noted that the THC received an application for review of a marker text for “Karankawa Campsite” in Galveston County, which was placed in 1966. The claim in question refers to a reference to the Karankawa tribe being extinct. Sadnick stated that staff worked with the Archeology Division to review the request and noted that the statement is inaccurate. Commissioner White moved, Commissioner Burdette seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to approve the request for a new historical marker through the marker text request process for Karankawa Campsite, Galveston County, as evidence supports that the marker text includes a statement that is not historically accurate. Sadnick stated that the revised text would be presented to the commission prior to casting.

B. “Cementerio San Antonio de Padua,” Aransas County
Sadnick explained that the THC received an application for review of a marker text for the Cementerio San Antonio de Padua in Aransas County, which was placed in 1998. He stated that the claim in question referred to a reference to the size of the cemetery. He explained that the information submitted was inconclusive and additional information would be helpful. Discussion followed regarding procedures and timelines for marker text reviews. Commissioner White moved, Commissioner Peterson seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to deny the request for a new historical marker through the marker text request process for Cementerio San Antonio de Padua, Aransas County, as evidence does not support that the marker text includes a statement that is not historically accurate, and to direct THC staff to contact the applicant to clarify the request and provide proper documentation.

16.4 Texas Statewide Historic Preservation Plan update
*This report was provided out of order shortly after the start of the meeting.*
Project consultant Nick Kalogeresis of the Lakota Group provided an overview of the planning process and activities related to the Texas Statewide Historic Preservation Plan (SWP). He outlined the projected schedule, objectives, and timeline for phases I and II of the project. Kalogeresis provided preliminary poll results, findings, and data collected from the online stakeholder listening sessions. He also noted that the results from the workshops included preservation priorities and key vision themes. Kalogeresis summarized the information and data gathered from the disaster-related digital town halls and presented a schedule of future phase I engagement activities. In closing, he reported on the workshop exhibit design, project branding, communications, and next steps, including deliverables.

17. Executive
17.1 Committee report
No report was given and Vice-Chair McKnight presented the action items as follows.

17.2 Consider approval of dates/locations for 2022 and 2023 quarterly meetings
Vice-Chair McKnight explained that Chairman Nau had reported a last-minute conflict with the proposed July 2023 date as originally proposed and referred commissioners to a handout with revised dates. Vice-Chair McKnight moved, Commissioner Burdette seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to approve the dates and locations for the 2022 and 2023 quarterly meetings as noted below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>February 1–2, Austin</td>
<td>January 31–February 1, Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 28–29, San Antonio</td>
<td>April 27–28, Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 25–26, Big Spring</td>
<td>July 20–21, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 27–28, College Station</td>
<td>October 26–27, TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17.3 Consider approval of filing authorization of proposed new rule to the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 11, Subchapter A, Section 11.25 related to the Family Leave Pool, for first publication and public comment in the Texas Register
Miller reported the agency was proposing to add a new rule to the TAC to comply with Texas Government Code requirements as part of a procedural requirement enacted in the 87th legislative session. He explained that each state agency was required to adopt provisions of that legislation into their administrative rules. Miller explained that the commission had complied with the requirements of the related statute but had not formally adopted rules. He stated that the first publication would take place after approval, with a 30-day public comment period following the publication, and would be presented to the commission for final approval and second publication at the April 2022 meeting. Secretary Donnelly moved, Commission Peterson seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to approve the filing authorization of the proposed new rule to TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 11, section 11.25 related to the State Employee Family Leave Pool, for first publication and public comment in the Texas Register.

17.4 Consider approval of Hurricane Harvey Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund grant award to alternate project
Graham explained that the THC awarded 39 projects in May and July 2019 for a total of $8,373,401. In addition, eight projects were identified as alternate projects. The commission reserved $626,599 in grant funding for distribution to alternate project funding, program-required National Register nominations, and possibly for supplementing previously-awarded projects. She stated that six alternate projects had been awarded funding by the commission to date and, in November 2021, the Luther Hotel funding was withdrawn due to complications resulting from the death of the owner, which resulted in $183,509.09 remaining for distribution. Graham reviewed a list of alternate projects for consideration and explained that the highest-ranking project remaining on the alternate list was the Donigan House, also known as the Waller County Museum, owned by Waller County. Graham noted that the county judge had approved moving forward with the grant-funded repair work. Vice-Chair McKnight moved, Commissioner Garcia seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to approve funding from the Hurricanes Harvey, Irma, and Maria
Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund Grant program to the Donigan House (Waller County Museum), 906 Cooper St., Brookshire, Waller County, in the amount of $250,000.

17.5 Consider approval of appointments/reappointments to the AAB
Executive Director Wolfe reminded commissioners that the AAB members were appointed by the commission pursuant to the Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 26, Section 26.5. He explained that each member served two-year terms that expire on Feb. 1, of either odd or even numbered years, as determined by the commission. Wolfe stated that the positions up for appointment/reappointment were for three professional archeologists, two historic architects, and two historians. Secretary Donnelly moved, Commissioner Gravelle seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to approve the appointment of Niki Hise, professional archeologist, and Joaquín Rivaya-Martínez, historian, and the reappointments of Doug Boyd, professional archeologist, Todd Ahlman, professional archeologist, and Rick Lewis, historic architect, each to serve a two-year term (effective Feb. 2, 2022, through Jan. 31, 2024); further, to approve the retroactive reappointments of Norman Alston, historic architect, and Bob Ward, historian, each to serve a two-year term (effective Feb. 1, 2021, through Jan. 31, 2023) to the Antiquities Advisory Board.

18. Legal matters
18.1 Report from and/or conference with legal counsel on ongoing and/or pending legal matters including:
   A. Alamo Defenders Descendants Association v. Texas Historical Commission et al., Case No. 08-20-00172 (Eighth Court of Appeals in El Paso)
   B. Tap Pilam Coahuiltecan Nation v. Texas Historical Commission et al., Cause No D-1-GN-20-005131 (Travis County Texas)
   C. Isom v THC 3:21-cv-01465-N-BT (U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas)
Assistant Attorney General Dennis McKinney reported that all three cases noted above had been dismissed, were concluded, or were on appeal without the THC’s involvement.

19. Executive Session under the Open Meetings Act, Texas Government Code
19.1 §551.071(2), for consultation with legal counsel on conflict of interests
19.2 §551.074, for consultation with commissioners regarding public meetings law
Vice-Chair McKnight called the meeting into executive session at 12:02 p.m. and reconvened the meeting in open session at 12:41 p.m.

20. Resume Open Session
20.1 Action, if any, on items discussed in executive session
Vice-Chair McKnight reported that no action was taken or warranted.

21. Adjourn
At 12:41 p.m., on the motion of the vice-chair and without objection, the meeting was adjourned.

____________________________
Garrett Donnelly, Secretary  
April 29, 2022  
Date
## Certified Local Government Program
### Fiscal Year 2022 CLG Grant Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant Applicant</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Final Score</th>
<th>Grant Request</th>
<th>Recommended Funding</th>
<th>Running Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Marcos</td>
<td>Dunbar Neighborhood National Register Nomination</td>
<td>84.25</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth</td>
<td>City Historic Resources Survey update, phases V-VI</td>
<td>78.13</td>
<td>$47,500</td>
<td>$47,500</td>
<td>$62,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Denton Inc.</td>
<td>C.I.A. (College of Industrial Arts) Residential Historic Resources Survey</td>
<td>76.88</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socorro</td>
<td>Rio Vista Farm Rehabilitation Drawings for Building Groups A &amp; C</td>
<td>76.50</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comal County</td>
<td>National Register Nominations for Frueholz House and Frueholz Medical Building</td>
<td>73.38</td>
<td>$6,750</td>
<td>$6,750</td>
<td>$106,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antonio</td>
<td>Construction Documents for Reuse Center at the Bungalow Colony</td>
<td>73.13</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$131,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarrant County</td>
<td>GIS Mapping Project of Previous Survey Data and Context Statements for Unincorporated Areas</td>
<td>70.63</td>
<td>$39,500</td>
<td>$36,000</td>
<td>$167,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus Christi</td>
<td>Northern Westside Historic Resources Survey</td>
<td>70.50</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$182,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$186,250</strong></td>
<td><strong>$182,750</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Should additional funds become available for grants, additional awards up to the maximum grant requests will be made in descending order of scores, beginning with the Tarrant County proposal.

### NAPC FORUM22 Travel Stipends
(Utilizing available FY 2021 funds)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant Applicant</th>
<th>Final Score</th>
<th>Requested Attendees</th>
<th>Requested Funding</th>
<th>Recommended Attendees</th>
<th>Recommended Funding</th>
<th>Running Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plano</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$4,800</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$4,800</td>
<td>$4,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paris</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$3,983</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$3,983</td>
<td>$8,783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galveston</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>$9,983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$4,800</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$4,800</td>
<td>$14,783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyler</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$2,400</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$2,400</td>
<td>$17,183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seguin</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,327</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,327</td>
<td>$18,510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antonio</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$13,200</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>$19,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Grande City</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$19,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>$32,910</td>
<td>17</td>
<td><strong>$19,710</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Proposed Deaccessions

February 2022

Starr Family Home State Historic Site

Total Deaccessions: 110

The (110) objects of this group being proposed for deaccession are outside the period of significance for the site. These items were accessioned into the collection as permanent collection objects even though they were post 1950’s and outside the site’s period of significance. Current THC collections policy excludes such objects from the permanent collection and thus recommends that all these items be transferred to the Education Collection.

1996.1.2766 DUST RUFFLE
1996.1.2746 DUST RUFFLE
1996.1.321 BEDSPREAD
1996.1.471 BEDSPREAD
1996.1.2748 BEDSKIRT
1996.1.2749 BEDSKIRT
1996.1.2752 BEDSKIRT
1996.1.2764.1 SHAM, PILLOW
1996.1.2764.2 SHAM, PILLOW
1996.1.2764.3 SHAM, PILLOW
1996.1.2764.4 SHAM, PILLOW
1996.1.2764.5 SHAM, PILLOW
1996.1.2764.6 SHAM, PILLOW
1996.1.2730 SHEET/BLANKET
1996.1.2772 PILLOWCASE
1996.1.2707.1 SHEET
1996.1.2707.2 SHEET
1996.1.2707.3 SHEET
2007.150.236 SCARF, TABLE
2007.150.135 TABLECLOTH
1996.1.387 COVER, BOX SPRING
1996.1.2737.1 COVERLET
1996.1.2737.2 COVERLET
1996.1.2737.3 SHAM, PILLOW
1996.1.2728.1 SHEET
1996.1.2728.2 SHEET
1996.1.2706 SHEET
1996.1.2808 DOILY
1996.1.2809 DOILY
1996.1.2810 DOILY
1996.1.2811 DOILY
1996.1.2812 DOILY
1996.1.2813 DOILY
1996.1.2814 DOILY
1996.1.2815 DOILY
1996.1.2816 DOILY
1996.1.2817 DOILY
1996.1.2818 DOILY
1996.1.2819 DOILY
1996.1.2820 DOILY
1996.1.2821  DOILY
1996.1.2822  DOILY
1996.1.2829  DOILY
1996.1.2830  DOILY
1996.1.2831  DOILY
1996.1.2774.2 DOILY
1996.1.2774.3 DOILY
1996.1.2774.4 DOILY
1996.1.2774.5 DOILY
1996.1.2774.6 DOILY
1996.1.2832  SCARF, DRESSER
1996.1.2833  SCARF, DRESSER
1996.1.2834  SCARF, DRESSER
1996.1.2842  SHAM, PILLOW
1996.1.2843  SHAM, PILLOW
1996.1.2801  DOILY
1996.1.2802  DOILY
1996.1.2803  DOILY
1996.1.2804  DOILY
1996.1.2805  DOILY
1996.1.2806  DOILY
1985.40.422  RUNNER, TABLE
1985.40.436  RUNNER, TABLE
1985.40.403.001 DOILY (11)
1985.40.403.002 DOILY (11)
1985.40.403.003 DOILY (11)
1985.40.403.004 DOILY (11)
1985.40.403.005 DOILY (11)
1985.40.403.006 DOILY (11)
1985.40.403.007 DOILY (11)
1985.40.403.008 DOILY (11)
1985.40.403.009 DOILY (11)
1985.40.403.010 DOILY (11)
1985.40.430.001 DOILY
1985.40.431.001 DOILY
Proposed Deaccessions

February 2022

Varner Hogg Plantation State Historic Site

Total Deaccessions: 7

These (6) objects are deteriorated beyond usefulness. Collections staff recommend proper disposal.

1975.39.1390   MIRROR
1975.39.839.2   BENCH, GARDEN
1975.39.2087   ENGRAVING
1975.39.2028   MIRROR
1975.39.178.1   CHAIR
1975.39.1569   MIRROR

This (1) object number was misnumbered. Collections staff recommends removing the misnumbered entry from the database and updating the inventory.

2007.157.20   PRINT, PHOTOGRAPHIC
### Historic Sites Admission Fees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Adults</th>
<th>Children 6 to 18 and college students</th>
<th>Tour Groups</th>
<th>Children 5 and under</th>
<th>School groups (per student)</th>
<th>Grounds use self-guided outdoor tour only</th>
<th>Senior/Vet Fee</th>
<th>Family Fee (2 adults and 1 children) or (1 adult and two children)</th>
<th>Notes:</th>
<th>Fee (not tour or school groups) will give access to both EBP and SRH.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caddo Mounds</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>$1 FREE</td>
<td>Fees (not tour or school groups) will give access to both EBP and SRH.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casa Navarro</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>$1 FREE</td>
<td>Fees (not tour or school groups) will give access to both EBP and SRH.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles and Mary Ann Goodnight</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>$1 FREE</td>
<td>Fees (not tour or school groups) will give access to both EBP and SRH.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confederate Reunion Grounds</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>$1 FREE</td>
<td>Fees (not tour or school groups) will give access to both EBP and SRH.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eisenhower Birthplace</td>
<td>$6</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$12</td>
<td>$1 FREE</td>
<td>Fees (not tour or school groups) will give access to both EBP and SRH.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Griffin</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>$1 FREE</td>
<td>Fees (not tour or school groups) will give access to both EBP and SRH.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Lancaster</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>$1 FREE</td>
<td>Fees (not tour or school groups) will give access to both EBP and SRH.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort McKavett</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>$1 FREE</td>
<td>Fees (not tour or school groups) will give access to both EBP and SRH.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landmark Inn</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>$1 FREE</td>
<td>Fees (not tour or school groups) will give access to both EBP and SRH.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Bell Maxey House</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>$1 FREE</td>
<td>Fees (not tour or school groups) will give access to both EBP and SRH.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Rayburn House Museum</td>
<td>$6</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$12</td>
<td>$1 FREE</td>
<td>Fees (not tour or school groups) will give access to both EBP and SRH.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starr Family Home</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>$1 FREE</td>
<td>Fees (not tour or school groups) will give access to both EBP and SRH.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission Dolores</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>$1 FREE</td>
<td>Fees (not tour or school groups) will give access to both EBP and SRH.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kreische Brewery/Monument Hill</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>$1 FREE</td>
<td>Fees (not tour or school groups) will give access to both EBP and SRH.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fantborp Inn</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$2</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>$2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA FREE</td>
<td>Fees (not tour or school groups) will give access to both EBP and SRH.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acton</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA N/A N/A</td>
<td>Fees will give access to both LJP and VHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fannin Battleground</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA N/A N/A</td>
<td>Fees will give access to both LJP and VHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Jacinto Battleground</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA N/A N/A</td>
<td>Fees will give access to both LJP and VHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levi Jordan Plantation</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levi Jordan Plantation</td>
<td>$50 per hour for Classroom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levi Jordan Plantation</td>
<td>$100 per day per bedroom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levi Jordan Plantation</td>
<td>$1000 per day if entire facility is rented</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levi Jordan Plantation</td>
<td>$200 per person per day for Public Archeology Program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magoffin Home</td>
<td>$7</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$2</td>
<td>$6</td>
<td>$14</td>
<td>$1 FREE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varner-Hogg Plantation</td>
<td>$7</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$2</td>
<td>$6</td>
<td>$14</td>
<td>$1 FREE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton Mansion</td>
<td>$7</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$2</td>
<td>$6</td>
<td>$14</td>
<td>$1 FREE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington-on-the-Brazos</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$6</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>$2</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$7</td>
<td>$1 FREE</td>
<td>local resident discount $8 adults/$7 vets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Felipe de Austin</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$10/$8.00</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>$20/16.00</td>
<td>$2/5.00 FREE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>Seniors</td>
<td>Senior-$4</td>
<td>Vet &amp; 1st Responders=$2.50</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Isabel Lighthouse</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>$2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Jacinto Monument</td>
<td>$12/adults and children 12 and up</td>
<td>$6 for children 4-11</td>
<td>$5.50</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Museum of Pacific War</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>$12</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMPW Combat Zone</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>FREE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Overnight/Entry Fees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Full Hookup</th>
<th>Primitive Camping</th>
<th>Overnight Rooms</th>
<th>Vehicle entry fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Griffin</td>
<td>$22.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landmark Inn</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$100-150.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>* Lodging Rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confederate Reunion Grounds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission Dolores</td>
<td>$24 per night</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabine Pass</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- WW II Vets Free
Report on 2021 Official Texas Historical Markers and Undertold Markers

Background:
At the May 2008 quarterly meeting, Commissioners approved and adopted criteria to score candidates for expenditures of Marker Application Funds collected since fall 2006. For each new and replacement historical marker, a $100 application fee funds “an account to offer funding incentives for special or priority markers” to address historical gaps, promote diversity of topics, and proactively document significant undertold or untold stories. At the January 2020 quarterly meeting, Commissioners adopted a work plan that limits recommended topics to 15 markers through the Undertold marker program from the qualified 2021 applications received.

In October-November 2021, a statewide request was sent through THC emails and listservs, and a total of 64 candidates were received from 45 counties. An interdisciplinary review committee of THC staff reviewed and scored the topics and held a consensus scoring and discussion meeting.

Scoring criteria:
30 pts max. Diversity of topic for addressing gaps in historical marker program; value of topic as an undertold or untold aspect of Texas history
20 pts max. Endangerment level of property, site or topic
10 pts max. Historical or architectural significance
10 pts max. Historical or architectural integrity
10 pts max. Relevance to statewide preservation plan and other THC programs
10 pts max. CHC support and existing documentation
10 pts max. Diversity among this group of candidates

Topics to be considered for approval (15):
Bexar Co. The Pajalate Language
Pajalate is the only surviving language of indigenous Coahuiltecan people in Bexar County and south Texas. The 1760 bilingual manual of mission sacraments was printed in Spanish and Pajalate, and also included observations of Coahuiltecan culture.
Marker location: San Antonio, Padre Park, 6030 Padre Dr.
Submitted by: Virginia Rutledge, Old River Heritage Group

Brazos Co. John N. Johnson
(1853-1906). An attorney, medical doctor, educator and newspaper editor, Johnson gained his law license in 1883 and became the first African American to argue before the Texas Supreme Court. Later living in Washington, D.C., he advocated for civil rights and jury reform.
Marker location: Bryan, Brazos County Courthouse entrance plaza, 300 E. 26th St.
Submitted by: Randy Haynes, Historic Preservation Officer, City of Bryan

Comal Co. Panteon Hidalgo (Hidalgo Cemetery) (HTC)
This Catholic cemetery was established in the 1920s to meet the needs of the city’s growing Mexican American community. It was affiliated with the organization Asociación Mutualista de Beneficencia. The adjacent Our Lady of Perpetual Help Cemetery received an undertold marker in 2018.
Marker location: New Braunfels, 301 S. Peace Ave.
Submitted by: Comal CHC
Dallas Co.  
Jane Elkins  
(c. 1800-1853) Convicted of murder in 1853, Jane Elkins was an enslaved African American who became the first woman to be legally hanged in Texas. Previously submitted as undertold application 2020.
  
Marker location: Dallas, 263 Commerce St.
Submitted by: Remembering Black Dallas

El Paso Co.  
Anita Lee Blair  
(1916-2010) Blinded in a car accident at age 19, Anita Lee Blair became the first woman to represent El Paso in the Texas Legislature and the first visually impaired member of the House. She was an advocate for teachers, woman, and the State School for the Deaf during her term of office.
  
Marker location: El Paso, Austin High School, 3500 Memphis Ave.
Submitted by: Woody Bare, El Paso CHC

Fannin Co.  
Flat Prairie  
Emancipated African Americans established a Freedmens’ settlement known as Flat Prairie along Sulphur Creek in the 1870s. The site of the community, anchored by a two-room school, is now under the waters of Lake Ralph Hall.
  
Marker location: near Bonham, FM 2990
Submitted by: Malinda Allison, Fannin CHC

Fort Bend Co.  
Bullhead Convict Labor Camp Cemetery (HTC)  
Also known as Sugar Land 95 Cemetery, this burial ground was actively used from 1879-1909 for primarily African American convict laborers in the state penitentiary system. Remains of 95 burials were discovered during construction of a new school campus in Feb. 2018 and reinterred in Nov. 2019.
  
Marker location: Sugar Land, 12300 University Blvd.
Submitted by: Fort Bend ISD and Fort Bend CHC

Galveston Co.  
Albertine Hall Yeager  
(1897-1969) Albertine Yeager, a leader in the city’s African American community, founded the Yeager Children’s Home in 1917, initially providing services to children of war widows while they worked their shifts, and later to homeless children. The Yeager Home merged with other facilities as The Children’s Center, Inc. in 1988.
  
Marker location: Galveston, 1111 32nd St.
Submitted by: The Children’s Center, Inc.

Grayson Co.  
Sherman Riot of 1930  
A large mob burned the Grayson County Courthouse to the ground in 1930 seeking George Hughes, an African American man accused of assault. The mob went on to lynch his remains and burn several properties in the city’s African American business district, resulting in martial law for two weeks.
  
Marker location: Sherman, Grayson County Courthouse, 100 W. Houston St.
Submitted by: Grayson County Judge William Magers and author Melissa Thiel

Hays Co.  
Vaqueros, Ranch Hands and Stock Raisers  
Pedro Veracrus (Veracruz) (1825-1895) and his family were influential in the agricultural development and settlement of Hays County in the 19th century. This marker will focus on the Veracrus Burial Ground and the Blanco Community and its Hispanic heritage.
  
Marker location: Kyle, 2305 Old Stagecoach Road
Submitted by: Gina Rogers, Council for the Indigenous and Tejano Community
Jim Hogg Co.  El Colegio Altamirano
Operating from 1897-1958, El Colegio Altamirano was a school for Mexican American students in Hebbronville. Called “La Escuelita” (Little School) by its students, subjects include art, music and culture as well as core classes.
Marker location: Hebbronville, 511 E. Santa Clara
Submitted by: Idalia Davila, Jim Hogg CHC

Kaufman Co.  Reeves Henry
(1859-1930) This African American blacksmith, machinist (on the Texas & Pacific Railroad) and inventor (of a cotton chopper) is credited as the man who repaired Edward H.R. Green’s automobile in 1899, subject of a historical marker placed in Forney in 1990.
Marker location: Forney, 210 E. Broad St.
Submitted by: Kendall Nobles, Spellman Museum of Forney History

Robertson Co.  Calvert Colored High School (RTHL)
Built through the Rosenwald Fund to educate African American students, this 1929-30 brick building was one of the largest built through the Rosenwald program, housing twelve teachers for grades 1-11 (12th grade was added later). The facility continued to serve as a school until 2010.
Marker location: Calvert, 801 W. Texas Ave.
Submitted by: Dr. Myeshia Babers, Texas A&M University

Travis Co.  The Manhattan Club
Housed in a back room of the Manhattan Restaurant and Deli, the Manhattan Club has been documented as Austin’s first gay bar, beginning in 1957 and closing with the restaurant in 1969.
Marker location: Austin, 911 Congress Ave.
Submitted by: Railey Tassin and Amber Leigh Hullum

Wharton Co.  LULAC Council No. 170
Wharton County councils of LULAC (League of United Latin American Citizens) were instrumental in civil rights advances including the 1954 U.S. Supreme Court case Hernandez v. Texas (subject of a 2010 undertold marker) and the “Little School of the 400” educational program (2008 undertold).
Marker location: Louise, 107 N. Market St.
Submitted by: Pat Blair, Wharton CHC, and property owner Jose Cardenas III
Other applications received (49):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baylor</td>
<td>Augusta Hasslock Kemp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blanco</td>
<td>Girl Scout Camp Blanco</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazoria</td>
<td>American Baptist Southern District Association</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>George E. Smith</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>Tylene Wilson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burleson</td>
<td>Clay Station Freedom Colony</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burleson</td>
<td>St. Matthew Baptist Church</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caldwell</td>
<td>Texas Founding Historic Figures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameron</td>
<td>Baxter Building (RTHL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comal</td>
<td>La Marcha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coryell</td>
<td>Carver School</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>Fields Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellis</td>
<td>Sweatt Family</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellis</td>
<td>William Hobart Stafford</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls</td>
<td>Booker T. Washington Schools</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fayette</td>
<td>Louisiana Brown (Lou Faison)</td>
<td>Also submitted 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Bend</td>
<td>Old Imperial Prison Farm Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td>Already marker 2015 – will work with sponsor and CHC on potential corrections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gillespie</td>
<td>African American Burials in Der Stadt Friedhof Cemetery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guadalupe</td>
<td>Jakes Colony</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guadalupe</td>
<td>Magnolia Hotel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall</td>
<td>Bankhead Highway through Turkey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris</td>
<td>KLVL 1480 AM</td>
<td>Already pending undertold marker (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrison</td>
<td>The Porter-Barrymore Shooting</td>
<td>Also submitted 2019, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays</td>
<td>Old First Baptist Church</td>
<td>Already marker for congregation 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kendall</td>
<td>Early Polo Games at Balcones Ranch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>Echols School</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>St. Paul Normal and Industrial Institute</td>
<td>Recommended as alternate topic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mills</td>
<td>The Westerman Flood Irrigation System</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nacogdoches</td>
<td>Robert Bruce Blake</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navarro</td>
<td>G.W. Jackson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>Jett Cemetery (HTC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potter</td>
<td>Dr. James Odis Wyatt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potter</td>
<td>Matthew Hooks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red River</td>
<td>Freedom for a Slave</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarrant</td>
<td>La Corte</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarrant</td>
<td>Panther Hall</td>
<td>Also submitted 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor</td>
<td>Nike Hercules Site DY-50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis</td>
<td>Eastwoods Park</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walker</td>
<td>Pleasant Grove United Methodist Church (RTHL)</td>
<td>Also submitted 2021 as regular application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wichita</td>
<td>Abby Cooper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wichita</td>
<td>Catherine Young Clack</td>
<td>Also submitted 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wichita</td>
<td>Frank and Irina Pal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wichita</td>
<td>Frank Chisum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wichita</td>
<td>George Barringer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wichita</td>
<td>Minnie Adickes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wichita</td>
<td>Viola Hamlin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wichita</td>
<td>Walter Dearing Cline</td>
<td>Also submitted 2018, 2019, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>Addie E. McFarland Elementary and High School</td>
<td>Also submitted 2018, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young</td>
<td>Lincoln School (RTHL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Background:
Under the provisions of the historical marker program, an annual list of applications is presented to THC Commissioners. The THC received 143 marker applications from 70 counties from March 1 to May 15, 2020 for the 2020 round. Staff proceeded with 121 applications and cancelled 22 applications for the 2020 round. Staff was contacted in Nov. 2021 about one application listed below.

Summary:
Staff will be cancelling 1 application for an Official Texas Historical Marker from the 2020 round.

Interpretive plaques to be cancelled (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Job#</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Young</td>
<td>20YN01</td>
<td>1921 Young County Jail</td>
<td>Three-story concrete and brick county jail, designed by C.H. Leinbach</td>
<td>Owner permission was not given with application</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TAB 6.2
Certification of Historic Texas Cemetery Designations

**Background:**
During the period from 1/11/2022 to 4/4/2022, 26 Historic Texas Cemetery designations were completed by the staff. All have been recorded in county deed records as being so designated. Your approval is requested to officially certify these Historic Texas Cemeteries:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Cemetery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anderson</td>
<td>Palestine</td>
<td>Beth Israel Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atascosa</td>
<td>Pleasanton (v)</td>
<td>Leal Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bastrop</td>
<td>Cedar Creek</td>
<td>Alum Creek Deshay Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bastrop</td>
<td>Cedar Creek</td>
<td>Mt. Olive Evergreen Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coleman</td>
<td>Talpa</td>
<td>Talpa Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>Farmersville (v)</td>
<td>Glass-Chapman Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>Frisco</td>
<td>Smith Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comanche</td>
<td>Gustine (v)</td>
<td>Board Church Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Bend</td>
<td>Fulshear</td>
<td>Fulshear Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Bend</td>
<td>Missouri City</td>
<td>Watts Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grimes</td>
<td>Iola (v)</td>
<td>St. Louis Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris</td>
<td>Hufsmith</td>
<td>Bogs-Hufsmith Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerr</td>
<td>Kerrville</td>
<td>Gate of Heaven-Kerrville State Hospital Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerr</td>
<td>Kerrville (v)</td>
<td>Real Family Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leon</td>
<td>Buffalo (v)</td>
<td>Taylor Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubbock</td>
<td>Lubbock</td>
<td>Peaceful Gardens Memorial Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montague</td>
<td>Gladys</td>
<td>Antioch Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montague</td>
<td>Nocona (v)</td>
<td>Union Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker</td>
<td>Tin Top</td>
<td>Smith Valley Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker</td>
<td>Tin Top (v)</td>
<td>Little West Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>Holly Grove</td>
<td>Holly Grove Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockwall</td>
<td>Rockwall</td>
<td>Rockwall Memorial Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runnels</td>
<td>Winters</td>
<td>Fairview Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis</td>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>Longview Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wharton</td>
<td>New Taiton</td>
<td>St. John Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williamson</td>
<td>Bartlett (v)</td>
<td>Jackson Cemetery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommended motion (Committee): Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend certification of these designations as Historic Texas Cemeteries.

Recommended motion (Commission): Move to certify these designations as Historic Texas Cemeteries.
TAB 6.3
Consider approval of text for Official Texas Historical Markers

Background:
From January 11, 2022 to April 4, 2022, THC historical marker staff drafted and finalized inscriptions for forty-six (46) interpretive markers, now ready for Commission approval.

Recommended interpretive plaques for approval (46)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Job #</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angelina</td>
<td>20AG01</td>
<td>Keltys United Methodist Church</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandera</td>
<td>20BN01</td>
<td>River Oaks Courts (RTHL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell</td>
<td>20BL01</td>
<td>Carpenter Cemetery (HTC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazos</td>
<td>21BZ03</td>
<td>College Station Cemetery (HTC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazos</td>
<td>21BZ01</td>
<td>Edge Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burleson</td>
<td>21BU01</td>
<td>Belltown Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calhoun</td>
<td>21CL03</td>
<td>Olivia Cemetery (REPLACEMENT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameron</td>
<td>20CF01</td>
<td>Fernández-Champion-García-Warburton House (RTHL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameron</td>
<td>20CF02</td>
<td>Jose Callandret School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherokee</td>
<td>21CE01</td>
<td>Jacksonville’s Public Square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>21CD01</td>
<td>Alley Cemetery (HTC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comal</td>
<td>19CM05</td>
<td>Our Lady of Perpetual Help Cemetery (HTC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>19DL08</td>
<td>Dr. Edgar Ewell Ward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denton</td>
<td>20DN01</td>
<td>David Gregory Fox Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denton</td>
<td>21DN03</td>
<td>Roark-Griffith Pottery Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denton</td>
<td>21DN02</td>
<td>Wilson Cemetery (HTC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Paso</td>
<td>20EP03</td>
<td>Bowie High School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fayette</td>
<td>19FY04</td>
<td>Fayette County Poor House and Farm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galveston</td>
<td>21GV01</td>
<td>Sealy and Mary Hutchings Home (RTHL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregg</td>
<td>19GG01</td>
<td>Robert A. McClain House (RTHL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guadalupe</td>
<td>21GU01</td>
<td>Schertz-Cibolo Cemetery (HTC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall</td>
<td>20HL01</td>
<td>Hulver Cemetery (HTC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays</td>
<td>20HY01</td>
<td>William B. Travis League</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karnes</td>
<td>21KA01</td>
<td>Czerner-Kowalik House (RTHL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kendall</td>
<td>21KE01</td>
<td>Wren Cemetery (HTC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kent</td>
<td>22KT01</td>
<td>Double Mountain Salt Works (REPLACEMENT – MRR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamar</td>
<td>21LR03</td>
<td>First Federal Community Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lampasas</td>
<td>20LM01</td>
<td>Straley Cemetery (HTC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion</td>
<td>19MR03</td>
<td>1897 Howe Truss Train Trestle (RTHL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion</td>
<td>21MR01</td>
<td>Pylant African American Cemetery (HTC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nacogdoches</td>
<td>21NA02</td>
<td>The Mansola Road of Nacogdoches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panola</td>
<td>21PN01</td>
<td>Fair Play Baptist Church</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red River</td>
<td>21RR01</td>
<td>Fairground Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runnels</td>
<td>21RN01</td>
<td>First Baptist Church</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith</td>
<td>22SM01</td>
<td>Smith County, C.S.A. (SUPPLEMENTAL PLATE – MRR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis</td>
<td>21TV04</td>
<td>Broken Spoke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis</td>
<td>20TV03</td>
<td>The Children’s Haven Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis</td>
<td>21TV03</td>
<td>Willie Wells Home (RTHL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis</td>
<td>20TV04</td>
<td>Yellow Jacket Stadium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinity</td>
<td>21TN01</td>
<td>Site of Gibson Hotel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upshur</td>
<td>21UR01</td>
<td>Concord Cemetery (HTC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upshur</td>
<td>18UR02</td>
<td>Henry “Ragtime Texas” Thomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upton</td>
<td>17UT02</td>
<td>Table Top Mountain (REPLACEMENT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wharton</td>
<td>20WH04</td>
<td>Newgulf Campo Santo (HTC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wharton</td>
<td>20WH02</td>
<td>Old Jerusalem Cemetery (HTC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>21WE03</td>
<td>Bill Mack</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recommended motion (Committee):** Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of the final form and text of forty-six (46) Official Texas Historical Markers with delegation of authority to the Executive Director of the Texas Historical Commission, working with the Commission chair, to resolve minor textual issues arising after Commission approval.

**Recommended motion (Commission):** Move to adopt approval of the final form and text of forty-six (46) Official Texas Historical Markers with delegation of authority to the Executive Director of the Texas Historical Commission, working with the Commission chair, to resolve minor textual issues arising after Commission approval.
KELTYS UNITED METHODIST CHURCH

Charles Kelty purchased an existing sawmill in 1866 which supplied local demand for building material, but was not particularly profitable. In 1888, Joseph H. Kurth joined with business partners Simon W. Henderson and Sam and Eli Weiner to buy the mill, which by 1891 was renamed Angelina County Lumber Company and became one of the most successful operations in the region. The sawmill town of Keltys included company housing, a commissary, schools and churches.

In 1890, the Sunday school class established by Hattie Kurth, located in the Keltys school across the railroad tracks and north of the Kurth home, became the Lufkin Mission Methodist Episcopal Church, South. Rev. V.A. Godbey arrived in Dec. 1890 as the first minister.

In 1902, Joseph and Hattie Kurth conveyed 1 1/2 acres of land to church trustees for their own building. The Kurths also donated materials and paid for construction of a parsonage. The church was known for a time as Kurth’s Chapel Methodist Episcopal Church. Fire destroyed the sanctuary in 1940, and the Angelina County Lumber Company financed a new church building, which is still in use.

Through the years, the ministry of the church has grown to reach out to the community and beyond. In 1923, a women’s missionary society (later United Methodist Women) organized. The congregation has been especially involved in youth programs at the church and through local schools. Many members serve on community boards and organizations. The sawmill closed in 1965 and many buildings were later razed. From what was once a large-scale industrial operation, Keltys United Methodist Church remains as an ongoing link to the community’s heritage.

(2020)

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
RIVER OAKS COURTS

Medina businessman Brown H. Stokes (1897-1940) constructed a wood frame house in 1930 as his family homestead. By 1940, Stokes added ten rental cabins to the 11-acre riverfront property, several of which served as housing for teachers at the Medina School. Built by local mason Bruce Hough LeStourgeon (1909-2009), the cabins at Stokes Tourist Courts were designed as studio apartments with fieldstone cladding and red rock accents. In the 1940s, new owners changed the name to River Oaks Courts and erected a four-plex structure. River Oaks Courts operated as a motel and long-term rental property for decades, contributing to the tourist industry and heritage of Medina.

RECORDED TEXAS HISTORIC LANDMARK – 2020
MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
CARPENTER CEMETERY

In 1852, Rev. John Madison Carpenter (1810-1898) and his wife, Elizabeth (Horn) Carpenter (1808-1892), relocated from Allen County, Kentucky, to Texas. They settled briefly in Bastrop County and moved to Bell County a year later. Records list John’s occupation as farmer, but he was also an ordained deacon in the Methodist church who impacted the area for years as a circuit riding preacher, traveling on horseback or wagon to reach remote worshippers. Later, Carpenter held services at the nearby Rock Church. In 1874, Rev. Carpenter donated one acre of land to a trust for cemetery purposes. Trustees designated for the cemetery were church members and neighbors from the surrounding community. Many farmers and citizens in the area are buried here. There are 39 known burials in the cemetery, but family members recall significantly more tombstones in the past. For a time, the land was used as a cattle pasture and it is likely some headstones were damaged and are no longer visible.

John and Elizabeth Carpenter are buried near one corner of the cemetery boundaries. Their plot is enclosed by a decorative iron fence. Other burials include the Freeman Family: John Taylor Freeman (1824-1896), his wife, Nancy Herron Dickson Freeman (1825-1883), and their sons Benjamin, John H. and Thomas Freeman. The Freeman Family came to Texas from Georgia and settled near the cemetery on land that became Freeman Heights neighborhood. Also buried here is Union soldier Christopher Columbus Roller (1822-1896). The Rev. John Carpenter wrote in his autobiography: “Our purpose is to live so, as that when we die—to leave those that survive us, the richest legacy that is possible for anyone to leave; that is—a good name, a good example, coupled with good advice.”

HISTORIC TEXAS CEMETERY – 2019

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
In 1946, more than 30 acres were purchased from a local farmer to establish a municipal cemetery for the city of College Station. The property surrounded two previously established cemeteries: Shiloh Cemetery (also known as Rector Chapel Cemetery) and Salem Cemetery (also known as Washington Chapel Cemetery). In 1975, the cemetery was decreased in size to create a nature preserve, later named the Anderson Arboretum.

Before the new cemetery opened, the first burial was for a two-month-old baby, Linda Frances Varvel, on May 1, 1946. Shortly thereafter, on June 15, 1946, Luke Patranella (1899-1946), a well-loved resident and owner of Luke’s Grocery, was buried here. Other burials include five of the six original city council members, all of whom were Texas A&M faculty members; four presidents of the university; highly decorated World War II hero, General James Earl Rudder (1910-1970); 24-year mayor of College Station, Ernest K. Langford (1891-1981); and local surveyor, Joseph A. “Joe” Orr (1900-1971).

Other notable burials are Texas A&M athletic department staff and National Square & Round Dance Hall of Famer, Manning F. Smith (1912-1992), Congressional Medal of Honor recipient Dr. Eli Whiteley (1913-1986) and Gussie Wilborn (1905-1997), a humanitarian and descendant of early African American pioneers who won the “Outstanding Woman of Brazos County” award in 1985.

Tombstones reflect a variety of fraternal associations, including Woodmen of the World, Eastern Star, and Masons, and represent many different cultures with languages such as Chinese, Spanish, Czech, and Arabic. Lined with trees and maintained by the city and loved ones, this historic cemetery is a testament to the rich heritage of the community.
In its earliest days, the Edge Community was a rural neighborhood comprised of pioneer settlers of English, Irish, German, Czech, African, or African American descent. Among these settlers were the three Edge Brothers. Warren Lee Edge (1846-1916) was the first of the brothers to settle in the area. In 1866, he and his wife, Jane H. (Cloud), moved from Georgia to Brazos County and purchased land to farm and raise a family. William H. H. Edge (1838-1891) moved with his wife, Sarah (Mchaley), and family in 1876, and in 1879, Dr. John Simpson Edge (1852-1920), and his wife, Ella Jean (Hudson), settled here. Dr. J.S. Edge was a pioneer doctor who served the region on horseback or buggy and is credited with officially establishing and naming the town when he founded a post office in 1894.

By 1898, the town of Edge had three stores, a blacksmith shop, two gins, a sawmill and four churches. It was surrounded by farmland, and most families in the area were farmers with large gardens to feed themselves and barter for goods. Many of the black residents of Edge were brought to the area as enslaved people who then became tenant farmers or bought land from former owners.

Schools in the area included Liberty School, Concord School and Edge High School, which was built in 1912 and burned in 1940. As Edge grew, it absorbed smaller communities such as Concord and Liberty, and, in 1946, the school merged with the nearby Kurten School. The Kurten School closed by the late 1960s, and children were bused into Bryan. The post office, which was located inside the general store, closed in 1957 and by the 1970s, the once booming farm town became a quiet rural community.

(2021)

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
BELLTOWN COMMUNITY

During Reconstruction, emancipated African Americans established rural settlements where they would be free to live, work and worship. Edmond Bell and his wife, Vina, and the families of their children, Simon, Henry, John, William M., Harriet and Edmond Jr., moved here starting in 1867, followed by other families along Yegua Creek and its tributaries. Most families were farmers, and the community shared a sorghum molasses mill on the land of William E. Bell, a son of Simon and Ellen. Community institutions included Sulphur Springs School (1888-1960s), churches (St. Paul A.M.E., Providence Baptist, and Church of Christ), a grocery store, and cemeteries. Community celebrations, gatherings and other activities have become the cherished heritage of descendants of the early settlers.

(2021)

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
OLIVIA CEMETERY

In 1892, the Reverend Carl J. E. Haterius of Galesburg, Illinois, acquired land at this site with the intention of establishing a community for Swedish settlers. He named the settlement for his wife, Olivia. When the townsite was laid out in 1893, land was set aside for this burial ground in association with the Swedish Lutheran Eden Church. The earliest marked grave is that of Christina B. Cavallin, who died in 1897. Swedes and non-Swedes together contributed to the early development of Olivia, and the community cemetery serves as a reminder of their contributions.

TEXAS SESQUICENTENNIAL 1836-1986
MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
FERNÁNDEZ-CHAMPION-GARCÍA-WARBURTON HOUSE

This one-story, cross-gabled craftsman bungalow was built in 1925 by one of two Brownsville businessmen: John G. Fernández (1876-1939), or his cousin and sometime business associate, John G. Champion (1862-1943). Fernández purchased the lot in 1924 and sold it to Champion in Dec. 1925. In 1945, Estrella Buitureira (1882-1964) and Ricardo García (1880-1968) bought the property. The house was then purchased by Joseph O.E. Warburton (1910-1996) and Barbara (Taylor) Warburton (1915-1996). Joseph was a local lawyer and Barbara was a professor at Texas Southmost College who established the Gorgas Science Society. They lived in the house from 1951 to 1996.

RECORDED TEXAS HISTORIC LANDMARK – 2020
MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
In 1909, the San Benito Independent School District formed and, in 1921, established the San Benito Colored School at Commerce and Doherty streets by the railroad tracks. The school opened with African American students taught by certified African American teachers. In 1933, a hurricane severely damaged the school and the one-room schoolhouse was reconstructed with limited resources. Over the years, attendance increased, as did the need for a new facility.

On November 15, 1949, Fannie (Sayon) Callandret (1887-1978) donated land from her estate to the San Benito ISD for the purpose of building a new school for African American students. Fannie and her husband, Jean Marie (Joe) Callandret (1883-1931), both of Louisiana Creole heritage, moved to San Benito from Louisiana in 1908. As a real estate broker, Callandret owned many properties and businesses in the San Benito area in addition to farming. Fannie and Joe had six children who helped the family with their various enterprises. At one point, Callandret subdivided a tract as the Callandret subdivision, but his vision of an African American neighborhood close to a school never materialized. However, Fannie knew his wishes and donated the land for a new school.

In 1951, after a petition was submitted by the African American community, the school district announced that the new school would be named in honor of Joe Callandret. In 1952, a concrete block building was built on the donated Callandret land and opened to the community. At a community open house, guests donated library books, landscaping plants and playground equipment for the new facility. The school district integrated in 1960-61 after many students transferred to Harlingen CISD. The school was then used for training and special education for students of San Benito ISD.

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
JACKSONVILLE’S PUBLIC SQUARE

Jackson Smith, a Republic of Texas soldier and scout who first explored the Gum Creek area in 1838, returned in 1847 and built a house and blacksmith shop where F.C. Hardgraves, James G. Earle, David Templeton and others had started a community. Smith became the first postmaster of Gum Creek in 1848. Two years later, the name changed to Jacksonville. Smith platted a town which eventually grew to dozens of stores and houses plus churches, schools and a hotel. In 1871, the International Railroad Company surveyed their new line a mile to the northeast of Jacksonville. Sarah Fry sold the railroad 75 acres providing that a depot would be located on the tract at Fry’s Summit. Most residents and businesses in what became Old Jacksonville bought lots and moved to the new townsite.

The International Railroad Company platted a new townsite, donating lots for churches and schools, and donating Block 153, bounded by Bolton, Main, Rusk and Larissa Streets, to the citizens of Jacksonville as a public square. In 1883, tracks were built diagonally across the square for the narrow-gauge Kansas & Gulf Shortline Railroad. The alignment, later part of the cotton belt system, is still seen in a depression running through the park after the rails were removed. Over many years, several park improvements became part of the square’s cultural landscape. Early enhancements included tree planting in the 1880s, and a frame bandstand and city water tower completed in the 1900s. A WWI Doughboy statue dedicated in 1928 and later memorials recall the sacrifices of local veterans. New Deal projects from the 1930s included a stone bandstand, demolished in the 1960s to make room for a fire station; and a public library, which later became the Vanishing Texana Museum. Later dedicated as Hazel Tilton Park, Jacksonville’s public square continues to be a focal point for gatherings, celebrations and special events.

(2021)

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
ALLEY CEMETERY

The Alley Cemetery, also known as the Glaiser Cemetery and Alley Family Cemetery, is the final resting place for some of the first Anglo settlers of Texas. The cemetery was not officially established until November 2, 1881, when the land was deeded by Nicola and Elizabeth Glaiser; however, earlier burials exist.

The Alley Cemetery was named for Rawson Alley (1793–1833), the surveyor for Stephen F. Austin’s original expedition in 1821, surveying much of the land around the Colorado River. His family, including brothers Abraham (Abram) and Thomas, came to Texas in 1822 with the ‘Old Three Hundred’ settlers. Rawson died of illness during a flood on the Colorado River in 1833 and was buried here. Abraham (Abram) Alley (1803-1862), Texas Revolution veteran, is buried next to his wife, Nancy (Millar) Alley (1817-1893).

Generations of Alleys were buried at the Alley Cemetery, including Shelly Lee Alley (1894-1964), WWI veteran, famed bandleader, and founder of the “Alley Cats,” a country blues band. Shelly was inducted into the Western Swing Hall of Fame in 1994.

While named for the Alley Family, the first burials at the cemetery were those of Dr. John Millar (1787-1831) and his youngest children, William and Julia Millar. The Millars settled on the west bank of the Colorado River in 1831 and, shortly after, several succumbed to smallpox that same year and were buried at the cemetery.

Under these oak trees are the graves of generations of Texans, some predating the Texas Revolution. The Alley Cemetery remains as a testament to the people who helped shape the history and heritage of Colorado County since its settlement.

HISTORIC TEXAS CEMETERY – 2021

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
OUR LADY OF PERPETUAL HELP CEMETERY

This historic cemetery was established by Our Lady of Perpetual Help Church to meet the needs of the growing Mexican American New Braunfels population that increased in the late 1880s through the 1900s. In 1926, the church organized and, in 1931, the parish purchased land adjacent to Hidalgo Cemetery. The burial ground was enlarged and fenced in 1961.

The majority of burials are from the 1930s to the 1970s; however, the oldest headstone is from 1891, as the land was used for burials prior to the establishment of the cemetery. Many servicemen are buried here, along with business owners, farmers, grocers, housewives and laborers. Other burials include Francisca Chapa Sanchez (1872-1951), a well-known midwife in the area, Ruben Chapa (1924-2018), the first Hispanic quarterback at New Braunfels High School, and Gregorio Coronado (1920-1978), the first Hispanic attorney in New Braunfels.

Tombstones are written in Spanish and English and are made from a variety of materials, including concrete, fieldstone, limestone and wood. Mosaic tiles, ceramic photographs and grave decorations, such as conch shells and marbles pressed into concrete, are present and reflect old and new Hispanic traditions and strong Catholic faith. Frequently, miniature shrines decorate the graves with mementos representing the deceased.

The settlement of Mexican Americans in New Braunfels had a significant impact on the industrialization and economy of the area, as well as on art, music, culture and sports. Our Lady of Perpetual Help Cemetery is a reflection of the migration, history and lasting culture of the New Braunfels Mexican American community.

HISTORIC TEXAS CEMETERY – 2018

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
Active in many fields throughout his life, Dr. Edgar Ewell Ward was a doctor, a company president, a church trustee and more. He was born in Dallas on October 16, 1892 to Jacob and Betty (Norsingle) Ward. He showed great promise academically when he graduated from Booker T. Washington High School with high distinctions in 1911. Graduating from Meharry Medical College in Nashville four years later, Dr. Ward began his medical practice in El Paso in 1915. He returned to Dallas in 1920, becoming a medical director and board member of Excelsior Life Insurance Company in 1933. He was president of the company ten years later.

It was around this time that Dr. Ward began to donate much of his time to civic duties. He worked diligently to assist the Dallas African American community, and was given the title of Dallas’ Most Outstanding Citizen in 1946 and Most Outstanding Negro Leader in Texas in 1947. During this time, Dr. Ward became the director of the Texas branch of the NAACP (National Association for the Advancement of Colored People). He also became president of the Dallas Black Chamber of Commerce, a trustee of the New Hope Baptist Church and a member of Alpha Phi Alpha, the first African American Intercollegiate Greek-letter fraternity in the U.S. He and his wife, Pauline, opened their home at 3605 Oakland Ave. (at this location) to many special guests and visiting African Americans in the days of segregated accommodations.

Dr. Edgar Ward died in Dallas on September 27, 1950. His funeral at New Hope Baptist Church drew a large crowd of leaders and friends from throughout the nation. In his life, Dr. Ward contributed much to his community. His legacy of excellence and dedication improved the lives of many and led the way for future leaders.
Born in Casper, Wyoming, David G. Fox Jr. graduated from Highland Park High School and then attended Texas A&M University for a year and a half before serving as a navy pilot in World War II. After the war, Fox continued his education at Southern Methodist University, but left to start a career as a salesman for a fabric company.

In 1947, Fox’s father organized the Fox and Jacobs Construction Company with brothers Ike and Joe Jacobs, but sadly passed away in 1949. David Fox Jr. had no experience in the home building industry, but agreed to become partners with the Jacobs brothers. In the 1950s, the company committed to putting customers and employees first and also continued their education, studying new innovations in the industry. Their home designs were described as having a “California look with a Texas practicality,” with a target market of middle-class young buyers.

In 1973, Fox and Jacobs were looking for new markets, which led them to the undeveloped area north of Carrollton. Gradually, they were able to purchase more than 3,000 acres and, in 1974, an estimated 2,500 people visited the new homes at the unveiling of “The Colony.” The first families moved in that October, and Fox and Jacobs continued to help the new community secure basic services. They built, equipped and donated the fire station and added traffic lights, retail centers and parkland.

Fox was honored in 1983 as the founder of The Colony, and was named 1984 Dallasite of the year. After his retirement, he served as Dallas county judge and chaired many civic, philanthropic and political organizations. He is remembered as a leading figure in the home building industry of Texas.
ROARK-GRIFFITH POTTERY SITE

Stoneware production was a vital activity of the 19th century. A typical layout included clay pits, firing kilns and workshops. James M. Roark (1837-1901), a master potter from Missouri, apprenticed at John Cranston’s nearby kiln before partnering with J.A. Smith in 1871 to start a pottery works near Big Elm Creek. In 1884, Roark sold the business to M.B. Griffith (1834-1924) and his son, J.F. Griffith (1857-1937). Central to the works was a beehive-style brick kiln measuring 12 feet across. The pottery specialized in utilitarian pieces such as pitchers, bowls, preserve jars, crocks, pots and churns. The business closed around 1900. In 1982, the site was one of five Denton County kilns listed in the National Register of Historic Places.

(2021)

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
WILSON CEMETERY

Jeremiah H. Wilson, his wife, Elizabeth (Wilhite) and their three sons moved from Missouri to Texas in 1845, first settling in Collin County. Elizabeth’s untimely death in 1853 left Jeremiah to rear seven children. Later marrying Melinda Flowers, he moved his family to Denton County by 1867. Eldest son McKenzie J. Wilson and his wife, Lydia (Tutt) lived on an adjoining parcel. In 1892, McKenzie and Lydia designated two acres of their land as a cemetery, with L.N. Clark, W.L. Lanford and B.F. Hayden as trustees. The first burial was Mildred M. Wilson, Jeremiah and Melinda’s infant daughter. The deed dedicated a portion of the cemetery as public free burial grounds for military veterans. Wilson Cemetery is the final resting place for seven generations of the Wilson family along with other relatives and neighbors.

HISTORIC TEXAS CEMETERY – 2021
MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
BOWIE HIGH SCHOOL

Bowie High School is one of the oldest operating high schools in El Paso. Originally located in the Segundo Barrio neighborhood of South El Paso, the school would go on to primarily serve Mexican American students. Built in 1922 and named Bowie Grammar School, the campus became Bowie High School in 1927 to relieve overcrowding at El Paso High School. Principal Robert C. Jackson oversaw 695 students during Bowie’s first academic school year. In 1931, 1,059 students enrolled at Bowie High School. In 1939, a new school building was constructed adjacent to the original 1922 building. The two buildings created the Bowie Junior and Senior High School campus that spanned 25 acres with more than 1,200 students.

In 1949, Bowie High School won the first-ever class 2A high school baseball championship in Texas. In September 1964, President Lyndon B. Johnson (1908-1973) met with Mexican president Adolfo López Mateos (1910-1969) on the Bowie campus to sign the Chamizal Treaty that would resolve a border dispute along the Rio Grande. The school was soon relocated to the west side of Cordova Island due to the newly resolved national boundary. In spite of the relocation, Bowie High School continued to grow with 1,515 students attending the 1973 school year. When a new Bowie High School campus was developed, school trustees agreed to rename the existing school after Ambrosio Guillen, a Bowie alum who received the Medal of Honor for his actions during the Korean War. In 1989, the 1922 building was razed and replaced by the new administrative and resource center for Guillen Middle School. While the name and location of Bowie High School has changed, its mission of educating and empowering students continues.

(2020)

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
FAYETTE COUNTY POOR HOUSE AND FARM

The history of poor houses and farms dates back to English relief laws enacted in 1594 and expanded in 1601. This tradition of government-enacted charity continued in the American colonies and states. In 1840, two years after Fayette County was established, county commissioners appropriated funds to build a log building to be used as a poor house. However, in 1844, appropriations were cancelled and the county paid individuals directly to care for indigent residents. After the Civil War, the Texas Constitution directed counties to establish poor houses to care for the indigent and poor. It took until November 1877 for local plans to establish a poor house. The earliest description is of a one-story, eight-room house with garret, located two miles east of La Grange on Cedar Creek.

On May 24, 1888, the county purchased 24 acres from the Fayette County Stock and Fair Association. The new poor house and farm included a superintendent’s house, with additions of a building for African American residents, storage buildings and wells. Within the property, 1.18 acres were set aside for a cemetery for indigent residents, called the Paupers Cemetery or the Poor Farm Cemetery. Though the earliest headstone dates to 1900, there are likely earlier burials among the numerous unmarked graves. The cemetery is still in use for persons who pass away in the county with no other means of burial.

The Fayette County Poor Farm was ordered closed in January 1959. Though the buildings are gone, the site continues to be a reminder of early attempts to care for the indigent population of the area.

(2019)

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
SEALY AND MARY HUTCHINGS HOUSE

Galveston developed as the “Wall Street of the South” during the latter half of the 19th century. John Henry Hutchings became one of the most successful businessmen through a banking and commissions firm he co-owned. In 1896, Hutchings gave five lots of land south of the family estate to his son, Sealy H. Hutchings (1869-1936). A successful businessman himself, Sealy and his wife, Mary (Moody) (1870-1943), began building their home that same year.

Architect George B. Stowe established his architectural firm in Galveston during the 1890s. Born and educated on the island, he began working on a Queen Anne-style home with classical revival detailing for the Hutchings. The house plan featured an asymmetrical, rectangular block plan with a wooden frame. The exterior features semi-circular, octagonal and rectangular bays. A projecting pedimented portico connects the wraparound covered porches, creating an L-shape design along the north and east façade. A porte-cochere with tripled Tuscan columns holding up an above-ground porch sits along the west façade.

The aftermath of the great storm of 1900 led to the raising of the house from three to six feet on brick piers. In the 1920s, newly installed glass enclosed the second-story porch. Other additions later in the decade included an elevator and third-story attic space.

The Sealy and Mary Hutchings house was owned by the immediate family until 1943, and their descendants continued ownership for more than 50 years. The home represents the historical significance of the family during Galveston’s commercial growth. It is also an excellent example of the popular Queen Anne style of the period and the work of a prominent Galvestonian architect.

RECORDED TEXAS HISTORIC LANDMARK – 2021
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Embracing the national popularity of baseball, Longview formed an amateur team in April 1883, eventually named the Longview Cannibals. Robert A. McLain (1870-1941), a pitcher and outfielder from Georgia, helped elevate the team to semi-professional status. Later, McLain served Longview as a civic leader and business owner. In 1920, McLain and his second wife, Inez Orr, purchased this lot and house. In 1925 and again in 1937, they remodeled the 1-1/2 story craftsman style home. The single room on the upper story is reminiscent of the airplane bungalow style. The house remained in the McLain family until 1983.

RECORDED TEXAS HISTORIC LANDMARK – 2019
MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
Brothers Ferdinand Michael and Jacob August Dietz arrived in Texas during an immigration wave from Germany in the 1840s and bought 500 acres of the Genobeva Malpaz Survey in Guadalupe County for their farmstead. Another immigration wave, this time from Mexico, occurred in the late 1800s. Several families, including the Arizpe, del Toro and Bermea families, came to work on the Dietz farm. Many families had moved together from the village of Rosales (now Villa Union) in Coahuila. According to oral tradition, a cemetery was established when one of the farmworkers died and there was no place for her to be properly buried. Around the oak trees where the workers rested for lunch, Ferdinand marked off one acre of his farm for a burial ground. The cemetery on the north side of Cibolo Creek was first officially referenced in a 1908 deed.

The cemetery faces east, and the northeast section was originally set aside for infant burials. Many older markers appear hand-made or hand-etched; some include tile inlay or seashell decorations. English and Spanish inscriptions are present. The oldest marker is for Tomas Arizpe, who died in 1925, but there is evidence of earlier burials. In 1973, Ferdinand’s grandson, Ottomar “Pat” Dietz, deeded the cemetery to the Schertz-Cibolo Cemetery Association, and the group purchased two adjacent acres in 1982. While a flood in 1998 swept away most of the original wooden markers, volunteers were able to replace many of the tombstones. Descendants of those buried in the cemetery have gone on to pursue varied careers and opportunities, but they have remained connected to their ancestors and this site through their efforts to maintain the burial ground. The Schertz-Cibolo Cemetery serves as a reminder of the Hispanic farmworkers who helped Guadalupe County to grow and prosper.

HISTORIC TEXAS CEMETERY – 2021
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HULVER CEMETERY

The family of Charles Lee “C.L.” Sloan (1865-1932) and Ella Wright (Bell) Sloan (1869-1959) settled here in 1889. The Sloans and other members of the community, known as Hulver or Mt. Nebo, organized a Methodist church in 1891 with a sanctuary built on the Sloan property. Because Estelline was several miles away, people in the community began to bury their loved ones near the Hulver church. Many early burials were infants and children due to common diseases of the time. A number of Sloan family members originally buried in the Estelline Cemetery were reinterred here in the 1980s. Those buried in Hulver Cemetery include farmers, educators, veterans and business owners who shaped development of the region.

HISTORIC TEXAS CEMETERY – 2016
MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
In 1835, 26-year-old attorney William Barret “Buck” Travis (1809-1836) finalized application for his one and only Mexican land grant of more than 4,000 acres (one league of land). Attracted to available and affordable land, Travis left behind his pregnant wife and son in Alabama, and moved to Texas in 1831. As a lieutenant colonel in the Texas army, Travis was heavily involved in the beginnings of the Texas Revolution, perishing at the Alamo. Between April 1835 and his death in March 1836, William B. Travis had little time to develop his land. That was left to his two young children, Charles Edward (1829-1860) and Susan Isabella (1831-1868).

Charles Travis recognized the economic potential of the cypress trees on the property for making shingles and decided to divide the league between himself and his sister. In 1853, Charles bought Susan’s upper half and then sold his lower half in 1854 to Englishman John Charles Johnson (1821-1864) for a selling price of 200,000 shingles. Johnson then sold some of his land to his brothers-in-law, John M. Butler and Mathias Speed. Charles sold the upper half to Morgan C. Hamilton in 1854.

As the land was subdivided, more settlers moved to the Onion Creek area. Several landowners left to fight in the Civil War, including John Johnson, Mathias Speed, Elijah Rawls and his son, Richard Rawls. After the war, some returned, while others sold their holdings. Tennessee-born John Jacks (1837-1882) moved to a home overlooking Onion Creek in the late 1870s. Jacks was involved in the unsuccessful 1881 request to move the Hays County seat to a more central location on open land in the Travis League. A number of settlers of William B. Travis’ League went on to develop the area and contribute to its settlement, establishing businesses, schools and cemeteries.

(2020)

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
Recognized as the earliest Polish settlement in America, Panna Maria was established in 1854. Three waves of immigration from Upper Silesia (present-day Poland) to here populated the community, and settlers quickly constructed homes, the Immaculate Conception of the Blessed Virgin Mary Catholic Church (1855) and St. Joseph School (1868).

Built in the 1860s and overlooking the San Antonio River Valley, this house was constructed by Albert Czerner (1826-1919) and his wife Renata (Ibrom) Czerner (1828-1906). Albert and Renata emigrated in 1855 with their two young children, Johana and Hedwig. The Czerner family increased with seven additional children born in Panna Maria between 1857 and 1871. Like many families in the community, the Czerner family farmed the land and raised livestock. Thomas Kowalik (1851-1938) immigrated to Texas in 1856 and married Hedwig Czerner (1853-1888) in 1875. They purchased the house and land. Sadly, Hedwig died giving birth to their fourth child. Thomas never remarried, raised his four children in the house and continued living here until his death.

The Czerner-Kowalik house displays characteristics typical of rural Upper Silesian homes. The house is a simple, rectangular plan with thick masonry walls covered with white plaster and a steeply pitched gable roof and large porch, designed to provide shelter from seasonal weather changes. The house was designed with three rooms on the first floor, with the largest enclosed with heavy stone walls. The west wall features two back-to-back fireplaces that face the east and west rooms, and a loft lies under the gable roof with an opening on the east wall above the window. This historic house stands as a reminder of the rich Polish culture and heritage of Texas.
WREN CEMETERY

Named for former enslaved African American Alex Wren (1838-1924) and his family, this cemetery is the final resting place for members of the Wren Colony, a freedom colony near Boerne. Alex Wren, his parents and siblings were brought to Texas in the 1850s as slaves of Methodist Parson Daniel Rawls. After Emancipation, Alex Wren married Charlotte Manning (1848-1940). Sometime after 1867, they moved here onto a 160-acre parcel and began farming and ranching. Several other African American families obtained land adjoining the Wren property, forming a community known as the Wren Colony, or Streetville, after early resident, Richard Street (1843-1892).

As was typical of rural communities and of the segregated south, the African Americans of the Wren Colony buried family and friends at the family cemetery, on the Wren property. In 1924, after Alex’s death, Charlotte sold their land except for the two-acre cemetery which she deeded to the Boerne Colored Cemetery Association as a burial place for the community.

The earliest marked burial is that of Peter Wren (1865-1899), Alex and Charlotte’s firstborn child. However, there are handmade tombstones and footstones that may predate 1899. Grave markers range from elaborate polished granite to simple hand-carved stones, and some burials are only marked with an oval ring of limestone rocks. Three veterans are buried here, including John Warren (1847-1917), an African American soldier who fought with the Texas Cavalry during the Civil War. The Wren Cemetery and colony are a testament to the African Americans’ determination for freedom, commitment to family and legacy through the generations.
Double Mountain Salt Works
(on Brazos River)

On Indian-controlled land 125 miles beyond Fort Belknap and outer settlements. Northernmost business in Confederate Texas. Established at great risk, to obtain salt, scarce during Civil War and vitally needed to cure meat, season food, cure hides for leather, and feed work horses, army draft mules and cavalry horses. Texas Legislature appropriated funds for the explorations on the Brazos by a civil engineer. Double Mountain spring water proved to be 98% salt, a nearby lake 45%. Reduction of brine was under control of Texas Military Board. Troops guarded the work.

(1965)
On April 27, 1922, a group of 15 Paris businessmen joined together to form a state-chartered financial institution, the Paris Building and Loan Association. Its goal was to lend money to its members for building, improving and paying debts on homesteads. At a board meeting in May, the loan association approved its first loan to the Paris High School music teacher for construction of a new home. Two days later, the association began public operations in the First National Bank building. In 1925, it relocated to a ground-floor office on South Main Street. By this time, they financed more than 100 homes.

With the onset of the Great Depression, Congress took steps to help save the building and loan industry with the Home Owners’ Loan Act and the Home Owners’ Loan Corporation. In 1934, the association converted to the First Federal Savings and Loan of Paris, the first of its kind in the city. During World War II, First Federal began participating in the national war bond sales campaign and, after the war, committed to helping American servicemen through G.I. Bill of Rights home loans.

In 1963, First Federal made plans to construct a new office building on Clarksville Street, moving to their new building in 1966. The 1970s and 1980s were a productive time for the savings and loan, opening new branches in West Paris, Clarksville and Mount Pleasant. In 1997, they celebrated their 75th anniversary and established the First Federal Community Foundation, a source for contributions to worthwhile community projects. A year later, they changed their name to First Federal Community Bank, continuing to assist the community with economic development.

(2021)

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
In 1856, Joseph Leland Straley (1820-1921), his wife, Sarah Rebecca (Clark) Straley (1830-1912), and their three children traveled by covered wagon to the Adamsville area, where they settled near the Lampasas River. Joseph cut walnut trees from their land to build a house and the family quickly became part of the community. The Straleys donated land for the first school in the area, known as the Straley School, which doubled as the first organized Baptist congregation, Shiloh Baptist Church, now known as Adamsville Baptist Church. Sarah Straley served as the community’s doctor, traveling by horseback to patients, day and night. Some of her home remedies were passed down through the generations.

When the Straleys settled on this land, a few earlier graves already existed. As the community grew, the Straleys allowed friends and family members to continue burials on their property. In 1875, Straley Cemetery was officially established and grew to encompass more than 500 known gravesites, although some unmarked burials exist. Many Straley family members are buried here, including Joseph and Sarah. Headstone materials vary from concrete, fieldstone, granite and limestone and, according to a descendant, many were buried in homemade coffins. Most of the burials represent the agricultural community of Adamsville and the area’s farmers and ranchers, many from the mid to late nineteenth century. Over the years, family members of the deceased met annually to care for the graves and improve the grounds. In 1952, the Straley Cemetery Association formed to maintain the property, preserving the culture and heritage of the area.
1897 HOWE TRUSS TRAIN TRESTLE

In the mid-1800s, Jefferson was regarded as the steamboat entry port into Texas. When the Army Corps of Engineers cleared the Red River Raft, the water level near Jefferson fell and steamboats could no longer reach the town year-round. In 1873, Jefferson was first connected to the national rail network through the Texas and Pacific Railway.

In the 1890s, the Sherman, Shreveport and Southern Railway built tracks east from Jefferson to the Texas-Louisiana state line. This railway was later acquired by the Missouri-Kansas-Texas system. The first bridge over Big Cypress Bayou was a wooden trestle. In 1907, an iron bridge, built in 1897 by the Phoenix Bridge Company of Phoenixville, Pennsylvania, was installed here, allowing for commercial and private trains to cross high above the water. American architect and bridge builder William Howe (1803-1852) patented the “Howe truss bridge” in 1840. A truss bridge is a load-bearing structure of connected elements usually forming triangular units. Howe’s bridge is composed of diagonal structural beams that slope towards the center in compression with the vertical web members in tension. They are representative of common bridge types of the 20th century.

The bridge was decommissioned in 1992 when a newer bridge was built to the east. The Kansas City Southern Railway Company, which then owned the bridge, donated it to Marion County. As one of the last remaining iron Howe truss railroad bridges in the United States accessible for public view, it is no longer in use, but remains as a backdrop for the Port Jefferson History and Nature Center.

RECORDED TEXAS HISTORIC LANDMARK – 2019
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Texas Historical Commission staff (BB), 2/22/2022, ed (CS) 3/15/22
18” x 28” Historic Texas Cemetery Marker with post
Marion County (Job #21MR01) Subject (Atlas) UTM
Location: Jefferson, FM 1969

PYLAND AFRICAN AMERICAN CEMETERY

In 1862, John D. Pyland, who owned 500 acres in Tarrant County, swapped that land for 500 acres of William Johnson’s land in Marion County. On adjoining tracts of this agricultural property, he established Anglo and African American cemeteries. Many burials in this graveyard, also known as Pyland Cemetery #2, are of formerly enslaved people who worked on Pyland’s plantation. Others were later tenant farmers and sharecroppers who spurred the local economy in the late 1800s. The first recorded burial is for Annie Lou Gipson (1885-1889). More than 300 plots and grave depressions have been identified, with evidence of numerous unidentified burials. The cemetery remains a beloved site and a chronicle of the area’s heritage.

HISTORIC TEXAS CEMETERY – 2017
MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
THE MANSOLA ROAD OF NACOGDOCHES

El Salto Road, later known as the Mansola Road, acted as a conduit between the 1716 Spanish mission Nuestra Señora de Guadalupe de Nacogdoches and its rancho, El Salto, located about five miles southwest of Nacogdoches. This old trail was first used as a Native American trace which wandered through forests, ravines, creeks and hills, crossing the Angelina River and continuing on to the Neches and Trinity Rivers in three branches.

By 1799, the road led to a farmstead of a Spanish family, the Mansolas, and was renamed Mansola Road. Tomas Mansola (b.1768) and his wife, Maria Dorotea (Sarnac), lived along the Angelina River and operated a crossing known as Mansola Crossing. According to census records, the rancho consisted of log houses on both banks of the river, a field for corn and livestock. The Mansola Cemetery is located near this crossing on the north bank, where family members and some of the early settlers of the area are buried.

Despite the series of rebellions and uncertainty in the area from 1800 to 1820, the Mansolas were one of the few families who never retreated to Louisiana. Spanish troops frequented the road in their travel from El Salto to the military post on the Trinity River, monitoring illegal activity and patrolling the tenuous border between Spain and the United States. The Mansola Road continued to play a part in the transfer of goods, legal and illegal, and connected commerce and communication. Over time, the Mansola Road became known as the Spanish Bluff Road and is the precursor and general route of State Highway 7 West.

(2021)

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
FAIR PLAY BAPTIST CHURCH

Early area Baptist congregations formed at the home of Rev. Isaac Reed near Clayton in 1843 and at Old Macedonia in 1845. Organized at Allison Schoolhouse in Oct. 1869, Corinth Missionary Baptist Church was the first in Fair Play. After a dispute within the church over the use of funds for missions, Fair Play Baptist Church organized in Oct. 1904, with most of the ten charter members coming from Corinth. In 1909, the church ordained D.H. Austin and S.T. Allison as its first two deacons, and organized a Baptist Sunday School and Women’s Missionary Union. The congregation built a frame church in 1911 and dedicated a brick sanctuary in Oct. 1959. For more than a century, the church has been a spiritual beacon in the community.

(2021)
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FAIRGROUND CEMETERY

The Red River County Agricultural and Mechanical Association organized a county fair in 1856, with the fairgrounds west of the Clarksville city limits. After the fair was held at this site for many years, a portion of the property was sold for use as a cemetery for African Americans. An 1890 deed, recorded in 1895, conveyed two acres for the sum of fifty dollars, with David Rainey deeding the site to cemetery trustees Jordon (Jordan) Perry, Paul Reeves and Frank Latimer. All three original trustees are believed to be buried here, though their graves are unknown. Fairground Cemetery, named for the earlier land use, is one of the oldest burial grounds in Clarksville.

The earliest marked burial is for Jeff Toton, who died in May 1889. The original two acre cemetery was enlarged in subsequent years through acquisition of adjoining land. More than 700 burials have been inventoried, representing many generations of citizens. Surnames include Bell, Childers, Childress, Coleman, Dickerson, Dillard, Heard, Hurd, Latimer, Lee, McCulloh, Perry, Ricks and Scales. Historic gravestone materials include concrete, fieldstone, granite, wood, and metal for funeral company markers. Some gravestones include ceramic photographs. Wrought iron and woven wire fences, and cut stone and concrete curbing surround several individual and family plots. Many descendants of those buried here live in the area today. Still in use, Fairground Cemetery is a cherished record of the heritage and memories of the community.

HISTORIC TEXAS CEMETERY – 2018
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FIRST BAPTIST CHURCH

In the 1880s, settlers interested in establishing schools, churches and businesses began to populate the area. On March 22, 1890, just after the town of Winters received its name, eighteen individuals met in the one-room schoolhouse to organize the first church in the community, named Bethel Baptist Church. The first pastor was J.W. George and the congregation continued to meet in the schoolhouse.

In August 1903, the church met and voted to change the name to Winters Baptist Church of Christ. That same year, they decided to locate a church site. The dedication ceremony for their new church, located on West Pierce Street, was celebrated in May 1906. From 1906 to 1918, the church grew in numbers and established committees and programs, including a women’s missionary union, and began construction on a new church home on Truett Avenue. By 1918, the name evolved to First Baptist Church.

During World War II, the church had several members serve and give their lives for the cause. After the war, the congregation bought acreage on Lake Brownwood and eventually built a cabin at the site, later donating it to the Heart of Texas Encampment. The church campus also expanded during the 1950s with an educational building and a new sanctuary.

Over the years, the congregation promoted missions and new churches all over the world. Within the community of Winters, the church sponsored many outreach programs, including a food pantry, Thanksgiving meals, bible studies, youth activities and meetings with other community church leaders through the Winters Ministerial Alliance.

(2021)
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The use of the word “loyal” wrongly suggests that enslaved people were content with their subservient place.
BROKEN SPOKE

In 1964, Austin native James M. White (1939-2021) opened a new dance hall and restaurant south of the city limits at a former lumberyard on South Lamar. It was designed in the style of a traditional honky tonk, and patrons danced to jukebox records and live bands on weekends. The Broken Spoke was so popular that White enlarged the facility with a dance hall and stage. He hired local bands from all over the Austin area, and soon country music legends like Bob Wills, Ernest Tubb, Tex Ritter and Kitty Wells played here. Performances by continuing generations of musicians and appearances in movies, songs, music videos and travel articles helped to make the Broken Spoke a cultural treasure.

(2021)
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THE CHILDREN’S HAVEN ASSOCIATION

Forty leaders of segregated East Austin organized The Children’s Haven Association (TCHA) on Dec. 4, 1946, to provide a home and services for disadvantaged African American youth. After operating out of the historic Gov. A.J. Hamilton House on Nile Street, in 1955, TCHA moved to this location on Chicon Street. In the 1960s, TCHA opened daycare and night care programs for children, assisting many families. TCHA incorporated in 1967 as a nonprofit. Its programming provided a home for the Rosewood Medical Clinic in 1972 and a food pantry in 1978. Over the years, President Alfred Dotson Sr. and legacy board members Beulah J. Goins, T.C. Calhoun, U.V. Christian, J.B. Clark, Virgil C. Lott Sr. and other notable Austinites served the East Austin community through TCHA work.

(2020)

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
WILLIE WELLS HOUSE

Located in South Austin’s Brackenridge Community, W. B. Loveless built this side-gabled frame house in 1910. The house, originally built with two rooms and a central passage, features board and batten siding, 4/4 wood sash windows, cornice returns, shiplap walls and ceilings, long leaf pine floors and a two-room rear addition dating from 1929. The house represents the typical lifestyle of African Americans in segregated neighborhoods. In 1912, Cisco Wells, a widowed mother of five, bought the house. It was here that her son, baseball hall of famer Willie Wells (1906-1989), learned to play his sport. He called this home throughout his life.

RECORDED TEXAS HISTORIC LANDMARK – 2021
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YELLOW JACKET STADIUM

At the turn of the 20th century, African Americans in Austin had few places to play or watch sports. At Samuel Huston College, fans could watch the Dragons play football and the Austin Black Senators play baseball. When I.C. Anderson High School’s Yellow Jackets started playing football and baseball, they used Samuel Huston’s Downs Field, about a mile from school. Rosewood Park opened in 1930 and Anderson used fields there for baseball and marching band practice. The Austin Independent School District (ISD) acquired Downs Field for Anderson High School, dedicating it in Oct. 1939 with bleachers for 1,100 fans and lights for night games. Beginning in 1934, the high school marching band won seven competitions through the Prairie View Interscholastic League (PVIL). Under Coach William Pigford, the football team won the school's first state championship in 1942 at Downs Field.

In 1953, Austin public schools built a new Anderson High School on a site off Rosewood Avenue. A new Yellow Jacket stadium was situated below the school building with steps leading to the field, which featured a field house, bleachers, concession stand and lights. From 1956 to 1961, Coach Raymond Timmons led the football team to 65 wins in 77 games, including three state titles, in 1956, 1957 and 1961. Anderson's track athletes were the first black students invited to the Texas relays at the University of Texas. The stadium was the scene of many athletic achievements and much community pride. As part of continuing desegregation efforts, the Austin ISD closed Anderson High School in 1971. When Austin Community College used the campus in the 1980s, they paved over the field for a parking lot and removed the bleachers. In the 1990s, a former student and football player restored the field, adding a new track and facilities for what again became a focus for community and youth activities.

(2020)
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The Gibson Family was among the early settlers of Trinity County, with George Gibson settling in Sumpter in 1857 and later marrying Elzirah Ann White. They and their family moved to the new railroad and lumber center of Trinity Station in 1872. George and Elzirah’s son, James Benjamin (Ben) Gibson Sr. (1863-1937), was a land agent for John Martin Thompson’s lumber company, and later county surveyor and entrepreneur. In 1906, he married Jessie Barnes Clegg (1883-1948); the couple had four children. When a Feb. 1909 fire destroyed the Holland House Hotel, Ben purchased ten town lots at the site, facing the train depot. He commissioned a two-story, u-shaped, red brick hotel, completed later in 1909, with the main entrance facing front street (later Gibson and then Railroad Street).

The Gibson Hotel boasted 40 guest rooms, plus sample rooms where salesmen displayed their wares. A center courtyard included the kitchen. The lobby had a high ceiling and a grand double staircase. The grand dining room was a popular destination for business and train passengers. Most second-floor guest rooms had windows facing front or main streets, and those without windows were outfitted with ceiling fans and gas heaters, as well as screen doors for ventilation. Most rooms included a private bath, and communal baths were also available. The hotel leased first-floor space to other businesses including the Mansell and Cochran Pharmacies, but ownership remained with the Gibson family. By 1970, maintenance on the building became a challenge and it was sold to the First National Bank of Trinity. The hotel was demolished in 1980. For decades, Gibson Hotel was a commercial and social hub and a symbol of progress and prosperity.

(2021)
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Located in north central Upshur County, the Concord Cemetery is part of the historic Concord community established after the Civil War. The cemetery grew around the Concord Missionary Baptist Church, which was established in the late 1850s. In 1859, the church petitioned the county for five acres promised to them by the late Mr. Richard W. Newsom. In 1880, another tract was granted to the church by the Waller Family.

By the 1930s, the community had two schools, a store, a sawmill and a number of farms and homes. Until the decades following World War II, the Concord community was populated predominantly by farmers, tradespeople, professionals and public servants. By the 1980s, however, the only remaining vestiges of the community were the church and cemetery.

The burials in the Concord Cemetery represent the community’s history over the years and into the present. The earliest known burial is that of Mrs. J.A. Stracener, who died in 1867 at the age of 29. There may have been earlier burials, however, as there are unmarked and illegible headstones and pieces of iron ore. Also buried here is Republic of Texas citizen Thomas Jefferson Anderson (1810-1879), his wife Margaret Ann (Irvin) Anderson (1815-1887) and their daughter Sarah Adaline (Anderson) Aills (1844-1922).

Among the more than 500 burials, a sizeable number are veterans who served in the Civil War, World War I, World War II, Korean War and Vietnam War, from varied branches of the military. Despite the population decline of the Concord community, the church and cemetery remain as a reminder of the contributions of its citizens and the heritage of Upshur County.
HENRY “RAGTIME TEXAS” THOMAS

During the post-Reconstruction period in the South, many African Americans moved in search of better economic opportunities. Some became traveling musicians or itinerant songsters who performed a variety of genres on street corners to passing audiences. One such performer was Henry “Ragtime Texas” Thomas. He was born in 1874 to sharecroppers on a farm in Big Sandy. His hometown, originally referred to as Big Sandy Switch, originated along the Texas & Pacific Railroad. Thomas used the railroad to escape the life of farm work around 1890 to begin his musical career.

Thomas rode along the Texas & Pacific and Missouri-Kansas-Texas (Katy) rail lines and made a living singing and playing the quills, a type of American panpipe. He later taught himself guitar and could play both instruments simultaneously. His song tempos often mimicked the beat and sound of a train moving along a track, while quills could imitate a train whistle, reflecting the railroad’s influence in his music. His musical pacing reflected dance hall tunes of the period, earning Thomas the nickname “Ragtime Texas” from listeners who heard similarities with ragtime music in his performances.

From 1927 to 1929, Thomas recorded 23 songs for Vocalion Records. Drawing from his travels, Thomas incorporated the train itinerary and the vagabond lifestyle in songs like “Railroadin’ Some” and “When the Train Comes Along.” Other songs, like “Cottonfield Blues” and “Fishing Blues,” provided a compelling bridge between the African American songster styles of the 19th century to the development of the blues genre early in the 20th century. Details of Thomas’ later career and life remain a mystery, but his influence on music and bands has become his legacy.

(2018)
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TABLE TOP MOUNTAIN

Located in southwestern Upton County, Table Top Mountain is a round, flat-topped mesa with an elevation of over 2,800 feet and is part of an uplift in the Permian Basin. The limestone-topped buttes and mesas in this area are remnants of rock that covered the county 115 million years ago, which has now been mostly eroded. In this once prehistoric sea, geological surveys uncovered Cambrian-like fossils, ranging in age from 270 million years ago to 60 million years, with flower-like forms and gastropods, in addition to rock shelters. The mountain was used as a landmark for Native American and European travelers for centuries and is a significant part of Upton County’s history.

(1967, 2021)
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NEWGULF CAMPO SANTO

The Texas Gulf Sulphur Company began mining operations in Wharton County in 1927. The town which developed around the mine came to be known as New Gulf (or Newgulf), distinguishing it from the company’s mine already in operation at Gulf in Matagorda County, which was afterwards referred to as “Old Gulf.” The New Gulf Mine made its first shipment in 1929 and was eventually recognized as the largest sulphur-producing mine in the world. The company town of Newgulf had as many as 1,500 residents and was segregated along racial and ethnic lines, with Anglo, Hispanic and African American housing and other separate facilities, including schools, stores, scout troops and fishing piers at the company reservoir.

The company provided a one-acre plot on a gravel road to serve as a Mexican American cemetery known as “Campo Santo.” According to county records, family documents and oral histories, 118 individuals of Mexican descent are interred here. The first burial was for two-day-old Ismael Ramirez in March 1927. A number of graves were marked only by funeral homes’ temporary metal stakes, long-since eroded. There was no formal layout, but eventually eleven rows of graves materialized. There has never been a formal cemetery association. Rather, individual residents and family members have always assumed responsibility for grounds maintenance. At times threatened by long-term neglect and proposed redevelopment, since 2007, Campo Santo has been lovingly restored by a group of descendants and former residents. Campo Santo continues as a proud and beloved icon of family and cultural heritage.

HISTORIC TEXAS CEMETERY – 2019

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
OLD JERUSALEM CEMETERY

In the 1800s near Peach Creek, a community developed named Spanish Camp, in memory of the site of the Mexican Army’s encampment following the Battle of San Jacinto in 1836. After the Civil War, a settlement of emancipated African Americans developed along Peach Creek, and landowner Raymond Cloud provided land for Jerusalem Baptist Church and an associated cemetery on 4 1/2 acres. An additional half-acre was given for the establishment of a free school. In 1888, members of the church purchased the land was purchased from General Mitchell. Early church members included Ike McKinney, William Thomas and Lewis Smith. Sandy Sanford was pastor and moderator and Ike McKinney was the church clerk. Due to the periodic flooding of Peach Creek, first deacons James King, Abel Moses, Joel Sanford, Willie Sanford and Louis Smith moved the church and bell in 1924 to a new location nearby on the Egypt-Hungerford Road (FM 1161).

Few records remain of the church and surrounding community, and much of the knowledge of Old Jerusalem Cemetery comes from first-hand accounts and family traditions. Former slaves buried here include Armelia Mack (1828-1900), America Mack (1827-?), Leah Mack Moses (1843-1925), Sandy Sanford (1837-1931), and his wife. Other family names include Brown, Carter, Cash, Edwards, Garrett, Graves, Holoman, Jefferson, Jones, King, Lane, McDowell, Myers, Norman, Owens, Thomas, Ware, Williams and West. Though the cemetery is now inaccessible on private property and not as well known by the public, descendants of the original church and community members still regard it as a place of much pride and cultural heritage.

HISTORIC TEXAS CEMETERY – 2016

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
BILL MACK

Bill Mack, better known as “The Midnight Cowboy,” was born June 4, 1929, in Shamrock, Texas. A radio host and personality for a late-night country music radio program as well as an accomplished country music songwriter, Bill Mack got his start in the industry in January 1949 at his hometown radio station KEVA in Shamrock.

Mack spent the early years of his career in Amarillo, Wichita Falls, and Lubbock before settling in Fort Worth. On March 2, 1969, Mack first hosted the midnight to 5 AM program at WBAP Radio where he earned the moniker “The Midnight Cowboy.” His program reached the majority of the continental United States first as The Country Road Show, then US 1 Trucking Show, and eventually Midnight Cowboy Trucking Show.

The show was popular with long-haul truckers who would listen as they traveled America’s highways late into the night. Mack was inducted into the Country Music Hall of Fame in 1999 for his work as “The Midnight Cowboy,” a program he hosted for more than 30 years.

Bill Mack was also an accomplished country western songwriter. He is credited as a songwriter on a total of more than 85 albums and singles. His most popular songs were “Drinking Champagne,” which earned a platinum record and BMI Million Air Club membership for over a million radio plays; and “Blue,” which won a Grammy for Country Song of the Year in 1997.

On July 31, 2020, bill Mack passed away in Dallas. From 1969 to the 2000s, Bill Mack brought music to countless late-night travelers and his music brought joy into radios across the country.

(2021)

MARKER IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
Consider adoption of proposed new rule to the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 11, Subchapter A, Section 11.25 related to the Family Leave Pool, without changes as published in the February 25, 2022, issue of the Texas Register (47 TexReg 845-846)

Background:

The Texas Historical Commission proposes adoption of a new rule to Chapter 11 of the Texas Administrative Code, Part 2, Section 11.25 related to the state employee family leave pool to comply with Texas Government Code requirements for rulemaking. The Commission has been in compliance with the requirements of the related statute but has not formally adopted rules. The Human Resources policy has been established in the Personnel Manual.

No comments were received following the posting of the proposed rule. The final publication in the Texas Register will take place after adoption by the Commission.

Recommended motion (Committee):

Move to send forward to the Commission and recommend adoption of the new rule to Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 11, section 11.25 related to the State Employee Family Leave Pool, without changes as published in the February 25, 2022, issue of the Texas Register (47 TexReg 845-846)

Recommended motion (Commission):

Move to adopt the new rule to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 11, section 11.25 related to the State Employee Family Leave Pool, without changes as published in the February 25, 2022, issue of the Texas Register (47 TexReg 845-846)
Texas Administrative Code  
Title 13  Cultural Resources  
Part 2  Texas Historical Commission  
Chapter 11  Administration Department  
Subchapter A Administration  
Rule §11.25  State Employee Family Leave Pool

ADOPTION PREAMBLE

The Texas Historical Commission (Commission) adopts new rule Sec. 11.25, related to State Employee Family Leave Pool within Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 11 of the Texas Administrative Code.

Rule 11.25 creates a process for State Employee Family Leave Pool

PUBLIC COMMENT

No comments pertaining to this new rule were received during the thirty-day period following publication on February 25, 2022, in the Texas Register.

The new rule is adopted under the authority of the Texas Government Code Sec. 442.005(q), which provides the Commission with the authority to promulgate rules to reasonably effect the purposes of the Commission. The Commission hereby certifies that the section as adopted has been reviewed by legal counsel and found to be a valid exercise of the agency's authority.

The new rule to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 26.3 is adopted as appears below:
TITLE 13  CULTURAL RESOURCES
PART 2  TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION
CHAPTER 11  ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT
SUBCHAPTER A  ADMINISTRATION
SECTION 11.25  State Employee Family Leave Pool

A state employee family leave pool is established to provide eligible employees more flexibility in bonding and caring for children during a child's first year following birth, adoption, or foster placement, and caring for a seriously ill family member or the employee, including pandemic-related illnesses or complications caused by a pandemic.

(1) The commission's Executive Director shall designate a pool administrator.

(2) The pool administrator will recommend a policy, operating procedures, and forms for the administration of this section for approval by the Executive Director.


(4) Adoption of this rule is required of state agencies by Texas Government Code §661.022.
TAB 6.5 A
Consider approval of State Antiquities Landmark Nominations for 9 archeological sites (41BS477, 41PS48, PS50, PS117, PS120, PS124, PS139, PS322, PS800) located in the Big Bend Ranch State Park, Brewster and Presidio, Counties

**Background**
The following nine publicly owned archeological site resources were recommended for designation to State Antiquities Landmark status at the February 2nd, 2022, Antiquities Advisory Board meeting. Proper notice has been given to Texas Parks and Wildlife, the land-owning organization of the proposed nominated sites of the State Antiquities Landmark designation process. The motion is presented below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>41BS477, Big Bend Ranch State Park</td>
<td>Brewster County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.</td>
<td>41PS48, Big Bend Ranch State Park</td>
<td>Presidio County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.</td>
<td>41PS50 (Fowlkes Hill Site), Big Bend Ranch State Park</td>
<td>Presidio County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.</td>
<td>41PS117, Big Bend Ranch State Park</td>
<td>Presidio County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.</td>
<td>41PS120, Big Bend Ranch State Park</td>
<td>Presidio County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.</td>
<td>41PS124, Big Bend Ranch State Park</td>
<td>Presidio County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.</td>
<td>41PS139, Big Bend Ranch State Park</td>
<td>Presidio County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.</td>
<td>41PS322, Big Bend Ranch State Park</td>
<td>Presidio County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.</td>
<td>41PS800 (Arroyo de La Presa), Big Bend Ranch State Park</td>
<td>Presidio County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Suggested Motion:**
Move that the Commission approve the SAL nominations of: 41BS477, 41PS48, 50, 117, 120, 124, 139, 322, and 800, Big Bend Ranch State Park, Brewster and Presidio counties, owned by Texas Parks and Wildlife.
TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION

STATE ANTIQUITIES LANDMARK NOMINATION FORM

1. Property Name

Name of Property or Archeological Site/Trinomial: 41BS477
Address: Big Bend Ranch State Park
City: Lajitas
County: Brewster

2. Ownership (check all that apply)

☐ Public
☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner: Park Archeologist)
☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

☐ Private
☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner)
☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

3. Property Type & Significance (check all that apply)

☐ Archeological
☐ Historic
☐ Prehistoric

Criteria for Archeological Sites (check all that apply)
☐ The site has the potential to contribute to a better understanding of the prehistory and/or history of Texas by the addition of new and important information;
☐ The site's archeological deposits and the artifacts within the site are preserved and intact, thereby supporting the research potential or preservation interests of the site;
☐ The site possesses unique or rare attributes concerning Texas prehistory and/or history;
☐ The study of the site offers the opportunity to test theories and methods of preservation, thereby contributing to new scientific knowledge; and
☐ There is a high likelihood that vandalism and relic collecting has occurred or could occur, and official landmark designation is needed to ensure maximum legal protection, or alternatively, further investigations are needed to mitigate the effects of vandalism and relic collecting when the site cannot be protected.

☐ Shipwreck

Criterion for Shipwrecks:
☐ The shipwreck is located on land owned or controlled by the State of Texas or one of its political subdivisions; the shipwreck is pre-twentieth century or is otherwise historically significant and is 50 years old or older in age; the remains consist of a shipwreck sunken, abandoned, or a wreck of the sea, or are represented by the ship's remains and/or contents or related embedded treasure.

☐ Cache / Collection

Criteria for Caches / Collections (check all that apply)
☐ The cache or collection was assembled with public funds or taken from public lands;
☐ The preservation of materials is adequate to allow the application of standard archeological or conservation techniques;
☐ The cache or collection is of research value, thereby contributing to scientific knowledge; or
☐ The cache or collection is of historic value or contributes to a theme.

Continued on next page
State Antiquities Landmark Form
Page 2 of 4

- Buildings, structures, objects, districts, and non-archeological sites (check all that apply)
  - Building (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
    - Individually listed
    - Contributes to significance of a listed district
  - Structure (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
    - Individually listed
    - Contributes to significance of a listed district
  - Site
  - Object
  - District (must be listed in the National Register of Historic Places if buildings or structures are included)

Criteria for buildings, structures, non-archeological sites, objects (check all that apply):
- The property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history, including importance to a particular cultural or ethnic group;
- The property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
- The property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, represents the work of a master, possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction;
- The property has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in Texas culture or history;

4. Geographic Data

Archeological properties (including shipwrecks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UTM Zone</th>
<th>NAD datum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

- NE Corner
- SE Corner
- SW Corner
- NW Corner
- Site Centroid

- USGS quad name and number
- Acres of nominated property: 0.84 acre
- Attach USGS map with boundary and UTM coordinates or shapefiles

Description of Site

Location: [Blank]

Site Type and Cultural Affiliation: Burned rock midden/rock corral; Late Archaic, Late Prehistoric, Modern (1901-present)

Buildings/Structures, or Districts with Buildings/Structures
- Attach scale map with boundary (survey map preferred)
- Attach deed or legal description. Indicate here if:
  - Deed
  - Metes and bounds
  - Block & Lot description with plat map
  - Survey map
  - Written boundary description (with reference to landmarks, property boundaries, and/or other fixed points)
  - Indicate if boundary is the same as in the National Register nomination
5. Application Preparer

Name  Tim Gibbs
Address  21800 FM 170
City  Terlingua
County  Brewster
State  TX
Telephone#  432-424-3327
Email Address  tim.gibbs@tpwd.texas.gov
Nominator’s Signature  
Date  12/13/2021

6. Property Owner

Name  Texas Parks and Wildlife Department
Address  4200 Smith School Road
City  Austin
County  Travis
State  TX
Telephone#  512-389-4736
Email Address  michael.strutt@tpwd.texas.gov

☐ Additional owner information is attached.

7. Acknowledgments by Private Property Owners

I, ____________________________________________, as owner of this property, understand that if this site is accepted and entered into the Commission’s records as a State Antiquities Landmark, it will thereafter be protected by, and its use governed by, the Antiquities Code of Texas insofar as provided in that Code. Furthermore, I understand that if the site is designated as a State Antiquities Landmark, a “Notice of Designation as a State Antiquities Landmark,” will be recorded in the deed records in the county in which the property is located. Furthermore, if the nominated property is building or structure, I understand that I must purchase a State Antiquities Landmark medallion. Furthermore, I understand that, in accordance with Section 191.097 of the Antiquities Code of Texas, the Commission may remove the designation of State Antiquities Landmark from the site if it is determined that such designation is no longer warranted. Furthermore, I swear that I am the owner of the parcel of land nominated for designation as a State Antiquities Landmark, or have consent of a legal authority to make this nomination, subject to penalty of law as provided by Texas Penal Code, Sec. 37.10.

Owner’s Signature: ____________________________________________ Date ______________

• Each private property owner must sign a copy of the nomination.

8. Nomination by Third Party Applicant of Properties owned by Cities and Counties

Any private individual or private group that desires to nominate a property owned by a political subdivision as a landmark must complete and return to the commission a nomination form, and must give notice of the nomination at the individual’s or group’s own expense, in a newspaper of general circulation published in the city, town, or county in which the building, structure or site is located. If no newspaper of general circulation is published in the city, town, or county, the notice must be published in a newspaper of general circulation in an adjoining or neighboring county that is circulated in the county of the applicant’s residence.

• The notice must be printed in 12-point boldface type; include the exact location of the building or site; and include the name of the group or individual nominating the building or site.
• An original copy of the notice and an affidavit of publication signed by the newspaper’s publisher must be submitted to the commission with a nomination form.

☐ I have complied with this requirement (attach proof of publication)
9. Attachments (indicate which items are included in application)

- Current photographs, sufficient for THC staff to confirm the property’s eligibility (digital files not accepted in lieu of prints)
- Maps
- Deed
- Proof of Publication
- Fiscal Impact Statement (Optional. For a building or structure owned by a political subdivision, the nomination may be accompanied by a statement assessing fiscal impacts of the potential designation on the political subdivision. The political subdivision may also supply a fiscal impact statement to be considered by the Commission).
- National Register form (to be attached by THC staff)
- Archeological site data form
  - Other supporting documentation (briefly describe) Written site summary

10. Evaluation by THC Staff (for buildings and structures only)

- Building/Structure is listed in the National Register of Historic Places
  - Individually listed
  - District (nominated in its entirety as an SAL)
  - Contributes to significance of a listed district

  Name of District

  Certified by Date

11. Evaluation by THC Executive Director

- The nomination is complete and acceptable.
- The property retains integrity at the time of the nomination and is eligible for designation.

  Signature: [Signature]

  Date: 01/18/2022
TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION

STATE ANTIQUITIES LANDMARK NOMINATION FORM

1. Property Name

Name of Property or Archeological Site/Trinomial 41PS48 (41PS144)
Address Big Bend Ranch State Park
City Presidio County Presidio

2. Ownership (check all that apply)

☐ Public
☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner __________ )
☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission
☐ Private
☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner __________ )
☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

3. Property Type & Significance (check all that apply)

☐ Archeological
☐ Historic
☐ Prehistoric

Criteria for Archeological Sites (check all that apply)
☐ The site has the potential to contribute to a better understanding of the prehistory and/or history of Texas by the addition of new and important information;
☐ The site's archeological deposits and the artifacts within the site are preserved and intact, thereby supporting the research potential or preservation interests of the site;
☐ The site possesses unique or rare attributes concerning Texas prehistory and/or history;
☐ The study of the site offers the opportunity to test theories and methods of preservation, thereby contributing to new scientific knowledge; and
☐ There is a high likelihood that vandalism and relic collecting has occurred or could occur, and official landmark designation is needed to ensure maximum legal protection, or alternatively, further investigations are needed to mitigate the effects of vandalism and relic collecting when the site cannot be protected.

☐ Shipwreck
Criterion for Shipwrecks:
☐ The shipwreck is located on land owned or controlled by the State of Texas or one of its political subdivisions; the shipwreck is pre-twentieth century or is otherwise historically significant and is 50 years old or older in age; the remains consist of a shipwreck sunken, abandoned, or a wreck of the sea, or are represented by the ship's remains and/or contents or related embedded treasure.

☐ Cache / Collection
Criteria for Caches / Collections (check all that apply)
☐ The cache or collection was assembled with public funds or taken from public lands;
☐ The preservation of materials is adequate to allow the application of standard archeological or conservation techniques;
☐ The cache or collection is of research value, thereby contributing to scientific knowledge; or
☐ The cache or collection is of historic value or contributes to a theme.

Continued on next page
☐ Buildings, structures, objects, districts, and non-archeological sites (check all that apply)
  ☐ Building (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
    ☐ Individually listed
    ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district
  ☐ Structure (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
    ☐ Individually listed
    ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district
  ☐ Site
  ☐ Object
  ☐ District (must be listed in the National Register of Historic Places if buildings or structures are included)

Criteria for buildings, structures, non-archeological sites, objects (check all that apply):
  ☐ The property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history, including importance to a particular cultural or ethnic group;
  ☐ The property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
  ☐ The property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, represents the work of a master, possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction;
  ☐ The property has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in Texas culture or history;

4. Geographic Data

Archeological properties (including shipwrecks)

UTM Zone ☐ NAD datum ☐

NE Corner Easting ☐ Northing ☐
SE Corner Easting ☐ Northing ☐
SW Corner Easting ☐ Northing ☐
NW Corner Easting ☐ Northing ☐
Site Centroid Easting ☐ Northing ☐

- USGS quad name and number ☐
- Acreage of nominated property ☐
- Attach USGS map with boundary and UTM coordinates or shapefiles

Description of Site

Location: Burned rock midden and open campsite of unknown prehistoric age, and an early 20th century mine camp

Site Type and Cultural Affiliation:

Buildings/Structures, or Districts with Buildings/Structures
- Attach scale map with boundary (survey map preferred)
- Attach deed or legal description. Indicate here if:
  ☐ Deed
  ☐ Metes and bounds
  ☐ Block & Lot description with plat map
  ☐ Survey map
  ☐ Written boundary description (with reference to landmarks, property boundaries, and/or other fixed points)
  ☐ Indicate if boundary is the same as in the National Register nomination
5. Application Preparer

Name: Tim Gibbs
Address: 21800 FM 170
City: Terlingua
County: Brewster
State: TX
Telephone: 432-424-3327
Email Address: tim.gibbs@tpwd.texas.gov
Nominator’s Signature: [Signature]
Date: 12/13/2021

6. Property Owner

Name: Texas Parks and Wildlife Department
Address: 4200 Smith School Road
City: Austin
County: Travis
State: TX
Telephone: 512-389-4736
Email Address: michael.strutt@tpwd.texas.gov

☐ Additional owner information is attached.

7. Acknowledgments by Private Property Owners

I, ____________________________, as owner of this property, understand that if this site is accepted and entered into the Commission’s records as a State Antiquities Landmark, it will thereafter be protected by, and its use governed by, the Antiquities Code of Texas insofar as provided in that Code. Furthermore, I understand that if the site is designated as a State Antiquities Landmark, a “Notice of Designation as a State Antiquities Landmark,” will be recorded in the deed records in the county in which the property is located. Furthermore, if the nominated property is building or structure, I understand that I must purchase a State Antiquities Landmark medallion. Furthermore, I understand that, in accordance with Section 191.097 of the Antiquities Code of Texas, the Commission may remove the designation of State Antiquities Landmark from the site if it is determined that such designation is no longer warranted. Furthermore, I swear that I am the owner of the parcel of land nominated for designation as a State Antiquities Landmark, or have consent of a legal authority to make this nomination, subject to penalty of law as provided by Texas Penal Code, Sec. 37.10.

Owner’s Signature: ____________________________ Date ____________________________

• Each private property owner must sign a copy of the nomination.

8. Nomination by Third Party Applicant of Properties owned by Cities and Counties

Any private individual or private group that desires to nominate a property owned by a political subdivision as a landmark must complete and return to the commission a nomination form, and must give notice of the nomination at the individual’s or group’s own expense, in a newspaper of general circulation published in the city, town, or county in which the building, structure or site is located. If no newspaper of general circulation is published in the city, town, or county, the notice must be published in a newspaper of general circulation in an adjoining or neighboring county that is circulated in the county of the applicant’s residence.

• The notice must be printed in 12-point boldface type; include the exact location of the building or site; and include the name of the group or individual nominating the building or site.

• An original copy of the notice and an affidavit of publication signed by the newspaper’s publisher must be submitted to the commission with a nomination form.

☐ I have complied with this requirement (attach proof of publication)
9. Attachments (indicate which items are included in application)

- Current photographs, sufficient for THC staff to confirm the property’s eligibility (digital files not accepted in lieu of prints)
- Maps
- Deed
- Proof of Publication
- Fiscal Impact Statement (Optional. For a building or structure owned by a political subdivision, the nomination may be accompanied by a statement assessing fiscal impacts of the potential designation on the political subdivision. The political subdivision may also supply a fiscal impact statement to be considered by the Commission).
- National Register form (to be attached by THC staff)
- Archeological site data form
  - Other supporting documentation (briefly describe) Written site summary

10. Evaluation by THC Staff (for buildings and structures only)

☐ Building/Structure is listed in the National Register of Historic Places
  - Individually listed
  - District (nominated in its entirety as an SAL)
  - Contributes to significance of a listed district

Name of District
Certified by __________________________ Date __________________________

11. Evaluation by THC Executive Director

☐ The nomination is complete and acceptable.
☐ The property retains integrity at the time of the nomination and is eligible for designation.

Signature: __________________________ Date: 01/18/2022
Name of Property or Archeological Site/Trinomial: 41PS50 (Fowlkes Hill Site)
Address: Big Bend Ranch State Park
City: Presidio  
County: Presidio

2. Ownership (check all that apply)
☐ Public
☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner: Park Archeologist)
☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission
☐ Private
☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner: )
☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

3. Property Type & Significance (check all that apply)
☐ Archeological
☐ Historic
☐ Prehistoric

Criteria for Archeological Sites (check all that apply)
☐ The site has the potential to contribute to a better understanding of the prehistory and/or history of Texas by the addition of new and important information;
☐ The site's archeological deposits and the artifacts within the site are preserved and intact, thereby supporting the research potential or preservation interests of the site;
☐ The site possesses unique or rare attributes concerning Texas prehistory and/or history;
☐ The study of the site offers the opportunity to test theories and methods of preservation, thereby contributing to new scientific knowledge; and
☐ There is a high likelihood that vandalism and relic collecting has occurred or could occur, and official landmark designation is needed to ensure maximum legal protection, or alternatively, further investigations are needed to mitigate the effects of vandalism and relic collecting when the site cannot be protected.

☐ Shipwreck
Criterion for Shipwrecks:
☐ The shipwreck is located on land owned or controlled by the State of Texas or one of its political subdivisions; the shipwreck is pre-twentieth century or is otherwise historically significant and is 50 years old or older in age; the remains consist of a shipwreck sunken, abandoned, or a wreck of the sea, or are represented by the ship's remains and/or contents or related embedded treasure.

☐ Cache / Collection
Criteria for Caches / Collections (check all that apply)
☐ The cache or collection was assembled with public funds or taken from public lands;
☐ The preservation of materials is adequate to allow the application of standard archeological or conservation techniques;
☐ The cache or collection is of research value, thereby contributing to scientific knowledge; or
☐ The cache or collection is of historic value or contributes to a theme.

Continued on next page
State Antiquities Landmark Form
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☐ Buildings, structures, objects, districts, and non-archeological sites (check all that apply)
  ☐ Building (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
    ☐ Individually listed
    ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district
  ☐ Structure (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
    ☐ Individually listed
    ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district
  ☐ Site
  ☐ Object
  ☐ District (must be listed in the National Register of Historic Places if buildings or structures are included)

Criteria for buildings, structures, non-archeological sites, objects (check all that apply):
  ☐ The property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history,
    including importance to a particular cultural or ethnic group;
  ☐ The property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
  ☐ The property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, represents the work of
    a master, possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack
    individual distinction;
  ☐ The property has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in Texas culture or history;

4. Geographic Data

Archeological properties (including shipwrecks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UTM Zone</th>
<th>NAD datum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NE Corner</td>
<td>Easting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE Corner</td>
<td>Easting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW Corner</td>
<td>Easting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW Corner</td>
<td>Easting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Centroid</td>
<td>Easting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- USGS quad name and number
- Acreage of nominated property 2.7
- Attach USGS map with boundary and UTM coordinates or shapefiles

Description of Site

Location: [Field with information]

Site Type and Cultural Affiliation: [Text]

Buildings/Structures, or Districts with Buildings/Structures
- Attach scale map with boundary (survey map preferred)
- Attach deed or legal description. Indicate here if:
  ☐ Deed
  ☐ Metes and bounds
  ☐ Block & Lot description with plat map
  ☐ Survey map
  ☐ Written boundary description (with reference to landmarks, property boundaries, and/or other fixed points)
  ☐ Indicate if boundary is the same as in the National Register nomination
5. Application Preparer

Name: Tim Gibbs
Address: 21800 FM 170
City: Terlingua
County: Brewster
State: TX
Telephone: 432-424-3327
Email Address: tim.gibbs@tpwd.texas.gov
Nominator's Signature: [Signature]
Date: 12/13/2021

6. Property Owner

Name: Texas Parks and Wildlife Department
Address: 4200 Smith School Road
City: Austin
County: Travis
State: TX
Telephone: 512-389-4736
Email Address: michael.strutt@tpwd.texas.gov

☐ Additional owner information is attached.

7. Acknowledgments by Private Property Owners

I, ____________________________________________, as owner of this property, understand that if this site is accepted and entered into the Commission's records as a State Antiquities Landmark, it will thereafter be protected by, and its use governed by, the Antiquities Code of Texas insofar as provided in that Code. Furthermore, I understand that if the site is designated as a State Antiquities Landmark, a "Notice of Designation as a State Antiquities Landmark," will be recorded in the deed records in the county in which the property is located. Furthermore, if the nominated property is building or structure, I understand that I must purchase a State Antiquities Landmark medallion. Furthermore, I understand that, in accordance with Section 191.097 of the Antiquities Code of Texas, the Commission may remove the designation of State Antiquities Landmark from the site if it is determined that such designation is no longer warranted. Furthermore, I swear that I am the owner of the parcel of land nominated for designation as a State Antiquities Landmark, or have consent of a legal authority to make this nomination, subject to penalty of law as provided by Texas Penal Code, Sec. 37.10.

Owner's Signature: ____________________________ Date ______________

• Each private property owner must sign a copy of the nomination.

8. Nomination by Third Party Applicant of Properties owned by Cities and Counties

Any private individual or private group that desires to nominate a property owned by a political subdivision as a landmark must complete and return to the commission a nomination form, and must give notice of the nomination at the individual's or group's own expense, in a newspaper of general circulation published in the city, town, or county in which the building, structure or site is located. If no newspaper of general circulation is published in the city, town, or county, the notice must be published in a newspaper of general circulation in an adjoining or neighboring county that is circulated in the county of the applicant's residence.

• The notice must be printed in 12-point boldface type; include the exact location of the building or site; and include the name of the group or individual nominating the building or site.
• An original copy of the notice and an affidavit of publication signed by the newspaper's publisher must be submitted to the commission with a nomination form.

☐ I have complied with this requirement (attach proof of publication)
9. Attachments (indicate which items are included in application)

- Current photographs, sufficient for THC staff to confirm the property’s eligibility (digital files not accepted in lieu of prints)
- Maps
- Deed
- Proof of Publication
- Fiscal Impact Statement (Optional. For a building or structure owned by a political subdivision, the nomination may be accompanied by a statement assessing fiscal impacts of the potential designation on the political subdivision. The political subdivision may also supply a fiscal impact statement to be considered by the Commission).
- National Register form (to be attached by THC staff)
- Archeological site data form
  - Other supporting documentation (briefly describe) Written site summary

10. Evaluation by THC Staff (for buildings and structures only)

- Building/Structure is listed in the National Register of Historic Places
  - Individually listed
  - District (nominated in its entirety as an SAL)
  - Contributes to significance of a listed district

Name of District

Certified by
Date

11. Evaluation by THC Executive Director

☐ The nomination is complete and acceptable.
☐ The property retains integrity at the time of the nomination and is eligible for designation.

Signature: __________________________ Date: 01/18/2022

---

Texas Historical Commission
P.O. Box 12276
Austin, TX 78711-2276
Phone 512/463-6100
www.thc.state.tx.us
TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION

STATE ANTIQUITIES LANDMARK NOMINATION FORM

1. Property Name

Name of Property or Archeological Site/Trinomial: 41PS117
Address: Big Bend Ranch State Park
City: Presidio  County: Presidio

2. Ownership (check all that apply)

☐ Public
  ☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
  ☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner: Park Archeologist)
  ☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

☐ Private
  ☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
  ☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner)
  ☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

3. Property Type & Significance (check all that apply)

☐ Archeological
  ☐ Historic
  ☐ Prehistoric

Criteria for Archeological Sites (check all that apply)

☐ The site has the potential to contribute to a better understanding of the prehistory and/or history of Texas by the addition of new and important information;
☐ The site's archeological deposits and the artifacts within the site are preserved and intact, thereby supporting the research potential or preservation interests of the site;
☐ The site possesses unique or rare attributes concerning Texas prehistory and/or history;
☐ The study of the site offers the opportunity to test theories and methods of preservation, thereby contributing to new scientific knowledge; and
☐ There is a high likelihood that vandalism and relic collecting has occurred or could occur, and official landmark designation is needed to ensure maximum legal protection, or alternatively, further investigations are needed to mitigate the effects of vandalism and relic collecting when the site cannot be protected.

☐ Shipwreck
Criterion for Shipwrecks:

☐ The shipwreck is located on land owned or controlled by the State of Texas or one of its political subdivisions; the shipwreck is pre-twentieth century or is otherwise historically significant and is 50 years old or older in age; the remains consist of a shipwreck sunken, abandoned, or a wreck of the sea, or are represented by the ship's remains and/or contents or related embedded treasure.

☐ Cache / Collection
Criteria for Caches / Collections (check all that apply)

☐ The cache or collection was assembled with public funds or taken from public lands;
☐ The preservation of materials is adequate to allow the application of standard archeological or conservation techniques;
☐ The cache or collection is of research value, thereby contributing to scientific knowledge; or
☐ The cache or collection is of historic value or contributes to a theme.

Continued on next page
☐ Buildings, structures, objects, districts, and non-archeological sites (check all that apply)
  ☐ Building (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
    ☐ Individually listed
    ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district
  ☐ Structure (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
    ☐ Individually listed
    ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district
  ☐ Site
  ☐ Object
  ☐ District (must be listed in the National Register of Historic Places if buildings or structures are included)

Criteria for buildings, structures, non-archeological sites, objects (check all that apply):
  ☐ The property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history, including importance to a particular cultural or ethnic group;
  ☐ The property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
  ☐ The property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, represents the work of a master, possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction;
  ☐ The property has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in Texas culture or history;

4. Geographic Data

Archeological properties (including shipwrecks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UTM Zone</th>
<th>NAD Datum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NE Corner</td>
<td>Easting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE Corner</td>
<td>Easting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW Corner</td>
<td>Easting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW Corner</td>
<td>Easting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Centroid</td>
<td>Easting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- USGS quad name and number
- Acreage of nominated property 1.3 ac
- Attach USGS map with boundary and UTM coordinates or shapefiles

Description of Site

Location:

Site Type and Cultural Affiliation: Open campsite of unknown prehistoric age

Buildings/Structures, or Districts with Buildings/Structures
  - Attach scale map with boundary (survey map preferred)
  - Attach deed or legal description. Indicate here if:
    ☐ Deed
    ☐ Metes and bounds
    ☐ Block & Lot description with plat map
    ☐ Survey map
    ☐ Written boundary description (with reference to landmarks, property boundaries, and/or other fixed points)
    ☐ Indicate if boundary is the same as in the National Register nomination
5. Application Preparer

Name: Tim Gibbs
Address: 21800 FM 170
City: Terlingua
County: Brewster
Phone #: 432-424-3327
Email Address: tim.gibbs@tpwd.texas.gov
Date: 12/13/2021

6. Property Owner

Name: Texas Parks and Wildlife Department
Address: 4200 Smith School Road
City: Austin
County: Travis
Phone #: 512-389-4736
Email Address: michael.strutt@tpwd.texas.gov

7. Acknowledgments by Private Property Owners

I, ________________________, as owner of this property, understand that if this site is accepted and entered into the Commission's records as a State Antiquities Landmark, it will thereafter be protected by, and its use governed by, the Antiquities Code of Texas insofar as provided in that Code. Furthermore, I understand that if the site is designated as a State Antiquities Landmark, a “Notice of Designation as a State Antiquities Landmark,” will be recorded in the deed records in the county in which the property is located. Furthermore, if the nominated property is building or structure, I understand that I must purchase a State Antiquities Landmark medallion. Furthermore, I understand that, in accordance with Section 191.097 of the Antiquities Code of Texas, the Commission may remove the designation of State Antiquities Landmark from the site if it is determined that such designation is no longer warranted. Furthermore, I swear that I am the owner of the parcel of land nominated for designation as a State Antiquities Landmark, or have consent of a legal authority to make this nomination, subject to penalty of law as provided by Texas Penal Code, Sec. 37.10.

Owner's Signature: ___________________________ Date ______________________
• Each private property owner must sign a copy of the nomination.

8. Nomination by Third Party Applicant of Properties owned by Cities and Counties

Any private individual or private group that desires to nominate a property owned by a political subdivision as a landmark must complete and return to the commission a nomination form, and must give notice of the nomination at the individual's or group’s own expense, in a newspaper of general circulation published in the city, town, or county in which the building, structure or site is located. If no newspaper of general circulation is published in the city, town, or county, the notice must be published in a newspaper of general circulation in an adjoining or neighboring county that is circulated in the county of the applicant’s residence.

• The notice must be printed in 12-point boldface type; include the exact location of the building or site; and include the name of the group or individual nominating the building or site.
• An original copy of the notice and an affidavit of publication signed by the newspaper's publisher must be submitted to the commission with a nomination form.

☐ I have complied with this requirement (attach proof of publication)
9. Attachments (indicate which items are included in application)

- Current photographs, sufficient for THC staff to confirm the property’s eligibility (digital files not accepted in lieu of prints)
- Maps
- Deed
- Proof of Publication
- Fiscal Impact Statement (Optional. For a building or structure owned by a political subdivision, the nomination may be accompanied by a statement assessing fiscal impacts of the potential designation on the political subdivision. The political subdivision may also supply a fiscal impact statement to be considered by the Commission).
- National Register form (to be attached by THC staff)
- Archeological site data form
  - Other supporting documentation (briefly describe) Written site summary

10. Evaluation by THC Staff (for buildings and structures only)

- Building/Structure is listed in the National Register of Historic Places
  - Individually listed
  - District (nominated in its entirety as an SAL)
  - Contributes to significance of a listed district

  Name of District

  Certified by Date

11. Evaluation by THC Executive Director

- The nomination is complete and acceptable.
- The property retains integrity at the time of the nomination and is eligible for designation.

Signature: ___________________________ Date: 01/18/2022

Texas Historical Commission
P.O. Box 12276
Austin, TX 78711-2276
Phone 512/463-6100
www.thc.state.tx.us
TENAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION

STATE ANTIQUITIES LANDMARK NOMINATION FORM

1. Property Name

Name of Property or Archeological Site/Triominal 41PS120
Address Big Bend Ranch State Park
City Presidio County Presidio

2. Ownership (check all that apply)

☐ Public
- ☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
- ☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner Park Archeologist)
- ☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

☐ Private
- ☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
- ☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner)
- ☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

3. Property Type & Significance (check all that apply)

☐ Archeological
- ☐ Historic
- ☐ Prehistoric

Criteria for Archeological Sites (check all that apply)

☐ The site has the potential to contribute to a better understanding of the prehistory and/or history of Texas by the addition of new and important information;

☐ The site's archeological deposits and the artifacts within the site are preserved and intact, thereby supporting the research potential or preservation interests of the site;

☐ The site possesses unique or rare attributes concerning Texas prehistory and/or history;

☐ The study of the site offers the opportunity to test theories and methods of preservation, thereby contributing to new scientific knowledge; and

☐ There is a high likelihood that vandalism and relic collecting has occurred or could occur, and official landmark designation is needed to ensure maximum legal protection, or alternatively, further investigations are needed to mitigate the effects of vandalism and relic collecting when the site cannot be protected.

☐ Shipwreck

Criterion for Shipwrecks:

☐ The shipwreck is located on land owned or controlled by the State of Texas or one of its political subdivisions; the shipwreck is pre-twentieth century or is otherwise historically significant and is 50 years old or older in age; the remains consist of a shipwreck sunken, abandoned, or a wreck of the sea, or are represented by the ship's remains and/or contents or related embedded treasure.

☐ Cache / Collection

Criteria for Caches / Collections (check all that apply)

☐ The cache or collection was assembled with public funds or taken from public lands;

☐ The preservation of materials is adequate to allow the application of standard archeological or conservation techniques;

☐ The cache or collection is of research value, thereby contributing to scientific knowledge; or

☐ The cache or collection is of historic value or contributes to a theme.

Continued on next page
State Antiquities Landmark Form
Page 2 of 4

☐ Buildings, structures, objects, districts, and non-archeological sites (check all that apply)
  ☐ Building (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
    ☐ Individually listed
    ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district
  ☐ Structure (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
    ☐ Individually listed
    ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district
  ☐ Site
  ☐ Object
  ☐ District (must be listed in the National Register of Historic Places if buildings or structures are included)

Criteria for buildings, structures, non-archeological sites, objects (check all that apply):
  ☐ The property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history, including importance to a particular cultural or ethnic group;
  ☐ The property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
  ☐ The property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, represents the work of a master, possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction;
  ☐ The property has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in Texas culture or history;

4. Geographic Data

Archeological properties (including shipwrecks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UTM Zone</th>
<th>NAD datum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NE Corner</th>
<th>Easting</th>
<th>Northing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SE Corner</td>
<td>Easting</td>
<td>Northing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW Corner</td>
<td>Easting</td>
<td>Northing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW Corner</td>
<td>Easting</td>
<td>Northing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Centroid</td>
<td>Easting</td>
<td>Northing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- USGS quad name and number
- Acreage of nominated property: 0.6 ac
- Attach USGS map with boundary and UTM coordinates or shapefiles

Description of Site

Location: 

Site Type and Cultural Affiliation: Open campsite and rock imagery panel of unknown prehistoric age, with historic road and rock wall

Buildings/Structures, or Districts with Buildings/Structures

- Attach scale map with boundary (survey map preferred)
- Attach deed or legal description. Indicate here if:
  - Deed
  - Metes and bounds
  - Block & Lot description with plat map
  - Survey map
  - Written boundary description (with reference to landmarks, property boundaries, and/or other fixed points)
  - Indicate if boundary is the same as in the National Register nomination
5. Application Preparer

Name  Tim Gibbs  
Address  21800 FM 170  
City  Terlingua  County  Brewster  State  TX  
Telephone#  432-424-3327  
Email Address  tim.gibbs@tpwd.texas.gov  
Nominator's Signature  
Date  12/13/2021  

6. Property Owner

Name  Texas Parks and Wildlife Department  
Address  4200 Smith School Road  
City  Austin  County  Travis  State  TX  
Telephone#  512-389-4736  
Email Address  michael.strutt@tpwd.texas.gov  

☐ Additional owner information is attached.

7. Acknowledgments by Private Property Owners

I, __________________________, as owner of this property, understand that if this site is accepted and entered into the Commission’s records as a State Antiquities Landmark, it will thereafter be protected by, and its use governed by, the Antiquities Code of Texas insofar as provided in that Code. Furthermore, I understand that if the site is designated as a State Antiquities Landmark, a “Notice of Designation as a State Antiquities Landmark,” will be recorded in the deed records in the county in which the property is located. Furthermore, if the nominated property is building or structure, I understand that I must purchase a State Antiquities Landmark medallion. Furthermore, I understand that, in accordance with Section 191.097 of the Antiquities Code of Texas, the Commission may remove the designation of State Antiquities Landmark from the site if it is determined that such designation is no longer warranted. Furthermore, I swear that I am the owner of the parcel of land nominated for designation as a State Antiquities Landmark, or have consent of a legal authority to make this nomination, subject to penalty of law as provided by Texas Penal Code, Sec. 37.10.

Owner's Signature: __________________________  
Date  
• Each private property owner must sign a copy of the nomination.

8. Nomination by Third Party Applicant of Properties owned by Cities and Counties

Any private individual or private group that desires to nominate a property owned by a political subdivision as a landmark must complete and return to the commission a nomination form, and must give notice of the nomination at the individual’s or group’s own expense, in a newspaper of general circulation published in the city, town, or county in which the building, structure or site is located. If no newspaper of general circulation is published in the city, town, or county, the notice must be published in a newspaper of general circulation in an adjoining or neighboring county that is circulated in the county of the applicant’s residence.

• The notice must be printed in 12-point boldface type; include the exact location of the building or site; and include the name of the group or individual nominating the building or site.
• An original copy of the notice and an affidavit of publication signed by the newspaper’s publisher must be submitted to the commission with a nomination form.

☐ I have complied with this requirement (attach proof of publication)
9. Attachments (indicate which items are included in application)

- [ ] Current photographs, sufficient for THC staff to confirm the property’s eligibility (digital files not accepted in lieu of prints)
- [ ] Maps
- [ ] Deed
- [ ] Proof of Publication
- [ ] Fiscal Impact Statement (Optional. For a building or structure owned by a political subdivision, the nomination may be accompanied by a statement assessing fiscal impacts of the potential designation on the political subdivision. The political subdivision may also supply a fiscal impact statement to be considered by the Commission.)
- [ ] National Register form (to be attached by THC staff)
- [ ] Archeological site data form
  - [ ] Other supporting documentation (briefly describe) Written site summary

10. Evaluation by THC Staff (for buildings and structures only)

- [ ] Building/Structure is listed in the National Register of Historic Places
  - [ ] Individually listed
  - [ ] District (nominated in its entirety as an SAL)
  - [ ] Contributes to significance of a listed district

Name of District

Certified by ___________________________ Date ____________

11. Evaluation by THC Executive Director

- [ ] The nomination is complete and acceptable.
- [ ] The property retains integrity at the time of the nomination and is eligible for designation.

Signature: ___________________________ Date: 01/18/2022
TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION

STATE ANTIQUITIES LANDMARK NOMINATION FORM

1. Property Name

Name of Property or Archeological Site/Trinomial 41PS124
Address: Big Bend Ranch State Park
City: Presidio  County: Presidio

2. Ownership (check all that apply)

☐ Public
  ☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
  ☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner) Park Archeologist
  ☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

☐ Private
  ☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
  ☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner)
  ☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

3. Property Type & Significance (check all that apply)

☐ Archeological
  ☐ Historic
  ☐ Prehistoric

Criteria for Archeological Sites (check all that apply)

☐ The site has the potential to contribute to a better understanding of the prehistory and/or history of Texas by the addition of new and important information;

☐ The site's archeological deposits and the artifacts within the site are preserved and intact, thereby supporting the research potential or preservation interests of the site;

☐ The site possesses unique or rare attributes concerning Texas prehistory and/or history;

☐ The study of the site offers the opportunity to test theories and methods of preservation, thereby contributing to new scientific knowledge; and

☐ There is a high likelihood that vandalism and relic collecting has occurred or could occur, and official landmark designation is needed to ensure maximum legal protection, or alternatively, further investigations are needed to mitigate the effects of vandalism and relic collecting when the site cannot be protected.

☐ Shipwreck

Criterion for Shipwrecks:

☐ The shipwreck is located on land owned or controlled by the State of Texas or one of its political subdivisions; the shipwreck is pre-twentieth century or is otherwise historically significant and is 50 years old or older in age; the remains consist of a shipwreck sunken, abandoned, or a wreck of the sea, or are represented by the ship's remains and/or contents or related embedded treasure.

☐ Cache / Collection

Criteria for Caches / Collections (check all that apply)

☐ The cache or collection was assembled with public funds or taken from public lands;

☐ The preservation of materials is adequate to allow the application of standard archeological or conservation techniques;

☐ The cache or collection is of research value, thereby contributing to scientific knowledge; or

☐ The cache or collection is of historic value or contributes to a theme.

Continued on next page
☐ Buildings, structures, objects, districts, and non-archaeological sites (check all that apply)

☐ Building (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
  ☐ Individually listed
  ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district

☐ Structure (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
  ☐ Individually listed
  ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district

☐ Site
☐ Object
☐ District (must be listed in the National Register of Historic Places if buildings or structures are included)

Criteria for buildings, structures, non-archaeological sites, objects (check all that apply):

☐ The property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history, including importance to a particular cultural or ethnic group;

☐ The property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;

☐ The property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, represents the work of a master, possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction;

☐ The property has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in Texas culture or history;

4. Geographic Data

Archeological properties (including shipwrecks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UTM Zone</th>
<th>NAD datum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

NE Corner  Easting  Northing  
SE Corner  Easting  Northing  
SW Corner  Easting  Northing  
NW Corner  Easting  Northing  
Site Centroid  Easting  Northing  

☐ USGS quad name and number  
☐ Acreage of nominated property 6.5 ac  
☐ Attach USGS map with boundary and UTM coordinates or shapefiles

Description of Site

Location:  

Site Type and Cultural Affiliation: Habituation of Late Prehistoric/Protohistoric age, and a historic twentieth century ruin and cemetery

Buildings/Structures, or Districts with Buildings/Structures

☐ Attach scale map with boundary (survey map preferred)
☐ Attach deed or legal description. Indicate here if:
  ☐ Deed
  ☐ Metes and bounds
  ☐ Block & Lot description with plat map
  ☐ Survey map
  ☐ Written boundary description (with reference to landmarks, property boundaries, and/or other fixed points)
  ☐ Indicate if boundary is the same as in the National Register nomination
5. Application Preparer

Name  Tim Gibbs  
Address  21800 FM 170  
City Terlingua  County Brewster  State TX  
Telephone#  432-424-3327  
Email Address  tim.gibbs@tpwd.texas.gov  
Nominator's Signature  [Signature]  Date 12/13/2021  

6. Property Owner

Name  Texas Parks and Wildlife Department  
Address  4200 Smith School Road  
City Austin  County Travis  State TX  
Telephone#  512-389-4736  
Email Address  michael.strutt@tpwd.texas.gov  

☐ Additional owner information is attached.  

7. Acknowledgments by Private Property Owners

I, [Name], as owner of this property, understand that if this site is accepted and entered into the Commission's records as a State Antiquities Landmark, it will thereafter be protected by, and its use governed by, the Antiquities Code of Texas insofar as provided in that Code. Furthermore, I understand that if the site is designated as a State Antiquities Landmark, a “Notice of Designation as a State Antiquities Landmark,” will be recorded in the deed records in the county in which the property is located. Furthermore, if the nominated property is building or structure, I understand that I must purchase a State Antiquities Landmark medallion. Furthermore, I understand that, in accordance with Section 191.097 of the Antiquities Code of Texas, the Commission may remove the designation of State Antiquities Landmark from the site if it is determined that such designation is no longer warranted. Furthermore, I swear that I am the owner of the parcel of land nominated for designation as a State Antiquities Landmark, or have consent of a legal authority to make this nomination, subject to penalty of law as provided by Texas Penal Code, Sec. 37.10.  

Owner's Signature:  [Signature]  Date  

☐ Each private property owner must sign a copy of the nomination.  

8. Nomination by Third Party Applicant of Properties owned by Cities and Counties

Any private individual or private group that desires to nominate a property owned by a political subdivision as a landmark must complete and return to the commission a nomination form, and must give notice of the nomination at the individual's or group's own expense, in a newspaper of general circulation published in the city, town, or county in which the building, structure or site is located. If no newspaper of general circulation is published in the city, town, or county, the notice must be published in a newspaper of general circulation in an adjoining or neighboring county that is circulated in the county of the applicant's residence.  

☐ The notice must be printed in 12-point boldface type; include the exact location of the building or site; and include the name of the group or individual nominating the building or site.  

☐ An original copy of the notice and an affidavit of publication signed by the newspaper's publisher must be submitted to the commission with a nomination form.  

☐ I have complied with this requirement (attach proof of publication)
9. Attachments (indicate which items are included in application)

- Current photographs, sufficient for THC staff to confirm the property’s eligibility (digital files not accepted in lieu of prints)
- Maps
- Deed
- Proof of Publication
- Fiscal Impact Statement (Optional. For a building or structure owned by a political subdivision, the nomination may be accompanied by a statement assessing fiscal impacts of the potential designation on the political subdivision. The political subdivision may also supply a fiscal impact statement to be considered by the Commission).
- National Register form (to be attached by THC staff)
- Archeological site data form
  - Other supporting documentation (briefly describe)

Written site summary

10. Evaluation by THC Staff (for buildings and structures only)

- Building/Structure is listed in the National Register of Historic Places
  - Individually listed
  - District (nominated in its entirety as an SAL)
  - Contributes to significance of a listed district

  Name of District

  Certified by ___________________________ Date _____________

11. Evaluation by THC Executive Director

☐ The nomination is complete and acceptable.

☐ The property retains integrity at the time of the nomination and is eligible for designation.

Signature: ___________________________

Date: 01/18/2022
TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION

STATE ANTIQUITIES LANDMARK NOMINATION FORM

1. Property Name

Name of Property or Archeological Site/Trinomial: 41PS139
Address: Big Bend Ranch State Park
City: Presidio County: Presidio

2. Ownership (check all that apply)

☐ Public
  ☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
  ☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner: Park Archeologist)
  ☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

☐ Private
  ☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
  ☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner)
  ☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

3. Property Type & Significance (check all that apply)

☐ Archeological
  ☐ Historic
  ☐ Prehistoric

Criteria for Archeological Sites (check all that apply)

☐ The site has the potential to contribute to a better understanding of the prehistory and/or history of Texas by the addition of new and important information;
☐ The site's archeological deposits and the artifacts within the site are preserved and intact, thereby supporting the research potential or preservation interests of the site;
☐ The site possesses unique or rare attributes concerning Texas prehistory and/or history;
☐ The study of the site offers the opportunity to test theories and methods of preservation, thereby contributing to new scientific knowledge; and
☐ There is a high likelihood that vandalism and relic collecting has occurred or could occur, and official landmark designation is needed to ensure maximum legal protection, or alternatively, further investigations are needed to mitigate the effects of vandalism and relic collecting when the site cannot be protected.

☐ Shipwreck
Criterion for Shipwrecks:

☐ The shipwreck is located on land owned or controlled by the State of Texas or one of its political subdivisions; the shipwreck is pre-twentieth century or is otherwise historically significant and is 50 years old or older in age; the remains consist of a shipwreck sunken, abandoned, or a wreck of the sea, or are represented by the ship's remains and/or contents or related embedded treasure.

☐ Cache / Collection
Criterion for Caches / Collections (check all that apply)

☐ The cache or collection was assembled with public funds or taken from public lands;
☐ The preservation of materials is adequate to allow the application of standard archeological or conservation techniques;
☐ The cache or collection is of research value, thereby contributing to scientific knowledge; or
☐ The cache or collection is of historic value or contributes to a theme.

Continued on next page
☐ Buildings, structures, objects, districts, and non-archeological sites (check all that apply)
   ☐ Building (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
     ☐ Individually listed
     ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district
   ☐ Structure (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
     ☐ Individually listed
     ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district
   ☐ Site
   ☐ Object
   ☐ District (must be listed in the National Register of Historic Places if buildings or structures are included)

Criteria for buildings, structures, non-archeological sites, objects (check all that apply):
   ☐ The property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history, including importance to a particular cultural or ethnic group;
   ☐ The property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
   ☐ The property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, represents the work of a master, possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction;
   ☐ The property has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in Texas culture or history;

4. Geographic Data

Archeological properties (including shipwrecks)

UTM Zone
NAD datum

NE Corner
Eastings
Northing

SE Corner
Eastings
Northing

SW Corner
Eastings
Northing

NW Corner
Eastings
Northing

Site Centroid
Eastings
Northing

- USGS quad name and number
- Acreage of nominated property 3.3 ac
- Attach USGS map with boundary and UTM coordinates or shapefiles

Description of Site
Location:

Site Type and Cultural Affiliation: Middle Archaic/Late Archaic/Late Prehistoric open campsite with burned rock midden, with a Modern (1901-present) ranching component

Buildings/Structures, or Districts with Buildings/Structures
- Attach scale map with boundary (survey map preferred)
- Attach deed or legal description. Indicate here if:
  ☐ Deed
  ☐ Metes and bounds
  ☐ Block & Lot description with plat map
  ☐ Survey map
  ☐ Written boundary description (with reference to landmarks, property boundaries, and/or other fixed points)
  ☐ Indicate if boundary is the same as in the National Register nomination
5. Application Preparer

Name  Tim Gibbs
Address  21800 FM 170
City  Terlingua  County  Brewster  State  TX
Telephone#  432-424-3327
Email Address  tim.gibbs@tpwd.texas.gov
Nominator's Signature  Tim Gibbs  Date 12/13/2021

6. Property Owner

Name  Texas Parks and Wildlife Department
Address  4200 Smith School Road
City  Austin  County  Travis  State  TX
Telephone#  512-389-4736
Email Address  michael.strutt@tpwd.texas.gov

☐ Additional owner information is attached.

7. Acknowledgments by Private Property Owners

I, ________________________________, as owner of this property, understand that if this site is accepted and entered into the Commission’s records as a State Antiquities Landmark, it will thereafter be protected by, and its use governed by, the Antiquities Code of Texas as provided in that Code. Furthermore, I understand that if the site is designated as a State Antiquities Landmark, a “Notice of Designation as a State Antiquities Landmark,” will be recorded in the deed records in the county in which the property is located. Furthermore, if the nominated property is building or structure, I understand that I must purchase a State Antiquities Landmark medallion. Furthermore, I understand that, in accordance with Section 191.097 of the Antiquities Code of Texas, the Commission may remove the designation of State Antiquities Landmark from the site if it is determined that such designation is no longer warranted. Furthermore, I swear that I am the owner of the parcel of land nominated for designation as a State Antiquities Landmark, or have consent of a legal authority to make this nomination, subject to penalty of law as provided by Texas Penal Code, Sec. 37.10.

Owner’s Signature: ________________________________  Date ________________________________

• Each private property owner must sign a copy of the nomination.

8. Nomination by Third Party Applicant of Properties owned by Cities and Counties

Any private individual or private group that desires to nominate a property owned by a political subdivision as a landmark must complete and return to the commission a nomination form, and must give notice of the nomination at the individual’s or group’s own expense, in a newspaper of general circulation published in the city, town, or county in which the building, structure or site is located. If no newspaper of general circulation is published in the city, town, or county, the notice must be published in a newspaper of general circulation in an adjoining or neighboring county that is circulated in the county of the applicant’s residence.

• The notice must be printed in 12-point boldface type; include the exact location of the building or site; and include the name of the group or individual nominating the building or site.
• An original copy of the notice and an affidavit of publication signed by the newspaper’s publisher must be submitted to the commission with a nomination form.

☐ I have complied with this requirement (attach proof of publication)
9. Attachments (indicate which items are included in application)

☐ Current photographs, sufficient for THC staff to confirm the property's eligibility (digital files not accepted in lieu of prints)
☐ Maps
☐ Deed
☐ Proof of Publication
☐ Fiscal Impact Statement (Optional. For a building or structure owned by a political subdivision, the nomination may be accompanied by a statement assessing fiscal impacts of the potential designation on the political subdivision. The political subdivision may also supply a fiscal impact statement to be considered by the Commission).
☐ National Register form (to be attached by THC staff)
☐ Archeological site data form
☐ Other supporting documentation (briefly describe) Written site summary

10. Evaluation by THC Staff (for buildings and structures only)

☐ Building/Structure is listed in the National Register of Historic Places
   ☐ Individually listed
   ☐ District (nominated in its entirety as an SAL)
   ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district

Name of District ____________________________________________________________

Certified by ___________________________ Date __________________

11. Evaluation by THC Executive Director

☐ The nomination is complete and acceptable.
☐ The property retains integrity at the time of the nomination and is eligible for designation.

Signature: ___________________________ Date: 01/18/2022
1. Property Name

Name of Property or Archaeological Site/Trinomy141PS322
Address Big Bend Ranch State Park
City Presidio County Presidio

2. Ownership (check all that apply)

☐ Public
☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner Park Archeologist)
☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

☐ Private
☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner)
☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

3. Property Type & Significance (check all that apply)

☐ Archeological
☐ Historic
☐ Prehistoric

Criteria for Archeological Sites (check all that apply)

☐ The site has the potential to contribute to a better understanding of the prehistory and/or history of Texas by the addition of new and important information;
☐ The site’s archeological deposits and the artifacts within the site are preserved and intact, thereby supporting the research potential or preservation interests of the site;
☐ The site possesses unique or rare attributes concerning Texas prehistory and/or history;
☐ The study of the site offers the opportunity to test theories and methods of preservation, thereby contributing to new scientific knowledge; and
☐ There is a high likelihood that vandalism and relic collecting has occurred or could occur, and official landmark designation is needed to ensure maximum legal protection, or alternatively, further investigations are needed to mitigate the effects of vandalism and relic collecting when the site cannot be protected.

☐ Shipwreck
Criterion for Shipwrecks:
☐ The shipwreck is located on land owned or controlled by the State of Texas or one of its political subdivisions; the shipwreck is pre-twentieth century or is otherwise historically significant and is 50 years old or older in age; the remains consist of a shipwreck sunken, abandoned, or a wreck of the sea, or are represented by the ship’s remains and/or contents or related embedded treasure.

☐ Cache / Collection
Criteria for Caches / Collections (check all that apply)

☐ The cache or collection was assembled with public funds or taken from public lands;
☐ The preservation of materials is adequate to allow the application of standard archeological or conservation techniques;
☐ The cache or collection is of research value, thereby contributing to scientific knowledge; or
☐ The cache or collection is of historic value or contributes to a theme.

Continued on next page
□ Buildings, structures, objects, districts, and non-archaeological sites (check all that apply)
  □ Building (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
    □ Individually listed
    □ Contributes to significance of a listed district
  □ Structure (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
    □ Individually listed
    □ Contributes to significance of a listed district
  □ Site
  □ Object
  □ District (must be listed in the National Register of Historic Places if buildings or structures are included)

Criteria for buildings, structures, non-archaeological sites, objects (check all that apply):
  □ The property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history, including importance to a particular cultural or ethnic group;
  □ The property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
  □ The property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, represents the work of a master, possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction;
  □ The property has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in Texas culture or history;

4. Geographic Data

Archaeological properties (including shipwrecks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>UTM Zone</th>
<th>NAD datum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NE Corner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE Corner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW Corner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW Corner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Centroid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- USGS quad name and number
- Acreage of nominated property 4.4 ac
- Attach USGS map with boundary and UTM coordinates or shapefiles

Description of Site

Location: Burned rock midden and open campfire pithouse village of Late Prehistoric/Protohistoric age and Historic homestead

Site Type and Cultural Affiliation: 

Buildings/Structures, or Districts with Buildings/Structures

- Attach scale map with boundary (survey map preferred)
- Attach deed or legal description. Indicate here if:
  □ Deed
  □ Metes and bounds
  □ Block & Lot description with plat map
  □ Survey map
  □ Written boundary description (with reference to landmarks, property boundaries, and/or other fixed points)
  □ Indicate if boundary is the same as in the National Register nomination
5. Application Preparer

Name  Tim Gibbs
Address  21800 FM 170
City  Terlingua  County  Brewster  State  TX
Telephone#  432-424-3327
Email Address  tim.gibbs@pwd.texas.gov
Nominator’s Signature  Tim Gibbs  Date 12/13/2021

6. Property Owner

Name  Texas Parks and Wildlife Department
Address  4200 Smith School Road
City  Austin  County  Travis  State  TX
Telephone#  512-389-4736
Email Address  michael.strutt@pwd.texas.gov

☐ Additional owner information is attached.

7. Acknowledgments by Private Property Owners

I, __________________________________________, as owner of this property, understand that if this site is accepted and entered into the Commission’s records as a State Antiquities Landmark, it will thereafter be protected by, and its use governed by, the Antiquities Code of Texas insofar as provided in that Code. Furthermore, I understand that if the site is designated as a State Antiquities Landmark, a “Notice of Designation as a State Antiquities Landmark,” will be recorded in the deed records in the county in which the property is located. Furthermore, if the nominated property is building or structure, I understand that I must purchase a State Antiquities Landmark medallion. Furthermore, I understand that, in accordance with Section 191.097 of the Antiquities Code of Texas, the Commission may remove the designation of State Antiquities Landmark from the site if it is determined that such designation is no longer warranted. Furthermore, I swear that I am the owner of the parcel of land nominated for designation as a State Antiquities Landmark, or have consent of a legal authority to make this nomination, subject to penalty of law as provided by Texas Penal Code, Sec. 37.10.

Owner’s Signature: ____________________________ Date ______________

- Each private property owner must sign a copy of the nomination.

8. Nomination by Third Party Applicant of Properties owned by Cities and Counties

Any private individual or private group that desires to nominate a property owned by a political subdivision as a landmark must complete and return to the commission a nomination form, and must give notice of the nomination at the individual's or group's own expense, in a newspaper of general circulation published in the city, town, or county in which the building, structure or site is located. If no newspaper of general circulation is published in the city, town, or county, the notice must be published in a newspaper of general circulation in an adjoining or neighboring county that is circulated in the county of the applicant's residence.

- The notice must be printed in 12-point boldface type; include the exact location of the building or site; and include the name of the group or individual nominating the building or site.
- An original copy of the notice and an affidavit of publication signed by the newspaper's publisher must be submitted to the commission with a nomination form.

☐ I have complied with this requirement (attach proof of publication)
9. Attachments (indicate which items are included in application)

- Current photographs, sufficient for THC staff to confirm the property’s eligibility (digital files not accepted in lieu of prints)
- Maps
- Deed
- Proof of Publication
- Fiscal Impact Statement (Optional. For a building or structure owned by a political subdivision, the nomination may be accompanied by a statement assessing fiscal impacts of the potential designation on the political subdivision. The political subdivision may also supply a fiscal impact statement to be considered by the Commission).
- National Register form (to be attached by THC staff)
- Archeological site data form
  - Other supporting documentation (briefly describe) Written site summary

10. Evaluation by THC Staff (for buildings and structures only)

- Building/Structure is listed in the National Register of Historic Places
  - Individually listed
  - District (nominated in its entirety as an SAL)
  - Contributes to significance of a listed district

Name of District
Certified by ___________________________ Date ___________________________

11. Evaluation by THC Executive Director

- The nomination is complete and acceptable.
- The property retains integrity at the time of the nomination and is eligible for designation.

Signature: ___________________________ Date: 01/19/2022
1. Property Name

Name of Property or Archeological Site/Trinomial: 41PS800 (Arroyo de La Presa)
Address: Big Bend Ranch State Park
City: Presidio
County: Presidio

2. Ownership (check all that apply)

☐ Public
   ☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
   ☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner: Park Archeologist)
   ☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

☐ Private
   ☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
   ☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner:)
   ☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

3. Property Type & Significance (check all that apply)

☐ Archeological
   ☑ Historic
   ☐ Prehistoric

Criteria for Archeological Sites (check all that apply)

☐ The site has the potential to contribute to a better understanding of the prehistory and/or history of Texas by the addition of new and important information;

☐ The site's archeological deposits and the artifacts within the site are preserved and intact, thereby supporting the research potential or preservation interests of the site;

☐ The site possesses unique or rare attributes concerning Texas prehistory and/or history;

☐ The study of the site offers the opportunity to test theories and methods of preservation, thereby contributing to new scientific knowledge; and

☐ There is a high likelihood that vandalism and relic collecting has occurred or could occur, and official landmark designation is needed to ensure maximum legal protection, or alternatively, further investigations are needed to mitigate the effects of vandalism and relic collecting when the site cannot be protected.

☐ Shipwreck

Criterion for Shipwrecks:

☐ The shipwreck is located on land owned or controlled by the State of Texas or one of its political subdivisions; the shipwreck is pre-twentieth century or is otherwise historically significant and is 50 years old or older in age; the remains consist of a shipwreck sunken, abandoned, or a wreck of the sea, or are represented by the ship's remains and/or contents or related embedded treasure.

☐ Cache / Collection

Criteria for Caches / Collections (check all that apply)

☐ The cache or collection was assembled with public funds or taken from public lands;

☐ The preservation of materials is adequate to allow the application of standard archeological or conservation techniques;

☐ The cache or collection is of research value, thereby contributing to scientific knowledge; or

☐ The cache or collection is of historic value or contributes to a theme.

Continued on next page
☐ Buildings, structures, objects, districts, and non-archeological sites (check all that apply)
  ☐ Building (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
    ☐ Individually listed
    ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district
  ☐ Structure (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
    ☐ Individually listed
    ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district
  ☐ Site
  ☐ Object
  ☐ District (must be listed in the National Register of Historic Places if buildings or structures are included)

Criteria for buildings, structures, non-archeological sites, objects (check all that apply):
  ☐ The property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history, including importance to a particular cultural or ethnic group;
  ☐ The property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
  ☐ The property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, represents the work of a master, possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction;
  ☐ The property has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in Texas culture or history;

4. Geographic Data

Archeological properties (including shipwrecks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UTM Zone</th>
<th>NAD Datum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NE Corner</td>
<td>Easting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE Corner</td>
<td>Easting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW Corner</td>
<td>Easting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW Corner</td>
<td>Easting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Centroid</td>
<td>Easting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- USGS quad name and number
- Acreage of nominated property 3.9 ac
- Attach USGS map with boundary and UTM coordinates or shapefiles

Description of Site

Location: [Redacted]

Site Type and Cultural Affiliation: Prehistoric burned rock midden and campsite of Late Prehistoric age

Buildings/Structures, or Districts with Buildings/Structures

- Attach scale map with boundary (survey map preferred)
- Attach deed or legal description. Indicate here if:
  ☐ Deed
  ☐ Metes and bounds
  ☐ Block & Lot description with plat map
  ☐ Survey map
  ☐ Written boundary description (with reference to landmarks, property boundaries, and/or other fixed points)
  ☐ Indicate if boundary is the same as in the National Register nomination
5. Application Preparer

Name  Tim Gibbs
Address  21800 FM 170
City  Terlingua  County  Brewster  State  TX
Telephone#  432-424-3327
Email Address  tim.gibbs@tpwd.texas.gov
Nominator's Signature  [Signature]  Date  12/13/2021

6. Property Owner

Name  Texas Parks and Wildlife Department
Address  4200 Smith School Road
City  Austin  County  Travis  State  TX
Telephone#  512-389-4736
Email Address  michael.strutt@tpwd.texas.gov

☐ Additional owner information is attached.

7. Acknowledgments by Private Property Owners

I, [Owner's Name], as owner of this property, understand that if this site is accepted and entered into the Commission's records as a State Antiquities Landmark, it will thereafter be protected by, and its use governed by, the Antiquities Code of Texas as provided in that Code. Furthermore, I understand that if the site is designated as a State Antiquities Landmark, a "Notice of Designation as a State Antiquities Landmark" will be recorded in the deed records in the county in which the property is located. Furthermore, if the nominated property is building or structure, I understand that I must purchase a State Antiquities Landmark medallion. Furthermore, I understand that, in accordance with Section 191.097 of the Antiquities Code of Texas, the Commission may remove the designation of State Antiquities Landmark from the site if it is determined that such designation is no longer warranted. Furthermore, I swear that I am the owner of the parcel of land nominated for designation as a State Antiquities Landmark, or have consent of a legal authority to make this nomination, subject to penalty of law as provided by Texas Penal Code, Sec. 37.10.

Owner's Signature:  [Signature]  Date  

• Each private property owner must sign a copy of the nomination.

8. Nomination by Third Party Applicant of Properties owned by Cities and Counties

Any private individual or private group that desires to nominate a property owned by a political subdivision as a landmark must complete and return to the commission a nomination form, and must give notice of the nomination at the individual's or group's own expense, in a newspaper of general circulation published in the city, town, or county in which the building, structure or site is located. If no newspaper of general circulation is published in the city, town, or county, the notice must be published in a newspaper of general circulation in an adjoining or neighboring county that is circulated in the county of the applicant's residence.

• The notice must be printed in 12-point boldface type; include the exact location of the building or site; and include the name of the group or individual nominating the building or site.
• An original copy of the notice and an affidavit of publication signed by the newspaper's publisher must be submitted to the commission with a nomination form.

☐ I have complied with this requirement (attach proof of publication)
9. Attachments (indicate which items are included in application)

- Current photographs, sufficient for THC staff to confirm the property's eligibility (digital files not accepted in lieu of prints)
- Maps
- Deed
- Proof of Publication
- Fiscal Impact Statement (Optional. For a building or structure owned by a political subdivision, the nomination may be accompanied by a statement assessing fiscal impacts of the potential designation on the political subdivision. The political subdivision may also supply a fiscal impact statement to be considered by the Commission).
- National Register form (to be attached by THC staff)
- Archeological site data form
  - Other supporting documentation (briefly describe) Written site summary

10. Evaluation by THC Staff (for buildings and structures only)

☐ Building/Structure is listed in the National Register of Historic Places
  - Individually listed
  - District (nominated in its entirety as an SAL)
  - Contributes to significance of a listed district
  
  Name of District

Certified by _____________________________ Date ____________

11. Evaluation by THC Executive Director

☐ The nomination is complete and acceptable.
☐ The property retains integrity at the time of the nomination and is eligible for designation.

Signature: _____________________________ Date: 01/18/2022
TAB 6.5 B
Consider approval of State Antiquities Landmark Nomination for archeological site 41VV838 located in the Dan Allen Hughes Unit, Devils River State Natural Area, Val Verde County

**Background**
The following publicly-owned archeological site resource was recommended for designation to State Antiquities Landmark status at the February 2nd, 2022, Antiquities Advisory Board meeting. Proper notice has been given to Texas Parks and Wildlife, the land-owning organization of the proposed nominated site of the State Antiquities Landmark designation process. The motion is presented below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>41VV838, Dan Allen Hughes Unit, Devils River State Natural Area</td>
<td>Val Verde County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Suggested Motion A:**
Move that the Commission approve the SAL nomination of: 41VV838, Dan Allen Hughes, Devils River State Natural Area, Val Verde County, owned by Texas Parks and Wildlife.
TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION

STATE ANTIQUITIES LANDMARK NOMINATION FORM

1. Property Name

Name of Property or Archeological Site/Trinomial: 41VV838
Address: Dan Allen Hughes Unit, Devils River State Natural Area
City: Del Rio County: Val Verde

2. Ownership (check all that apply)

☐ Public
☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner)
☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

☐ Private
☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner)
☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

3. Property Type & Significance (check all that apply)

☐ Archeological
☐ Historic
☐ Prehistoric

Criteria for Archeological Sites (check all that apply)

☐ The site has the potential to contribute to a better understanding of the prehistory and/or history of Texas by the addition of new and important information;
☐ The site's archeological deposits and the artifacts within the site are preserved and intact, thereby supporting the research potential or preservation interests of the site;
☐ The site possesses unique or rare attributes concerning Texas prehistory and/or history;
☐ The study of the site offers the opportunity to test theories and methods of preservation, thereby contributing to new scientific knowledge; and
☐ There is a high likelihood that vandalism and relic collecting has occurred or could occur, and official landmark designation is needed to ensure maximum legal protection, or alternatively, further investigations are needed to mitigate the effects of vandalism and relic collecting when the site cannot be protected.

☐ Shipwreck

Criterion for Shipwrecks:

☐ The shipwreck is located on land owned or controlled by the State of Texas or one of its political subdivisions; the shipwreck is pre-twentieth century or is otherwise historically significant and is 50 years old or older in age; the remains consist of a shipwreck sunken, abandoned, or a wreck of the sea, or are represented by the ship's remains and/or contents or related embedded treasure.

☐ Cache / Collection

Criterion for Caches / Collections (check all that apply)

☐ The cache or collection was assembled with public funds or taken from public lands;
☐ The preservation of materials is adequate to allow the application of standard archeological or conservation techniques;
☐ The cache or collection is of research value, thereby contributing to scientific knowledge; or
☐ The cache or collection is of historic value or contributes to a theme.

Continued on next page
Building, structures, objects, districts, and non-archeological sites (check all that apply)
- Building (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
  - Individually listed
  - Contributes to significance of a listed district
- Structure (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
  - Individually listed
  - Contributes to significance of a listed district
- Site
- Object
- District (must be listed in the National Register of Historic Places if buildings or structures are included)

Criteria for buildings, structures, non-archeological sites, objects (check all that apply):
- The property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history, including importance to a particular cultural or ethnic group;
- The property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
- The property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, represents the work of a master, possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction;
- The property has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in Texas culture or history;

4. Geographic Data

Archaeological properties (including shipwrecks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UTM Zone</th>
<th>NAD datum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NE Corner</td>
<td>Easting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE Corner</td>
<td>Easting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW Corner</td>
<td>Easting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW Corner</td>
<td>Easting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Centroid</td>
<td>Easting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- USGS quad name and number
- Acreage of nominated property: 7.1
- Attach USGS map with boundary and UTM coordinates or shapefiles

Description of Site

Location: [Redacted]

Site Type and Cultural Affiliation: Open campsite/buried roasting middens w/ Middle Archaic, Late Archaic, and Late Prehistoric components; modern (1901-present) stockraising complex

Buildings/Structures, or Districts with Buildings/Structures
- Attach scale map with boundary (survey map preferred)
- Attach deed or legal description. Indicate here if:
  - Deed
  - Metes and bounds
  - Block & Lot description with plat map
  - Survey map
  - Written boundary description (with reference to landmarks, property boundaries, and/or other fixed points)
- Indicate if boundary is the same as in the National Register nomination
5. Application Preparer

Name: Tim Roberts
Address: Texas Highway 118 North, Park Road 3
City: Fort Davis  County: Jeff Davis  State: TX
Telephone: 432-426-3533, ext. 238
Email Address: tim.roberts@tpwd.texas.gov
Nominator's Signature: [Signature]  Date: 12/6/2021

6. Property Owner

Name: Texas Parks and Wildlife Department
Address: 4200 Smith School Road
City: Austin  County: Travis  State: TX
Telephone: 512-389-4736
Email Address: michael.strutt@tpwd.texas.gov

☐ Additional owner information is attached.

7. Acknowledgments by Private Property Owners

I, __________________________________________, as owner of this property, understand that if this site is accepted and entered into the Commission’s records as a State Antiquities Landmark, it will thereafter be protected by, and its use governed by, the Antiquities Code of Texas insofar as provided in that Code. Furthermore, I understand that if the site is designated as a State Antiquities Landmark, a “Notice of Designation as a State Antiquities Landmark,” will be recorded in the deed records in the county in which the property is located. Furthermore, if the nominated property is building or structure, I understand that I must purchase a State Antiquities Landmark medallion. Furthermore, I understand that, in accordance with Section 191.097 of the Antiquities Code of Texas, the Commission may remove the designation of State Antiquities Landmark from the site if it is determined that such designation is no longer warranted. Furthermore, I swear that I am the owner of the parcel of land nominated for designation as a State Antiquities Landmark, or have consent of a legal authority to make this nomination, subject to penalty of law as provided by Texas Penal Code, Sec. 37.10.

Owner's Signature: _____________________________  Date: ____________

• Each private property owner must sign a copy of the nomination.

8. Nomination by Third Party Applicant of Properties owned by Cities and Counties

Any private individual or private group that desires to nominate a property owned by a political subdivision as a landmark must complete and return to the commission a nomination form, and must give notice of the nomination at the individual’s or group’s own expense, in a newspaper of general circulation published in the city, town, or county in which the building, structure or site is located. If no newspaper of general circulation is published in the city, town, or county, the notice must be published in a newspaper of general circulation in an adjoining or neighboring county that is circulated in the county of the applicant’s residence.

• The notice must be printed in 12-point boldface type; include the exact location of the building or site; and include the name of the group or individual nominating the building or site.

• An original copy of the notice and an affidavit of publication signed by the newspaper’s publisher must be submitted to the commission with a nomination form.

☐ I have complied with this requirement (attach proof of publication)
9. Attachments (indicate which items are included in application)

- □ Current photographs, sufficient for THC staff to confirm the property’s eligibility (digital files not accepted in lieu of prints)
- □ Maps
- □ Deed
- □ Proof of Publication
- □ Fiscal Impact Statement (Optional. For a building or structure owned by a political subdivision, the nomination may be accompanied by a statement assessing fiscal impacts of the potential designation on the political subdivision. The political subdivision may also supply a fiscal impact statement to be considered by the Commission).  
- □ National Register form (to be attached by THC staff)
- □ Archeological site data form
  - □ Other supporting documentation (briefly describe) Written site summary

10. Evaluation by THC Staff (for buildings and structures only)

□ Building/Structure is listed in the National Register of Historic Places
  □ Individually listed
  □ District (nominated in its entirety as an SAL)
  □ Contributes to significance of a listed district

Name of District ____________________________________________

Certified by __________________________ Date ____________________

11. Evaluation by THC Executive Director

□ The nomination is complete and acceptable.
□ The property retains integrity at the time of the nomination and is eligible for designation.

Signature: __________________________ Date: 01/18/2022
TAB 6.5 C
Consider approval of State Antiquities Landmark Nominations for 10 privately-owned parcels as part of James Bute Park \ Frost Town Historic Site (41HR982) State Antiquities Landmark

Background
The following privately-owned archeological site resources were recommended for designation to State Antiquities Landmark status at the February 24th, 2022, Antiquities Advisory Board meeting. The 10 privately-owned parcels are adjacent to the current limits of the portion of the archeological site of Frost Town (41HR982) designated as a State Antiquities Landmark by the Commission in August of 2010, and this will expand the existing boundary to include the original and additional proposed 10 parcels. Proper notice has been given to Art and Environmental Architecture, Inc., the land-owning organization, of the proposed nomination of the sites of the State Antiquities Landmark designation process. The motion is presented below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>James Bute Park/Frost Town Historic site (41HR982)</td>
<td>Harris</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Suggested Motion A:
Move that the Commission approve the SAL nomination of: 10 privately owned parcels as part of James Bute Park/Frost Town Historic site (41HR982).
TENNESSEE HISTORICAL COMMISSION

STATE ANTIQUITIES LANDMARK NOMINATION FORM

1. Property Name

Name of Property or Archeological Site/Trinomial: Frost Town Historic Site (41HR982)
Address: Ten Individual Lots Within Frost Town Historic Site (See Attachments)
City: Houston County: Harris Zip: 77006

2. Ownership (check all that apply)

☐ Public
  ☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
  ☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner ________________________ )
  ☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

☐ Private
  ☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
  ☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner ________________________ )
  ☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

3. Property Type & Significance (check all that apply)

☐ Archeological
  ☐ Historic
  ☐ Prehistoric

Criteria for Archeological Sites (check all that apply)
  ☐ The site has the potential to contribute to a better understanding of the prehistory and/or history of Tennessee by the addition of new and important information;
  ☐ The site's archeological deposits and the artifacts within the site are preserved and intact, thereby supporting the research potential or preservation interests of the site;
  ☐ The site possesses unique or rare attributes concerning Tennessee prehistory and/or history;
  ☐ The study of the site offers the opportunity to test theories and methods of preservation, thereby contributing to new scientific knowledge; and
  ☐ There is a high likelihood that vandalism and relic collecting has occurred or could occur, and official landmark designation is needed to ensure maximum legal protection, or alternatively, further investigations are needed to mitigate the effects of vandalism and relic collecting when the site cannot be protected.

☐ Shipwreck
  Criterion for Shipwrecks:
  ☐ The shipwreck is located on land owned or controlled by the State of Tennessee or one of its political subdivisions; the shipwreck is pre-twentieth century or is otherwise historically significant and is 50 years old or older in age; the remains consist of a shipwreck sunken, abandoned, or a wreck of the sea, or are represented by the ship's remains and/or contents or related embedded treasure.

☐ Cache / Collection
  Criteria for Caches / Collections (check all that apply)
  ☐ The cache or collection was assembled with public funds or taken from public lands;
  ☐ The preservation of materials is adequate to allow the application of standard archeological or conservation techniques;
  ☐ The cache or collection is of research value, thereby contributing to scientific knowledge; or
  ☐ The cache or collection is of historic value or contributes to a theme.

Continued on next page
☐ Buildings, structures, objects, districts, and non-archeological sites (check all that apply)
  ☐ Building (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
    ☐ Individually listed
    ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district
  ☐ Structure (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
    ☐ Individually listed
    ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district
  ☐ Site
  ☐ Object
  ☐ District (must be listed in the National Register of Historic Places if buildings or structures are included)

Criteria for buildings, structures, non-archeological sites, objects (check all that apply):
  ☐ The property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history, including importance to a particular cultural or ethnic group;
  ☐ The property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
  ☐ The property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, represents the work of a master, possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction;
  ☐ The property has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in Texas culture or history;

4. Geographic Data

Archeological properties (including shipwrecks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UTM Zone</th>
<th>NAD datum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| NE Corner | Easting | Northing |
| SE Corner | Easting | Northing |
| SW Corner | Easting | Northing |
| NW Corner | Easting | Northing |

Site centroid:

- USGS quad name and number  See Attachment for Locational Information
- Acreage of nominated property
- Attach USGS map with boundary and UTM coordinates or shapefiles

Description of Site

Location:

Site Type and Cultural Affiliation: Historic Early German Occupation

Buildings/Structures, or Districts with Buildings/Structures

- Attach scale map with boundary (survey map preferred)
- Attach deed or legal description. Indicate here if:
  - Deed
  - Metes and bounds
  - Block & Lot description with plat map
  - Survey map
  - Written boundary description (with reference to landmarks, property boundaries, and/or other fixed points)
  - Indicate if boundary is the same as in the National Register nomination
5. Application Preparer

Name: Jeff Durst
Address: Texas Historical Commission Staff
City: Austin
County: Travis
State: Tx.
Telephone: 512-463-8884
Email Address: Jeff.durst@thc.texas.gov
Nominator’s Signature: [Signature]
Date: 01/12/2022

6. Property Owner

Name: Art and Environmental Architecture, Inc.
Address: 1654 Bonnie Brae
City: Houston
County: Harris
State: TX
Telephone: 713-521-9453
Email Address: kirkfarris@abcglobal.net

☐ Additional owner information is attached.

7. Acknowledgments by Private Property Owners

I, Kirk Farris, as owner of this property, understand that if this site is accepted and entered into the Commission's records as a State Antiquities Landmark, it will thereafter be protected by, and its use governed by, the Antiquities Code of Texas as well as provided in that Code. Furthermore, I understand that if the site is designated as a State Antiquities Landmark, a "Notice of Designation as a State Antiquities Landmark," will be recorded in the deed records in the county in which the property is located. Furthermore, if the nominated property is building or structure, I understand that I must purchase a State Antiquities Landmark medallion. Furthermore, I understand that, in accordance with Section 191.097 of the Antiquities Code of Texas, the Commission may remove the designation of State Antiquities Landmark from the site if it is determined that such designation is no longer warranted. Furthermore, I swear that I am the owner of the parcel of land nominated for designation as a State Antiquities Landmark; or have consent of a legal authority to make this nomination, subject to penalty of law as provided by Texas Penal Code, Sec. 37.10.

Owner's Signature: [Signature]
Date: 1-11-2022

☐ Each private property owner must sign a copy of the nomination.

8. Nomination by Third Party Applicant of Properties owned by Cities and Counties

Any private individual or private group that desires to nominate a property owned by a political subdivision as a landmark must complete and return to the commission a nomination form, and must give notice of the nomination at the individual's or group's own expense, in a newspaper of general circulation published in the city, town, or county in which the building, structure, or site is located. If no newspaper of general circulation is published in the city, town, or county, the notice must be published in a newspaper of general circulation in an adjoining or neighboring county that is circulated in the county of the applicant's residence.

☐ The notice must be printed in 12-point boldface type; include the exact location of the building or site; and include the name of the group or individual nominating the building or site.
☐ An original copy of the notice and an affidavit of publication signed by the newspaper's publisher must be submitted to the commission with a nomination form.

☐ I have complied with this requirement (attach proof of publication)
9. Attachments (indicate which items are included in application)

☐ Current photographs, sufficient for THC staff to confirm the property’s eligibility (digital files not accepted in lieu of prints)
☐ Maps
☐ Deed
☐ Proof of Publication
☐ Fiscal Impact Statement (Optional. For a building or structure owned by a political subdivision, the nomination may be accompanied by a statement assessing fiscal impacts of the potential designation on the political subdivision. The political subdivision may also supply a fiscal impact statement to be considered by the Commission).
☐ National Register form (to be attached by THC staff)
☐ Archeological site data form

☐ Other supporting documentation (briefly describe) Frost Town (41HR982) has been recently extensively investigated by Pruitt & Associates in relation to a major TexDot project which impacted portions of the site. A complete report of the investigations is currently under production by Pruitt & Associates.

10. Evaluation by THC Staff (for buildings and structures only)

☐ Building/Structure is listed in the National Register of Historic Places
   ☐ Individually listed
   ☐ District (nominated in its entirety as an SAL)
   ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district

Name of District

Certified by __________________________ Date ____________

11. Evaluation by THC Executive Director

☐ The nomination is complete and acceptable.
☐ The property retains integrity at the time of the nomination and is eligible for designation.

Signature: __________________________ Date: 01/18/2022
TAB 6.5 D
Consider approval of State Antiquities Landmark Nominations for 4 archeological sites (Centipede Cave Parcel, Cunningham Parcel, Jaguar Parcel, and Mullen Parcel) located in the Sunset Ranch Development, Hudspeth County

**Background**
The following four privately-owned archeological site resources were recommended for designation to State Antiquities Landmark status at the February 2nd, 2022, Antiquities Advisory Board meeting. Proper notice has been given to The Archaeological Conservancy, the land-owning organization of the proposed nominated sites, of the State Antiquities Landmark designation process. The motion is presented below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>Sunset Ranch Petroglyphs – Centipede Cave Parcel</td>
<td>Hudspeth County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.</td>
<td>Sunset Ranch Petroglyphs – Cunningham Parcel</td>
<td>Hudspeth County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.</td>
<td>Sunset Ranch Petroglyphs – Jaguar Parcel</td>
<td>Hudspeth County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.</td>
<td>Sunset Ranch Petroglyphs – Mullen Parcel</td>
<td>Hudspeth County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Suggested Motion A:**
Move that the Commission approve the SAL nomination of: Sunset Ranch Petroglyphs – Centipede Cave Parcel; Sunset Ranch Petroglyphs – Cunningham Parcel; Sunset Ranch Petroglyphs – Jaguar Parcel; and Sunset Ranch Petroglyphs – Mullen Parcel, Hudspeth County, owned by The Archaeological Conservancy.
TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION

STATE ANTIQUITIES LANDMARK NOMINATION FORM

1. Property Name

Name of Property or Archaelogical Site/Trinomial: Sunset Ranch Petroglyphs - Centipede Cave Parcel
Address: McGuire Road, Sunset Ranch Development
City: El Paso  County: Hudspeth  Zip: 79839

2. Ownership (check all that apply)

☐ Public
  ☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
  ☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner ________________ )
  ☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

☐ Private
  ☑ Nomination prepared by property owner
  ☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner ________________ )
  ☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

3. Property Type & Significance (check all that apply)

☐ Archeological
  ☑ Historic
  ☐ Prehistoric

Criteria for Archeological Sites (check all that apply)

☐ The site has the potential to contribute to a better understanding of the prehistory and/or history of Texas by the addition of new and important information;
☐ The site's archeological deposits and the artifacts within the site are preserved and intact, thereby supporting the research potential or preservation interests of the site;
☐ The site possesses unique or rare attributes concerning Texas prehistory and/or history;
☐ The study of the site offers the opportunity to test theories and methods of preservation, thereby contributing to new scientific knowledge; and
☐ There is a high likelihood that vandalism and relic collecting has occurred or could occur, and official landmark designation is needed to ensure maximum legal protection, or alternatively, further investigations are needed to mitigate the effects of vandalism and relic collecting when the site cannot be protected.

☐ Shipwreck

Criterion for Shipwrecks:

☐ The shipwreck is located on land owned or controlled by the State of Texas or one of its political subdivisions; the shipwreck is pre-twentieth century or is otherwise historically significant and is 50 years old or older in age; the remains consist of a shipwreck sunken, abandoned, or a wreck of the sea, or are represented by the ship's remains and/or contents or related embedded treasure.

☐ Cache / Collection

Criteria for Caches / Collections (check all that apply)

☐ The cache or collection was assembled with public funds or taken from public lands;
☐ The preservation of materials is adequate to allow the application of standard archeological or conservation techniques;
☐ The cache or collection is of research value, thereby contributing to scientific knowledge; or
☐ The cache or collection is of historic value or contributes to a theme.

Continued on next page
☐ Buildings, structures, objects, districts, and non-archeological sites (check all that apply)
  ☐ Building (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
    ☐ Individually listed
    ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district
  ☐ Structure (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
    ☐ Individually listed
    ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district
  ☐ Site
  ☐ Object
  ☐ District (must be listed in the National Register of Historic Places if buildings or structures are included)

Criteria for buildings, structures, non-archeological sites, objects (check all that apply):
  ☐ The property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history, including importance to a particular cultural or ethnic group;
  ☐ The property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
  ☐ The property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, represents the work of a master, possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction;
  ☐ The property has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in Texas culture or history;

4. Geographic Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Archeological properties (including shipwrecks)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UTM Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE Corner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE Corner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW Corner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW Corner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Centroid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- USGS quad name and number
- Acreage of nominated property 120,000 acres
- Attach USGS map with boundary and UTM coordinates or shapefiles

Description of Site
Location: See attached legal description

Site Type and Cultural Affiliation: Archaic (2000-1000 BC); Jornada Mogollon (AD 550-1150); Apache (post AD 1500)

Buildings/Structures, or Districts with Buildings/Structures
- Attach scale map with boundary (survey map preferred)
- Attach deed or legal description. Indicate here if:
  - Deed
  - Metes and bounds
  - Block & Lot description with plat map
  - Survey map
  - Written boundary description (with reference to landmarks, property boundaries, and/or other fixed points)
  - Indicate if boundary is the same as in the National Register nomination
5. Application Preparer

Name: Shelby Magee, The Archaeological Conservancy, Field Representative
Address: 1717 Girard Blvd. NE
City: Albuquerque, County: Bernalillo, State: NM
Telephone: 505-286-1540
Email Address: shelbymagee.tac@gmail.com
Nominator's Signature: __________ Date: 11/18/2021

6. Property Owner

Name: The Archaeological Conservancy by Jim Walker, Vice President
Address: 1717 Girard Blvd. NE
City: Albuquerque, County: Bernalillo, State: NM
Telephone: 505-286-1540
Email Address: jimwalkerabq@gmail.com

☐ Additional owner information is attached.

7. Acknowledgments by Private Property Owners

I, The Archaeological Conservancy by Jim Walker, VP, as owner of this property, understand that if this site is accepted and entered into the Commission’s records as a State Antiquities Landmark, it will thereafter be protected by, and its use governed by, the Antiquities Code of Texas insofar as provided in that Code. Furthermore, I understand that if the site is designated as a State Antiquities Landmark, a “Notice of Designation as a State Antiquities Landmark,” will be recorded in the deed records in the county in which the property is located. Furthermore, if the nominated property is building or structure, I understand that I must purchase a State Antiquities Landmark medallion. Furthermore, I understand that, in accordance with Section 191.097 of the Antiquities Code of Texas, the Commission may remove the designation of State Antiquities Landmark from the site if it is determined that such designation is no longer warranted. Furthermore, I swear that I am the owner of the parcel of land nominated for designation as a State Antiquities Landmark, or have consent of a legal authority to make this nomination, subject to penalty of law as provided by Texas Penal Code, Sec. 37.10.

Owner's Signature: __________ Date: 11/18/2021

☐ Each private property owner must sign a copy of the nomination.

8. Nomination by Third Party Applicant of Properties owned by Cities and Counties

Any private individual or private group that desires to nominate a property owned by a political subdivision as a landmark must complete and return to the commission a nomination form, and must give notice of the nomination at the individual's or group's own expense, in a newspaper of general circulation published in the city, town, or county in which the building, structure or site is located. If no newspaper of general circulation is published in the city, town, or county, the notice must be published in a newspaper of general circulation in an adjoining or neighboring county that is circulated in the county of the applicant's residence.

- The notice must be printed in 12-point boldface type; include the exact location of the building or site; and include the name of the group or individual nominating the building or site.
- An original copy of the notice and an affidavit of publication signed by the newspaper's publisher must be submitted to the commission with a nomination form.

☐ I have complied with this requirement (attach proof of publication)
9. Attachments (indicate which items are included in application)

- Current photographs, sufficient for THC staff to confirm the property’s eligibility (digital files not accepted in lieu of prints)
- Maps
- Deed
- Proof of Publication
- Fiscal Impact Statement (Optional. For a building or structure owned by a political subdivision, the nomination may be accompanied by a statement assessing fiscal impacts of the potential designation on the political subdivision. The political subdivision may also supply a fiscal impact statement to be considered by the Commission).
- National Register form (to be attached by THC staff)
- Archeological site data form
- Other supporting documentation (briefly describe)


10. Evaluation by THC Staff (for buildings and structures only)

- Building/Structure is listed in the National Register of Historic Places
  - Individually listed
  - District (nominated in its entirety as an SAL)
  - Contributes to significance of a listed district

Name of District
Certified by ___________________________ Date ___________________________

11. Evaluation by THC Executive Director

☐ The nomination is complete and acceptable.
☐ The property retains integrity at the time of the nomination and is eligible for designation.

Signature: ___________________________ Date: 01/18/2022
1. Property Name

Name of Property or Archeological Site/Trinomial: Sunset Ranch Petroglyphs - Cunningham Parcel
Address: Santana Ave, Sunset Ranch Development
City: El Paso
County: Hudspeth
Zip: 79839

2. Ownership (check all that apply)

☐ Public
☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner)
☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

☒ Private
☒ Nomination prepared by property owner
☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner)
☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

3. Property Type & Significance (check all that apply)

☒ Archeological
☐ Historic
☐ Prehistoric

Criteria for Archeological Sites (check all that apply)

☒ The site has the potential to contribute to a better understanding of the prehistory and/or history of Texas by the addition of new and important information;
☒ The site's archeological deposits and the artifacts within the site are preserved and intact, thereby supporting the research potential or preservation interests of the site;
☒ The site possesses unique or rare attributes concerning Texas prehistory and/or history;
☒ The study of the site offers the opportunity to test theories and methods of preservation, thereby contributing to new scientific knowledge; and
☒ There is a high likelihood that vandalism and relic collecting has occurred or could occur, and official landmark designation is needed to ensure maximum legal protection, or alternatively, further investigations are needed to mitigate the effects of vandalism and relic collecting when the site cannot be protected.

☐ Shipwreck

Criterion for Shipwrecks:

☐ The shipwreck is located on land owned or controlled by the State of Texas or one of its political subdivisions; the shipwreck is pre-twentieth century or is otherwise historically significant and is 50 years old or older in age; the remains consist of a shipwreck sunken, abandoned, or a wreck of the sea, or are represented by the ship's remains and/or contents or related embedded treasure.

☐ Cache / Collection

Criteria for Caches / Collections (check all that apply)

☐ The cache or collection was assembled with public funds or taken from public lands;
☐ The preservation of materials is adequate to allow the application of standard archeological or conservation techniques;
☐ The cache or collection is of research value, thereby contributing to scientific knowledge; or
☐ The cache or collection is of historic value or contributes to a theme.

Continued on next page
☐ Buildings, structures, objects, districts, and non-archeological sites (check all that apply)
  ☐ Building (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
    ☐ Individually listed
    ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district
  ☐ Structure (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
    ☐ Individually listed
    ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district
  ☐ Site
  ☐ Object
  ☐ District (must be listed in the National Register of Historic Places if buildings or structures are included)

Criteria for buildings, structures, non-archeological sites, objects (check all that apply):
  ☐ The property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history, including importance to a particular cultural or ethnic group;
  ☐ The property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
  ☐ The property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, represents the work of a master, possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction;
  ☐ The property has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in Texas culture or history;

4. Geographic Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Archeological properties (including shipwrecks)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UTM Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE Corner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE Corner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW Corner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW Corner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Centroid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- USGS quad name and number
- Acreage of nominated property: 20.585 acres
- Attach USGS map with boundary and UTM coordinates or shapefiles

Description of Site

Location: see attached legal description

Site Type and Cultural Affiliation: Archaic (2000-1000 BC); Jornada Mogollon (AD 550-1150); Apache (post AD 1600)

Buildings/Structures, or Districts with Buildings/Structures

- Attach scale map with boundary (survey map preferred)
- Attach deed or legal description. Indicate here if:
  - Deed
  - Metes and bounds
  - Block & Lot description with plat map
  - Survey map
  - Written boundary description (with reference to landmarks, property boundaries, and/or other fixed points)
  - Indicate if boundary is the same as in the National Register nomination
5. Application Preparer

Name: Shelby Magee, The Archaeological Conservancy, Field Representative
Address: 1717 Girard Blvd., NE
City: Albuquerque, County: Bernalillo, State: NM
Telephone: 505-266-1540
Email Address: shelbymagee.tac@gmail.com
Nominator's Signature: _______________ Date: 11/18/2021

6. Property Owner

Name: The Archaeological Conservancy by Jim Walker, Vice President
Address: 1717 Girard Blvd., NE
City: Albuquerque, County: Bernalillo, State: NM
Telephone: 505-266-1540
Email Address: jimwalkerabq@gmail.com

☐ Additional owner information is attached.

7. Acknowledgments by Private Property Owners

1. The Archaeological Conservancy by Jim Walker, VP, as owner of this property, understand that if this site is accepted and entered into the Commission’s records as a State Antiquities Landmark, it will thereafter be protected by, and its use governed by, the Antiquities Code of Texas as provided in that Code. Furthermore, I understand that if the site is designated as a State Antiquities Landmark, a “Notice of Designation as a State Antiquities Landmark,” will be recorded in the deed records in the county in which the property is located. Furthermore, if the nominated property is building or structure, I understand that I must purchase a State Antiquities Landmark medallion. Furthermore, I understand that, in accordance with Section 191.097 of the Antiquities Code of Texas, the Commission may remove the designation of State Antiquities Landmark from the site if it is determined that such designation is no longer warranted. Furthermore, I swear that I am the owner of the parcel of land nominated for designation as a State Antiquities Landmark, or have consent of a legal authority to make this nomination, subject to penalty of law as provided by Texas Penal Code, Sec. 37.10.

Owner's Signature: _______________ Date: 11/18/2021

☐ Each private property owner must sign a copy of the nomination.

8. Nomination by Third Party Applicant of Properties owned by Cities and Counties

Any private individual or private group that desires to nominate a property owned by a political subdivision as a landmark must complete and return to the commission a nomination form, and must give notice of the nomination at the individual’s or group’s own expense, in a newspaper of general circulation published in the city, town, or county in which the building, structure or site is located. If no newspaper of general circulation is published in the city, town, or county, the notice must be published in a newspaper of general circulation in an adjoining or neighboring county that is circulated in the county of the applicant’s residence.

- The notice must be printed in 12-point boldface type; include the exact location of the building or site; and include the name of the group or individual nominating the building or site.
- An original copy of the notice and an affidavit of publication signed by the newspaper’s publisher must be submitted to the commission with a nomination form.

☐ I have complied with this requirement (attach proof of publication)
9. Attachments (indicate which items are included in application)

☐ Current photographs, sufficient for THC staff to confirm the property's eligibility (digital files not accepted in lieu of prints)
☐ Maps
☐ Deed
☐ Proof of Publication
☐ Fiscal Impact Statement (Optional. For a building or structure owned by a political subdivision, the nomination may be accompanied by a statement assessing fiscal impacts of the potential designation on the political subdivision. The political subdivision may also supply a fiscal impact statement to be considered by the Commission).
☐ National Register form (to be attached by THC staff)
☐ Archaeological site data form
☐ Other supporting documentation (briefly describe)

Vol. 25, No. 1; ARARA Conservation and Preservation Award: Announced in "Conservancy Receives Award for preservation of Petroglyphs at Sunset Ranch". By Jim Walker, article in American Archaeology, pp. 51. Fall 2021, Vol. 25, No. 3

10. Evaluation by THC Staff (for buildings and structures only)

☐ Building/Structure is listed in the National Register of Historic Places

☐ Individually listed
☐ District (nominated in its entirety as an SAL)
☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district

Name of District

Certified by __________________________ Date __________________________

11. Evaluation by THC Executive Director

☑ The nomination is complete and acceptable.
☑ The property retains integrity at the time of the nomination and is eligible for designation.

Signature: __________________________ Date: 01/18/2022
TExAS HiSTORICAL COmmIssion

STATE ANTIQUITIES LANDMARK NOMINATION FORM

1. Property Name

Name of Property or Archeological Site/Trinomial: Sunset Ranch Petroglyphs - Jaguar Parcel
Address: McGuyers Road, Sunset Ranch Development
City: El Paso
County: Hudspeth
Zip: 79839

2. Ownership (check all that apply)
☐ Public
☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner)
☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission
☐ Private
☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner)
☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

3. Property Type & Significance (check all that apply)
☐ Archeological
☐ Historic
☐ Prehistoric

Criteria for Archeological Sites (check all that apply)
☐ The site has the potential to contribute to a better understanding of the prehistory and/or history of Texas by the addition of new and important information;
☐ The site's archeological deposits and the artifacts within the site are preserved and intact, thereby supporting the research potential or preservation interests of the site;
☐ The site possesses unique or rare attributes concerning Texas prehistory and/or history;
☐ The study of the site offers the opportunity to test theories and methods of preservation, thereby contributing to new scientific knowledge; and
☐ There is a high likelihood that vandalism and relic collecting has occurred or could occur, and official landmark designation is needed to ensure maximum legal protection, or alternatively, further investigations are needed to mitigate the effects of vandalism and relic collecting when the site cannot be protected.

☐ Shipwreck
Criterion for Shipwrecks:
☐ The shipwreck is located on land owned or controlled by the State of Texas or one of its political subdivisions; the shipwreck is pre-twentieth century or is otherwise historically significant and is 50 years old or older in age; the remains consist of a shipwreck sunken, abandoned, or a wreck of the sea, or are represented by the ship's remains and/or contents or related embedded treasure.

☐ Cache / Collection
Criteria for Caches / Collections (check all that apply)
☐ The cache or collection was assembled with public funds or taken from public lands;
☐ The preservation of materials is adequate to allow the application of standard archeological or conservation techniques;
☐ The cache or collection is of research value, thereby contributing to scientific knowledge; or
☐ The cache or collection is of historic value or contributes to a theme.

Continued on next page
☐ Buildings, structures, objects, districts, and non-archeological sites (check all that apply)
  ☐ Building (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
    ☐ Individually listed
    ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district
  ☐ Structure (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
    ☐ Individually listed
    ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district
  ☐ Site
  ☐ Object
  ☐ District (must be listed in the National Register of Historic Places if buildings or structures are included)

Criteria for buildings, structures, non-archeological sites, objects (check all that apply):
  ☐ The property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history, including importance to a particular cultural or ethnic group;
  ☐ The property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
  ☐ The property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, represents the work of a master, possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction;
  ☐ The property has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in Texas culture or history;

4. Geographic Data

Archeological properties (including shipwrecks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UTM Zone</th>
<th>NAD datum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NE Corner</th>
<th>SE Corner</th>
<th>SW Corner</th>
<th>NW Corner</th>
<th>Site Centroid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Easting</td>
<td>Easting</td>
<td>Easting</td>
<td>Easting</td>
<td>Easting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northing</td>
<td>Northing</td>
<td>Northing</td>
<td>Northing</td>
<td>Northing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- USGS quad name and number
- Acreage of nominated property 52.638 acres
- Attach USGS map with boundary and UTM coordinates or shapefiles

Description of Site

Location: [Redacted]

Site Type and Cultural Affiliation: Archaic (2000-1000 BC); Jornada Mogollon (AD 550-1150); Apache (post AD 1600)

Buildings/Structures, or Districts with Buildings/Structures
- Attach scale map with boundary (survey map preferred)
- Attach deed or legal description. Indicate here if:
  ☐ Deed
  ☐ Metes and bounds
  ☐ Block & Lot description with plat map
  ☐ Survey map
  ☐ Written boundary description (with reference to landmarks, property boundaries, and/or other fixed points)
  ☐ Indicate if boundary is the same as in the National Register nomination
5. Application Preparer

Name: Shelby Magee, The Archaeological Conservancy, Field Representative
Address: 1717 Girard Blvd. NE
City: Albuquerque, County: Bernalillo, State: NM
Telephone: 505-266-1540
Email Address: shelbymagee.tac@gmail.com
Nominator's Signature: Magee
Date: 11/18/2021

6. Property Owner

Name: The Archaeological Conservancy by Jim Walker, Vice President
Address: 1717 Girard Blvd. NE
City: Albuquerque, County: Bernalillo, State: NM
Telephone: 505-266-1540
Email Address: jimwalkerafbq@gmail.com

Additional owner information is attached.

7. Acknowledgments by Private Property Owners

I, The Archaeological Conservancy by Jim Walker, VP, as owner of this property, understand that if this site is accepted and entered into the Commission’s records as a State Antiquities Landmark, it will thereafter be protected by, and its use governed by, the Antiquities Code of Texas insofar as provided in that Code. Furthermore, I understand that if the site is designated as a State Antiquities Landmark, a “Notice of Designation as a State Antiquities Landmark,” will be recorded in the deed records in the county in which the property is located. Furthermore, if the nominated property is building or structure, I understand that I must purchase a State Antiquities Landmark mediation. Furthermore, I understand that, in accordance with Section 191.097 of the Antiquities Code of Texas, the Commission may remove the designation of State Antiquities Landmark from the site if it is determined that such designation is no longer warranted. Furthermore, I swear that I am the owner of the parcel of land nominated for designation as a State Antiquities Landmark, or have consent of a legal authority to make this nomination, subject to penalty of law as provided by Texas Penal Code, Sec. 37.10.

Owner's Signature: ___________________________ Date: 11/18/2021
* Each private property owner must sign a copy of the nomination.

8. Nomination by Third Party Applicant of Properties owned by Cities and Counties

Any private individual or private group that desires to nominate a property owned by a political subdivision as a landmark must complete and return to the commission a nomination form, and must give notice of the nomination at the individual's or group's own expense, in a newspaper of general circulation published in the city, town, or county in which the building, structure or site is located. If no newspaper of general circulation is published in the city, town, or county, the notice must be published in a newspaper of general circulation in an adjoining or neighboring county that is circulated in the county of the applicant's residence.

- The notice must be printed in 12-point boldface type; include the exact location of the building or site; and include the name of the group or individual nominating the building or site.
- An original copy of the notice and an affidavit of publication signed by the newspaper's publisher must be submitted to the commission with a nomination form.

☐ I have complied with this requirement (attach proof of publication)
9. Attachments (indicate which items are included in application)

- Current photographs, sufficient for THC staff to confirm the property’s eligibility (digital files not accepted in lieu of prints)
- Maps
- Deed
- Proof of Publication
- Fiscal Impact Statement (Optional. For a building or structure owned by a political subdivision, the nomination may be accompanied by a statement assessing fiscal impacts of the potential designation on the political subdivision. The political subdivision may also supply a fiscal impact statement to be considered by the Commission).
- National Register form (to be attached by THC staff)
- Archeological site data form

10. Evaluation by THC Staff (for buildings and structures only)

- Building/Structure is listed in the National Register of Historic Places
  - Individually listed
  - District (nominated in its entirety as an SAL)
  - Contributes to significance of a listed district

Name of District ___________________________
Certified by ___________________________ Date ____________

11. Evaluation by THC Executive Director

☐ The nomination is complete and acceptable.
☐ The property retains integrity at the time of the nomination and is eligible for designation.

Signature: ___________________________ Date: 01/16/2022
STATE ANTIQUITIES LANDMARK NOMINATION FORM

1. Property Name

Name of Property or Archeological Site/Trinomial: Sunset Ranch Petroglyphs - Mullen Parcel
Address: McGuyers Road, Sunset Ranch Development
City: El Paso
County: Hudspeth
Zip: 79839

2. Ownership (check all that apply)

☐ Public
  ☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
  ☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner)
  ☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

☐ Private
  ☐ Nomination prepared by property owner
  ☐ Nomination prepared by third party (indicate relationship to owner)
  ☐ Nomination prepared by Texas Historical Commission

3. Property Type & Significance (check all that apply)

☐ Archeological
  ☑ Historic
  ☑ Prehistoric

Criteria for Archeological Sites (check all that apply)

☐ The site has the potential to contribute to a better understanding of the prehistory and/or history of Texas by the addition of new and important information;
☐ The site's archeological deposits and the artifacts within the site are preserved and intact, thereby supporting the research potential or preservation interests of the site;
☐ The site possesses unique or rare attributes concerning Texas prehistory and/or history;
☐ The study of the site offers the opportunity to test theories and methods of preservation, thereby contributing to new scientific knowledge; and
☐ There is a high likelihood that vandalism and relic collecting has occurred or could occur, and official landmark designation is needed to ensure maximum legal protection, or alternatively, further investigations are needed to mitigate the effects of vandalism and relic collecting when the site cannot be protected.

☐ Shipwreck
  Criterion for Shipwrecks:
  ☐ The shipwreck is located on land owned or controlled by the State of Texas or one of its political subdivisions; the shipwreck is pre-twentieth century or is otherwise historically significant and is 50 years old or older in age; the remains consist of a shipwreck sunken, abandoned, or a wreck of the sea, or are represented by the ship's remains and/or contents or related embedded treasure.

☐ Cache / Collection
  Criterion for Caches / Collections (check all that apply)
  ☐ The cache or collection was assembled with public funds or taken from public lands;
  ☐ The preservation of materials is adequate to allow the application of standard archeological or conservation techniques;
  ☐ The cache or collection is of research value, thereby contributing to scientific knowledge; or
  ☐ The cache or collection is of historic value or contributes to a theme.

Continued on next page
☐ Buildings, structures, objects, districts, and non-archeological sites (check all that apply)
  ☐ Building (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
    ☐ Individually listed
    ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district
  ☐ Structure (must be listed in National Register of Historic Places)
    ☐ Individually listed
    ☐ Contributes to significance of a listed district
  ☐ Site
  ☐ Object
  ☐ District (must be listed in the National Register of Historic Places if buildings or structures are included)

Criteria for buildings, structures, non-archeological sites, objects (check all that apply):
  ☐ The property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history, including importance to a particular cultural or ethnic group;
  ☐ The property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
  ☐ The property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, represents the work of a master, possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction;
  ☐ The property has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in Texas culture or history;

4. Geographic Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Archeological properties (including shipwrecks)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UTM Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE Corner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE Corner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW Corner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW Corner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Centroid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- USGS quad name and number
- Acreage of nominated property: 109.128 acres
- Attach USGS map with boundary and UTM coordinates or shapefiles

Description of Site
Location: see attached legal description

Site Type and Cultural Affiliation: Archaic (2000-1000 BC); Jornada Mogollon (AD 550-1150); Apache (post AD 1500)

Buildings/Structures, or Districts with Buildings/Structures
- Attach scale map with boundary (survey map preferred)
- Attach deed or legal description. Indicate here if:
  ☐ Deed
  ☐ Metes and bounds
  ☐ Block & Lot description with plat map
  ☐ Survey map
  ☐ Written boundary description (with reference to landmarks, property boundaries, and/or other fixed points)
  ☐ Indicate if boundary is the same as in the National Register nomination
5. Application Preparer

Name: Shelby Magee, The Archaeological Conservancy, Field Representative
Address: 1717 Girard Blvd. NE
City: Albuquerque, County: Bernalillo, State: NM
Telephone: 505-266-1540
Email Address: shelbymagee.tac@gmail.com
Nominee’s Signature: Magee Date: 11/18/2021

6. Property Owner

Name: The Archaeological Conservancy by Jim Walker, Vice President
Address: 1717 Girard Blvd. NE
City: Albuquerque, County: Bernalillo, State: NM
Telephone: 505-266-1540
Email Address: jimwalkerabq@gmail.com

☐ Additional owner information is attached.

7. Acknowledgments by Private Property Owners

I, The Archaeological Conservancy by Jim Walker, VP, as owner of this property, understand that if this site is accepted and entered into the Commission’s records as a State Antiquities Landmark, it will thereafter be protected by, and its use governed by, the Antiquities Code of Texas as provided in that Code. Furthermore, I understand that if the site is designated as a State Antiquities Landmark, a “Notice of Designation as a State Antiquities Landmark,” will be recorded in the deed records in the county in which the property is located. Furthermore, if the nominated property is building or structure, I understand that I must purchase a State Antiquities Landmark medallion. Furthermore, I understand that, in accordance with Section 191.097 of the Antiquities Code of Texas, the Commission may remove the designation of State Antiquities Landmark from the site if it is determined that such designation is no longer warranted. Furthermore, I swear that I am the owner of the parcel of land nominated for designation as a State Antiquities Landmark, or have consent of a legal authority to make this nomination, subject to penalty of law as provided by Texas Penal Code, Sec. 37.10.

Owner’s Signature: \[Signature\] Date: 11/18/2021
- Each private property owner must sign a copy of the nomination.

8. Nomination by Third Party Applicant of Properties owned by Cities and Counties

Any private individual or private group that desires to nominate a property owned by a political subdivision as a landmark must complete and return to the commission a nomination form, and must give notice of the nomination at the individual’s or group’s own expense, in a newspaper of general circulation published in the city, town, or county in which the building, structure or site is located. If no newspaper of general circulation is published in the city, town, or county, the notice must be published in a newspaper of general circulation in an adjoining or neighboring county that is circulated in the county of the applicant’s residence.

- The notice must be printed in 12-point boldface type; include the exact location of the building or site; and include the name of the group or individual nominating the building or site.
- An original copy of the notice and an affidavit of publication signed by the newspaper’s publisher must be submitted to the commission with a nomination form.

☐ I have complied with this requirement (attach proof of publication)
9. Attachments (indicate which items are included in application)

- Current photographs, sufficient for THC staff to confirm the property's eligibility (digital files not accepted in lieu of prints)
- Maps
- Deed
- Proof of Publication
- Fiscal Impact Statement (Optional. For a building or structure owned by a political subdivision, the nomination may be accompanied by a statement assessing fiscal impacts of the potential designation on the political subdivision. The political subdivision may also supply a fiscal impact statement to be considered by the Commission).
- National Register form (to be attached by THC staff)
- Archeological site data form
- Other supporting documentation (briefly describe)


10. Evaluation by THC Staff (for buildings and structures only)

- Building/Structure is listed in the National Register of Historic Places
  - Individually listed
  - District (nominated in its entirety as an SAL)
  - Contributes to significance of a listed district

Name of District

Certified by _____________________________ Date __________________________

11. Evaluation by THC Executive Director

☐ The nomination is complete and acceptable.
☐ The property retains integrity at the time of the nomination and is eligible for designation.

Signature: ____________________________ Date: 01/18/2022
TAB 6.6
Consider approval of the FY 2022 Survey of Employee Engagement (SEE) Operational Action Plan

**Background:**

Since 1994, THC has participated in this biennial Survey, which is administered by The University of Texas Institute for Organizational Excellence (UT). UT recommends that an agency focus on improving its three lowest scoring areas.

A proposed SEE Action Plan has been developed that lists several specific strategies to focus on improvement of the three lowest scoring areas of the Survey and to reinforce the very favorable results achieved overall.

**Recommended motion (Committee):**

Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of the THC FY 2022 Survey of Employee Engagement Operational Action Plan.
Strategy 1: Re-enforce THC as a positive place to work by recognition of staff performance through monetary and non-monetary recognition and awards.

Short term actions (1 year):
A. Conduct a targeted follow-up annual Compensation Review of Archaeologist, Information Technology, Architect, Historian, Project Reviewer, Project Design Specialist and selected Historic Sites job classifications to confirm compensation alignment with current salary market conditions and adjust as needed.
B. Include a review of Division Director compensation structure to ensure appropriate supervisor and employee salary equity and relationships are preserved.
C. Conduct biennial review of State Job Classifications and Salary Group Ranges utilized by THC; submit recommendations to the State Auditor’s Office for needed changes to the State Classification Act for consideration in 88th Legislative Session.
D. Continue Staff Performance Awards Recognition Program and identify needed revisions.
E. Continue a robust telework program that allows employees some flexibility to productively work remote to meet job expectations. Review program annually for needed adjustments or revisions.

Long term actions (2 years):
F. Conduct additional training for Directors on Compensation and Performance Management Best Practices.
G. Complete organizational assessment of the THC division Team Lead structure, job expectations and responsibilities to:
   • Identify leadership training opportunities for Division Program Leads who provide formalized workload management support to Directors, and
   • Increase employee development and career progression opportunities for our program leads and other key professional staff.
H. Identify strategies to improve our new employee orientation to educate newer employees on career advancement and professional development opportunities to reinforce retention.

Strategy 2: Re-enforce an environment where internal communications and feedback is welcomed, valued, and utilized.

Short term actions (1 year):
A. Share current SEE Results, SEE Action Plan, and provide progress updates at the all-agency staff meeting and in individual division staff meetings.
B. Continue monthly division staff meetings and bi-monthly all-agency state-wide meetings to encourage maximum participation and feedback.
C. Continue monthly employee newsletter that highlights THC staff and their accomplishments, published in both digital and print versions to encourage on-line access and employee sharing with family members.
D. Conduct informal division staff meetings with Executive Director to solicit and promote open and informal staff feedback.
E. Provide feedback to each Director using the results of the SEE and the feedback provided by their employees in individual Division Teambuilding Training Sessions.
F. Continue formal customer service training for all agency staff including establishment of expectations for responding to questions or requests for assistance in a reasonable timeframe.
G. Review/Update current THC intranet employee resources to improve employee ease of access to agency communications, operating policies, procedures, and frequently asked questions.
Long term actions (2 years):
  H. Conduct additional performance management training for all Directors/Team Leads on performance management, goal setting and soliciting/providing meaningful employee feedback.

Strategy 3: Continue improvements to information systems infrastructure, data accessibility and productivity tools for staff.

Short term actions (1 year):
  A. Continue 4-year refresh cycle for all employee Personal Computers and software to keep hardware and software technology current.
  B. Conduct post – implementation review of Comptroller’s CAPPS Financial and Human Resources system and provide feedback to CPA for suggested improvements.
  C. Continue Implementation of improved Historic Sites Public/Guest Wifi Technology Plan based on Historic Sites Management priority and funding available, including any newly acquired historic sites approved by the commission.
  D. Continue Point-of-Sale System Assessment/Enhancements and Staff Training at Historic Sites to improve Site business operations and support Retail Development Plan Expansion.
  E. Evaluate new systems technology improvements needed to the eTrac 106 project review system.
  F. Develop a proposal for a digital storage and information retrieval system solution to improve data, document, and photographic image access for THC applications and program staff.
  G. Determine best strategy to fund needed technology improvements. If appropriate, include funding request for needed technology improvements in the Legislative Appropriations Request for FY 2024 – 2025.

Long term actions (2 years):
  H. Implement enhancements to on-call Help Desk Support model to improve technical support response time for Central Austin and Historic Sites employees.
  I. Implement In-tune technology to allow software updates and patches to be automatically pushed out to all employee computer workstations to ensure current versions of software installed and improved security.

Strategy 4: Re-enforce and Support Strategic Leadership and Supervisory Development.

Short term actions (1 year):
  A. Continue formal training for all supervisors and employees on managing a remote workforce and being a successful teleworker. This training will ensure employee expectations of telework are clearly communicated and understood by the employee and will prepare the supervisor for effectively managing a remote worker. This training will include an opportunity for employee feedback after supervisor training is complete.
  B. Provide regular opportunities for managerial staff to meet with each other to discuss ongoing supervisory efforts and issues.

Long term actions (2 years):
  C. Provide THC directors the opportunity to complete strategic leadership training in the planned Texas Leadership Experience Academy under development at Washington on the Brazos State Historic Site in partnership with Blinn College and Texas A & M University.
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Introduction
Since 1994, the Texas Historical Commission (THC) has participated in the biennial Survey of Employee Engagement (SEE) conducted by the Institute of Organizational Excellence at The University of Texas at Austin. The data provides information not only about employees’ perceptions of the effectiveness of their own organization, but also about employees’ satisfaction with their employer. The survey is a catalyst to promote excellence through participation and accountability.

During December 2021 to mid-January 2022, the staff of the THC completed this anonymous survey, and the results were released to the agency in February 2022. The Survey consisted of 48 questions posed to employees that measure 12 different areas or categories called Constructs plus a Climate Category. These Constructs are used to assess overall how the agency functions. Each Construct category is rated on a 5-point scale of 1 – 5, from Strongly Disagree to Strongly Agree. There is an overall agency score, and each Construct receives a score.

A score above 350 is the desirable target. A score below 300 indicates a cause for concern.

So how did THC fare on the survey?
Overall, the 2022 THC results are very favorable and improved from 2019:

- THC achieved an overall agency score of 397, an improvement from 2019’s score of 394. According to UT, scores for state agencies typically range from 325 to 375. So, THC’s trend is positive.
- The employee response rate of 85.6 percent was slightly up from 2019. A desirable target is > 50% so our response rate is considered high compared to other agencies.
- Out of 12 constructs, 11 constructs scored over 375, with 9 constructs scoring 400 or higher – these scores indicate areas of substantial strength.
- Categories deserving special mention are:
  - Strategic with a Score of 406.
  - Job Satisfaction with a score of 408.
  - Employee Engagement with a score of 411.
  - Supervision with a score of 421.
  - Information Systems with a score of 401.
- Lowest scoring categories include:
  - Pay with a score of 299, a slight improvement from 2019 and 5.6% higher compared to similar agencies.
  - Employee Development with a score of 389.
  - Internal Communications with a score of 387, an increase from 2019’s score of 377.

All 3 of the lowest scoring areas (Employee Development, Internal Communications and Pay) either improved or remained stable compared to 2019. Two of these three exceeded the desirable goal of 350 or better, and all three scores surpassed the average benchmark scores for similar-sized agencies.

UT recommends that an agency focus its efforts on improvement of its 3 lowest scoring areas. THC has developed a proposed action plan that outlines additional strategies to further improve the areas of Pay, Employee Development, and Internal Communications, and that will reinforce the overall positive results from the 2021 Survey.
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Introduction

THANK YOU for your participation in the Survey of Employee Engagement (SEE). We trust that you will find this information helpful in your leadership planning and organizational development efforts. The SEE is specifically focused on the key drivers relative to the ability to engage employees towards successfully fulfilling the vision and mission of the organization.

Inside this report, you will find many tools to assist you in understanding the engagement of your employees. Your first indication of engagement will be the response rate of your employees. From there, we share with you the overall score for your organization, averaging all survey items. You will also find a breakdown of the levels of engagement found among your employees. We have provided demographic information about the employees surveyed as well as what percent are leaving or retiring in the near future. Then, this report contains a breakdown of the scoring for each construct we surveyed, highlighting areas of strength and areas of concern. Finally, we have provided Focus Forward action items throughout the report and a timeline suggesting how to move forward with what you have learned from the survey results.

Your report represents aggregate data, but some organizations will want further information. For example, the SEE makes it possible to see results broken down by demographic groupings. We would enjoy hearing how you've used the data, and what you liked and disliked about the SEE experience. We are here to help you engage your employees in achieving your vision and mission.

Noel Landuyt
Associate Director
Institute for Organizational Excellence
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The Survey

Primary Items
The Survey of Employee Engagement (SEE) consists of a series of 48 primary items used to assess essential and fundamental aspects of how the organization functions. The items are on a 5-point scale from Strongly Disagree (1) to Strongly Agree (5).

Demographic Items
Also included on the SEE instrument are a series of items to ascertain the demography of the respondents.

Constructs
Similar items are grouped together, and their scores are averaged to produce twelve construct measures. These constructs capture the concepts most utilized by leadership and drive organizational performance and engagement.

Overall Score
The Overall Score is an average of all survey items and represents the overall score for the organization. It is a broad indicator for comparison purposes with other entities.

Levels of Employee Engagement
Twelve items crossing several survey constructs have been selected to assess the level of engagement (high, moderate, or low) among individual employees.

Breakout Categories
Organizations can use breakout categories to get a cross-sectional look at specific functional or geographic areas. Your organization had a total of 9 breakout categories.

Additional Items
Organizations can customize their survey with up to 20 additional items. These items can target issues specific to the organization. Your organization did not use additional items.
Employee Engagement

Response Rate

85.6%  Up 3.1%

The response rate to the survey is your first indication of the level of employee engagement in your organization. Of the 257 employees invited to take the survey, 220 responded for a response rate of 85.6%. As a general rule, rates higher than 50% suggest soundness, while rates lower than 30% may indicate problems. At 85.6%, your response rate is considered high. High rates mean that employees have an investment in the organization and are willing to contribute towards making improvements within the workplace. With this level of engagement, employees have high expectations from leadership to act upon the survey results.

Overall Score

The overall score is a broad indicator for comparison purposes with other entities. Scores above 350 are desirable, and when scores dip below 300, there should be cause for concern. Scores above 400 are the product of a highly engaged workforce. Your Overall Score from last time was 394.

Overall Score: 397

Levels of Employee Engagement

Twelve items crossing several survey constructs have been selected to assess the level of engagement among individual employees. For this organization, 35% of employees are Highly Engaged, 30% are Engaged, 27% are Moderately Engaged, and 8% are Disengaged.

Highly Engaged employees are willing to go above and beyond in their employment. Engaged employees are more present in the workplace and show an effort to help out. Moderately Engaged employees are physically present, but put minimal effort towards accomplishing the job. Disengaged employees are disinterested in their jobs and may be actively working against their coworkers.

For comparison purposes, according to nationwide polling data, about 30% of employees are Highly Engaged or Engaged, 50% are Moderately Engaged, and 20% are Disengaged. While these numbers may seem intimidating, they offer a starting point for discussions on how to further engage employees. Focus on building trust, encouraging the expression of ideas, and providing employees with the resources, guidance, and training they need to do their best work.
Examining demographic data is an important aspect of determining the level of consensus and shared viewpoints across the organization. A diverse workforce helps ensure that different ideas are understood, and that those served see the organization as representative of the community. Gender, race/ethnicity, and age are just a few ways to measure diversity. While percentages can vary among different organizations, extreme imbalances should be a cause for concern.

### Race/Ethnicity

- **African Am/Black**: 1.4%
- **White**: 83.6%
- **Asian**: 0.5%
- **Native Am, Pac Isl**: 1.4%
- **Prefer not to answer**: 10.9%
- **Prefer to self-describe**: 2.3%

### Age (in years)

- **16-29**: 4.5%
- **30-39**: 25.9%
- **40-49**: 20.0%
- **50-59**: 21.8%
- **60+**: 18.6%
- **Prefer not to answer**: 9.1%

### Gender

- **Female**: 52.7%
- **Male**: 39.5%
- **Prefer not to answer**: 6.4%
- **Prefer to self-describe**: 1.4%

#### YEARS OF SERVICE

- **24%** New Hires (0-2 years)
- **42%** Experienced (3-10 years)
- **29%** Very Experienced (11+ years)
- **5%** Prefer not to answer

Each figure represents about 2.4 employees.

#### FOCUS FORWARD

**5%** **INTEND TO LEAVE**

Understand why people are leaving your organization by examining retention factors such as working conditions, market competitiveness, or upcoming retirement.

**19%** **CAN RETIRE**

This percentage of respondents indicated that they are or will be eligible for retirement within two years.
Constructs

Similar items are grouped together and their scores are averaged and multiplied by 100 to produce 12 construct measures. These constructs capture the concepts most utilized by leadership and drive organizational performance and engagement.

Each construct is displayed below with its corresponding score. Constructs have been coded below to highlight the organization’s areas of strength and concern. The three highest are green, the three lowest are red, and all others are yellow. Scores typically range from 300 to 400, and 350 is a tipping point between positive and negative perceptions. The lowest score for a construct is 100, while the highest is 500.

**FOCUS FORWARD**

Every organization faces different challenges depending on working conditions, resources, and job characteristics. On the next page, we highlight the constructs that are relative strengths and concerns for your organization. While it is important to examine areas of concern, this is also an opportunity to recognize and celebrate areas that employees have judged to be strengths. All organizations start in a different place, and there is always room for improvement within each area.
Constructs Over Time

One of the benefits of continuing to participate in the survey is that over time data shows how employees' views have changed as a result of implementing efforts suggested by previous survey results.

Positive changes indicate that employees perceive the issue as having improved since the previous survey.

Negative changes indicate that the employees perceive that the issue has worsened since the previous survey. Negative changes of greater than 40 points and having 8 or more negative construct changes should be a source of concern for the organization and should be discussed with employees and organizational leadership.

Has Change Occurred?

Variation in scores from year to year is normal, even when nothing has changed. Analyzing trend data requires bringing patterns into focus, digging deeper into data, and asking questions about issues surrounding the workplace.

Pay close attention to changes of more than 15 points in either direction. Were there any new policies or organizational changes that might have affected the scores? Were these areas a point of focus for your change initiatives?
## Areas of Strength and Concern

### Areas of Strength

**Supervision**  
Score: 421  
The supervision construct captures employees’ perceptions of the nature of supervisory relationships within the organization. Higher scores suggest that employees view their supervisors as fair, helpful and critical to the flow of work.

**Employee Engagement**  
Score: 411  
The employee engagement construct captures the degree to which employees are willing to go above and beyond, feel committed to the organization and are present while working. Higher scores suggest that employees feel their ideas count, their work impacts the organization and their well-being and development are valued.

**Benefits**  
Score: 411  
The benefits construct captures employees’ perceptions about how the benefits package compares to packages at similar organizations and how flexible it is. Higher scores suggest that employees think health insurance and retirement benefits are competitive with similar jobs in the community.

### Areas of Concern

**Pay**  
Score: 299  
The pay construct captures employees’ perceptions about how well the compensation package offered by the organization holds up when compared to similar jobs in other organizations. Lower scores suggest that pay is a central concern or reason for discontent and is not comparable to similar organizations.

**Internal Communication**  
Score: 387  
The internal communication construct captures employees’ perceptions of whether communication in the organization is reasonable, candid and helpful. Lower scores suggest that employees feel information does not arrive in a timely fashion and is difficult to find.

**Employee Development**  
Score: 389  
The employee development construct captures employees’ perceptions about the priority given to their personal and job growth needs. Lower scores suggest that employees feel stymied in their education and growth in job competence.
Climate

The climate in which employees work does, to a large extent, determine the efficiency and effectiveness of an organization. The appropriate climate is a combination of a safe, non-harassing environment with ethical abiding employees who treat each other with fairness and respect. Moreover, it is an organization with proactive management that communicates and has the capability to make thoughtful decisions. Below are the percentages of employees who marked disagree or strongly disagree for each of the 6 climate items.

**17.7%**
feel that upper management should communicate better.

Upper management should make efforts to be visible and accessible, as well as utilize intranet/internet sites, email, and social media as appropriate to keep employees informed.

**15.1%**
believe the information from this survey will go unused.

Conducting the survey creates momentum and interest in organizational improvement, so it’s critical that leadership acts upon the data and keeps employees informed of changes as they occur.

**12.8%**
feel there aren’t enough opportunities to give supervisor feedback.

Leadership skills should be evaluated and sharpened on a regular basis. Consider implementing 360 Degree Leadership Evaluations so supervisors can get feedback from their boss, peers, and direct reports.

**5.5%**
feel they are not treated fairly in the workplace.

Favoritism can negatively affect morale and cause resentment among employees. When possible, ensure responsibilities and opportunities are being shared evenly and appropriately.

**5.0%**
feel workplace harassment is not adequately addressed.

While no amount of harassment is desirable within an organization, percentages above 5% would benefit from a serious look at workplace culture and the policies for dealing with harassment.

**4.5%**
feel there are issues with ethics in the workplace.

An ethical climate is the foundation of building trust within an organization. Reinforce the importance of ethical behavior to employees, and ensure there are appropriate channels to handle ethical violations.
FOCUS FORWARD

After the survey data has been compiled, the results are returned approximately one to two months after data collection stops. Survey results are provided in several formats to provide maximum flexibility in interpreting the data and sharing the data with the entire organization. The quick turnaround in reporting allows for immediate action upon the results while they are still current.

Survey Results Received
Executive Summaries, Data Reports, and Excel data are provided for the organization as a whole and for breakout categories. Any of these formats can be used alone or in combination to create rich information on which employees can base their ideas for change.

Share with All Employees
Share results by creating reports, newsletters, or PowerPoint presentations providing data along with illustrations pertinent to the organization. Have employees participate in small work unit groups to review reports as they are distributed.

Move Forward with Change
Have the Change Team compile the priority change topics and action points, and present them to the executive staff. Discuss the administrative protocols for implementing the changes. Determine the plan of action, set a reasonable timeline, and keep employees informed of changes.

Resurvey
Administer the Survey of Employee Engagement again to document the effectiveness of your change efforts.

Review Survey Data
Review the data and summaries with the executive staff, and develop a plan for circulating the data to all employees. Several types of benchmark scores provide relevant external comparisons, and breakdown categories can be used to make internal comparisons.

Engage Employees in Change
Designate the Change Team composed of a diagonal slice across the organization that will guide the effort. Review the organization’s strengths and brainstorm on how to best address weaknesses. Provide employees with comment cards to express their ideas.

Sharpen Your Focus
Further data breakdowns and custom reports are available. We also offer leadership assessments, employee pulse and exit surveys, and customer satisfaction surveys. Consultation time for presentations and focus groups is available as well. Please contact us at any time: www.survey.utexas.edu
Demographic Items

Survey respondent information reports the response rate and frequency information for all demographic variables that were asked of participants. Response Rate is a good indicator of employees' willingness to engage in efforts to improve the organization. Scope of Participation is a gauge to see whether or not employees by demographic characteristics participated in the survey.

Response Rate

Your response rate is the percentage of surveys distributed divided by the number of valid surveys received. For category reports, we only report the response rate for the organization as a whole.

What is a good response rate?

If your organization sampled employees, the answer must take into consideration size, sampling strategy, variance, and error tolerance. When all employees are surveyed (census), a general rule for organizations of at least 500, is that a 30% rate is a low, but an acceptable level of response. In general, response rates of greater than 50% (regardless of number of employees) indicate a strong level of participation.

What about non-respondents?

First, you should review the scope of participation discussed in the following paragraph. Second, you need to ascertain whether or not a more focused effort is needed to determine why some groups did not respond.

Scope of Participation

Respondent information is used as a gauge of the scope of participation. For example, the percentages of male and female respondents should roughly mirror your organization's gender composition. This should be true for the other demographic categories. If not, consider whether or not additional efforts need to be made to engage those low participating categories. It is important to note the following:

- If less than five respondents selected a demographic variable, "Less Than Five" and "Not Available" is reported to protect the respondents' anonymity.
- Participants have the option to skip items or select prefer not to answer. Both of these non-responses are combined to give a total "Prefer not to answer" count.
Demographic Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Survey Respondents</th>
<th>Percent of Survey Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>My highest education level</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not earn high school diploma or equivalent:</td>
<td>Less than 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school diploma or equivalent:</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college:</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate's Degree:</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor's Degree:</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master's Degree:</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctoral Degree:</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to answer:</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>I am</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female :</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male :</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to answer :</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>My annual salary (before taxes)</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than $20,001 :</td>
<td>Less than 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,001 to 30,000 :</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$30,001 to 40,000 :</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$40,001 to 50,000 :</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,001 to 60,000 :</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$60,001 to 70,000 :</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$70,001 to 80,000 :</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$80,001 to 90,000 :</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than $90,000 :</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to answer:</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>I work</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full-time :</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-time :</td>
<td>Less than 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to answer:</td>
<td>Less than 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Demographic Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>My age (in years)</th>
<th>Number of Survey Respondents</th>
<th>Percent of Survey Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16-29:</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39:</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>25.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49:</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59:</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>21.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60+:</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>18.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to answer:</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.09%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years of service with this organization</th>
<th>Number of Survey Respondents</th>
<th>Percent of Survey Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 1:</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2:</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>19.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5:</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>24.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10:</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>18.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-15:</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>14.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16+:</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>14.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to answer:</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Are you of Hispanic, Latino/a/x, or of Spanish origin?</th>
<th>Number of Survey Respondents</th>
<th>Percent of Survey Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes:</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12.27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No:</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>75.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to answer:</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>11.82%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>My race/ethnic identification (Check all that apply)</th>
<th>Number of Survey Respondents</th>
<th>Percent of Survey Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African American or Black:</td>
<td>Less than 5</td>
<td>Not Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White:</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>83.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian:</td>
<td>Less than 5</td>
<td>Not Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American or Pacific Islander:</td>
<td>Less than 5</td>
<td>Not Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to answer:</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer to self-describe:</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I am currently in a supervisory role.</th>
<th>Number of Survey Respondents</th>
<th>Percent of Survey Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes:</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>30.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No:</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>64.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to answer:</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Demographic Items

Total Respondents: 220  
Surveys Distributed: 257  
Response Rate: 85.6%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Number of Survey Respondents</th>
<th>Percent of Survey Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I received a promotion during the past two years.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes:</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>24.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No:</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>69.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to answer:</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I received a merit increase during the past two years.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes:</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>75.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No:</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>18.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to answer:</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I plan to be working for this organization in one year.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes:</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>84.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No:</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to answer:</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am eligible for retirement within the next two years.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes:</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>19.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No:</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>72.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to answer:</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I currently remote work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None of the time:</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>43.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some of the time:</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>40.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most of the time:</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All the time:</td>
<td>Less than 5</td>
<td>Not Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to answer:</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.73%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Primary Items

For the primary items (numbered 1-48), participants were asked to indicate how they agreed with each positively phrased statement. If participants did not have information or the item did not apply, they were to select don't know/not applicable.

Each primary item is returned with the item text and two types of reported numerical data, response data and benchmark data. The following definitions correspond to survey items:

Response Data

- **Score** is calculated by averaging all item responses on a five point scale ranging from 5=Strongly Agree to 1=Strongly Disagree. If the participant selected Don't Know/Not Applicable, their response is considered a valid response, but it is not used in the calculation of the score.
- **Standard Deviation** calculates the level of agreement. Large deviations indicate greater levels of disagreement. For this report, you can expect standard deviations to be between .7 and 1.10.
- **Total Respondents** is the number of valid responses including Don't Know/Not Applicable. If everyone did not answer every item, the number of respondents for an item is less than the number of respondents reported in your response rate.
- **Respondents** is the number of participants who selected each item (strongly agree, agree, etc.).
- **Percentage** is the number of participants who selected each item (strongly agree, agree, etc.) divided by the total number of valid responses.
- **Percent Agreement** is the number of participants who agreed with the item (strongly agree or agree) divided by the total number of valid responses.

Benchmark Data

- **Past Score** is your organization's score reported from the previous iteration, if available.
- **Similar Mission** is the average score from organizations that share a similar mission to your organization.
- **Similar Size** is the average score from organizations that are a similar size to your organization.
- **All Organizations** is the average score from all organizations.
- **Organizational Categories** are benchmarked against the organization as a whole.

Interpreting Data

Any interpretation of data must be done in context of the organizational setting and environmental factors impacting the organization. Regardless of the averages, scores range from areas of strength to areas of concern. In general, most scores are between 3.00 and 4.00. Scores below a 3.25 are of concern because they indicate general dissatisfaction. Scores above 3.75 indicate positive perceptions. When available, over time data provides previous scores from and benchmark data comparative scores. In general (because various factors and statistical test would be needed to confirm), scores that have changed or differ by .2 may be significant.
## Primary Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Agreement</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Total Respondents</th>
<th>BENCHMARKS</th>
<th>Past Score</th>
<th>Similar Mission</th>
<th>Similar Size</th>
<th>All Orgs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. My work group cooperates to get the job done.</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>220</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>4.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response: Strongly Agree, Agree, Neutral, Disagree, Strongly Disagree, Don't Know/NA</td>
<td>Respondents: 117, 75, 14, 8, 5, 1</td>
<td>Percentage: 53.18%, 34.09%, 6.36%, 3.64%, 2.27%, 0.45%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. In my work group, my opinions and ideas count.</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>220</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>4.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response: Strongly Agree, Agree, Neutral, Disagree, Strongly Disagree, Don't Know/NA</td>
<td>Respondents: 92, 98, 15, 9, 5, 1</td>
<td>Percentage: 41.82%, 44.55%, 6.82%, 4.09%, 2.27%, 0.45%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. My work group regularly uses performance data to improve the quality of our work.</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>218</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response: Strongly Agree, Agree, Neutral, Disagree, Strongly Disagree, Don't Know/NA</td>
<td>Respondents: 42, 90, 47, 20, 9, 10</td>
<td>Percentage: 19.27%, 41.28%, 21.56%, 9.17%, 4.13%, 4.59%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. In my work group, there is a real feeling of teamwork.</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>219</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>3.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response: Strongly Agree, Agree, Neutral, Disagree, Strongly Disagree, Don't Know/NA</td>
<td>Respondents: 82, 86, 29, 15, 6, 1</td>
<td>Percentage: 37.44%, 39.27%, 13.24%, 6.85%, 2.74%, 0.46%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Primary Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5. Our organization is known for the quality of work we provide.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>83% Agreement</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Response:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondents:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### BENCHMARKS
- Past Score: 4.30
- Similar Mission: 4.30
- Similar Size: 4.15
- All Orgs: 4.10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6. I know how my work impacts others in the organization.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>82% Agreement</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Response:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondents:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### BENCHMARKS
- Past Score: 4.23
- Similar Mission: 4.41
- Similar Size: 4.35
- All Orgs: 4.33

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7. My organization develops services to match the needs of our customers/clients.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>77% Agreement</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Response:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondents:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### BENCHMARKS
- Past Score: 4.04
- Similar Mission: 4.25
- Similar Size: 4.09
- All Orgs: 4.08

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8. Our organization communicates effectively with the public.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>75% Agreement</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Response:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondents:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### BENCHMARKS
- Past Score: 3.86
- Similar Mission: 4.08
- Similar Size: 3.96
- All Orgs: 3.95
Primary Items

9. I have a good understanding of our mission, vision, and strategic plan.

83% Agreement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>36.07%</td>
<td>46.58%</td>
<td>10.05%</td>
<td>4.11%</td>
<td>3.20%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

83% Agreement

SCORE: 4.08
Std. Dev.: 0.95
Total Respondents: 219

BENCHMARKS
Past Score: 4.14
Similar Mission: 4.30
Similar Size: 4.28
All Orgs: 4.28

10. My supervisor provides me with a clear understanding of my work responsibilities.

84% Agreement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>44.55%</td>
<td>39.09%</td>
<td>10.45%</td>
<td>4.09%</td>
<td>1.82%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

84% Agreement

SCORE: 4.20
Std. Dev.: 0.92
Total Respondents: 220

BENCHMARKS
Past Score: 4.09
Similar Mission: 4.17
Similar Size: 4.21
All Orgs: 4.19

11. My supervisor recognizes outstanding work.

86% Agreement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>51.60%</td>
<td>34.25%</td>
<td>7.31%</td>
<td>3.65%</td>
<td>2.28%</td>
<td>0.91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

86% Agreement

SCORE: 4.30
Std. Dev.: 0.93
Total Respondents: 219

BENCHMARKS
Past Score: 4.15
Similar Mission: 4.16
Similar Size: 4.10
All Orgs: 4.11

12. I am given the opportunity to do my best work.

83% Agreement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>48.18%</td>
<td>35.00%</td>
<td>7.27%</td>
<td>6.82%</td>
<td>2.73%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

83% Agreement

SCORE: 4.19
Std. Dev.: 1.02
Total Respondents: 220

BENCHMARKS
Past Score: 4.13
Similar Mission: 4.15
Similar Size: 4.13
All Orgs: 4.14
### Primary Items

#### 13. My supervisor is consistent when administering policies concerning employees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents:</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage:</td>
<td>39.27%</td>
<td>36.99%</td>
<td>12.33%</td>
<td>5.02%</td>
<td>1.83%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

76% Agreement

**SCORE:** 4.03
**Std. Dev.:** 1.07
**Total Respondents:** 219

**BENCHMARKS**
- Past Score: 4.01
- Similar Mission: 3.97
- Similar Size: 3.97
- All Orgs: 3.97


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents:</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage:</td>
<td>50.45%</td>
<td>37.73%</td>
<td>6.82%</td>
<td>1.82%</td>
<td>1.36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

88% Agreement

**SCORE:** 4.35
**Std. Dev.:** 0.84
**Total Respondents:** 220

**BENCHMARKS**
- Past Score: 4.22
- Similar Mission: 4.12
- Similar Size: 4.16
- All Orgs: 4.13

#### 15. Given the type of work I do, my physical workplace meets my needs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents:</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage:</td>
<td>40.00%</td>
<td>45.00%</td>
<td>7.27%</td>
<td>2.73%</td>
<td>4.55%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

85% Agreement

**SCORE:** 4.14
**Std. Dev.:** 0.99
**Total Respondents:** 220

**BENCHMARKS**
- Past Score: 4.14
- Similar Mission: 4.26
- Similar Size: 4.18
- All Orgs: 4.18

#### 16. My workplace is well maintained.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents:</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage:</td>
<td>33.18%</td>
<td>46.36%</td>
<td>12.27%</td>
<td>4.09%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

80% Agreement

**SCORE:** 4.00
**Std. Dev.:** 1.00
**Total Respondents:** 220

**BENCHMARKS**
- Past Score: 4.04
- Similar Mission: 4.07
- Similar Size: 3.96
- All Orgs: 3.97
Primary Items

17. There are sufficient procedures to ensure the safety of employees in the workplace.

80% Agreement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>33.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>45.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>14.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know/NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

80% Agreement

SCORE: 4.05
Std. Dev.: 0.93
Total Respondents: 220

BENCHMARKS
Past Score: 4.11
Similar Mission: 4.24
Similar Size: 4.10
All Orgs: 4.11

18. I have adequate resources and equipment to do my job.

79% Agreement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>31.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>47.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>10.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know/NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

79% Agreement

SCORE: 3.96
Std. Dev.: 1.04
Total Respondents: 219

BENCHMARKS
Past Score: 3.91
Similar Mission: 4.12
Similar Size: 4.04
All Orgs: 4.04

19. The people I work with treat each other with respect.

86% Agreement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>50.46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>35.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8.72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know/NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

86% Agreement

SCORE: 4.27
Std. Dev.: 0.96
Total Respondents: 218

BENCHMARKS
Past Score: 4.19
Similar Mission: 4.13
Similar Size: 4.06
All Orgs: 4.01

20. The people I work with come from diverse backgrounds.

59% Agreement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>39.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>24.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know/NA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

59% Agreement

SCORE: 3.61
Std. Dev.: 1.06
Total Respondents: 220

BENCHMARKS
Past Score: 3.69
Similar Mission: 3.85
Similar Size: 3.80
All Orgs: 3.77
## Primary Items

### 21. The people I work with care about my personal well-being.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>36.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>47.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know/NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

84% Agreement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>4.13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Respondents</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BENCHMARKS**

- Past Score: 4.19
- Similar Mission: 4.18
- Similar Size: 4.06
- All Orgs: 4.03

### 22. I trust the people in my workplace.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>37.27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>44.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know/NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

82% Agreement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>4.12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Respondents</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BENCHMARKS**

- Past Score: 4.10
- Similar Mission: 3.95
- Similar Size: 3.85
- All Orgs: 3.84

### 23. My work group uses the latest technologies to communicate and interact.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>24.66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>50.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know/NA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

75% Agreement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>3.86</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Respondents</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BENCHMARKS**

- Past Score: 3.46
- Similar Mission: 3.73
- Similar Size: 3.67
- All Orgs: 3.68

### 24. We receive regular and useful updates on how to keep our computer and sensitive information secure from cyber-attack.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>41.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>47.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7.27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know/NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

89% Agreement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>4.25</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Respondents</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BENCHMARKS**

- Past Score: 3.78
- Similar Mission: 4.16
- Similar Size: 4.10
- All Orgs: 4.15
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Items</th>
<th>80% Agreement</th>
<th>72% Agreement</th>
<th>73% Agreement</th>
<th>76% Agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25. Support is available for the technologies we use.</td>
<td><strong>80% Agreement</strong></td>
<td><strong>72% Agreement</strong></td>
<td><strong>73% Agreement</strong></td>
<td><strong>76% Agreement</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>SCORE:</strong> 4.05</td>
<td><strong>SCORE:</strong> 3.89</td>
<td><strong>SCORE:</strong> 3.88</td>
<td><strong>SCORE:</strong> 3.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Std. Dev.: 0.94</td>
<td>Std. Dev.: 1.03</td>
<td>Std. Dev.: 1.02</td>
<td>Std. Dev.: 1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Respondents: 220</td>
<td>Total Respondents: 220</td>
<td>Total Respondents: 220</td>
<td>Total Respondents: 220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENCHMARKS</td>
<td>Past Score: 3.85</td>
<td>Similar Mission: 3.85</td>
<td>Similar Mission: 3.85</td>
<td>Similar Mission: 3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Similar Size: 4.05</td>
<td>Similar Size: 3.85</td>
<td>Similar Size: 3.85</td>
<td>Similar Size: 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All Orgs: 3.97</td>
<td>All Orgs: 3.80</td>
<td>All Orgs: 3.87</td>
<td>All Orgs: 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Our computer systems enable me to quickly find the information I need.</td>
<td><strong>Strongly Agree</strong> 75 (34.09%)</td>
<td><strong>Strongly Agree</strong> 66 (30.00%)</td>
<td><strong>Strongly Agree</strong> 64 (29.09%)</td>
<td><strong>Strongly Agree</strong> 72 (32.73%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Agree</strong> 100 (45.45%)</td>
<td><strong>Agree</strong> 93 (42.27%)</td>
<td><strong>Agree</strong> 95 (43.18%)</td>
<td><strong>Agree</strong> 95 (43.18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Neutral</strong> 29 (13.18%)</td>
<td><strong>Neutral</strong> 37 (16.82%)</td>
<td><strong>Neutral</strong> 29 (13.18%)</td>
<td><strong>Neutral</strong> 29 (13.18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Disagree</strong> 9 (4.09%)</td>
<td><strong>Disagree</strong> 15 (6.82%)</td>
<td><strong>Disagree</strong> 14 (6.36%)</td>
<td><strong>Disagree</strong> 14 (6.36%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Strongly Disagree</strong> 6 (2.73%)</td>
<td><strong>Strongly Disagree</strong> 8 (3.64%)</td>
<td><strong>Strongly Disagree</strong> 9 (3.64%)</td>
<td><strong>Strongly Disagree</strong> 9 (3.64%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Don't Know/NA</strong> 1 (0.45%)</td>
<td><strong>Don't Know/NA</strong> 1 (0.45%)</td>
<td><strong>Don't Know/NA</strong> 1 (0.45%)</td>
<td><strong>Don't Know/NA</strong> 1 (0.45%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. The communication channels I must go through at work are reasonable.</td>
<td><strong>Strongly Agree</strong> 64 (29.09%)</td>
<td><strong>Strongly Agree</strong> 96 (43.64%)</td>
<td><strong>Strongly Agree</strong> 64 (29.09%)</td>
<td><strong>Strongly Agree</strong> 72 (32.73%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Agree</strong> 96 (43.64%)</td>
<td><strong>Agree</strong> 38 (17.27%)</td>
<td><strong>Agree</strong> 95 (43.18%)</td>
<td><strong>Agree</strong> 95 (43.18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Neutral</strong> 38 (17.27%)</td>
<td><strong>Neutral</strong> 14 (6.36%)</td>
<td><strong>Neutral</strong> 29 (13.18%)</td>
<td><strong>Neutral</strong> 29 (13.18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Disagree</strong> 14 (6.36%)</td>
<td><strong>Disagree</strong> 8 (3.64%)</td>
<td><strong>Disagree</strong> 14 (6.36%)</td>
<td><strong>Disagree</strong> 14 (6.36%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Strongly Disagree</strong> 8 (3.64%)</td>
<td><strong>Strongly Disagree</strong> 0 (0.00%)</td>
<td><strong>Strongly Disagree</strong> 9 (3.64%)</td>
<td><strong>Strongly Disagree</strong> 9 (3.64%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Don't Know/NA</strong> 0 (0.00%)</td>
<td><strong>Don't Know/NA</strong> 0 (0.00%)</td>
<td><strong>Don't Know/NA</strong> 1 (0.45%)</td>
<td><strong>Don't Know/NA</strong> 1 (0.45%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. My work atmosphere encourages open and honest communication.</td>
<td><strong>Strongly Agree</strong> 72 (32.73%)</td>
<td><strong>Strongly Agree</strong> 95 (43.18%)</td>
<td><strong>Strongly Agree</strong> 72 (32.73%)</td>
<td><strong>Strongly Agree</strong> 72 (32.73%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Agree</strong> 95 (43.18%)</td>
<td><strong>Agree</strong> 29 (13.18%)</td>
<td><strong>Agree</strong> 29 (13.18%)</td>
<td><strong>Agree</strong> 29 (13.18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Neutral</strong> 29 (13.18%)</td>
<td><strong>Neutral</strong> 14 (6.36%)</td>
<td><strong>Neutral</strong> 14 (6.36%)</td>
<td><strong>Neutral</strong> 14 (6.36%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Disagree</strong> 14 (6.36%)</td>
<td><strong>Disagree</strong> 9 (4.09%)</td>
<td><strong>Disagree</strong> 9 (4.09%)</td>
<td><strong>Disagree</strong> 9 (4.09%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Strongly Disagree</strong> 9 (4.09%)</td>
<td><strong>Strongly Disagree</strong> 1 (0.45%)</td>
<td><strong>Strongly Disagree</strong> 9 (4.09%)</td>
<td><strong>Strongly Disagree</strong> 9 (4.09%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Don't Know/NA</strong> 1 (0.45%)</td>
<td><strong>Don't Know/NA</strong> 1 (0.45%)</td>
<td><strong>Don't Know/NA</strong> 1 (0.45%)</td>
<td><strong>Don't Know/NA</strong> 1 (0.45%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Primary Items

| 29. The communications I receive at work are timely and informative. |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| **70% Agreement**        | **29% Agreement**        |
| **29. The communications I receive at work are timely and informative.** |
| **70% Agreement**        | **29% Agreement**        |
| **29. The communications I receive at work are timely and informative.** |
| **31. Salaries are competitive with similar jobs in the community.** |
| **32. I feel I am paid fairly for the work I do.** |

### 29. The communications I receive at work are timely and informative.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents:</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage:</td>
<td>26.03%</td>
<td>44.29%</td>
<td>16.89%</td>
<td>7.76%</td>
<td>5.02%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**70% Agreement**

- **Score:** 3.79
- **Std. Dev.:** 1.07
- **Total Respondents:** 219

**BENCHMARKS**

- **Past Score:** 3.78
- **Similar Mission:** 3.87
- **Similar Size:** 3.82
- **All Orgs:** 3.79

### 30. My pay keeps pace with the cost of living.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents:</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage:</td>
<td>3.64%</td>
<td>25.45%</td>
<td>27.27%</td>
<td>23.18%</td>
<td>19.55%</td>
<td>0.91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**29% Agreement**

- **Score:** 2.70
- **Std. Dev.:** 1.16
- **Total Respondents:** 220

**BENCHMARKS**

- **Past Score:** 2.76
- **Similar Mission:** 2.80
- **Similar Size:** 2.68
- **All Orgs:** 2.71

### 31. Salaries are competitive with similar jobs in the community.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents:</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage:</td>
<td>9.13%</td>
<td>24.20%</td>
<td>31.05%</td>
<td>21.92%</td>
<td>11.42%</td>
<td>2.28%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**33% Agreement**

- **Score:** 2.98
- **Std. Dev.:** 1.15
- **Total Respondents:** 219

**BENCHMARKS**

- **Past Score:** 2.88
- **Similar Mission:** 2.80
- **Similar Size:** 2.78
- **All Orgs:** 2.80

### 32. I feel I am paid fairly for the work I do.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents:</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage:</td>
<td>10.45%</td>
<td>35.91%</td>
<td>31.82%</td>
<td>14.55%</td>
<td>6.82%</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**46% Agreement**

- **Score:** 3.29
- **Std. Dev.:** 1.06
- **Total Respondents:** 220

**BENCHMARKS**

- **Past Score:** 3.25
- **Similar Mission:** 3.10
- **Similar Size:** 3.03
- **All Orgs:** 3.03
### Primary Items

**33. Retirement benefits are competitive with similar jobs in the community.**

- **80% Agreement**
  - **Score:** 4.14
  - **Standard Deviation:** 0.88
  - **Total Respondents:** 219
  - **Benchmarks**
    - Past Score: 4.08
    - Similar Mission: 4.02
    - Similar Size: 3.91
    - All Orgs: 3.91

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents:</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage:</td>
<td>36.53%</td>
<td>43.84%</td>
<td>11.42%</td>
<td>3.20%</td>
<td>1.83%</td>
<td>3.20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**34. Health insurance benefits are competitive with similar jobs in the community.**

- **84% Agreement**
  - **Score:** 4.19
  - **Standard Deviation:** 0.86
  - **Total Respondents:** 219
  - **Benchmarks**
    - Past Score: 4.21
    - Similar Mission: 4.13
    - Similar Size: 4.01
    - All Orgs: 4.03

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents:</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage:</td>
<td>37.90%</td>
<td>45.66%</td>
<td>8.68%</td>
<td>2.74%</td>
<td>1.83%</td>
<td>3.20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**35. Benefits can be selected to meet individual needs.**

- **79% Agreement**
  - **Score:** 3.99
  - **Standard Deviation:** 0.79
  - **Total Respondents:** 219
  - **Benchmarks**
    - Past Score: 3.94
    - Similar Mission: 4.04
    - Similar Size: 3.93
    - All Orgs: 3.96

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents:</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage:</td>
<td>23.74%</td>
<td>54.79%</td>
<td>15.98%</td>
<td>2.28%</td>
<td>1.37%</td>
<td>1.83%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**36. I believe I have a career with this organization.**

- **81% Agreement**
  - **Score:** 4.12
  - **Standard Deviation:** 0.93
  - **Total Respondents:** 220
  - **Benchmarks**
    - Past Score: 4.06
    - Similar Mission: 3.95
    - Similar Size: 3.92
    - All Orgs: 3.92

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents:</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage:</td>
<td>39.09%</td>
<td>41.82%</td>
<td>13.18%</td>
<td>2.73%</td>
<td>2.73%</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
37. Training is made available to me so that I can do my job better.

**73% Agreement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents:</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage:</td>
<td>25.45%</td>
<td>47.27%</td>
<td>14.09%</td>
<td>8.64%</td>
<td>4.09%</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SCORE:** 3.82  
**Std. Dev.:** 1.04  
**Total Respondents:** 220  

**BENCHMARKS**  
Past Score: 4.00  
Similar Mission: 3.99  
Similar Size: 3.85  
All Orgs: 3.88

38. Training is made available to me for personal growth and development.

**68% Agreement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents:</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage:</td>
<td>23.18%</td>
<td>44.55%</td>
<td>17.27%</td>
<td>8.64%</td>
<td>5.45%</td>
<td>0.91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SCORE:** 3.72  
**Std. Dev.:** 1.09  
**Total Respondents:** 220  

**BENCHMARKS**  
Past Score: 3.88  
Similar Mission: 3.87  
Similar Size: 3.71  
All Orgs: 3.76

39. My work environment supports a balance between work and personal life.

**77% Agreement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents:</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage:</td>
<td>33.64%</td>
<td>43.64%</td>
<td>14.55%</td>
<td>4.09%</td>
<td>3.18%</td>
<td>0.91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SCORE:** 4.01  
**Std. Dev.:** 0.97  
**Total Respondents:** 220  

**BENCHMARKS**  
Past Score: 4.02  
Similar Mission: 4.04  
Similar Size: 4.01  
All Orgs: 3.95

40. I feel free to be myself at work.

**82% Agreement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents:</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage:</td>
<td>34.09%</td>
<td>47.73%</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td>5.45%</td>
<td>2.27%</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SCORE:** 4.06  
**Std. Dev.:** 0.93  
**Total Respondents:** 220  

**BENCHMARKS**  
Past Score: 4.06  
Similar Mission: 3.97  
Similar Size: 3.87  
All Orgs: 3.86
Primary Items

41. The amount of work I am asked to do is reasonable.

77% Agreement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>20.55%</td>
<td>56.16%</td>
<td>12.79%</td>
<td>6.39%</td>
<td>4.11%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

77% Agreement

SCORE: 3.83
Std. Dev.: 0.97
Total Respondents: 219

BENCHMARKS
Past Score: 3.85
Similar Mission: 3.87
Similar Size: 3.83
All Orgs: 3.79

42. I am proud to tell people that I work for this organization.

90% Agreement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>53.18%</td>
<td>36.36%</td>
<td>8.18%</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
<td>1.36%</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

90% Agreement

SCORE: 4.40
Std. Dev.: 0.77
Total Respondents: 220

BENCHMARKS
Past Score: 4.42
Similar Mission: 4.34
Similar Size: 4.23
All Orgs: 4.16

43. Harassment is not tolerated at my workplace.

87% Agreement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>47.73%</td>
<td>39.09%</td>
<td>6.82%</td>
<td>2.73%</td>
<td>2.27%</td>
<td>1.36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

87% Agreement

SCORE: 4.29
Std. Dev.: 0.89
Total Respondents: 220

BENCHMARKS
Past Score: 4.27
Similar Mission: 4.35
Similar Size: 4.23
All Orgs: 4.24

44. Employees are generally ethical in my workplace.

90% Agreement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>44.09%</td>
<td>45.91%</td>
<td>4.55%</td>
<td>2.27%</td>
<td>2.27%</td>
<td>0.91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

90% Agreement

SCORE: 4.28
Std. Dev.: 0.84
Total Respondents: 220

BENCHMARKS
Past Score: 4.28
Similar Mission: 4.34
Similar Size: 4.19
All Orgs: 4.18
### Primary Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Agreement</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Total Respondents</th>
<th>BENCHMARKS Past Score</th>
<th>Similar Mission</th>
<th>Similar Size</th>
<th>All Orgs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45. I believe we will use the information from this survey to improve our workplace.</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46. I am satisfied with the opportunities I have to give feedback on my supervisor's performance.</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47. Upper management (i.e. Executive and/or Senior Leadership) effectively communicates important information.</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48. I am treated fairly in my workplace.</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Additional Items

Organizations participating in the Survey are invited to submit up to 20 additional items for inclusion in the Survey. These items are included at the end of the online survey or are printed on an insert and included in each employee's survey packet. Please refer to the survey customization sheet that has been included later in this report for more information on additional items submitted by this organization.

*Additional Items are not included if none were submitted.

Each additional item is returned with the item text and two types of reported numerical data, response data and benchmark data. The following definitions correspond to additional items:

Response Data

- **Score** is calculated by averaging all item responses on a five point scale ranging from 5=Strongly Agree to 1=Strongly Disagree. If the participant selected Don't Know/Not Applicable, their response is considered a valid response, but it is not used in the calculation of the score.
- **Standard Deviation** calculates the level of agreement. Large deviations indicate greater levels of disagreement. For this report, you can expect standard deviations to be between .7 and 1.10.
- **Total Respondents** is the number of valid responses including Don't Know/Not Applicable. If everyone did not answer every item, the number of respondents for an item is less than the number of respondents reported in your response rate.
- **Respondents** is the number of participants who selected each item (strongly agree, agree, etc.).
- **Percentage** is the number of participants who selected each item (strongly agree, agree, etc.) divided by the total number of valid responses.
- **Percent Agreement** is the number of participants who agreed with the item (strongly agree or agree) divided by the total number of valid responses.

Benchmark Data

Benchmark and over time data are not available for Additional Items.

Interpreting Data

Any interpretation of data must be done in context of the organizational setting and environmental factors impacting the organization. Regardless of the averages, scores range from areas of strength to areas of concern. In general, most scores are between 3.00 and 4.00. Scores below a 3.25 are of concern because they indicate general dissatisfaction. Scores above 3.75 indicate positive perceptions. When available, over time data provides previous scores from and benchmark data comparative scores. In general (because various factors and statistical test would be needed to confirm), scores that have changed or differ by .2 may be significant.
Employee Engagement items span several constructs, and capture the degree to which employees are willing to go above and beyond, feel committed to the organization and are present while working. This construct measures the degree to which employees feel that their ideas count, their work impacts the organization and their well being and development is valued.

Each engagement item is returned with the item text and two types of reported numerical data, response data and benchmark data. The following definitions correspond to survey items:

**Response Data**

- **Score** is calculated by averaging all item responses on a five point scale ranging from $5=$Strongly Agree to $1=$Strongly Disagree. If the participant selected Don't Know/Not Applicable, their response is considered a valid response, but it is not used in the calculation of the score.
- **Standard Deviation** calculates the level of agreement. Large deviations indicate greater levels of disagreement. For this report, you can expect standard deviations to be between .7 and 1.10.
- **Total Respondents** is the number of valid responses including Don't Know/Not Applicable. If everyone did not answer every item, the number of respondents for an item is less than the number of respondents reported in your response rate.
- **Respondents** is the number of participants who selected each item (strongly agree, agree, etc.).
- **Percentage** is the number of participants who selected each item (strongly agree, agree, etc.) divided by the total number of valid responses.
- **Percent Agreement** is the number of participants who agreed with the item (strongly agree or agree) divided by the total number of valid responses.

**Benchmark Data**

- **Past Score** is your organization's score reported from the previous iteration, if available.
- **Similar Mission** is the average score from organizations that share a similar mission to your organization.
- **Similar Size** is the average score from organizations that are a similar size to your organization.
- **All Organizations** is the average score from all organizations.

**Interpreting Data**

Any interpretation of data must be done in context of the organizational setting and environmental factors impacting the organization. Regardless of the averages, scores range from areas of strength to areas of concern. In general, most scores are between 3.00 and 4.00. Scores below a 3.25 are of concern because they indicate general dissatisfaction. Scores above 3.75 indicate positive perceptions. When available, over time data provides previous scores from and benchmark data comparative scores. In general (because various factors and statistical test would be needed to confirm), scores that have changed or differ by .2 may be significant.
2. In my work group, my opinions and ideas count.

86% Agreement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents:</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage:</td>
<td>41.82%</td>
<td>44.55%</td>
<td>6.82%</td>
<td>4.09%</td>
<td>2.27%</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

86% Agreement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents:</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage:</td>
<td>41.10%</td>
<td>41.55%</td>
<td>11.87%</td>
<td>3.20%</td>
<td>1.83%</td>
<td>0.46%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

83% Agreement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents:</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage:</td>
<td>41.82%</td>
<td>40.45%</td>
<td>11.36%</td>
<td>3.18%</td>
<td>2.73%</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

82% Agreement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents:</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage:</td>
<td>44.55%</td>
<td>39.09%</td>
<td>10.45%</td>
<td>4.09%</td>
<td>1.82%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

84% Agreement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't Know/NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents:</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage:</td>
<td>44.55%</td>
<td>39.09%</td>
<td>10.45%</td>
<td>4.09%</td>
<td>1.82%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Engagement Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>11. My supervisor recognizes outstanding work.</th>
<th>86% Agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Score</strong>: 4.30</td>
<td><strong>Std. Dev.</strong>: 0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Respondents</strong>: 219</td>
<td><strong>BENCHMARKS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past Score: 4.15</td>
<td>Similar Mission: 4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Similar Size: 4.10</td>
<td>All Orgs: 4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Respondents</strong>: 113</td>
<td><strong>Percentage</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strongly Agree</strong>: 113</td>
<td>51.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agree</strong>: 75</td>
<td>34.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neutral</strong>: 16</td>
<td>7.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disagree</strong>: 8</td>
<td>3.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strongly Disagree</strong>: 5</td>
<td>2.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Don't Know/NA</strong>: 2</td>
<td>0.91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12. I am given the opportunity to do my best work.</th>
<th>83% Agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Score</strong>: 4.19</td>
<td><strong>Std. Dev.</strong>: 1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Respondents</strong>: 220</td>
<td><strong>BENCHMARKS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past Score: 4.13</td>
<td>Similar Mission: 4.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Similar Size: 4.13</td>
<td>All Orgs: 4.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Respondents</strong>: 106</td>
<td><strong>Percentage</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strongly Agree</strong>: 106</td>
<td>48.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agree</strong>: 77</td>
<td>35.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neutral</strong>: 16</td>
<td>7.27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disagree</strong>: 15</td>
<td>6.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strongly Disagree</strong>: 6</td>
<td>2.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Don't Know/NA</strong>: 0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>14. My supervisor evaluates my performance fairly.</th>
<th>88% Agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Score</strong>: 4.35</td>
<td><strong>Std. Dev.</strong>: 0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Respondents</strong>: 220</td>
<td><strong>BENCHMARKS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Similar Size: 4.16</td>
<td>All Orgs: 4.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Respondents</strong>: 111</td>
<td><strong>Percentage</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strongly Agree</strong>: 111</td>
<td>50.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agree</strong>: 83</td>
<td>37.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neutral</strong>: 15</td>
<td>6.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disagree</strong>: 4</td>
<td>1.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strongly Disagree</strong>: 4</td>
<td>1.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Don't Know/NA</strong>: 3</td>
<td>1.36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>18. I have adequate resources and equipment to do my job.</th>
<th>79% Agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Score</strong>: 3.96</td>
<td><strong>Std. Dev.</strong>: 1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Respondents</strong>: 219</td>
<td><strong>BENCHMARKS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past Score: 3.91</td>
<td>Similar Mission: 4.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Similar Size: 4.04</td>
<td>All Orgs: 4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Respondents</strong>: 70</td>
<td><strong>Percentage</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strongly Agree</strong>: 70</td>
<td>31.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agree</strong>: 104</td>
<td>47.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neutral</strong>: 23</td>
<td>10.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disagree</strong>: 11</td>
<td>5.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strongly Disagree</strong>: 11</td>
<td>5.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Don't Know/NA</strong>: 0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Engagement Items

21. The people I work with care about my personal well-being.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>104</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know/NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>36.53%</td>
<td>47.49%</td>
<td>11.42%</td>
<td>1.83%</td>
<td>2.74%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

84% Agreement

SCORE: 4.13
Std. Dev.: 0.89
Total Respondents: 219

BENCHMARKS
Past Score: 4.19
Similar Mission: 4.18
Similar Size: 4.06
All Orgs: 4.03

22. I trust the people in my workplace.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>82</th>
<th>98</th>
<th>28</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know/NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>37.27%</td>
<td>44.55%</td>
<td>12.73%</td>
<td>2.27%</td>
<td>2.73%</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

82% Agreement

SCORE: 4.12
Std. Dev.: 0.91
Total Respondents: 220

BENCHMARKS
Past Score: 4.10
Similar Mission: 3.95
Similar Size: 3.85
All Orgs: 3.84

37. Training is made available to me so that I can do my job better.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>56</th>
<th>104</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know/NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>25.45%</td>
<td>47.27%</td>
<td>14.09%</td>
<td>8.64%</td>
<td>4.09%</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

73% Agreement

SCORE: 3.82
Std. Dev.: 1.04
Total Respondents: 220

BENCHMARKS
Past Score: 4.00
Similar Mission: 3.99
Similar Size: 3.85
All Orgs: 3.88

38. Training is made available to me for personal growth and development.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>51</th>
<th>98</th>
<th>38</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know/NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>23.18%</td>
<td>44.55%</td>
<td>17.27%</td>
<td>8.64%</td>
<td>5.45%</td>
<td>0.91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

68% Agreement

SCORE: 3.72
Std. Dev.: 1.09
Total Respondents: 220

BENCHMARKS
Past Score: 3.88
Similar Mission: 3.87
Similar Size: 3.71
All Orgs: 3.76
The Survey of Employee Engagement framework is composed of twelve Survey Constructs designed to broadly profile areas of strength and concern so that interventions may be targeted appropriately. Survey Constructs are developed from the Primary Items (numbered 1-48). This Appendix contains a summary of the Survey Constructs and the related Primary Items. Constructs are scored differently from items to denote them as a separate measure. Using this scoring convention, construct scores can range from a low of 100 to a high of 500.

Your Data

Current Score is calculated by averaging the mean score of the related primary items and then multiplying by 100. For example if the construct score is 389, then the average of the related primary items is 3.89.

Benchmark Data

- **Past Score** is your organization's score reported from the previous iteration. "None" is reported if there is no past score, if the construct is new or consists of new items, or if no comparative data is available.
- **All Respondents** is the average score from all participants from all organizations.
- **Size Category** is the average score from organizations that are similar size to your organization.
- **Mission** is the average score from organizations of similar mission to your organization.
- **Organizational Categories** are benchmarked against the organization as a whole.

What is a good score?

Any interpretation of data must be done in context of the organizational setting and environmental factors impacting the organization. In general, most scores are between 300 and 400. Scores below a 325 are of concern because they indicate general dissatisfaction. Scores above 375 indicate positive perceptions.
# Constructs and Related Items

## Workgroup

The workgroup construct captures employees’ perceptions of the people they work with on a daily basis and how effective they are. This construct measures the degree to which employees view their workgroup as effective, cohesive and open to the opinions of all members.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>My work group cooperates to get the job done.</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>In my work group, my opinions and ideas count.</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>My work group regularly uses performance data to improve the quality of our work.</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>In my work group, there is a real feeling of teamwork.</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Strategic

The strategic construct captures employees’ perceptions of their role in the organization and the organization’s mission, vision, and strategic plan. This construct measures the degree to which employees understand their role in the organization and consider the organization’s reputation to be positive.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Our organization is known for the quality of work we provide.</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>I know how my work impacts others in the organization.</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>My organization develops services to match the needs of our customers/clients.</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Our organization communicates effectively with the public.</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>I have a good understanding of our mission, vision, and strategic plan.</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Supervision

The supervision construct captures employees’ perceptions of the nature of supervisory relationships within the organization. This construct measures the degree to which employees view their supervisors as fair, helpful and critical to the workflow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>My supervisor provides me with a clear understanding of my work responsibilities.</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>My supervisor recognizes outstanding work.</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>I am given the opportunity to do my best work.</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>My supervisor is consistent when administering policies concerning employees.</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>My supervisor evaluates my performance fairly.</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Workplace

The workplace construct captures employees’ perceptions of the total work atmosphere, workplace safety, and the overall feel. This construct measures the degree to which employees see the setting as satisfactory, safe and that adequate tools and resources are available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Given the type of work I do, my physical workplace meets my needs.</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>My workplace is well maintained.</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>There are sufficient procedures to ensure the safety of employees in the workplace.</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>I have adequate resources and equipment to do my job.</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Community

The community construct captures employees’ perceptions of the relationships between employees in the workplace, including trust, respect, care, and diversity among colleagues. This construct measures the degree to which employees feel respected, cared for, and have established trust with their colleagues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19. The people I work with treat each other with respect.</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. The people I work with come from diverse backgrounds.</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. The people I work with care about my personal well-being.</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. I trust the people in my workplace.</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Information Systems

The information systems construct captures employees’ perceptions of whether computer and communication systems prove accessible, accurate, and clear information. This construct measures the degree to which employees view the availability and utility of information positively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. My work group uses the latest technologies to communicate and interact.</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. We receive regular and useful updates on how to keep our computer and sensitive information secure from cyber-attack.</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Support is available for the technologies we use.</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Our computer systems enable me to quickly find the information I need.</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Internal Communication

The internal communication construct captures employees’ perceptions of whether communication in the organization is reasonable, candid and helpful. This construct measures the degree to which employees view communication with peers, supervisors and other parts of the organization as functional and effective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27. The communication channels I must go through at work are reasonable.</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. My work atmosphere encourages open and honest communication.</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. The communications I receive at work are timely and informative.</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Pay

The pay construct captures employees’ perceptions of how well the compensation package offered by the organization holds up when compared to similar jobs in other organizations. This construct measures the degree to which employees view pay as well valued relative to the type of work, work demands and comparable positions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30. My pay keeps pace with the cost of living.</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Salaries are competitive with similar jobs in the community.</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. I feel I am paid fairly for the work I do.</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Constructs and Related Items

Benefits

The benefits construct captures employees' perceptions of how the benefits package compares to packages at similar organizations and how flexible it is. This construct measures the degree to which employees see health insurance and retirement benefits as competitive with similar jobs in the community.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33. Retirement benefits are competitive with similar jobs in the community.</td>
<td>4.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34. Health insurance benefits are competitive with similar jobs in the community.</td>
<td>4.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. Benefits can be selected to meet individual needs.</td>
<td>3.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Employee Development

The employee development construct captures employees' perceptions about the priority given to their personal and job growth needs. This construct measures the degree to which employees feel the organization provides opportunities for growth in organizational responsibilities and personal needs in their careers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36. I believe I have a career with this organization.</td>
<td>4.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37. Training is made available to me so that I can do my job better.</td>
<td>3.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38. Training is made available to me for personal growth and development.</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Job Satisfaction

The job satisfaction construct captures employees' perceptions about the overall work situation and ability to maintain work-life balance. This construct measures the degree to which employees are pleased with working conditions and their workload.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39. My work environment supports a balance between work and personal life.</td>
<td>4.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40. I feel free to be myself at work.</td>
<td>4.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41. The amount of work I am asked to do is reasonable.</td>
<td>3.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42. I am proud to tell people that I work for this organization.</td>
<td>4.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Climate

While not scored as a construct, the following six items assess the climate in which employees work. The appropriate climate is a combination of a safe, non-harassing environment with ethical abiding employees who treat each other with fairness and respect. Moreover, it is an organization with proactive management that communicates and has the capability to make thoughtful decisions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>43. Harassment is not tolerated at my workplace.</td>
<td>4.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44. Employees are generally ethical in my workplace.</td>
<td>4.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45. I believe we will use the information from this survey to improve our workplace.</td>
<td>3.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46. I am satisfied with the opportunities I have to give feedback on my supervisor's performance.</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47. Upper management (i.e. Executive and/or Senior Leadership) effectively communicates important information.</td>
<td>3.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48. I am treated fairly in my workplace.</td>
<td>4.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Employee Engagement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct Score: 411</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. In my work group, my opinions and ideas count.</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Our organization is known for the quality of work we provide.</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. I know how my work impacts others in the organization.</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. My supervisor provides me with a clear understanding of my work responsibilities.</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. My supervisor recognizes outstanding work.</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. I am given the opportunity to do my best work.</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. My supervisor evaluates my performance fairly.</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. I have adequate resources and equipment to do my job.</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. The people I work with care about my personal well-being.</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. I trust the people in my workplace.</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37. Training is made available to me so that I can do my job better.</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38. Training is made available to me for personal growth and development.</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Organizational Category Codes: Category 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Archeology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>Architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Community Heritage Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>History Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>Communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>Staff Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>Historic Sites (Central Austin Staff)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>Historic Sites (Outside Austin)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Additional Items**

None.
Strategy 1: Re-enforce THC as a positive place to work by recognition of staff performance through monetary and non-monetary recognition and awards.

Short term actions (1 year):
A. Conduct a targeted follow-up annual Compensation Review of Archaeologist, Information Technology, Architect, Historian, Project Reviewer, Project Design Specialist and selected Historic Sites job classifications to confirm compensation alignment with current salary market conditions and adjust as needed.
B. Include a review of Division Director compensation structure to ensure appropriate supervisor and employee salary equity and relationships are preserved.
C. Conduct biennial review of State Job Classifications and Salary Group Ranges utilized by THC; submit recommendations to the State Auditor’s Office for needed changes to the State Classification Act for consideration in 88th Legislative Session.
D. Continue Staff Performance Awards Recognition Program and identify needed revisions.
E. Continue a robust telework program that allows employees some flexibility to productively work remote to meet job expectations. Review program annually for needed adjustments or revisions.

Long term actions (2 years):
F. Conduct additional training for Directors on Compensation and Performance Management Best Practices.
G. Complete organizational assessment of the THC division Team Lead structure, job expectations and responsibilities to:
   • Identify leadership training opportunities for Division Program Leads who provide formalized workload management support to Directors, and
   • Increase employee development and career progression opportunities for our program leads and other key professional staff.
H. Identify strategies to improve our new employee orientation to educate newer employees on career advancement and professional development opportunities to reinforce retention.

Strategy 2: Re-enforce an environment where internal communications and feedback is welcomed, valued, and utilized.

Short term actions (1 year):
A. Share current SEE Results, SEE Action Plan, and provide progress updates at the all-agency staff meeting and in individual division staff meetings.
B. Continue monthly division staff meetings and bi-monthly all-agency state-wide meetings to encourage maximum participation and feedback.
C. Continue monthly employee newsletter that highlights THC staff and their accomplishments, published in both digital and print versions to encourage on-line access and employee sharing with family members.
D. Conduct informal division staff meetings with Executive Director to solicit and promote open and informal staff feedback.
E. Provide feedback to each Director using the results of the SEE and the feedback provided by their employees in individual Division Teambuilding Training Sessions.
F. Continue formal customer service training for all agency staff including establishment of expectations for responding to questions or requests for assistance in a reasonable timeframe.

G. Review/Update current THC intranet employee resources to improve employee ease of access to agency communications, operating policies, procedures, and frequently asked questions.

**Long term actions (2 years):**

H. Conduct additional performance management training for all Directors/Team Leads on performance management, goal setting and soliciting/providing meaningful employee feedback.

**Strategy 3: Continue improvements to information systems infrastructure, data accessibility and productivity tools for staff.**

**Short term actions (1 year):**

A. Continue 4-year refresh cycle for all employee Personal Computers and software to keep hardware and software technology current.

B. Conduct post – implementation review of Comptroller’s CAPPs Financial and Human Resources system and provide feedback to CPA for suggested improvements.

C. Continue Implementation of improved Historic Sites Public/Guest Wifi Technology Plan based on Historic Sites Management priority and funding available, including any newly acquired historic sites approved by the commission.

D. Continue Point-of-Sale System Assessment/Enhancements and Staff Training at Historic Sites to improve Site business operations and support Retail Development Plan Expansion.

E. Evaluate new systems technology improvements needed to the eTrac 106 project review system.

F. Develop a proposal for a digital storage and information retrieval system solution to improve data, document, and photographic image access for THC applications and program staff.

G. Determine best strategy to fund needed technology improvements. If appropriate, include funding request for needed technology improvements in the Legislative Appropriations Request for FY 2024 – 2025.

**Long term actions (2 years):**

H. Implement enhancements to on-call Help Desk Support model to improve technical support response time for Central Austin and Historic Sites employees.

I. Implement In-tune technology to allow software updates and patches to be automatically pushed out to all employee computer workstations to ensure current versions of software installed and improved security.

**Strategy 4: Re-enforce and Support Strategic Leadership and Supervisory Development.**

**Short term actions (1 year):**

A. Continue formal training for all supervisors and employees on managing a remote workforce and being a successful teleworker. This training will ensure employee expectations of telework are clearly communicated and understood by the employee and will prepare the supervisor for effectively managing a remote worker. This training will include an opportunity for employee feedback after supervisor training is complete.

B. Provide regular opportunities for managerial staff to meet with each other to discuss ongoing supervisory efforts and issues.

**Long term actions (2 years):**

C. Provide THC directors the opportunity to complete strategic leadership training in the planned Texas Leadership Experience Academy under development at Washington on the Brazos State Historic Site in partnership with Blinn College and Texas A & M University.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>389</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-construct</th>
<th>THC</th>
<th>Similar Size Agencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atmosphere</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethics</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairness</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedback</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>381</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL > 350
OVERALL = 399
TAB 6.7
Consider approval to amend professional services contract with Coastal Environments, Inc. for General Archeological Services at the San Felipe de Austin State Historic Site

Background

Government Code §2155.088 requires the governing board of a state agency to approve by vote in an open meeting any material change to a contract for goods or services, regardless of the dollar amount of the contract. The government code defines a material change as an extension of the completion date of a contract for six or more months or a change in the amount of the contract by at least ten percent.

The contract with Coastal Environments, Inc. is for general archeological services. The contractor is currently completing archeological investigations at the San Felipe de Austin State Historic Site. The artifact analysis, curation, and report preparation from these investigations will extend beyond the contract end date. This contract was previously increased in amount from $500,000 to $895,841 and extended to May 20, 2022, to complete the fieldwork, artifact analysis, and the final report. Due to unforeseen staff departure during Covid and other unanticipated circumstances, an additional contract amendment is needed to allow Coastal Environments, Inc. to complete the artifact curation and final report.

Contract requiring amendment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract</th>
<th>Date Executed</th>
<th>Original Contract Term</th>
<th>Original Contract Amount</th>
<th>Proposed Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Environments, Inc.</td>
<td>5/20/2014</td>
<td>Original termination Date: 5/20/2018</td>
<td>Original Contract Amount: $500,000</td>
<td>Amendment requested: Extend the contract term by nine additional months to February 20, 2023 to allow for the completion of the artifact curation and final report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract #808-14-1256</td>
<td></td>
<td>Current termination end date: 5/20/2022</td>
<td>Current Contract Amount: $895,841</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Amendment: Extend termination date to 2/20/2023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Recommended motion (Committee):**
Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of the amendment to contract 808-14-1256 with Coastal Environments, Inc. to extend the contract end date to February 20, 2023, to allow for the completion of the artifact curation and final report.

**Recommended motion (Commission):**
Move to approve the amendment to contract 808-14-1256 with Coastal Environments, Inc. to extend the contract end date to February 20, 2023, to allow for the completion of the artifact curation and final report.
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ANTIQITIES ADVISORY BOARD MEETING #108
Alamo Complex
Alamo Hall
300 Alamo Plaza
San Antonio, TX 78205
April 29, 2022
8:30 a.m.

This meeting of the Antiquities Advisory Board has been properly posted with the Secretary of State’s Office according to the provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Chapter 551, Texas Government Code. The members may discuss and/or take action on any of the items listed in the agenda. Members of the public will be able to observe a livestream feed using the following link on April 29, 2022: https://youtu.be/otHALzZXoY. This livestream option will not allow for two-way communication between members of the public and the Commission.

NOTE: Alamo Hall is a separate building from the Alamo and can be found by following the path to the right of the Alamo.

1. Call to Order – Chairman Bruseth
   A. Board Introductions
   B. Establish a Quorum
   C. Recognize and/or excuse absences

2. Approval of Minutes – Bruseth (advance handout)
   Antiquities Advisory Board Meeting # 107 (February 2, 2022)

3. Reports – Division Reports/Presentations on recent and current permitted projects – Jones & Graham

   (*The Texas Historical Commission will convene and meet concurrently with the AAB for the presentation noted below)

4. Alamo Church and Long Barrack, Alamo Plaza, San Antonio, Bexar County
   A. Update on Alamo master plan – Kate Rogers, ATI, Inc. and Patrick Gallagher, Gallagher & Associates

5. Battleship Texas (USS Texas), La Porte, Harris County
   A. Presentation – Aldo Benavides, Valkor; Brad Currin, Valkor; Tony Gregory, Battleship Texas Foundation
   B. Discussion and possible action regarding Historic Buildings and Structures Antiquities Permit #1041 application for hull and blister rehabilitation (Item 3.2B) – Graham
   C. Discussion and possible action to amend Historic Buildings and Structures Antiquities Permit #1042 for the preparation, transportation, and temporary relocation at a Shipyard (Item 3.2C) – Graham

6. Adjournment

NOTICE OF ASSISTANCE AT PUBLIC MEETINGS: Persons with disabilities who plan to attend this meeting and who may need auxiliary aids or services such as interpreters for persons who are deaf or hearing impaired, readers, large print or Braille, are requested to contact Esther Brickley at (512) 463-5768 at least four (4) business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.
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1. AAB Call to Order

Commissioner Jim Bruseth opened the Antiquities Advisory Board (AAB) meeting on February 2, 2022, at 08:30. He welcomed everyone to the meeting and asked the AAB members to introduce themselves.

**Members Present**
- Jim Bruseth
- Rick Lewis
- Laurie Limbacher
- Bob Ward
- Douglas Boyd
- Waldo Troell
- Todd Ahlman
- Lilia Garcia

**Members Absent**
- Dan Utley
- Norman Alston

Bruseth announced the absence of Dan Utley and Norman Alston. A quorum was established, and a motion entertained to excuse Utley and Alston.

Laurie Limbacher moved on the motion.

Rick Lewis seconded.

Bruseth called for a vote, heard no opposition, and the motion carried unanimously.

2. Approval of AAB Minutes

Bruseth asked if changes or corrections were needed for the AAB #106 Minutes.

Bruseth heard no call for changes and entertained the motion to approve the AAB Minutes for meeting #106.
Douglas Boyd moved on the motion.

Bob Ward seconded.

Bruseth asked for objections, heard none, and the motion carried unanimously.

**3. Adoption and Amendments to the Texas Administrative Code**

Bruseth called on Archeology Division (AD) director, Brad Jones to present Item 3A.

Jones reminded the AAB that the amendments to Chapter 26.14 & 26.16 being proposed for final publication in the Texas Register were presented at the previous AAB meeting. He explained that the proposed amendments changed how the THC received and issued permit applications and final reports. Jones said that the commission received three public comments. Two of the comments were in favor of the amendment and one suggested an addition. The proposed addition called for the retention of more than one final report hard copy for permit closure and for further digital curation. Jones noted that the suggestion concerning additional hard copies was worthwhile, and that the THC had amended the language for final publication to include two hard copies. No changes were made to submission of digital copies.

Bruseth thanked Jones and read the motion for Item 3A.

Limbacher moved on the motion.

Boyd seconded the motion.

Bruseth called for further discussion, heard none, and the motion carried unanimously.

Bruseth called on Bess Graham for Item #3B.

Graham announced that the Division of Architecture (DOA) rule change addressed their move to a fully digital review and submission system. The system allowed for digital permit processing and online report submission. She shared that no comments were received from the public and that DOA was moving forward with the final publication of the amended rules.

Bruseth thanked Graham and read the motion for Item #3B.

Limbacher moved on the motion.

Lilia Garcia seconded.

Bruseth called for further discussion, heard none, and the motion carried unanimously.

**4. Discussion and vote on SAL nominations**

Bruseth called on Drew Sitters to present Item #4A.
Sitters presented the State Antiquities Landmark (SAL) nominations for the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department’s (TPWD) Big Bend Ranch State Park (BBRSP).

Sitters explained that TPWD’s archeological survey team revisited sites from 2014-2020. The site revisits identified these 9 sites in BBRSP as eligible for SAL nomination. Sitters mentioned that the ages of the sites dated from the Late Archaic to the historic period. He described the SALs as prehistoric camp sites and ranch steads. Sitters shared that the features of the sites included burned rock middens, hearths, mortars, rock art, rock corrals, circularly stacked rock structures, residential ruins, and a cemetery. He noted that the sites contained intact and well-preserved archeological deposits and held rare attributes.

Sitters supported the SAL applications and emphasized that the designations provided protection against looters, incidental disturbances, and park maintenance. Sitters concluded by thanking TPWD’s staff for their work and their nominations.

Bruseth thanked Sitters and read the motion.

Boyd moved on the motion to approve the nominations.

Ward seconded.

Bruseth called for further discussion, heard none, and the motion carried unanimously.

Bruseth called on Sitters to present Item #4B.

Sitters presented the SAL nomination for site 41VV838. He explained that the site was located within the Devil’s River State Natural Area and that the history spanned from the Middle Archaic to the historic period. Sitters explained that the pre-historic component was characterized by 2 middens, 5 hearths, 3 burned rock scatters, and a robust artifact assemblage. The site’s historic component included a stock raising complex and 1970s fishing camp. Sitters stated that the site maintained high research potential and therefore an SAL nomination was warranted.

Bruseth thanked Sitters and read the motions.

Todd Ahlman moved to approve the nomination.

Ward seconded.

Bruseth asked for discussion, heard none, and the motion passed unanimously.

Bruseth called on Jeff Durst to present Item #4C.

Durst presented an addition to the existing 41HR982 SAL designation. He explained that the site was known as Frost Town and dated back to the early 1800s. The site was excavated by Prewitt and Associates (Cox McLain) on behalf of the Texas Department of Transportation. Durst shared that the archeologists did an excellent job with the excavations and that the site was located north of downtown Houston.
Durst mentioned that the site was both publicly and privately owned. He explained that his presentation covered the nominations of private parcels to the existing 41HR982 SAL. Durst provided photos of the residential features and recovered artifacts from site 41HR982. He concluded his presentation in support of the additions to the exiting SAL.

Bruseth thanked Durst.

Boyd and Waldo Troell recused themselves from the vote.

Bruseth read the motions.

Ward moved to approve the nomination.

Ahlman seconded.

Bruseth asked for discussion.

Garcia asked Durst about the exact age of the Frost Town site. Durst deferred to AAB member Doug Boyd, the project archeologist, who answered that Frost Town was settled at the same time as Alan’s Landing in 1836. He informed the meeting that Frost Town was the first residential community in Houston.

Garcia thanked Boyd.

Bruseth asked for further discussion, heard none, and the motion passed unanimously.

Bruseth called on Sitters to present Item #4D.

Sitters explained that developer Alan Erickson donated four lots in Hudspeth County to the Archeological Conservancy. He listed the name of each site (Centipede, Cunningham, Jaguar, and Mullen Parcel) and presented photos of the sites. The sites included rock art and petroglyphs and their ages ranged from the Archaic to the Formative periods. Sitters shared that the art included depictions of animals and geometric designs. He thanked the Archeological Conservancy’s commitment to the protection of the sites for generations to come. Sitters recommended support of the nominations.

Bruseth read the motions.

Ahlman moved to approve the motion.

Boyd seconded.

Bruseth asked for discussion, heard none, and the motion passed unanimously.

5. Director Reports

Bruseth called on Jones to present the AD quarterly report.
Jones provided an update on AD’s permit issuance. He compared the last quarter of 2021 to the first part of 2022 and mentioned a drop off in permit issuance. Jones suspected that the slump stemmed from a seasonal decrease at the end of the 2021. He reported on the types of permits issued and concluded his presentation.

Bruseth called on Bess Graham to present the Division of Architecture’s (DOA) quarterly report.

Graham announced that DOA issued 13 permits, closed 2 permits, and had 4 permits expire. She pointed to the variety of permits issued that included rehabilitation, new construction, preservation, architectural investigation, and demolition.

Graham highlighted a project review by DOA staff of the Douglas Chapter House of the Daughters of the American Revolution, located in Dallas’s Fair Park State Antiquities Landmark district. Graham explained that a pipe had burst in the building during the freeze of February 2021 necessitating these repairs. Graham concluded her report.

Bruseth thanked Graham.

Commissioner McKnight convened the AAB with the THC.

6. Alamo Masterplan Update

Kate Rogers provided an update on the Alamo masterplan. She began with the report on the ongoing moisture monitoring within the Alamo church and Long Barracks. The AAB was informed that the moisture monitoring allowed conservators to determine future preservation steps. Rogers noted that the final report would be completed in 2022.

Rogers presented on the Long Barrack’s masonry repairs. She shared that Pam Rosser the conservator for the Alamo was present and ready to answer questions.

Rogers explained that the excavation exhibit preparation continued within the Long Barracks. She mentioned that each stone was individually marked and could easily be returned to its original location. Rogers said that the exhibit was on track to open on March 2nd, 2022 – Texas Independence Day.

Rogers shared that the temporary welcoming center was moved to face Alamo Street.

Rogers updated the AAB on the City of San Antonio’s Phase 1 construction project taking place between the Menger Hotel and the Alamo. The project was time intensive and involved dozens of masons working around the clock to install pavers.

Rogers said that the construction surrounding the Alamo’s Exhibition Hall and Collection’s Building was on track for an opening at the end of 2022.

Rogers mentioned that the architectural team for the Museum and Visitor’s Center were fully onboarded. She noted that the preservationist Marcel Quimby started historic building scans and worked to determine what parts of the Crockett Historic Building could be saved. It was shared that Patrick Gallagher and his team continued their work around the exhibit spaces.
Rogers spoke of the relocation of the Education Building into Alamo Hall. She explained that the building would be equipped with lecture space, classrooms, and a learning studio. Rogers mentioned that the Cenotaph investigations had been placed on hold. She concluded with the announcement of a future permit request for geotechnical boring.

Rogers thanked the AAB and THC for their continued support.

Bruseth asked for questions, heard none, and thanked Rogers.

7. Alamo Conservation Permit #1095 Amendment

Bruseth called on Graham to present AAB Item #6.

Graham described a permit amendment requested for work at Excavation Unit (EU-9) at the Alamo’s Long Barracks. The work centered around the installment of moisture monitors and the stabilization of the walls which were previously permitted at EU-12 on the opposite end of the building. She explained that the re-excavation of EU-9 for this purpose was necessary due to the installation of a public exhibit at EU-12 which made installation of moisture monitors difficult and unsightly. She presented a series of slides that helped AAB members understand the building layout, location of EUs, the appearance of the monitoring technology, and the overall scope of the amendment. Graham referred to John Mize (Architect- Ford, Powell & Carson) and Pam Rosser (Conservator – Alamo), who were present to answer any questions for the AAB.

Bruseth thanked Graham and presented the motion for Item #6.

Limbacher moved on the motion.

Rick Lewis seconded the motion.

Bruseth asked for discussion, heard none, and the motion passed unanimously.

8. Adjournment

Bruseth called for a motion to adjourn the AAB meeting.

Ward made the motion to adjourn the meeting.
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1. Call to Order

Chairman Jim Bruseth opened the Archeology Committee (AC) meeting on February 1, 2022, at 2:45 P.M. Bruseth announced that the members would discuss and act on any of the items listed on the agenda. He welcomed everyone to the Archeology Committee meeting and called the roll.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Members Present</th>
<th>Members Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>James Bruseth</td>
<td>Tom Perini</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earl Broussard</td>
<td>Pete Peterson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donna Bahorich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bruseth announced that Pete Peterson and Tom Perini were absent, that a quorum was established, and that the meeting was opened.

Bruseth made the motion to excuse the absence of Perini and Peterson.

Earl Broussard moved on the motion.

Donna Bahorich seconded.

Bruseth called for a vote, heard no opposition, and the motion passed unanimously.

2. Approval of Minutes

Bruseth called for the approval of the AC minutes for October 28, 2021.

Bahorich moved for approval.

Broussard seconded.

Bruseth heard no changes, called for the vote, and the motion passed unanimously.
3. Discussion of proposed adoption of amendments to the Texas Administrative Code

Bruseth called on Archeology Division (AD) director Brad Jones to present Item #3.

Jones welcomed the committee members and followed up on the proposed rule changes that were brought forward during the previous quarterly meeting. He informed the AC members that his presentation was strictly informational and did not require a vote. Jones explained that the proposed changes ushered in procedural updates that brought AD review into line with the ETRAC online system.

Jones informed that the THC had received public comments. Two of the comments favored the amendments and one called for a modification to the required number of final report copies. Jones agreed with the proposed amendment and modified the Chapter 26.16 rule change. He noted that this modification would be voted on at the AAB meeting.

Bruseth thanked Jones.

4. Battle of Medina

Bruseth called on Jones to present the Battle of Medina project.

Jones shared that Chairman Nau had tasked AD with investigating the location of the Battle of Medina in 2019. He explained that the location of the battlefield remained controversial, because several researchers had proposed various locations for the former battlefield, but none had been archeologically confirmed. While doing research AD staff began working with Brandon Seale – an independent researcher who maintained the Finding Medina blog.

Seal’s blog focused on determining the precise location of the Battle of Medina. Jones briefly summarized AD’s support of Seal’s partnership with the American Veterans Archeological Recovery and their project to archeologically test proposed sites. He shared that in recent weeks he issued 3 archeological permits for the Battle of Medina investigations and is looking forward to sharing with the Commission the results at the next meeting.

5. Division Director’s Report

Jones said that AD was doing well. He informed the committee that the AD staff remained active in the field and continued to participate in ranch surveys and regulatory projects. Jones asked State Marine Archeologist Amy Borgens to present one of her recent projects.

Bruseth welcomed Borgens.

Borgens began with an observation of a 50% increase in her review work over the past quarter. She mentioned that oil exploration and restoration projects had returned to the Gulf of Mexico. Borgens noted that field work kept her busy. Highlights of the last quarter included two shipwrecks exposed in the Trinity and Sabine rivers. Borgens showcased slides of one of three wrecks, a historic ferry that was found near the town of Carthage.
Borgens mentioned that the THC was invited to participate in a ship timber tagging project that was sponsored by the Florida Public Archeology Network and the University of West Florida. The project utilized QR codes to mark timbers and track their movements and locations and was conceived as a citizens science project. She shared that the timber tagging project covered ships eroding out of oceans and rivers and therefore included the Carthage ferry wreck.

Borgens announced that 2022 marked the 50th anniversary of the scientific excavations of the 1554 Spanish Plate Fleet wrecks. She proudly shared that February 1st was also the 50th birthday of the Marine Archeology program and the date the THC’s first Marine Archeologist was hired.

Bruseth shared that this anniversary was also the beginning of the Texas Antiquities Code.

Borgens highlighted the Indianola project that remained one of the THC’s longest ongoing investigations. She informed that the former town of Indianola served as an immigration point for early German settlers to Texas. Borgens explained that the investigations started in 1995 and had resumed in 2005. She shared that the project area was largely inundated.

Borgens said that her annual goal was to complete the Indianola project and to collaborate with the private landowners to further investigate the Sabine and Trinity river shipwrecks.

Jones thanked Borgens.

Jones provided a brief update and photos of AD’s internship program. He thanked the THC’s volunteers and shared that they served as a crucial component to the THC’s Archeology program.

Jones updated the committee on the AD’s 2021 Texas Archeology Month (TAM). He noted that 68 events had taken place throughout the month of October. Events were attended by AD staff members and hosted by organizations across the state. Jones showcased photos from the events and highlighted the successful “pinch pot” clay project. The clay project was sponsored and produced by AD in collaboration with the Texas Archeological Research Lab (TARL) and distributed to school children throughout the area.

Jones briefed the AC members on the Certified Curatorial Facilities Program (CFCP). He shared that the University of Texas at Tyler was interested in joining the CFCP and that he would assist them over the next few years. Jones added that Southern Methodist University remained interested in the CFCP and that their program had made improvements.

Jones concluded his presentation and shared a few upcoming events.

Bruseth thanked Jones and his staff.

Bahorich asked about the TAM clay kits. She wanted to know if the kit provided more than one activity.

Jones explained that TAM partners had provided numerous activities and that the clay kits had been the THC’s contribution to TARL’s TAM fair.
Bahorich suggested that the clay kits could come with an expected time commitment that would allow parents to better plan out the clay kit project.

Jones thanked Bahorich for the suggestion.

Bruseth thanked the AC members.

6. Adjournment

Bruseth motioned to adjourn the meeting.

Broussard seconded.
HIGHLIGHTS FROM THE DIRECTOR

During the past quarter, Archeology Division (AD) staff participated in several conferences around the state and the U.S. In early January, State Marine Archeologist Amy Borgens and AD Reviewer Emily Dylla attended the Society for Historical Archaeology (SHA) Conference in Philadelphia. Borgens attended as a new board member on the Advisory Council on Underwater Archaeology and as a presenter, while Dylla organized and chaired a symposium of papers examining the historical archeology of San Antonio. During the Real Places Conference, AD reviewer and Tribal Liaison Marie Archambeault organized a workshop centered on opening dialogues with federally recognized tribes with guests Holly Houghton of the Mescalero Apache Tribe and Martina Minthorn of the Comanche Nation. Though Minthorn was unable to attend in person due to weather, she sent a recorded message, and the workshop was deemed a success by all the participants.

As part of our ongoing efforts to strengthen relationships with our tribal partners in the federal review process, in mid-February several staff virtually attended both the National Association of Tribal Historic Preservation Officers and the U.S. Forest Service’s Bridging the Gap tribal consultation meeting. In late March, AD staff participated in the first in-person Council of Texas Archeologists meeting in Austin since the 2020 meeting went virtual. Finally, Brad Jones, Borgens, and Dylla all attended the Society for American Archaeology (SAA) Annual Conference in Chicago. Jones participated in the annual meeting of the National Association of State Archaeologists, Borgens presented on recent research in the Gulf of Mexico that was originally scheduled for the canceled 2020 meeting in Austin, and Dylla attended in preparation for her role as the arrangements chair for the 2023 meeting in Oregon. Getting back out on the conference circuit has been a wonderful change after too many virtual meetings and reminds us all of the importance of personal interactions in achieving our preservation goals. The following highlights other activities staff were involved in.

February 15–17—AD and Division of Architecture staff visited with City of Brownsville, National Park Service, and SpaceX staff to review ongoing projects. A short field visit was also taken to an eroding precontact burial identified in the Laguna Atascosa Wildlife Refuge (Dylla, Jones, Ashley Sahlie).

February 17—AD staff collected a sample from a dugout canoe found in the Rio Grande that was donated to the Museum of South Texas. This is the sixth sample for a larger study of canoes undertaken by Jones and Borgens meant to describe, radiocarbon date, and speciate the wood of canoes held in public and private collections.

February 17—During the opening of a new community exhibit, Bill Martin presented awards of merit to the researchers, school district, and contractors who identified and ultimately excavated the Sugarland 95 from an endangered cemetery for convict laborers in Fort Bend County.

March 14–19—Drew Sitters visited with groups in the El Paso region to assess projects at Fort Bliss and by the International Boundary and Work Commission. In addition, Sitters met with adjoining landowner to the THC’s Old Mission Socorro State Historic Site to discuss possible future collaboration on archeological deposits associated with the mission and Piro Pueblo.

MARINE ARCHEOLOGY PROGRAM (MAP)

Two significant agency semicentennial anniversaries occur this year: the creation of the MAP on Feb. 1, 1972, and the excavation of San Esteban and additional data recovery of Espíritu Santo, both of the 1554 Spanish Plate Fleet, from 1972 to 1975. The MAP was formed to lead the field investigations on these important shipwrecks, the oldest shipwreck archeological sites in the U.S. The investigations are believed to be the first such scientific study of their type conducted in U.S. waters and the first state-funded...
underwater archeological project. It was the salvage of Espíritu Santo in 1967 that lead to enactment of the Antiquities Code of Texas and created the Texas Antiquities Committee, now the THC. The MAP has social media and other content planned to recognize these anniversaries, including an article for The Medallion and Sea History magazines. THC blog posts and Facebook posts were published in February and March on the MAP anniversary and about Vida Lee Connor, who discovered the 1554 shipwrecks in 1964.

In January, Borgens presented at the SHA Annual Meeting in Philadelphia on an overview of the 50-year history of the MAP and its evolution; it was submitted as a paper for the conference’s underwater archeological proceedings published by the Advisory Council on Underwater Archaeology (ACUA). Borgens also co-chaired the annual Government Maritime Managers Forum and attended the ACUA Board Meeting. In late March, she presented a paper on a Texas coastal canoe at the SAA Annual Meeting in Chicago.

Also in March, the MAP, with assistance from Texas State University student intern Hunter Johnson, completed preparation of the artifact collection from the Pass Cavallo Shipwreck (41CL92) for curation at Tuscany Way. This early 19th-century shipwreck at the historic entrance to Matagorda Bay may represent the remains of a vessel from Louis-Michel Aury’s privateer fleet, though other shipwreck candidates are also under consideration. The Pass Cavallo Shipwreck is being featured for a segment of National Geographic’s Drain the Ocean series, which will also include the Alamo and San Jacinto Battlefield. Borgens and former THC MAP staff Layne Hedrick were interviewed for the Pass Cavallo Shipwreck segment. The MAP is in the planning stages for AD surveys of Aury’s Matagorda Bay camp and lost abandoned fleet, with the inaugural research meeting held in January. This project had been delayed by the pandemic, but should be field ready by late 2022 or early next year.

REVIEW AND COMPLIANCE ACTIVITIES

Under the auspices of the National Historic Preservation Act and the Antiquities Code of Texas, the state and federal review section staff of the AD reviewed approximately 2,634 proposed development projects from Jan. 1–March 31. Of those, about 84 archeological surveys were required to determine whether any significant cultural resources would be adversely affected, and approximately 5,074 acres were surveyed. About 85 historic and prehistoric sites were recorded, and of those, six were determined eligible for listing in the National Register and 64 were determined not eligible, with 15 of undetermined eligibility.

CURATORIAL FACILITIES CERTIFICATION PROGRAM (CFCP)

The THC’s CFCP ensures that state-associated archeological collections are properly curated. In the past quarter, staff from the Anthropology Department at Texas A&M University and the Galveston County Museum have indicated intent to undertake the CFCP certification process. AD staff Maximilian Hall and Bradford Jones will be working closely with both institutions to assess their potential for becoming certified. In addition, AD staff delivered the first shipment of artifacts from the Lake Gilmer archeological collection to the Stephen F. Austin University Archeology Laboratory for curation.

TEXAS ARCHEOLOGICAL STEWARDSHIP NETWORK (TASN)

The current membership of terrestrial and marine stewards is at 132, with 18 stewards up for renewal in 2022. We sent renewal request letters in February and received confirmation from 15 stewards. We received six outstanding nominations, which AD staff reviewed and provided recommendations to State Archeologist Jones. TASN Coordinator Becky Shelton and Jones met with the Advisory Committee on Feb. 24 to review the nominations. Invitation letters have been sent, and we will finalize these nominations next month. Our annual meeting and workshop will be held Saturday, May 14 at Washington-on-the-Brazos State Historic Site. The updated, reimagined steward newsletter is undergoing the final production edits and should be available very soon.

Last year, five stewards passed away, and we would like to recognize their contributions. They are: Bryan Boyd (Gregg County, 21 years); Sheldon Kindall (Harris County, 37 years); Russell Potocki (Harris County, Marine Steward, 20 years); J. Travis Roberts, Jr. (Brewster County, seven years); and Rolla Shaller (Randall County, 28 years). We were honored to have known them and to have worked with them so closely for many years. Their hard work and dedication to preservation of cultural resources and outreach to the residents of Texas will not be forgotten.
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1. Call to Order — Committee Chair Limbacher
   A. Committee member introductions
   B. Establish quorum
   C. Recognize and/or excuse absences

2. Consider approval of meeting minutes for the Architecture Committee Meeting of February 1, 2022 — Limbacher

3. Division of Architecture update and Committee discussion – Graham (Item 9.1)

4. Consider approval of the recapture of funds from and/or supplemental funding to previously awarded Texas Historic Courthouse Preservation Program projects. (Item 11.2) – Tietz (Item 9.2)

5. Adjournment — Limbacher
This meeting of the Architecture Division Committee has been properly posted with the Secretary of State's Office according to the provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Texas Government Code, Chapter 551. The Committee may discuss and/or take action on any of the items listed in the agenda.

Committee members in attendance: Chair Laurie Limbacher, and Commissioners Monica Burdette, Garrett Donnelly, Earl Broussard, Lilia Garcia,

Committee members absent: Commissioner Tom Perini

1. Call to Order
   The meeting was called to order at 1:14 p.m. on February 1, 2022, by Committee Chair Laurie Limbacher. She announced the meeting had been posted to the Texas Register, was being held in conformance with the Texas Open Meetings Act, Texas Government Code, Chapter 551 and that the notice was properly posted with the Secretary of State's Office as required.

   A. Committee member introductions
      Chair Limbacher welcomed everyone and called on each commissioner to individually state their name and the city in which they reside.

   B. Establish quorum
      Chair Limbacher reported a quorum was present and declared the meeting open.

   C. Recognize and/or excuse absences
      Commissioner Garrett Donnelly moved to approve the absence of Commissioner Tom Perini. Commissioner Earl Broussard seconded the motion which passed unanimously.

2. Consider approval of the October 28, 2021, Architecture Committee Minutes
   Chair Limbacher called for a motion to approve the minutes of the October 28, 2021, Architecture Committee meeting. Commissioner Broussard motioned and Commissioner Garcia seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

3. Division of Architecture Update and Committee Discussion
   Division of Architecture Director Bess Graham provided a divisional review of programs and a staffing update. On behalf of the Federal and State Review Program, she highlighted the Spence Cumberland House in Crockett, Texas, as a Registered Texas Historic Landmark project review.

   Lisa Harvell, Texas Preservation Trust Fund Program (TPTF) Coordinator, presented an update on the upcoming TPTF Grant application cycle. She noted that the FY 22 Grant Program was announced on December 3rd, 2021, and applications would be due on February 7, 2022. Ms. Harvell advised applicants were provided an opportunity to submit draft applications for feedback on January 17, 2022, and several applicants took advantage of that preliminary review. She noted that the highest priority projects would be invited to the project proposal stage on April 17, 2022, and that those projects would receive a detailed grant
program manual with instructions on preparing the application. She stated that those applications were due on July 13, 2022 and would be scored by staff. She detailed the application timeline and scoring criteria. Ms. Harvell advised that staff would prepare funding recommendations for the TPTF Advisory Board to consider at their October 2022 meeting. She noted the funding recommendation made by the TPTF Advisory Board would be brought forth and considered at the THC October 2022 Quarterly Commission Meeting.

Lisa Hart, Disaster Assistance Program Coordinator, reported on the activities funded by the federal Hurricanes Harvey, Irma, and Maria Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund. She advised there was funding returned and that funding would be allocated to fulfill the initial grant request from Waller County. Ms. Hart reported the county intended to accept the funding for the Donovan House in Brookshire. She also noted that several projects were being closed out.

Ms. Graham provided an update of the activities of the Texas Historic Preservation Tax Credit Program. She highlighted several projects including a State Tax Credit project at Rice University, which qualified as a non-profit organization. Ms. Graham reported that during the past quarter, a total of seven projects were certified for a total of $43 million in qualified expenses. Ms. Graham noted two of those projects also qualified for the Federal Tax Credit Program. She further noted this was the 7th year anniversary of the program launch with a total of 322 certified State Tax Credit projects totaling $2.8 billion in qualified expenses.

Ms. Graham advised the committee on the activities of the Texas Historic Courthouse Preservation Program (THCPP) rededications. She provided an update on the Mason County courthouse. Ms. Graham highlighted the Fannin County courthouse and noted the upcoming rededication slated for March 11, 2022 (later corrected to March 10, 2022). She reported that the THCPP held a Stewardship Workshop in Jefferson County this past October, which was well attended. Ms. Graham advised that another Stewardship Workshop would be held in the spring.

4. Adjournment
Chair Limbacher called the meeting to adjournment at 1:34 p.m.
FEDERAL AND STATE REVIEW
During this quarter, the Division of Architecture’s regional review staff completed 102 reviews under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, issued eight permits for State Antiquities Landmark (SAL) properties, reviewed 53 Recorded Texas Historic Landmark properties, and provided oversight and guidance to 12 active Texas Preservation Trust Fund (TPTF) architecture grant projects.

We welcomed Veronica Granados as office manager in March and bid farewell to Christina Rieth, who previously was the project reviewer for Southeast Texas. In addition to managing the DOA office, Granados assists the federal and state review team with administrative needs and State Antiquities Landmark permits.

State Antiquities Landmark
Thanks to the National Museum of the Pacific War staff, in partnership with the Admiral Nimitz Foundation and Historic Sites Division, the rehabilitation and restoration of the Nimitz Hotel Ballroom was completed last year. This project was initially permitted at the end of August 2021 and completed in January. It included removing the non-historic drywall and studs covering the original plaster walls, restoring the historic plaster walls and stenciled decorative painting based on forensic findings, replacing the wood floors, replacing the outdated acoustic tile ceiling with a new cove band and fabric acoustical ceiling system, altering the non-historic mezzanine, and upgrading the existing mechanical systems. During the replacement of the wood floors, a historic well was uncovered and incorporated into the new floor design by lighting the interior of the well and covering it with glass to allow it to be viewed by visitors.

Recorded Texas Historic Landmarks
In January, the Division of Architecture review staff completed the required review for the exterior rehabilitation of the 1850s Turner House in Marshall, one of the earliest extant historic residences in the city. The Division of Architecture review staff found the proposed plans appropriately met the Secretary of Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation and will ensure the preservation of this historic residential property for generations to come. The exterior scope of work included repair and selective replacement of the house’s exterior carpentry, repainting, in-kind replacement of the asphalt composition shingle roof, rehabilitation of the historic wood sash windows and primary facade transom, reconstruction of the deteriorated front porch, construction of a new bathroom extension to the rear addition, and reconfiguration of the non-historic windows on the previously altered rear elevation of the house to accommodate the construction of a new back porch.

National Historic Preservation Act Section 106
As part of the Section 106 process, the parks in the National Park Service (NPS) system undergo project reviews just as other federally funded projects do. Parks and national monuments such as Big Bend, Guadalupe Mountains, and Waco Mammoth submit annual plans for buildings eligible for the National Register and host annual meetings to discuss future reviews with the federal and state review teams in DOA, AD, and HPD. Since the pandemic limited travel over the past two years, several annual visits to national parks have taken place in recent months at Big Bend and the San Antonio Missions National Historical Park (NHP). Other discussions are taking place on projects at the LBJ NHP and on a new programmatic agreement for maintenance at the Texas White House. These facilities are very familiar to our newest regional reviewer, Alexander Shane, who previously worked at the park for over a decade.

Texas Preservation Trust Fund
The THC accepted 29 grant applications on Feb. 7 for the FY 2023 grant round. Applications include three archeology, one heritage education, and 25 architecture applications. Total funds requested is $815,374. The THC will select the highest-priority projects from the initial applications and invite those participants to move forward to the second step on April 7. Those applicants will continue the process by submitting detailed project proposals and budgets by July 13. Full project proposals will be considered by the THC for grant awards in October.
Hurricane Harvey Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund (ESHPF)
Staff has been conducting site visits to finalize grant scopes of work. Section 106 consultation has been completed for most of the alternate projects approved for funding by the Commission. Unfortunately, an awarded project pulled out of the grant program due to repeated vandalism problems. Redistribution of those funds will take place at the April Commission meeting. Two subgrant agreements have been executed and a Memorandum of Agreement with the NPS for the Fulton Mansion project has been executed.

PRESERVATION TAX CREDITS
During this quarter, the Texas Historic Preservation Tax Credit (THPTC) program received 22 Part A, 16 Part B, 13 Part B amendments and eight Part C applications.

Since the date of the last quarterly narrative, Certificates of Eligibility were issued for 11 completed projects in Amarillo, Austin, Brenham, Fort Worth, Galveston, Houston, Marlin, and San Antonio. (See highlights for newly certified projects.) Qualified expenses for these projects total over $169 million. A total of 334 projects have now been certified since the beginning of the program in 2015, with $3 billion in qualified expenses.

For the Federal Rehabilitation Tax Credit program, the program received nine Part 1, seven Part 2, 14 Part 2 amendments, and five Part 3 applications. Two projects were certified by the NPS this quarter.

Tax credit team member Austin Lukes presented the session “Tax Credits for Non-Profits” at the Real Places 2022 conference in February. The session had been planned by Caroline Wright, working with staffers from the Mary Moody Northen Endowment, to talk about how that organization has planned for and utilized the tax credit program recei

RESERVATION TAX CREDITS
For the Colorado River Basin, 13 Part B amendments and seven Part 3 applications. Two projects were certified by the NPS this quarter.

Rededications
On March 10, hundreds of visitors flocked to downtown Bonham to see the transformation of the Fannin County Courthouse. A Round XI THCPP grant restored the building from the flat-roofed 1960s alteration to the original 1889 W.C. Dodson design, complete with a reconstructed tower with ornamental cupola, mansard roofs, and decorative limestone columns and details. Area residents and visitors from further afield celebrated with THC Commissioner John Crain, County Judge Randy Moore, and members of the Fannin County Commissioners Court and the Fannin County Historical Commission. The restoration involved quarrying more than 35 tons of new limestone from the nearby Floyd Quarry, opened especially for the project. Much of that limestone was hand-carved to replicate features that were destroyed to prepare for the installation of flat paneling in the 1960s. If interested, full documentation of the restoration project can be seen on the Fannin County Courthouse Restoration Facebook page, including videos of the rededication and the raising of the tower and cupola.

Stewardship
Despite the bitter cold and icy roads, dozens of facility managers and county judges attended this year’s stewardship sessions at the annual Real Places Conference. Ivan Myjer of Building and Monument Conservation presented the craft keynote presentation, as well as a lunch session to the courthouse stewards the previous day, to help them identify and address issues with masonry. Ivan is well-known for previous work on churches in the San Antonio Missions NHP, among many other projects in Boston and the northeast, including the Old South Meeting House and King’s Chapel Burying Ground. Other sessions included wood preservation guidance from Brent Hull of Hull Historical on historic doors and millwork. One panel of local fundraisers and preservationists provided guidance on how to build support for the restoration of large preservation projects, and another panel of facility managers provided guidance to attendees on how to maintain their historic properties. In addition, 11 health, safety, and welfare learning units were offered to architects for continuing education credit through the American Institute of Architects.

COURTHOUSE PRESERVATION PROGRAM
Texas Historic Courthouse Preservation Program Construction Projects
In preparation for the full restoration of the Callahan County Courthouse in Baird, selective demolition is underway to remove non-original elements from the building. Planning is complete and a contractor has been hired to begin the reconstruction of the Mason County Courthouse in Mason following the devastating fire over a year ago. The reconstruction is anticipated to be complete in late spring 2023. The full restoration of the Taylor County Courthouse in Abilene is underway with the completion of selective demolition and the first steps in installing building systems.
### Pre-Construction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County &amp; Round</th>
<th>Reviewer</th>
<th>Grant Award &amp; Balance</th>
<th>Arch Form</th>
<th>Funding Agree</th>
<th>Close Out Docs</th>
<th>NTP Bid</th>
<th>SAL Permit</th>
<th>Bid Period Start</th>
<th>Bid Tally Sheet</th>
<th>Close Out Docs</th>
<th>Arch Contract</th>
<th>Construction Docs</th>
<th>Construct Start</th>
<th>Work In Progress</th>
<th>Close Out Docs</th>
<th>Insurance</th>
<th>Completion Report</th>
<th>Substantial Completion</th>
<th>Project Completion</th>
<th>Redevelopment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cameron</td>
<td>Tania Salgado</td>
<td>$450,000.00 $0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/04/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7/26/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karnes</td>
<td>Tania Salgado</td>
<td>$4,093,559.00 $0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/14/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11/1/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karnes</td>
<td>Eva Osborne</td>
<td>$9,549,956.00 $0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/05/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3/3/2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Saba</td>
<td>Eva Osborne</td>
<td>$4,911,105.00 $0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>08/25/2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/8/2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willacy</td>
<td>Tania Salgado</td>
<td>$402,970.00 $378,722.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>05/01/2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11/1/2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Construction

- **Architects:** Fisher-Heck Architects, Komatsu Architecture, Stoddard Construction Management Inc.
- **Contractors:** Limbach & Godfrey
- **Status Notes:** The restoration is presently 95% complete as of April 1, 2022. The courthouse was rededicated on March 10, 2022. The county is awaiting a Certificate of Occupancy.
- Selective demolition underway.

### Post-Construction

- **Architect:** ArchTexas
- **Contractor:** Phoenix 1
- **Status Notes:** The courthouse was rededicated on March 10, 2022. The county is awaiting a Certificate of Occupancy.

### Round 9 Construction Status Report

<p>| Count: | 7 |
| Total Funds Awarded: | $21,057,539.00 |
| Funds Remaining: | $1,360,426.00 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County &amp; Round</th>
<th>Reviewer</th>
<th>Grant Award &amp; Balance</th>
<th>Ack Form</th>
<th>Funding Agree</th>
<th>Epayment</th>
<th>Arch Contract</th>
<th>Construct Docs</th>
<th>NTP Bid</th>
<th>SAL Permit</th>
<th>Bid Released Start</th>
<th>Bid Tally Sheet</th>
<th>Cost Contract</th>
<th>Sub List</th>
<th>NTP Construction</th>
<th>Construct Start</th>
<th>Work In Progress</th>
<th>Close Out Docs</th>
<th>Insurance</th>
<th>Completion Report</th>
<th>Substantial Completion</th>
<th>Project Completion</th>
<th>Rededication</th>
<th>Architect</th>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Status Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Camp</td>
<td>James Malanaphy</td>
<td>$417,576.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/12/2019</td>
<td>1/5/2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/5/2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/12/2020</td>
<td>1/15/2021</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Komatsu Architecture</td>
<td>Joe R. Jones</td>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls</td>
<td>Susan Tietz</td>
<td>$8,832,430.00</td>
<td>$833,241.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6/01/2019</td>
<td>12/9/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/12/2011</td>
<td>10/1/2012</td>
<td>10/16/2021</td>
<td>Komatsu Architecture</td>
<td>Sheddall Construction Management</td>
<td>Availing Completion Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls</td>
<td>Tanja Salgado</td>
<td>$318,176.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11/30/2018</td>
<td>7/1/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4/2/2020</td>
<td>5/15/2020</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Nelson Gallagher</td>
<td>Joe R. Jones Construction</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls</td>
<td>James Malanaphy</td>
<td>$44,170.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/12/2020</td>
<td>1/15/2021</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Sharks Engineering</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls</td>
<td>James Malanaphy</td>
<td>$438,854.00</td>
<td>$89,422.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/15/2021</td>
<td>9/1/2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/12/2021</td>
<td>12/12/2021</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Komatsu Architecture</td>
<td>MRI Builders</td>
<td>Availing Completion Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls</td>
<td>Eva Osborne</td>
<td>$8,259,906.00</td>
<td>$294,049.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9/14/2018</td>
<td>1/9/2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4/30/2021</td>
<td>5/10/2021</td>
<td>7/5/2021</td>
<td>Arthur Maysman Architects</td>
<td>Premier Metalworks</td>
<td>Availing Completion Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls</td>
<td>James Malanaphy</td>
<td>$6,682,610.00</td>
<td>$204,020.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9/18/2018</td>
<td>10/1/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/15/2021</td>
<td>2/15/2021</td>
<td>5/22/2021</td>
<td>Komatsu Architecture</td>
<td>MRI Builders</td>
<td>Availing final reimbursement request.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls</td>
<td>Susan Tietz</td>
<td>$60,012.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4/06/2019</td>
<td>8/10/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/12/2019</td>
<td>12/12/2019</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>ArchTexas Austin</td>
<td>MRI Builders</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Count:** 7
- **Total Funds Awarded:** $21,057,539.00
- **Funds Remaining:** $1,360,426.00
## Round 10p Planning Status Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Round</th>
<th>Reviewer</th>
<th>Grant Award</th>
<th>Remaining</th>
<th>Agreement</th>
<th>Easement</th>
<th>Contract</th>
<th>Schematic</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>65%</th>
<th>95%</th>
<th>Architect</th>
<th>Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Callahan</td>
<td>10p</td>
<td>Eva Osborne</td>
<td>$450000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Komatsu Architecture</td>
<td>Rick Sacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>10p</td>
<td>Susan Tietz</td>
<td>$450000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Komatsu Architecture</td>
<td>John Herr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Zandt</td>
<td>10p</td>
<td>James Malanaphy</td>
<td>$302552.00</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Barham &amp; Associates</td>
<td>Michael Barham</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Count:** 4

**Total Funds Awarded:** $1,202,552.00

**Funds Remaining:** $1.00
### Round 10 Master Plan Update Grants Status Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Round</th>
<th>Reviewer</th>
<th>Grant Award</th>
<th>Remaining</th>
<th>Agreement</th>
<th>Contract</th>
<th>Schematic</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>65%</th>
<th>95%</th>
<th>Architect</th>
<th>Contact</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bandera</td>
<td>10MP</td>
<td>Tania Salgado</td>
<td>$50000.00</td>
<td>$50000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ArchiTexas Austin</td>
<td>Stan Graves</td>
<td>Awaiting reimbursement request</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell</td>
<td>10MP</td>
<td>James Malanaphy</td>
<td>$22500.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EIKON Consulting</td>
<td>Michael Tubiolo</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blanco</td>
<td>10MP</td>
<td>Betsy Frederick-Rothwell</td>
<td>$50000.00</td>
<td>$50000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hutson Gallagher</td>
<td>Chris Hutson</td>
<td>Awaiting reimbursement request</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burnet</td>
<td>10MP</td>
<td>Susan Tietz</td>
<td>$44900.00</td>
<td>$2236.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ArchiTexas Austin</td>
<td>Larry Irisk</td>
<td>Awaiting reimbursement request</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chambers</td>
<td>10MP</td>
<td>Greta Wilhelm</td>
<td>$50000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ArchiTexas Dallas</td>
<td>Jay Firsching</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>10MP</td>
<td>Eva Osborne</td>
<td>$50000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Harper Perkins</td>
<td>Charles F. Harper</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coleman</td>
<td>10MP</td>
<td>Eva Osborne</td>
<td>$50000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ArchiTexas Austin</td>
<td>Larry Irisk</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>10MP</td>
<td>James Malanaphy</td>
<td>$44000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ArchiTexas Dallas</td>
<td>David Chase</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duval</td>
<td>10MP</td>
<td>Tania Salgado</td>
<td>$50000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ArchiTexas Austin</td>
<td>Stan Graves</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frio</td>
<td>10MP</td>
<td>Tania Salgado</td>
<td>$50000.00</td>
<td>$50000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ArchiTexas Austin</td>
<td>Stan Graves</td>
<td>Awaiting reimbursement request</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grayson</td>
<td>10MP</td>
<td>James Malanaphy</td>
<td>$50000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ArchiTexas Dallas</td>
<td>David Chase</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall</td>
<td>10MP</td>
<td>Eva Osborne</td>
<td>$46655.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arthur Weinman</td>
<td>Arthur Weinman</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hutchison</td>
<td>10MP</td>
<td>Eva Osborne</td>
<td>$50000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Barham &amp; Associates</td>
<td>Michael Barham</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td>10MP</td>
<td>Susan Tietz</td>
<td>$50000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LaBiche Architectural</td>
<td>Dohn LaBiche</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimble</td>
<td>10MP</td>
<td>Tania Salgado</td>
<td>$44625.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hutson Gallagher</td>
<td>Chris Hutson</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kleberg</td>
<td>10MP</td>
<td>Tania Salgado</td>
<td>$49500.00</td>
<td>$49500.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Komatsu Architecture</td>
<td>Charlie Kearns</td>
<td>Recapturing Grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>10MP</td>
<td>James Malanaphy</td>
<td>$43000.00</td>
<td>$43000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Komatsu Architecture</td>
<td>Charlie Kearns</td>
<td>Awaiting reimbursement request</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mason</td>
<td>10MP</td>
<td>Brit Barr</td>
<td>$49900.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ArchiTexas Austin</td>
<td>Stan Graves</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLennan</td>
<td>10MP</td>
<td>James Malanaphy</td>
<td>$44900.00</td>
<td>$44900.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ArchiTexas Dallas</td>
<td>David Chase</td>
<td>Awaiting reimbursement request</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randall</td>
<td>10MP</td>
<td>Eva Osborne</td>
<td>$50000.00</td>
<td>$50000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hutson Gallagher</td>
<td>Tracy Hutson</td>
<td>Extension Granted by THC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robertson</td>
<td>10MP</td>
<td>Betsy Frederick-Rothwell</td>
<td>$40000.00</td>
<td>$40000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ArchiTexas Dallas</td>
<td>Jay Firsching</td>
<td>Awaiting reimbursement request</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor</td>
<td>10MP</td>
<td>Eva Osborne</td>
<td>$20000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ArchiTexas Austin</td>
<td>Stan Graves</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upshur</td>
<td>10MP</td>
<td>James Malanaphy</td>
<td>$44000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Komatsu Architecture</td>
<td>Gordon Marchant</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willacy</td>
<td>10MP</td>
<td>Tania Salgado</td>
<td>$50000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Limbacher &amp; Godfrey</td>
<td>Laurie Limbacher</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wise</td>
<td>10MP</td>
<td>James Malanaphy</td>
<td>$50000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Komatsu Architecture</td>
<td>Gordon Marchant</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Count:** 25  
**Total Funds Awarded:** $1,143,980.00  
**Funds Remaining:** $379,636.00
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County &amp; Round</th>
<th>Pre-Construction</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Post-Construction</th>
<th>Status Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Callahan</td>
<td>Full Restoration</td>
<td>Eva Osborne</td>
<td>$4,684,891.00</td>
<td>Selective demolition underway to remove non-original materials from the building.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duval</td>
<td>Emergency</td>
<td>Tania Salgado</td>
<td>$921,094.00</td>
<td>Construction underway on masonry, window and structural work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee</td>
<td>Emergency</td>
<td>Betsy Frederick-Rothwell</td>
<td>$1,970,149.00</td>
<td>Notice to Proceed to Construction issued. JC Stoddard hired as contractor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mason</td>
<td>Full Restoration</td>
<td>Eva Osborne</td>
<td>$4,140,119.00</td>
<td>Design complete. Structural repairs underway.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor</td>
<td>Full Restoration</td>
<td>Eva Osborne</td>
<td>$5,980,000.00</td>
<td>Contractor selection underway. Construction anticipated to begin May 2022.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Round 11 Planning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Round</th>
<th>Reviewer</th>
<th>Grant Award</th>
<th>Remaining</th>
<th>Agreement</th>
<th>Easement</th>
<th>Contract</th>
<th>Schematic</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>65%</th>
<th>95%</th>
<th>Architect</th>
<th>Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kimble</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Tania Salgado</td>
<td>$378,489.00</td>
<td>$378,489.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hutson Gallagher</td>
<td>Chris Hutson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Betsy Frederick-Rothwell</td>
<td>$713,130.00</td>
<td>$713,130.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Architexas</td>
<td>Susan Frocheur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willacy</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Tania Salgado</td>
<td>$803,359.00</td>
<td>$803,359.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Limbacher &amp; Godfrey</td>
<td>Laurie Limbacher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wise</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>James Malanaphy</td>
<td>$787,753.00</td>
<td>$512,039.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Komatsu Architecture</td>
<td>Karl Komatsu</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Count:** 21

**Total Funds Awarded:** $2,682,731.00

**Funds Remaining:** $2,407,017.65
Blue Ridge Baptist Church 1900
Marlin • Falls County • Texas

History
The Blue Ridge Baptist Church, located outside of Marlin on a rural farm to market road, is from the early 20th century and has served the community continuously since then. The design features two matching spires at the front corners of the church. Some modest stained glass is present behind the altar, and some other design flourishes are still in place around the building. The sanctuary is also modest, seating up to 80 people over its three sections of pews. The site also features a cemetery with grave markers dating to the 1870s.

Rehabilitation Project
The work that took place for this tax credit project was limited to emergency roof replacement which had threatened the structural stability of the church. Working with Preservation Texas, the church found that the tax credit program could help with the financial burden that would need to be addressed by the limited congregation. The previous roof was not historic and only dated to the 1980s. Though it had performed well over its 40 years of use, its weight had damaged the structure of the church and was no longer tenable for future use. In addition to new material, the rehabilitated roof was reinforced to assure itself that future damage would be minimized and that it would no longer leak.

DESIGNATION: Individually listed in National Register of Historic Places
HISTORIC USE: Church
CURRENT USE: Church
TOTAL COST: $38,340
QUALIFIED EXPENSES: $38,340
CERTIFIED: February 18, 2022
CONTACT: Blue Ridge Baptist Church; Cen-Tex Roof Systems
Certified for state tax credits only.

For more info
www.thc.texas.gov/taxcreditprogram
Congregation B’nai Israel 1955
Galveston • Galveston County • Texas

History
Congregation B’nai Israel has served the Jewish community of Galveston since its construction in the mid-20th century, both in religious, cultural, and community needs. The synagogue, on one end of the property, was constructed first and is a grand space that features several mid-century design details. Shortly after, a second classroom and office wing were added to add facilitative space for the congregation. While not as grand as the synagogue, these spaces still maintained some unique design elements that fit in very well with the original wing of the building.

Rehabilitation Project
This rehabilitation, which was limited only to the state tax credit program, was to refresh and update the classroom and office wing of the facility. Some work was necessary for future use, including asbestos abatement, and some was desired by the congregation for the changing use of the facility, including rearranging of offices and the library. Window repairs were undertaken and new, appropriate doors were installed to meet modern code requirements. New, more efficient mechanical systems were installed, and updated kitchen equipment were put in place to allow for more event use in the facility. Other refreshes were made to the exterior brick, which had seen ample bio-growth over the decades of existing in the marine environment of Galveston.

DESIGNATION: Recorded Texas Historic Landmark
HISTORIC USE: Religious temple
CURRENT USE: Religious temple
TOTAL COST: $1,400,000
QUALIFIED EXPENSES: $1,400,000
CERTIFIED: January 12, 2022
CONTACT: Galveston Historical Foundation; Huitt-Zollars; T&D Engineers; Brazos Contractors
Certified for state tax credits only.

For more info
www.thc.texas.gov/taxcreditprogram
**Houston Post Building** 1954  
Houston • Harris County • Texas

**History**
The Houston Post Building was completed in 1954, serving as the offices of Houston’s then most-popular newspaper until 1969 and as their print shop until the 1980s. The first *Houston Post* was established in 1880, though it failed and was revised in 1885 becoming one of the primary papers of the western United States. The paper achieved its great successes after controlling interest was acquired in the late 1930s by former governor William P. Hobby and federal Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare Oveta Culp Hobby. Under their leadership, the *Post* grew substantially in readership, moving to new offices twice in the middle of the twentieth century.

**Rehabilitation Project**
The Houston Post building is a simple Modern building, constructed to mirror part of its use as a printing press and to reflect the modern technologies available at the time of construction—including a state-of-the-art air-conditioning system. Minimal windows were installed in office spaces and current windows in parts of the building originally housed chutes to fill delivery trucks with papers. The bulk of the building, including non-historic additions that have been removed, supported the printing press in large and complex spaces. Remaining equipment from the presses, including ink storage vats and various mechanical pieces, has been retained and incorporated into interior spaces—some of which now serves as a parking garage for a retail pharmacy tenant.

**DESIGNATION:** Individually listed in the National Register of Historic Places  
**HISTORIC USE:** Newspaper offices and printing press  
**CURRENT USE:** Retail, office, and restaurant lease spaces  
**CERTIFIED:** March 11, 2022  
**CONTACT:** Dowling Polk LTD; MacRostie Historic Advisors; PowersBrown Architecture; Studio LFA; Burton Construction, Studemont Architects; Sawywer Engineering  
Also applying for federal tax credits.  

For more info  
[www.thc.texas.gov/taxcreditprogram](http://www.thc.texas.gov/taxcreditprogram)
Downtown Houston Post Office 1962
Houston • Harris County • Texas

History
The Downtown Houston Post Office, Processing, and Distribution Center, known locally as the Barbara Jordan Post Office, served as the main United States Postal Service mail processing center for the City of Houston from 1962 until 2015 when the building was sold into private hands. The center was constructed to combine the work of multiple processing and distribution centers in Houston into a single facility that could support modern machinery for quicker and easier services for its citizens. The center features a five-story postal services and administration tower backed by a massive two-story warehouse for processing. The building is in the New Formalist style, following President Kennedy’s “Guiding Principles of Federal Architecture,” as designed by local Houston architects.

Rehabilitation Project
The post office was closed in 2015 and the property was sold into private ownership. For several years, it functioned as an occasional venue for large-scale music and arts events while the owners worked towards long-term plans and financing. The first phase of the project is now complete, and features three installed atria with large, sculptural stairs and a six-acre rooftop garden. One of the atria contains a large food hall with stalls and small restaurants. As the project progresses, a large tenant space will be adapted for coworking use, while other spaces will be leased to retail and offices. A 5000-person music hall occupies the opposite end of the building.

DESIGNATION: Individually listed in the National Register of Historic Places
HISTORIC USE: Post office
CURRENT USE: Offices, concert venue, food hall, retail, art, and public entertainment
CERTIFIED: January 13, 2022
CONTACT: 401 Franklin St LTD; MacRostie Historic Advisors; Powers Brown; OMA; Hoerr Schaudt; Dot Dash Lighting; Lovett Commercial; Harvey Builders
Also applying for federal tax credits.

For more info
www.thc.texas.gov/taxcreditprogram
TEXAS HISTORIC PRESERVATION TAX CREDIT PROGRAM

Magnolia Brewery Building 1912
Houston • Harris County • Texas

History
As a surviving structure from the Houston Ice and Brewing Company complex, the Magnolia Brewery Building served as both the bottling facility and taproom for the brewery in its historic use. To this end there were some spaces that were highly decorative and some that were much more utilitarian. On the second floor, the Magnolia Ballroom served as an event space with kitchen and dining facilities overlooking Buffalo Bayou. An ancillary addition was added in 1968 to serve the ballroom further. In 2017 Hurricane Harvey caused severe damage to all parts of the building, and this rehabilitation served to bring the building back to use.

Rehabilitation Project
The work undertaken at this building sought to turn the ground floor into office space, with the ballroom to be rehabilitated above and an event space to be made at the basement level, accessible from the bayou walking paths. Due to the damage from Hurricane Harvey, the ground floor required full gutting and surface repair. Historic terrazzo flooring was retained and repaired where needed. The walls facing the bayou were opened up to get ample natural lighting to the office areas. A new elevator was inserted in the non-historic addition to serve as an accessible entrance to the ballroom on the second floor.

DESIGNATION: Listed as contributing to the Main Street/Market Square Historic District
HISTORIC USE: Brewery & ballroom
CURRENT USE: Offices & event space
TOTAL COST: $3,256,275
QUALIFIED EXPENSES: $3,162,485
CERTIFIED: January 28, 2022
CONTACT: MacRostie Historic Advisors, LLC; 120 Milam Holdings, LLC; Stern & Bucek Architects; The PDC Group; Hurley SCCI; Jarvis Civil Engineering

Also applying for federal tax credits.
For more info www.thc.texas.gov/taxcreditprogram
Old Dick Tracy Tire Store 1925
Brenham • Washington County • Texas

History
Originally a tire repair center, the Old Dick Tracy Tire Store was largely utilitarian in its design but did have some Classical Revival design elements that led it to be contributing to the Brenham Downtown Historic District. After the tire repair facility moved to another area of town, the neighboring building took over the space to serve as a bottling plant. Either at this time or slightly before, a large barn door was added near the rear of the property to get equipment and product in and out of the space. Up until the recent rehabilitation, the building only served industrial or utilitarian uses.

Rehabilitation Project
Due to the historically industrial uses of the building, the floorplan remained largely open and airy. This was sought to be maintained by the current owner, while simultaneously creating private offices and a conference room. Additionally, there had not been extensive mechanical systems in the building, which needed to be carefully inserted to not damage historic material and appear out of place. To this end, a row of offices were installed that do not extend vertically to the full height of the space, and mechanical ducts were placed on the mezzanine above to be present but out of sight. This also allowed the historic metal ceiling to be in view from the larger common areas. The rear barn door was encapsulated by the new conference room, but glazed walls allow it to be viewed from throughout the interior.

DESIGNATION: Listed as a contributing resource in the Brenham Downtown Historic District
HISTORIC USE: Retail, warehouse
CURRENT USE: Architect’s office
TOTAL COST: $253,841
QUALIFIED EXPENSES: $246,841
CERTIFIED: March 3, 2022
CONTACT: Gray Heine Holdings LLC
Also certified for federal tax credits.
For more info
www.thc.texas.gov/taxcreditprogram
**Quigg-Baulard Cottage 1867 - 1887**
Galveston • Galveston County • Texas

**History**
The Quigg-Baulard Cottage is important locally as a well-restored vernacular home dating back to Galveston’s post-Civil War building boom. The original home was constructed in 1867, by the William Quigg family, who operated a wholesale grocer in the Strand. The front of the house featured Greek Revival style elements, typical of Galveston-architecture at the time. In 1875 the house was sold to Victor Baulard. Baulard was partner in the firm of Rice and Baulard, which sold specialty construction and decorative materials. Multiple additions were made to the cottage during this time period and are thought to serve as advertisements of Rice and Baulard’s work. This includes the front bay window (which originally featured stained glass) and other Queen Anne ornamentation. The Baulard family-maintained ownership of the cottage until the 1960s, when it was sold to Mary Moody Northen.

**Rehabilitation Project**
The Mary Moody Northen Endowment fully restored the cottage in the early 1990s, as part of efforts to also restore the Willis-Moody Mansion next door. At that time, the cottage became the offices for the Endowment while the mansion was put into use as a house museum. The current project at the cottage included basic maintenance and repairs to the exterior and upgrades to HVAC equipment. Under the cottage, piers and beams received new shims to replace missing shims for building stability. Exterior wood siding and trim were thoroughly repaired and repainted. Wooden shutters were fully replaced to match the historic shutters, and storm windows were installed.

**DESIGNATION:** Individually listed in the National Register of Historic Places and a Recorded Texas Historic Landmark

**HISTORIC USE:** Single-family home

**CURRENT USE:** Offices

**TOTAL COST:** $86,206

**QUALIFIED EXPENSES:** $86,206

**CERTIFIED:** January 31, 2022

**CONTACT:** Mary Moody Northen Endowment

Certified for state credits only.

For more info
[www.thc.texas.gov/taxcreditprogram](http://www.thc.texas.gov/taxcreditprogram)
Riverside Baptist Church 1924 - 1958
Fort Worth • Tarrant County • Texas

History
The Riverside Baptist Church was established in 1904, taking its name from the surrounding Riverside neighborhoods on the east side of Fort Worth. The church’s first building was constructed in 1914. The current campus was begun in 1924, when a basement level worship area was constructed. As membership grew, the campus expanded with an education building and two floors added on top of the basement worship center, creating a larger auditorium and sanctuary space in 1939. The campus was further enlarged through the 1940s and 1950s, with the main Gothic-styled Modern church building completed in 1951. Other buildings were added or adapted into a matching style through the decade, overseen by local architect Birch D. Easterwood of the firm Easterwood and Easterwood.

Rehabilitation Project
The church itself closed in 2018, leaving the property vacant. Given the main building’s modern revival style, there was great interest by local preservationists in the campus’s future. Luckily, the property was purchased by a creative affordable housing developer, who paired the historic and low-income housing tax credits together to convert the buildings into housing. Education and fellowship buildings were fully converted into apartments, with the retention of public corridors and other areas. The main sanctuary serves as a community facility with two new apartments located on the sanctuary balcony. A 1970s building was removed and replaced with a newly constructed apartment building.

DESIGNATION: Individually listed in the National Register of Historic Places

HISTORIC USE: Church and fellowship offices

CURRENT USE: Mixed-income apartments

TOTAL COST: $12,191,689

QUALIFIED EXPENSES: $21,998,899

CERTIFIED: March 11, 2022

CONTACT: Cielo Place LLC; Miller Slayton Architects; Fort Construction; Saigebrook Development, LLC

Also certified for federal tax credits.

For more info
www.thc.texas.gov/taxcreditprogram
Texas Military Institute & Mess Hall 1873
Austin • Travis County • Texas

**History**
Located on the former campus of the Texas Military Institute, the mess hall served the surrounding facilities in various needs throughout the late 19th and early 20th centuries. It originally housed two dining rooms at the east side of the building with a kitchen at the east side. The two chimneys, which likely served as exhaust flues, are still present at this location. After the Texas Military Institute changed locations, many of the buildings were torn down or broadly altered, but the mess hall was left in decent condition, and was identified as a promising rehabilitation project.

**Rehabilitation Project**
There was numerous lingering concerns found at the mess hall prior to rehabilitation being undertaken. The hillside location lends itself to erosion that needed to be addressed to assure long term stability of the building. Once this was completed, other site work, including installation of an accessibility ramp and a new porch, was performed. The non-historic metal roof, which was too heavy for its supports, was removed and replaced with a lighter alternative. Masonry repair and three-layer interior plaster work was done. This work was done concurrently with the installation of new mechanical systems to make the space comfortable for the new office functions. Finally, door and window repairs reestablished their operability and accessibility.

**DESIGNATION:** Contributing to the West Line National Register Historic District

**HISTORIC USE:** Offices

**CURRENT USE:** Offices

**CERTIFIED:** January 7, 2022

**CONTACT:** O’Connell Architecture; Pinnelli Builders Inc.; Sparks Engineering, Inc.; HMG & Associates, Inc.

Also certified for federal tax credits.

**For more info**
[www.thc.texas.gov/taxcreditprogram](http://www.thc.texas.gov/taxcreditprogram)
TAB 9.2
Consider approval of the recapture of funds from and/or supplemental funding to previously-awarded Texas Historic Courthouse Preservation Program projects

Background:
Preservation projects involve a certain degree of uncertainty and unexpected conditions may arise during a project. These newly discovered or unanticipated conditions typically have an adverse impact on project budgets. The THC may discuss one or more courthouse projects that this situation applies to and consider supplemental awards to those counties. At other times, a courthouse project may not utilize all the grant funds originally awarded for the project. If this occurs, the THC will formally adjust the grant award to reflect the recapture.

This is a standing agenda item for the Commission to consider at each quarterly meeting. The Commission will consider the following supplemental funding awards and/or recapture of funds:

Kleberg County Courthouse
Kleberg County received a Round X preservation master plan update grant of $49,500, approved at the January 2019 Quarterly Meeting. Despite signing a Funding Agreement with the THC, the county has been unresponsive to the Courthouse Preservation Program project reviewer and the architectural firm with whom the county signed a contract for the work. Formal correspondence was sent on August 23, 2021 and again on December 10, 2021 which required response by January 23 to avoid recapture of the grant funding by the THC. As a final measure, an appointment was made by the project reviewer to meet with Judge Madrid and their architectural consultant in Kingsville to discuss the possibility of moving forward with the master plan update. After two hours of waiting for the judge, the reviewer departed without a response from the judge or his assistant.

Staff recommends recapture of funding so that it can be used by a responsive county, as most counties that received grants for master plan updates completed this work more than a year ago.

Recommended motion (Committee):
Move to send forward to the Commission and recommend approval to recapture funds from Kleberg County for the courthouse master plan update in the amount of $49,500.

Recommended motion (Commission):
Move to approve recapture of funds from Kleberg County for the courthouse master plan update in the amount of $49,500.
COMMUNICATIONS
TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION

AGENDA
COMMUNICATIONS COMMITTEE
Alamo Hall
300 Alamo Plaza
San Antonio, TX 78205
April 28-29, 2022
11:30 a.m.

(or upon adjournment of the 11 a.m. Community Heritage Development committee meeting, whichever occurs later)

This meeting of the THC Communications Committee has been properly posted with the Secretary of State's Office according to the provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Chapter 551, Texas Government Code. The members may discuss and/or take action on any of the items listed in the agenda.

1. Call to Order — Committee Chairman McKnight
   A. Committee member introductions
   B. Establish quorum
   C. Recognize and/or excuse absences

2. Consider approval of the Communications Committee meeting minutes — Chairman McKnight
   A. Minutes for February 1, 2022

3. Communications Division update and committee discussion — Chris Florance
   A. Engagement
   B. Branding
   C. Digital Media

4. Adjournment

NOTICE OF ASSISTANCE AT PUBLIC MEETINGS: Persons with disabilities who plan to attend this meeting and who may need auxiliary aids or services such as interpreters for persons who are deaf or hearing impaired, readers, large print or Braille, are requested to contact Esther Brickley at (512) 463-5768 at least four (4) business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.
MINUTES
COMMUNICATIONS COMMITTEE
Hilton Austin
Room 400/402
500 E. 4th St.
Austin, TX 78701
February 1, 2022
1:30 p.m.

Note: For the full text of action items, please contact the Texas Historical Commission at P.O. Box 12276, Austin, TX 78711 or call 512.463.6255.

Commissioners in attendance: Catherine McKnight, Jim Bruseth, Garrett Donnelly, Donna Bahoric and David Gravelle.

1. Call to Order
The meeting was called to order by Chairman David Gravelle at 1:36 p.m. He announced the meeting had been posted to the Texas Register, was being held in conformance with the Texas Open Meetings Act, Texas Government Code, Chapter 551 and that notice had been properly posted with the Secretary of State’s Office as required.

A. Committee member introductions
Chairman Gravelle called on commissioners to individually state their name and the city in which they reside.

B. Establish quorum
Chairman Gravelle reported a quorum was present.

C. Recognize and/or excuse absences
Commissioner Catherine McKnight moved to excuse the absence of Commissioner Renee Dutia. Commissioner Garrett Donnelly seconded the motion which passed unanimously.

2. Minutes
Commissioner Bruseth moved to approve the October 28, 2021, committee minutes. Commissioner Donnelly seconded the motion which passed unanimously.

3. Communications Division update and committee discussion-Chris Florance

Florance provided an update on Spanish translation efforts for the agency website and publications. He reported the Statewide Travel Guide was available in Spanish for download from the THC website. Florance also stated that QR Codes to access translated site interpretation would soon be ready at the Casa Navarro State Historic Site (SHS). He also noted that Spanish language site guides for the Casa Navarro and the Fulton Mansion SHSs would soon be available for sale.

Florance mentioned the agency website redesign was in progress and the new vendor would translate the content in Spanish.
Florance highlighted two articles, one in Spanish about Janis Joplin in the Chicago Times and another in the New York Times on the Alamo.

Florance noted the growth of all social media networks and email lists. He announced a few promotions in the Spring for the sites. He also mentioned several scheduled events at various sites.

Florance mentioned the ongoing project with Commissioner Dutia for DFW airport that would highlight sites, Texas Main Streets, and THC Courthouse and Tax Credit programs.

Florance introduced new practices for Historic Sites branding on social media. He explained that training would be provided to all the sites. He mentioned that the THC logo would be present on all Real Places advertising and on the Texas Business Treasure Award Logo.

Florance reported on the success of the Pearl Harbor 75th Anniversary and El Camino Real online webinars.

Florance mentioned the agency website’s migration to a new cloud host and stated that he would report on the ongoing website design and results from the survey. He also mentioned the departure of the web content coordinator, Rob Scordino.

Commissioner Bahorich mentioned a possible travel staycation package for big metro cities like Dallas, Houston, and San Antonio. Florance stated similar content had been available on the THC website since the beginning of the pandemic.

Commissioner Bruseth announced Phase 1 of the new mobile travel app was underway.

4. Adjournment

At 2:13 p.m., on the motion of Commissioner Gravelle and without objection, the Communications Committee meeting was adjourned.
Quarterly Report

Communications Division
January–March 2022

SOCIAL MEDIA/WEBINARS
This quarter, the major topics on social media were Black History Month, Women’s History Month, the Texas Revolution, and promotion of the Statewide Historic Preservation Plan (SWP).

During Black History Month in February, the agency posted 13 stories, including posts about sheriff and legislator Walter Moses Burton, Dallas’ Tenth Street Historic District, the Swett v.Painter Supreme Court case, early Black-owned business H. Wilson Pottery, and the National Buffalo Soldiers Museum in Houston. Black History Month posts reached more than 320,000 people across social platforms and had higher engagement than the month’s average for all posts.

In March, we highlighted 12 stories for Women’s History Month, including archeologist Vida Lee Connor, journalist Jovita Idár, rancher Rosa Hinojosa de Balli, and philanthropist Eugenia Pickard. These posts reached more than 510,000 people and had higher engagement than the month’s average for all posts.

Through social media and email, we promoted the SWP survey, which aims to get feedback from Texans on a variety of historic preservation priorities. To encourage participation, we offered five sets of free historic sites passes to random winners drawn from each week’s survey submissions. We’ve received more than 1,600 survey responses since March 1.

WEBSITES
Communications and IT divisions staff worked with IT consultants to transfer the agency web site, thc.texas.gov, to an updated cloud host, improving reliability and functioning of the content management system.

The agency website, thc.texas.gov, experienced a seasonal rebound in traffic, with 40 percent more unique visitors to the site from Jan. 1-March 30 in comparison to the previous quarter's report (from about 287,000 to 402,000). This increase reflects lower than normal use during the holiday season, with improved visits from February through March as agency social media and newsletters promote the Texas Revolution anniversaries and holidays. Top-visited resources include pages connected to the state historic sites (including Washington-on-the-Brazos and San Jacinto Battleground) and Historical Markers, reflecting key division efforts throughout the quarter. TexasTimeTravel.com also saw a significant increase of roughly 75 percent more unique visits (from about 15,000 to 26,000). The new travel site is scheduled for relaunch soon.

The redesign of the agency’s website is a division priority, and an RFP for services related to the project will be completed early in the third quarter. The division's web content coordinator position remains vacant, but an aggressive recruitment is underway.

VIDEOS/PHOTOS
This quarter, we captured footage at the Fannin County Courthouse rededication, which staff will incorporate into a video. For the Real Places 2022 conference, we created the annual sponsor and keynote loop videos and the 10 short THC Preservation Award videos. We also created a video for the Julian Read Award for Philanthropy in Preservation, which was presented to Chairman Nau at the Friends gala.

HISTORIC SITES
Communications continues to prioritize the promotion of in-person travel, site amenities, and upcoming events at state historic sites. General promotion of the sites, as well as upcoming in-person and digital events, continue to be highlighted on agency social media, email marketing, public relations, and paid advertising efforts.
Through lead-generation campaigns with Travel Texas, TxDOT, and TourTexas.com—as well as past webinar attendees, new subscribers on the agency’s GovDelivery email network, and collection of emails at the historic sites point of sale system—there are now nearly 179,000 email addresses to promote initiatives and events at our state historic sites each month. This quarter, nearly 19,000 new subscribers were added to state historic sites promotional email lists.

A weekly branded email is sent to nearly 16,000 subscribers interested in upcoming in-person and online events hosted by our state historic sites. Branded promotional graphics are developed in partnership with select state historic sites that request marketing materials for promotion.

Total pageviews of the state historic site pages on the THC’s website have increased by 13 percent so far this year over the same period last year (from 139,140 to 157,567).

Communications has partnered with Community Heritage Development to develop unique visitation reports with Datafy. This online tool allows Communications to now produce visitor analytics reports for each state historic site to determine best practices for targeted marketing and outreach initiatives. This platform gives us the ability to identify audiences for events at each site during specific dates. This will be critical information in our marketing outreach and help each state historic site do local outreach.

We continued participating in our weekly Texas Time Travel Stories segment on Dallas’ Radio Caravan. We highlighted different places and topics, including several THC virtual events, Texas Independence Day events at San Jacinto, African American History Month and our cultural guide, the Sam Rayburn House, a virtual adventure at Caddo Mounds, the Majestic Theater, the Dallas Botanical Garden, the Fort Worth Botanical Garden, and the Friends’ Preservation Scholars program.

Talking points were prepared for the Real Places Awards Banquet, for John Crain for the Fannin County Courthouse rededication, for Chairman Nau, and for Commissioner McKnight.

EMAIL OUTREACH
The December edition of the monthly agency e-newsletter went to 146,049 subscribers, and 50,603 subscribers received the quarterly Heritage Traveler e-newsletter. Some of the most-clicked links included the cultural heritage page on TexasTimeTravel.com, the statewide preservation plan survey, the Women’s History Month marker map, and the event page for the 2022 San Jacinto Celebration.

Other key email outreach efforts focused on numerous webinar and event promotions, including Real Places 2022, the statewide preservation plan, and the Friends gala.

PRINT PROJECTS
Print projects have included the winter 2022 edition of The Medallion, with the spring edition in the works. We have continued to distribute the monthly employee newsletter. For Real Places 2022, we designed and helped coordinate the printing of the program, signage, registration bags, and various other collateral. We also designed the Revolution and Republic Historic Sites Passport booklet. Our support of the Friends gala included the development of a signage template.

We also began the development and editing process for the biennial publication, FY 2023-27 THC Strategic Plan.

MEDIA RELATIONS
This quarter, Media Relations press release topics included:

- Archeological study at Fort Lancaster
- Statewide Preservation Plan workshops (Austin, Nacogdoches, and Laredo)
- THC Preservation Awards (10 individual and one with full list)
- Friends Alliance Award presented to Washington-on-the-Brazos Historical Foundation
- Fannin County Courthouse rededication
- Presidio La Bahia’s possible THC transfer
Texas Historical Commission
Digital Media Outreach Quarterly Report – Q1 2022

Executive Summary
- Total Social Media Followers: 360,516 (1.3% increase)
- Total e-Newsletter Subscribers: 212,871 (16.2% increase)
- Total Reach on Social Media: 7,658,398 (27% decrease)
- Total Engagements (likes, comments, shares, etc.): 494,686 (19% decrease)

Social Media Followers, Agency Accounts
- Facebook: 102,533 (2.4% increase)
- Instagram: 54,911 (2.6% increase)
- Twitter: 19,387 (5% increase)
- YouTube: 20,629 (3.8% increase)
- LinkedIn: 4,038 (9.8% increase)

Total Social Media Followers, Including Historic Sites
- Facebook: 236,449 (2.8% increase)
- Instagram: 77,669 (2.8% increase)
- Twitter: 19,940 (21% decrease*)
- YouTube: 21,759 (4% increase)
- LinkedIn: 4,699 (10% increase)

*Decrease is due to 2021 end-of-year removal of deactivated SHS Twitter accounts (=6,160 followers)

Engagement Rate by Platform, Agency Accounts
- Facebook: 2.6%; Instagram: 5.2%; Twitter: 2.2%; YouTube: 5%; LinkedIn: 5.6%

Online Video
- Total Video Views in Q1
  - YouTube: 237,117
  - Facebook: 14,774
- Top Videos (by number of views in Q1)
  - Speaking Texas German: 46,469 (5,750,894 total views)
  - 1836 Goliad Massacre 360: 15,728 (257,051 total views)
  - The Vaqueros of South Texas: 14,881 (651,142 total views)

e-Newsletters
- Total Subscribers: 212,871 (16.2% increase)
- Top Email Topic Subscriptions
  - Historic Sites Updates & Promotions: 178,035
  - THC e-Newsletter: 145,049
  - Heritage Traveler e-Newsletter: 39,229
  - History Museum Outreach & Education: 23,148
  - Historical Marker Program Updates: 17,069
- Total Unique Email Opens: 685,764 (22.4%)
- Overall Engagement Rate: 48.5%
- Unique Link Clicks: 37,584 (1.2%)
  - Statewide Historic Preservation Plan survey: 1,302 and 1,270 (in two emails)
- Visit Eight State Historic Sites to Experience the Republic of Texas Era: 1,122
- Reengagement campaign to subscribe to different THC newsletters: 389

Agency Blog
- Total Blog Views in Q1: 23,400 (5.5% increase over Q4)
- Top Blog Posts:
  - Texas Tradition of Cattle Ranching: 1,740 views
  - Descendants of Austin's Old 300: 1,375 views
  - Found an Artifact on the Beach?: 1,201 views

Top Social Media Posts
- Facebook
  - Fannin County Courthouse restoration: 153,229 reach, 23,976 engagements
  - Fall of the Alamo: 169,082 reach, 21,760 engagements
  - Fannin Battleground, Battle of Coleto Creek OTD: 73,191 reach, 12,824 engagements
- Instagram
  - Fall of the Alamo: 15,642 reach, 2,217 engagements
  - Landes-McDonough House, Galveston: 30,983 reach, 2,056 engagements
  - El Paso history: 59,029 reach, 2,184 engagements
- Twitter
  - Texas Independence Day: 10,316 impressions, 381 engagements
  - Fall of the Alamo: 6,832 impressions, 313 engagements
  - Goliad Massacre: 11,173 impressions, 350 engagements
- LinkedIn
  - Fannin County Courthouse restoration: 3,299 impressions, 359 engagements
  - Williams Farmstead archeology: 4,475 impressions, 270 engagements
  - Yerwood-Connor House: 2,608 impressions, 138 engagements

Historic Sites Performance, Facebook
- Most Engaged Historic Site Facebook Posts
  - St. Patrick's Day OTD, Sullivan Brothers (NMPW): 64,640 reach, 4,192 engagements
  - National Texas Day (Sam Rayburn House): 61,039 reach, 3,898 engagements
  - Spring Break programming (Goodnight Ranch): 41,144 reach, 3,443 engagements
- SHS videos on Facebook:
  - Historic sites shared 40 videos in Q1 with a total reach of 32,407 and 14,765 total views
  - Most viewed SHS videos:
    - Cutting grass for new grass house (Caddo Mounds): 4,816 reach, 3,135 views
    - San Jacinto Ball postponed (Fanthorp Inn): 4,365 reach, 1,602 views
    - Roasting coffee on an open fire (Fanthorp Inn): 1,503 reach, 779 views

Historic Site Educational Webinars
- Historic sites hosted 4 webinars during Q1: 817 total registrations, 378 total live attendees (46% attendance rate)
Most Attended Webinars

- History at Night: Texas Revolution Roundtable (San Felipe de Austin): 387 registrations, 183 live attendees
- A Caddo Mounds Foraging Adventure (Casa Navarro): 251 registrations, 110 live attendees
- Sam Rayburn History: Exploring the Rayburn-Johnson Dynamic (Sam Rayburn House): 137 registrations, 57 live attendees
- Civil Rights on the Line: The Rise and Fall of Jim Crow (Eisenhower Birthplace): 42 registrations, 27 live attendees
Key Metrics

Summary of key metrics indicating account performance, growth, and engagement.

33K Change in Subscribers
Net change in subscribers to your account

30.7K Change in Subscriptions
Net change in subscriptions to your topics

2.4 Subscriptions Per Subscriber
Average number of topic subscriptions that each subscriber has as of 03/2022

48.5% Engagement Rate
Percentage of recipients who opened or clicked on a link in a bulletin in 90 days prior to 03/2022

3.19M Impressions
Total number of bulletin opens and link clicks

143.9% Network Impact
Percentage growth in subscribers as a result of using the GovDelivery Network
Effectiveness
See how your organization is increasing reach and which sources are bringing in the most subscribers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of New Subscribers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overlay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signup Builder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upload</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMS Integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deleted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subscriber Profiles</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overlay</td>
<td>2,709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signup Builder</td>
<td>835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network</td>
<td>6,047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upload</td>
<td>47,327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMS Integration</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deleted</td>
<td>24,617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Total Subscribers</td>
<td>+32,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total subscribers as of 03/2022</td>
<td>212,871</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent growth past 12 months: **18.32%**
Effectiveness
See how your organization is increasing reach and which sources are bringing in the most subscribers.

Subscriptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of New Subscriptions</th>
<th>Subscriptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>5,361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overlay</td>
<td>21,298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signup Builder</td>
<td>2,193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network</td>
<td>23,683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upload</td>
<td>127,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMS Integration</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2,347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deleted</td>
<td>151,262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Total Subscriptions</td>
<td>+30,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total subscriptions as of 03/2022</td>
<td>510,088</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent growth past 12 months: 6.41%
Effectiveness

See how your organization is increasing reach and which sources are bringing in the most subscribers.

Network impact

**New Network Subscribers as Percentage of Direct**

![Bar chart showing new network subscribers percentage over months: April to March.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>March</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subs</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Top Contributors to Your Account**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Subscribers to Your Account</th>
<th>Current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office of the Governor Texas</td>
<td>804</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Department of Family and Protective Services</td>
<td>649</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Workforce Commission</td>
<td>560</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Real Estate Commission</td>
<td>396</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Health and Human Services Commission</td>
<td>382</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Education Agency</td>
<td>378</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nueces County, Texas</td>
<td>335</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Parks and Wildlife Department</td>
<td>314</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation</td>
<td>235</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Fort Worth, Texas</td>
<td>224</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increase in New Subscribers using GovDelivery Network past 12 months: 143.87%
Engagement
View your most popular topics and how many subscribers are engaging with your communications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Unique Recipients</th>
<th>Engagement Rate*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mar 2022</td>
<td>197,756</td>
<td>48.47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 2022</td>
<td>177,997</td>
<td>50.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2022</td>
<td>179,750</td>
<td>52.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 2021</td>
<td>180,196</td>
<td>53.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 2021</td>
<td>180,763</td>
<td>49.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 2021</td>
<td>179,021</td>
<td>44.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 2021</td>
<td>179,031</td>
<td>44.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 2021</td>
<td>178,637</td>
<td>43.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 2021</td>
<td>179,461</td>
<td>45.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun 2021</td>
<td>179,280</td>
<td>43.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2021</td>
<td>177,862</td>
<td>44.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 2021</td>
<td>179,358</td>
<td>43.32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Engagement
View your most popular topics and how many subscribers are engaging with your communications.

### Topic activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Popular Topics among Subscribers</th>
<th>Net Change in Subscriptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THC State Historic Sites Updates and Promotions</td>
<td>18,738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History Museum Outreach and Education</td>
<td>6,283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Cemetery Preservation Announcements</td>
<td>3,754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation Scholars Program Updates</td>
<td>3,073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upcoming Events</td>
<td>2,189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas History Education Resources</td>
<td>1,830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Heritage Trails Program Updates</td>
<td>1,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archeology Division Updates and Events</td>
<td>1,463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL NEON Contacts with Email Addresses</td>
<td>1,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Texas Highways Updates</td>
<td>1,421</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Topics with the Most Bulletins Sent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic Name</th>
<th>Bulletins Sent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upcoming Events</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History Museum Outreach and Education</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas History Education Resources</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French Legation</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varner-Hogg Plantation</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Jacinto Battleground</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission Dolores</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caddo Mounds</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Rayburn House</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabine Pass Battleground</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Efficiency

*Explore which online channels you are leveraging to maximize the impact of your communication efforts.*

### Delivery channels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Messages Sent</th>
<th>Recipients</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMS</td>
<td>SMS</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>Shared Bulletin Page Views</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twitter</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11,065,809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,852</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Improve Your Performance**

by using the tips in our [Granicus Best Practices Guide](#)
TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION

**January Print**

*Publications:* 106  
*Clips:* 221  
*Column Inches:* 13,973  
*Advertising Equivalent ($):* 810,250.44  
*Readership:* 4,288,776

**January Digital**

*Mentions/Exposure:* 65  
*Potential Reach (people/readers):* 14,433,000  
*Advertising Equivalent ($):* 5,371,000

**February Print**

*Publications:* 116  
*Clips:* 228  
*Column Inches:* 13,060  
*Advertising Equivalent ($):* 776,452.35  
*Readership:* 4,636,456

**February Digital**

*Mentions/Exposure:* 162  
*Potential Reach (people/readers):* over 1 billion  
*Advertising Equivalent ($):* 13,152,000

**March Print**

*Publications:* 114  
*Clips:* 210  
*Column Inches:* 11,516  
*Advertising Equivalent ($):*  
*Readership:* 3,377,210

**March Digital**

*Mentions/Exposure:* 61  
*Potential Reach (people/readers):* 49,030,000  
*Advertising Equivalent ($):* 453,900

* Data is representative of coverage through March 24, 2022.

2022 First Quarter (January – March)
Texas Historical Commission Preservation
Awards at 2022 Real Places Conference

Number of Media Outlets Reported on Awards: 17
Media Outlet type: Print, online, magazine
Potential Reach: over 7,267,956 people

Highlight – Dallas Morning News’ reach alone is over 6 million

THC Statewide Plan Workshops
November 2021 – April 2022

Number of Media Outlets who reported on Workshop: 6
Media Outlet Types: TV, print, online
Potential Reach: over 196,530,448

Highlights: One of the smaller papers’ article was picked up by Yahoo News, leading to a huge increase in potential viewers/readers.

Fannin County Courthouse Rededication
March 10, 2022

Number of Media Outlets who reported on event: 8
Media Outlet Types: print, online, TV
Potential Reach: over 475,542

Highlight – At least 6 media outlets attended the event in person, over one hundred constituents

2022 First Quarter (January – March)
Distribution of coverage

State Historic Sites | News
14.5 M The Katy Rancher
5.6 M Travel + Leisure
4.3 M AL.com
1.4 M The Travel
634,563 KBTX
394,483 365 Atlanta Traveler
312,696 The Eagle
211,140 KBMT-TV
202,159 KII-TV
105,106 Innov8tv

Texas Historical Commission | News
620.4 M MSN.com
453.3 M Yahoo news
28.6 M Patch.com
28.3 M The Katy Rancher
14.5 M Houston Chronicle (P)
11.5 M HGTV
7.7 M Star-Telegram.com
7.2 M The Houston Chronicle
6.8 M The Dallas Morning N
6.5 M Head Topics

TV Eyes Media Monitoring Suite
KFXV (Fox)
KLTV
KDAF (CW)
CW 39
CBS 4 Val
KTEN (NB)
KTEN-DT2
Texas Pub
KBTX-TV
TV 8 (PBS)
### African Americans in Texas: A Lasting Legacy
**FY2022 Booklet Distribution**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Calls &amp; Written Requests</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direct Mail</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Legislative Requests</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Relations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Southern Living</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Texas Highways</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Texas Monthly</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TTIA Insert</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Web Site</strong></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal:</strong></td>
<td>43</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Box Requests**     | 49        | 26      | 3        | 6        | 295     | 76       | 31    |       |     |      |      |        |
| (60 per box)         |           |         |          |          |         |          |       |       |     |      |      |        |
| **Total:**           | 2,983     | 1,578   | 231      | 397      | 17,817  | 4,638    | 1,878 | 0     | 0   |      |      | 0      |

*Booklet launched December 2010

#Revised AA delivered 10.12.16
## Bankhead Highway
### FY2022 Brochure Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calls &amp; Written</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Mail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Relations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Living</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Highways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Monthly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TourTexas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTIA Insert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX State Trav.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Site</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>307</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>216</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Box Requests**
- **(500 per box)**
  - 18
  - 5
  - 0
  - 0
  - 45
  - 5
  - 8

**Total**
- 9,216
- 2,574
- 89
- 262
- 23,049
- 2,945
- 4,437
- 0
- 0
- 0
- 0
- 0
- 0

---

start date for
distribution 7.1.14
12.3.15 to State
School
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calls &amp; Written Requests</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Folder</td>
<td>7400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative Requests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Relations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Living</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Highways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Monthly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TourTexas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTIA Insert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX State Travel Guide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Site</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>107</strong></td>
<td><strong>7514</strong></td>
<td><strong>52</strong></td>
<td><strong>62</strong></td>
<td><strong>108</strong></td>
<td><strong>159</strong></td>
<td><strong>29</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box Requests (200 per box)</th>
<th>34</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>6,907</td>
<td>10,314</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>15,108</td>
<td>2,359</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reprint 6.1.2017
Received Boxes at warehouse 6.28.17
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calls &amp; Written Requests</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Folder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative Requests</td>
<td>21200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Relations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Living</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Highways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Monthly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tour Texas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTIA Insert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX State Trav.Guide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Site</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal:</strong></td>
<td><strong>99</strong></td>
<td><strong>21266</strong></td>
<td><strong>22</strong></td>
<td><strong>58</strong></td>
<td><strong>133</strong></td>
<td><strong>118</strong></td>
<td><strong>184</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box Requests (200 per box)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>6,899</strong></td>
<td><strong>31,266</strong></td>
<td><strong>22</strong></td>
<td><strong>258</strong></td>
<td><strong>13,133</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,918</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,384</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls &amp; Written Requests</td>
<td>September 2021</td>
<td>October 2021</td>
<td>November 2021</td>
<td>December 2021</td>
<td>January 2022</td>
<td>February 2022</td>
<td>March 2022</td>
<td>April 2022</td>
<td>May 2022</td>
<td>June 2022</td>
<td>July 2022</td>
<td>August 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls &amp; Written Requests</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Certified Mail 30400

Legislative Requests

Public Relations

Texas Approach

Southern Living

Texas Highways

Texas Monthly

Tour Texas

TTIA Insert

TX State Trav. Guide

Web Site 127 16 37 65 96 171 123

Subtotal: 179 30516 38 72 108 184 226 0 0 0 0 0

Box Requests (200 per box) 34 5 4 11 66 10 14

Total: 6979 31,716 838 2272 13,308 2184 3026 0 0 0 0 0

*Brochure launched April 2010*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calls &amp; Written Requests</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Folder</td>
<td><strong>22625</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative Requests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Relations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Living</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Highways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Monthly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tour Texas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>309</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTIA Insert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX State Trav. Guide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Site</td>
<td>2547</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>1039</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>189</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>2556</td>
<td>22973</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>1383</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Box Requests (60 per box) | 107 | 90 | 8 | 23 | 166 | 26 | 41 |

Total                     | **8,976** | **28,373** | **615** | **1,586** | **11,343** | **2,304** | **2,881** | **0** | **0** | **0** | **0** | **0** |

Brochure launched in December 2014
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calls &amp; Written Requests</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newspaper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70,047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor Mailroom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Relations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Living</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Highways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Monthly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tour Texas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTIA Insert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX State Trav. Guides</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Site</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>77</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>106,290</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Box Requests (90 per box)</strong></td>
<td>63</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>5,747</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,525</strong></td>
<td><strong>186</strong></td>
<td><strong>106,740</strong></td>
<td><strong>14,338</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,016</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,458</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>September</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>November</td>
<td>December</td>
<td>January</td>
<td>February</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>June</td>
<td>July</td>
<td>August</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls &amp; Written Requests</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Mail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative Requests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Relations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Living</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Highways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Monthly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tour Texas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTIA Insert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX State Trav. Guide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Site</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal:</strong></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75064</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box Requests (375 per box)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td>9,075</td>
<td>95,314</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1,555</td>
<td>2,349</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>September</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>November</td>
<td>December</td>
<td>January</td>
<td>February</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>June</td>
<td>July</td>
<td>August</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls &amp; Written Requests</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Folder</td>
<td>64500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative Requests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Relations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Living</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Highways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Monthly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tour Texas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTIA Insert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX State Trav. Guide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Site</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal:</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>64587</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box Requests (200 per box)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>5,471</td>
<td>73,587</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>12,489</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>1,602</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>September</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>November</td>
<td>December</td>
<td>January</td>
<td>February</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>June</td>
<td>July</td>
<td>August</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls &amp; Written Requests</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newspaper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative Requests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Relations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Living</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Highways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Monthly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tour Texas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTIA Insert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX State Trav. Guide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Site</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>29</strong></td>
<td><strong>29016</strong></td>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
<td><strong>35</strong></td>
<td><strong>55</strong></td>
<td><strong>73</strong></td>
<td><strong>35</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box Requests (90 per box)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,999</strong></td>
<td><strong>30,636</strong></td>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
<td><strong>215</strong></td>
<td><strong>8,425</strong></td>
<td><strong>703</strong></td>
<td><strong>935</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Brochure launched in September 2015
# The Great War-WWI

**FY2022 Brochure Distribution**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calls &amp; Written Requests</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Folder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative Requests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Relations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Living</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Highways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Monthly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TourTexas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTIA Insert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX State Travel Guide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Site</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>29</strong></td>
<td><strong>132</strong></td>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td><strong>86</strong></td>
<td><strong>24</strong></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
<td><strong>21</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box Requests (160 per box)</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,589</strong></td>
<td><strong>132</strong></td>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td><strong>86</strong></td>
<td><strong>24</strong></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
<td><strong>21</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Arrived 10.24.17 @ warehouse.
Start distribution after Veteran's Day 11.11.17
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRAIL REGION BROCHURE</th>
<th>INITIAL PRINTING QUANTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>REPRINT (1) QUANTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>REPRINT (2) QUANTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>REPRINT (3) QUANTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>YTD QUANTITY</th>
<th>INITIAL PRINTING COST</th>
<th>REPRINT (1) COSTS</th>
<th>REPRINT (2) COSTS</th>
<th>REPRINT (3) COSTS</th>
<th>TO DATE COST</th>
<th>NUMBER LEFT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Texas Heritage Trail</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>12/9/14</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>8/10/15</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>9/24/21</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>300,751.44</td>
<td>63,605.25</td>
<td>73,510.92</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>9/30/98</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>10/01</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>07/06</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1,100,000</td>
<td>69,889</td>
<td>55,280</td>
<td>24,491</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>225,644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Independence</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>9/10/05</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>3/31/02</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>1/3/05</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1,100,000</td>
<td>69,768</td>
<td>57,395</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>127,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail Region</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>4/2/05</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>6/2005</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>05/11</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>85,966</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>85,966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Longhorn Trail</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>8/04/04</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>88,481</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>88,481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>5/06/05</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>88,481</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>88,481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Painted Trail</td>
<td>550,000</td>
<td>2/07/05</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>550,000</td>
<td>92,431</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>92,431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>3/08/05</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Frontier Trail</td>
<td>550,000</td>
<td>5/09/05</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>550,000</td>
<td>91,375</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>550,000</td>
<td>4/10/05</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>550,000</td>
<td>88,480</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>83,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4,956,000</td>
<td>900,000</td>
<td>835,000</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7,100,000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>7,100,000</td>
<td>$1,188,788</td>
<td>$225,845</td>
<td>$86,374</td>
<td>$75,984</td>
<td>$1,167,749</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THEMATIC BROCHURE</th>
<th>INITIAL PRINTING QUANTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>REPRINT (1) QUANTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>REPRINT (2) QUANTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>REPRINT (3) QUANTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>YTD QUANTITY</th>
<th>INITIAL PRINTING COST</th>
<th>REPRINT (1) COSTS</th>
<th>REPRINT (2) COSTS</th>
<th>REPRINT (3) COSTS</th>
<th>TO DATE COST</th>
<th>NUMBER LEFT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African Americans in Texas</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>125,000</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>10.12</td>
<td>875,000</td>
<td>55,757</td>
<td>55,526</td>
<td>85,256</td>
<td>$128,057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic Heritage: Journey From Emplin to Democracy-Black</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>4.115</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>287,767</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$267,767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>105,010</td>
<td>8.115</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>105,010</td>
<td>110,574</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$110,574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas in the Civil War</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>5.199</td>
<td>125,000</td>
<td>12.11</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>1.310</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>775,000</td>
<td>55,471</td>
<td>122,055</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Great War WWI</td>
<td>125,000</td>
<td>10.24.17</td>
<td>125,000</td>
<td>10.24</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>125,000</td>
<td>41,186</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$41,186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chisholm Trail</td>
<td>55,000</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>88,574</td>
<td>58,420</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas In WWI</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>42,970</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$42,970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,004,310</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,254,310</td>
<td>$146,259</td>
<td>$234,701</td>
<td>$85,541</td>
<td>$85,256</td>
<td>$128,057</td>
<td>$1,170,054</td>
<td>69,580</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COMMUNITY HERITAGE DEVELOPMENT
AGENDA
COMMUNITY HERITAGE DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE
Alamo Complex
Alamo Hall
300 Alamo Plaza
San Antonio, TX 78205
April 28, 2022
11 a.m.
(or upon the adjournment of the 10:30 a.m. Architecture committee meeting, whichever occurs later)

1. Call to Order — Committee Chairman Peterson
   A. Committee member introductions
   B. Establish quorum
   C. Recognize and/or excuse absences

2. Consider approval of the February 1, 2022 committee meeting minutes — Committee Chairman Peterson

3. Consider approval of the FY 2023 funding plan for the Texas Heritage Trails Program (item 11.2) — Committee Chairman Peterson

4. Presentation and discussion of the heritage tourism consumer data dashboard — Sarah Page and Justin Minsker

5. Community Heritage Development Division update and committee discussion — Patterson
   A. Update on division staffing
   B. Update on the Real Places Conference
   C. Update on the Texas Main Street Program activities including DowntownTX.org
   D. Update on heritage tourism activities including Texas Heritage Trails Program
   E. Update on the Certified Local Government activities including grants, training, and prospective CLGs
   F. Update on the Texas Treasures Business Award

6. Adjournment

NOTICE OF ASSISTANCE AT PUBLIC MEETINGS: Persons with disabilities who plan to attend this meeting and who may need auxiliary aids or services such as interpreters for persons who are deaf or hearing impaired, readers, large print or Braille, are requested to contact Esther Brinkley at (512) 463-5768 at least four (4) business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.
1. Call to Order

The meeting of the Texas Historical Commission (THC) Community Heritage Development Committee was called to order by Committee Chairman Pete Peterson at 9:00 a.m.

A. Committee member introductions

Chairman Peterson welcomed everyone. Members in attendance in addition to the Chair, included Commissioners Donna Bahorich, Monica Zárate Burdette, Garrett Donnelly, and Daisy Sloan White.

B. Establish Quorum

Chairman Peterson noted a quorum was present.

C. Recognize and excuse absences

Chairman Peterson noted that Commissioners Renee Dutia and Lilia Garcia were absent. Commissioner White moved to excuse their absences, seconded by Commissioner Donnelly. Motion passed unanimously.

2. Consider approval of the October 28, 2021 committee meeting minutes-Committee Chairman Peterson

Commissioner Bahorich moved, Commissioner White seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to approve the October 28, 2021 Community Heritage Development Committee meeting minutes.

3. Consider approval of the application ranking and funding recommendations for the FY 2022 Certified Local Government Grants and allocation of available FY 2021 grant funds-Committee Chairman Peterson

Mr. Patterson provided a summary of the eight applicants up for consideration this year within the traditional grant category. The applications have been ranked according to scores with concurrent funding recommendations which are determined on the actual allotment by the federal government. The CHD anticipates $167,000 this year which is what was available last year.
Commissioner Peterson asked how close San Antonio is to completing their fiscal year 2020 project, as their selection for this CLG grant is contingent upon completion. Mr. Patterson answered that he believes they are about 30-45 days out. If they do not complete their 2020 project, they will be passed over for the CLG grant. The recommended awards to communities for local staff and commissioner travel to NAPC Forum using fiscal year 2021 funds were also discussed.

Regarding the 2022 CLG grants: Commissioner Donnelly moved; *Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend acceptance of the ranking as presented and funding recommendations for the Fiscal Year 2022 Certified Local Government grants based on the availability of federal funds. Funds will be committed in the order of commission staff rankings as federal funds become available. Individual recommended funding amounts may be adjusted as necessary to ensure efficient use of the available funds.* Commissioner White seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously.

Regarding the reallocation of 2021 grant funds: Commissioner Bahorich moved; *Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend acceptance of the ranking as presented and funding recommendations for the allocation of available Fiscal Year 2021 funds to travel stipends for FORUM22. Funds will be committed in the order of commission staff rankings as federal funds become available. The Commission waives the match requirement for stipends. Individual recommended funding amounts may be adjusted as necessary to ensure efficient use of the available funds.* Commissioner White seconded. Motion passed unanimously.

**4. Consider authorization for Friends of the Texas Historical Commission to license DowntownTX.org and associated intellectual property as a pilot to a single organization with similar mission-Committee Chairman Peterson**

Executive Director Mr. Wolfe provided a summary of the licensing project and its scope. The summary described the initial cost to Georgia, approximately $60K, which will cover the development and five years of licensing. After this phase, the software can be utilized by other entities without additional investment from the THC. The contract with Georgia, which will outline who owns the code and data, among other things, will be negotiated by the Friends of the THC. The anticipated steps after Commission approval of the pilot licensing proposal are as follows:

1. Licensee pays FTHC $14,000 to hire attorney to draft licensing agreement.
2. Licensing agreement is approved and executed by FTHC.
3. Licensee pays FTHC $58,000 to contract with CRE for code modifications, training and support of licensee.
4. THC staff trains licensee on data upload and website use.
5. CRE takes over maintenance and technical support for licensee.
6. THC evaluates pilot project and reports to Commission.

Commissioner Bahorich moved; *Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend authorization for Friends of the Texas Historical Commission to license DowntownTX.org and associated intellectual property as a pilot to a single organization with similar mission, with all terms and conditions to be approved by the Friends Board of Trustees.* Commissioner Burdette seconded.

Commissioner Donnelly amended the motion, to include the following: *The business plan and model for DowntownTX will continue to be adapted and fully developed with long term goals and identify additional opportunities for the program’s future.* Commissioner Bahorich and Commissioner Burdette accepted the amendment. The amended motion passed unanimously.
5. **Community Heritage Development Division update and committee discussion**

*Division Director Patterson*

Mr. Patterson provided an update on Real Places Conference taking place February 2-4, 2022 in Austin. The conference will have 65 speakers, 40 sessions, and 635 total participants. The agency has made the keynote sessions available to watch online for some registrants although the conference is not a hybrid event. Sponsorship contributions have increased by 40 percent since the last in-person conference in 2020. Preservation partnerships have also increased. This year we have 21 partners, thanks to the quality of the program. It is anticipated that the conference will be profitable leaving a surplus in the Friends account that will be utilized for future conferences.

New staff members Bryce Hendrickson and Malena Maiz were introduced. They are both part of the Main Street design team.

Mr. Patterson described a potential First Lady’s Tour in Hamilton, a return to staff traveling out to the communities, and the status of a word mark application for DowntownTX. Spanish translation of heritage tourism guides continues to expand for digital audiences. Mr. Patterson talked about a fall webinar on the El Camino Real de los Tejas National Historic Trail that was produced by Communications with Caddo Mounds and Mission Dolores State Historic Sites.

Mr. Patterson discussed a new partnership with Airbnb in which heritage tourism concepts will be introduced to hosts around Texas and, in the future, a closer partnership with hosts in Galveston will direct guests to historic sites and attractions in that region.

The Texas Treasure Business Award has been revamped, offering a new and improved online submission format. New quarterly deadlines aim to make the processing more efficient, provide better marketing opportunities and customer service for nominees or recipients. Commissioner Burdette inquired about the existence of informational brochures and Mr. Patterson responded that there is one in the works. A Spanish-language version was also suggested and staff agreed that translation would be beneficial.

6. **Adjournment**

The meeting was adjourned at 9:36 a.m.
WORK IN COMMUNITIES
The communities participating in CHD’s programs rely heavily on our staff expertise and guidance, which often must be delivered onsite.

In January and February, staff provided measurable assistance to all 10 trail regions and 32 communities. Assistance, or in some cases multiple incidences of assistance, was provided to Bay City, Canton, Carthage, Corsicana, Del Rio, Galveston, Gonzales, Hamilton, Houston, Huntsville, Kerrville, Killeen, Kingsville, La Grange, Laredo, Lubbock, Mansfield, Marathon, Mesquite, Mineola, Mineral Wells, Mount Pleasant, Palestine, Paris, Pittsburg, San Antonio, San Marcos, Stephenville, Texarkana, Uvalde, Victoria, and Weatherford.

RESULTS IN FROM REAL PLACES 2022 CONFERENCE
The sixth annual Real Places Preserving Historic Texas Conference was held February 2-4 at the downtown Hilton Austin. The conference was scheduled to include approximately 80 speakers from across the state and country.

For 2022, the conference was proud to present five renowned keynote speakers from across the country—Dr. Katherine Sharp Landdeck of Denton; Author Sarah Bird of Austin; Journalist and author Toni Tipton Martin of Baltimore; Darren Walker, president of the Ford Foundation (who conversed from New York City with University of Texas professor Dr. Daina Ramey Berry); and material conservator, Ivan Myjer of Massachusetts. Keynote sessions were livestreamed to virtual registrants and to many of those attendees who weather prevented from arriving.

The 2022 event opened with an outdoor evening reception at the newly restored French Legation State Historic Site before the artic front moved in. The cold and ice caused a variety of last-minute cancellations of attendees and speakers. At the close of registration, 638 participants were signed up. In the end, the weather and COVID-19 concerns didn’t dampen enthusiasm but did hold the total participants on site to 498. While the keynotes survived with only one minor swap of making Dr. Walker’s address remote, one-third of the planned 30 breakout sessions had to be cancelled when speakers could not arrive.

A post-conference survey of public participants was conducted shortly after the conference concluded. 87 percent of respondents rated the conference quality positively, with 43 percent rating it “excellent.” These results are like previous in-person and virtual conferences, though those rating the conference excellent versus good declined notably. With the weather hiccups and cancellation of so many breakout sessions, it would have been unreasonable to not expect a dip in conference satisfaction.

DOWNTOWNTX.ORG EXPANSION CONTINUES, WITH OPPORTUNITY TOURS RETURNING IN MAY
The Texas Main Street Program has continued expanding and improving the DowntownTX.org website. Staff continue working with the communities, undertaking parts of the inventory process, and training local officials on the system operations. Building inventories, resource surveys, appraisal data, incentive information, available real estate, and historic districts are mapped and displayed on DowntownTx.org.

A total of 104 communities are either live or in the process of data integration. To date, 20,536 properties have been inventoried, including 582 locally designated landmarks, 2,601 properties that contribute to local districts, 354 National Register-listed properties, and 2,986 parcels that contribute to National Register districts.
For the sixth year, communities have committed to host “Imagine the Possibilities” tours of their available downtown properties for lease or sale. The tours are designed to raise awareness of DowntownTX.org and to connect real estate agents, potential investors, and business owners from across regions to downtown properties. This year, all tours will resume in-person events. The agency will provide support for the events and will consider the design of each one before determining the appropriate level of promotion on THC channels.


**HERITAGE TOURISM**

This quarter, the Heritage Tourism program partnered with Airbnb to assist hosts in serving as on-the-ground ambassadors for heritage tourism in Texas. The team kicked off the partnership with an informational webinar that covered heritage tourism advocacy, the history of the Texas Heritage Trails Program (THTP), travel guides, mobile tours, and much more. The event was promoted to over 13,000 Airbnb hosts across Texas; 413 registered and 108 attended.

The newly redesigned TexasTimeTravel.com website will launch during National Travel and Tourism Week, May 1-7. Staff has been working on the development site with the vendor, Tempest, and the regions to ensure the site is fully functional and that the content is accurate. Training on the new content management system for THC staff and THTP executive directors is underway.

The team is utilizing the consumer research dashboard to develop a standardized quarterly report for each Texas Heritage Trail Region. The report will contain information about the previous quarter’s visitors, including demographics, length of stay, visitor origin, and much more. The regions can use this information to better understand their visitors and improve their consumer marketing and outreach.

Staff has completed a salary and compensation study for the THTP regions. The information gleaned from this survey will assist the regional boards in benchmarking and staying competitive in the marketplace.

Plans are underway for the next THTP semi-annual statewide business meeting. The meeting will likely be held in June and in a location outside of Austin.

The Heritage Tourism program is collaborating with Communications on a new template for the regional THTP travel brochures. Starting with the Texas Plains Trail Region, staff is creating a new design template that can be used on the redesigns for each subsequent trail region. The brochure’s content will be updated and revised, featuring new photography and layouts to promote the region and its heritage assets. The brochures will be produced for digital distribution, with the option for individual regions to fund printing of their guide. Due to the significant redesign and new photography being undertaken by staff, this will be a multiyear effort to complete.

**NEW STAFF MEMBERS JOIN THE DIVISION**

As announced at the February quarterly meeting, the division welcomed two new designers to the Texas Main Street Program in January.

Bryce Hendrickson joined the program after completing his bachelor’s degree in architecture, with a film studies minor, from Miami University in 2021. From 2019-2021, he was employed as a student worker under the direction of the university architect. Notably, he was the only architecture student retained during the pandemic and his reference confirmed his important role and demonstrated work ethic in this often-difficult period.

Malena Maiz earned her bachelor’s degree in architecture from Universidad de Monterrey in 2006. She has advanced coursework at Universidad Politecnica de Cataluna with a master of landscape architecture and sustainable architecture as well as a master of landscape architecture, sustainable landscape, and urban design from The Conway School. Maiz has professional work experience with residential design, rehabilitation, urban design, and planning projects for streetscape and public spaces in historic districts. Her urban design and architecture background will aid and compliment the collaborative work of the design team, as will her fluency in Spanish.
TAB 11.2
Consider approval of the fiscal year 2023 funding plan for the Texas Heritage Trails Program

Background:
The Texas Historical Commission (THC) is charged with promoting heritage tourism by assisting local governments, organizations, and individuals in the preservation, enhancement, and promotion of heritage and cultural attractions in the state. The program is required to include efforts to:

- Raise the standards of heritage and cultural attractions around the state
- Foster heritage preservation and education
- Encourage regional cooperation and promotion of heritage and cultural attractions
- Foster effective local tourism leadership and organizational skills

The Texas Heritage Trails Program has been the primary vehicle for providing heritage tourism leadership and assistance to the state. The 87th Texas Legislature appropriated $1,000,000 each year of the FY 2022-23 biennium to support the Texas Heritage Trails Program (THTP). The amount appropriated by the legislature has remained at $1,000,000 annually since fiscal year 2020 though $50,000 each year of the 2020-21 biennium was recaptured without losses to the regional funding.

In July 2021, the THC approved a single year of the proposed funding plan, leaving 2023 to be reconsidered.

Staff recommends continuing the prior funding plan approved, without changes. This total $81,500 maximum of state funds made available to each region remains unchanged and the regional matching requirements are unchanged. The base amount provided to each region for their services will be $60,000 and the amount requiring a direct match will remain $21,500, keeping the total funding level unchanged. The proposed plan keeps the ratio of state funds to cash match to steady for the biennium at 2:1.

There remains a reasonable expectation in the plan’s design that each region will be able to achieve $81,500 annually in state financial support.

More details are shown on the attached Amended Texas Heritage Trails Funding Plan for FY 2023.

Suggested motion:

Recommended motion (Committee):
Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of the fiscal year 2023 funding plan for the Texas Heritage Trails Program.

Recommended motion (Commission):
Move to approve the fiscal year 2023 funding plan for the Texas Heritage Trails Program.
Base Contract Amount

- The $60,000 base amount per region will be distributed quarterly, $15,000 on or about September 15; $15,000 on or about December 15; $15,000 on or about March 15; $15,000 on or about June 15.

Additional Amount Eligible to be Earned Through Matching

- Each region is permitted to earn an additional $21,500 annually by documenting allowable cash and in-kind matches from a variety of sources.
- Unclaimed portions of the match may be rolled over to the following fiscal year for the same region to request reimbursement at the discretion of the THC and only as permissible by any restrictions on appropriations. THC does not guarantee that unclaimed funds will be available to be claimed in subsequent years and regions leaving balances do so at their own risk.
- Regions are encouraged to cite the match requirement when soliciting local support.

Additional Amount Eligible to be Earned Through Cash Matching

- Cash will be matched at $2 state funds for each documented $1 from the region until the total additional $21,500 is achieved by the region.
- Cash may be donations, memberships, payments for services or participation in projects, or other similar gross revenue approved by THC. Local match can come from both public and private sources including governmental entities, individuals, corporate etc. and may be local or regional in nature.
- Revenue earned by the Trails LLC during the period may be counted as cash match by LLC participants at the time it is earned.
  - LLC will need to report earnings attributed to each region periodically and the region will submit the documentation to THC in their match request.
- Regions with unusual revenue sources or unique arrangements may be evaluated to determine the appropriate matching method and calculation.
- Funds provided by THC may not be used for matching purposes.

Additional Amount Eligible to be Earned Through In-kind Matching

- Up to $8,250 of in-kind support may be used as match at 1:1 ratio in lieu of cash revenue or other financial support. In-kind matching is not required, and a region may choose to claim the full maximum available via cash matching.
- Allowable in-kind expenses include donated goods or services as well as documented volunteer time or travel of board members and partners necessary to fulfill the region’s mission.
- Maximum In-kind hourly rates may be set by THC and shall not exceed IRS or state limits.
- Goods or services provided by other THTP regions or that may otherwise have been funded by THC may not be utilized as match.

(continued)
Reimbursement Requests for Matching

- Requests for reimbursement based on regional matching may be submitted between September 1 and June 30 of the fiscal year. This cutoff is necessary for year-end processing at THC. However:
  - Funds or in-kind raised between July 1, 2022 and August 31, 2022 may be used as match in fiscal year 2023.
  - The same funds or in-kind may not be claimed as match for more than one fiscal year.
- Reimbursement may not be requested more frequently than monthly.
- Requests must be received by the first business day of the month to be paid the following month.
- Except for the final request of the year, requests shall not be for amounts less than $1,000 in funds to be reimbursed.

Other Funding Requirements

- Regions must expend the state funds and any required local match to provide heritage tourism services. No more than 20 percent of the combined state funds may be carried over from year to year or otherwise held in reserve without agency approval.
- Financial penalties may be imposed upon a region for non-performance, including for non-compliance with reporting requirements.
FINANCE & GOVERNMENT RELATIONS
AGENDA

FINANCE & GOVERNMENT RELATIONS COMMITTEE

Alamo Complex
Alamo Hall
300 Alamo Plaza
San Antonio, TX 78205
April 29, 2022
12 p.m.

(or upon the adjournment of the 11:30 a.m. Communications committee meeting, whichever occurs later)

This meeting of the THC Finance & Government Relations committee has been properly posted with the Secretary of State’s Office according to the provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Chapter 551, Texas Government Code. The members may discuss and/or take action on any of the items listed in the agenda.

1. **Call to Order** – Chair McKnight
   A. Committee member introductions
   B. Establish quorum
   C. Recognize and/or excuse absences

2. **Consider approval of the February 1, 2022, Finance and Government Relations Committee meeting minutes**

3. **Consider approval of contract amendment with Coastal Environments, Inc. (808-14-1256) to extend the contract to February 20, 2023 for the completion of artifact curation at the San Felipe de Austin SHS** (Item 6.7) – Miller

4. **Consider acceptance of donations/gifts-in-kind** (None) – Miller

5. **Financial dashboard review** – Miller

6. **Legislative Report** – Aldredge

7. **Adjournment**

NOTICE OF ASSISTANCE AT PUBLIC MEETINGS: Persons with disabilities who plan to attend this meeting and who may need auxiliary aids or services such as interpreters for persons who are deaf or hearing impaired, readers, large print or Braille, are requested to contact esther.brickleys@thc.texas.gov at least four (4) business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.
1. **Call to Order**
The meeting of the Texas Historical Commission (THC) Finance and Government Relations Committee was called to order by committee Chair Catherine McKnight at 11:20 a.m. on February 1, 2022. She announced the meeting had been posted with the Secretary of State's Office according to the provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Chapter 551, Texas Government Code.

A. **Committee member introductions**
   Committee members present included:
   - Committee Chair Catherine McKnight
   - Commissioner John Crain
   - Commissioner Garrett Donnelly
   - Commissioner David Gravelle
   - Commissioner Daisy White

B. **Establish quorum**
   Committee Chair McKnight reported a quorum was present and declared the meeting open.

C. **Recognize and/or excuse absences**
   Chair McKnight noted that committee members Chairman John Nau and Commissioner Renee Dutia were absent.

2. **Consider approval of the October 28, 2021, Finance and Government Relations Committee meeting minutes**
   Commissioner John Crain moved to approve the minutes from the October 28, 2021, committee meeting. Commissioner David Gravelle seconded, and the committee voted unanimously to approve the minutes of the October 28, 2021, Finance and Government Relations committee meeting.

3. **Consider approval of contract amendments (Item 8.5A-D)**
   **A. Contract #808-21-201631, Wiss, Janey, Elstner Assoc. Inc. to increase by $20,650 for engineering design services for Varner-Hogg Plantation SHS**
   Alvin Miller, Deputy Executive Director of Administration provided the background information on this project and stated that it involved the exterior preservation and stabilization of the plantation house at the Varner-Hogg Plantation State Historic Site. Over the course of the design phase, Miller stated that both the engineers and THC staff had recognized opportunities to enhance the longevity of the proposed preservation work and to make the building more accessible to visitors with disabilities. He explained that an
amendment to the agreement between the THC and Wiss, Janey, Elstner Associates, Inc. (WJE) was needed in order to compensate WJE for the additional professional services associated with the requested design changes. Commissioner John Crain moved that the committee send forward to the commission and recommend approval of the amendment to contract #808-21-201631 with Wiss, Janey, Elstner Associates, Inc. to increase the contract amount by $20,650 to a total of $98,695. Commissioner Daisy White seconded, and the committee voted unanimously in favor of the motion.

B. Contract #808-20-200856, Garrett & Assoc. to increase up to $260,000 for construction services for the Caddo Mounds SHS
Miller reported an amendment to the agreement between THC and Garrett & Associates was needed for construction services related to the covered plaza element of the Caddo Cultural Center project. Commissioner David Gravelle moved that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of the amendment of contract #808-20-200856 with Garrett & Associates to increase the contract amount up to $1,960,818; an increase of up to $260,000. Commissioner Daisy White seconded, and the committee voted unanimously in favor of the motion.

C. Contract #808-20-192622, Richter Architects to increase by $40,000 for professional services for the Caddo Mounds SHS
Miller reported an amendment to the agreement between THC and Richter Architects was needed for design services related to the covered plaza element of the Caddo Cultural Center project. Commissioner Garrett Donnelly moved that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of the amendment of contract #808-20-192622 with Richter Architects to increase the contract total to $407,043. Commissioner Daisy White seconded, and the committee voted unanimously in favor of the motion.

D. Contract #808-20-R201162, Design and Production Incorporated, increase up to $32,022,000 for comprehensive design and installation for the Star of the Republic Museum at Washington-on-the-Brazos SHS.
Miller reported the contract was executed on October 2, 2020, with a fee amount of $7,600,000. An amendment was approved in the amount of $400,000 on August 23, 2021, to restore the project to its full legislative appropriation. A further amendment was approved on October 29, 2021 for $3,000,000 for funding received during the regular session of the 87th Legislature for the project. A contract amendment was needed with Design and Production Incorporated for the additional funding subsequently received: $20,000,000 appropriated out of the COVID relief funds during the 3rd called session of the 87th Legislature; $2,422,000 of additional Sporting Goods Sales Tax received per the November Certified Revenue Estimate published by the Comptroller of Public Accounts; and $9,600,000 estimated to be raised by the Friends of the Texas Historical Commission. Miller explained that the funding was to complete the comprehensive museum design; exhibit; interpretive panel and element design; fabrication; and installation for the Star of the Republic Museum. He noted that additional necessary interior architectural repair and museum standard improvements to the HVAC system was also included. Commissioner Garrett Donnelly moved that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend the approval of the amendment of contract #808-20-R201162 with Design and Production Incorporated by increasing the contract up to $43,022,000. This represents an increase to not exceed $32,022,000. Commissioner Daisy White seconded, and the committee voted unanimously in favor of the motion.

4. Consider acceptance of donations/gifts-in-kind in the amount of $2,420,813 from the Friends of the THC for the San Felipe SHS (Item 8.7)
Miller reported this was a standing item to accept donations made directly to the agency as well as transfers from the Friends of the Texas Historical Commission (Friends). Miller reported that, in October of 2018, following the opening of the San Felipe de Austin Museum, the Friends entered into a “Construction Manager as Advisor” (CMA) agreement with Moore Consulting and Contracting, Inc., to develop the Villa de
**Austin townsite** exhibit concept, and to manage the construction of the exhibit. This included working with Alpha Testing for soil testing, and with JQ Engineering LLP. and SMS Engineering for construction engineering work. He explained that, in February 2020, the Friends entered into an agreement with general contractor Forney Construction LLC, to complete the construction of the Villa de Austin Exhibit, which was dedicated on November 12, 2021.

Miller further explained that, on January 13th of 2022, the Board of Trustees of the Friends passed a resolution to approve a donation in-kind of the value of these fulfilled contracts, together with all liability and responsibility for any damages that may arise in the future as a result of or in connection with such contracts, to the Commission. Commissioner Garrett Donnelly moved that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of the gifts-in-kind from the Friends of the THC in the amount of $2,420,813. Commissioner Daisy White seconded, and the committee voted unanimously in favor of the motion.

5. **Financial dashboard review**

Miller introduced Daniel Estrada, Chief Financial Officer to the members of the Commission. He continued with his report on the first quarter of the fiscal year stating there were no anomalies during the first quarter and the staff was in process of allocating the Sporting Goods Sales tax to contract amendments, some of which the committee had just approved.

6. **Legislative Report**

Vaughn Aldredge, Government Relations Specialist reported that eight THC Commissioners attended the grand reopening of the French Legation State Historic Site (SHS) along with Representative Donna Howard and Representative John Cyrier who served as the ribbon cutters for the event. Aldredge noted the French Legation SHS was the fourth of Austin’s protected views of the State Capitol as legislatively established in 1981 with the support of Lady Bird Johnson. He also reported that, as of midnight December 31, 2021, two bills regarding the Tax Credit program went into effect. He explained that the bills were to clarify the private vs. public use of the Tax Credit Program.

7. **Adjournment**

The Committee adjourned at 11:32 a.m.
PURCHASING
The purchasing section has processed 1,118 requisitions and 943 procurement card shopping lists for FY 2022.

ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND PAYROLL
Accounts payable processed 4,643 travel, and payment transaction vouchers totaling $13,698,382.08 during FY 2022.

For FY 2022, $344,965.66 of procurement card expenditures have been processed.

For FY 2022, 16 payrolls have been processed totaling $11,143,412.42.

FINANCIAL REPORTING
These financial reports have been prepared and submitted since Dec. 1, 2021:

- Monthly Set-Aside Report
- 941 Quarterly Tax Returns
- Monthly Bond Fund Reports
- Monthly Operating Budgets
- Monthly Sales Tax Returns
- Quarterly Performance Measures
- Quarterly Binding Encumbrance Report
- Quarterly ABEST/USAS Reconciliation
- Quarterly Disaster Federal Funds Report to LBB for SB 8 funding received for Washington-on-the-Brazos ($20 million)
- Federal End-of-Year Report to the NPS

HUB
The THC percentages for FY 2022 through Feb. 28 are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>THC</th>
<th>THC Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heavy Construction</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>40.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Construction</td>
<td>51.0%</td>
<td>2.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Trade</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>16.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Service</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>47.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Service</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>8.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodity Purchasing</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>18.80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We continue to make good-faith efforts by reaching out to HUB vendors for projects through agency-sponsored forums and other agency forums, as well as soliciting on the Electronic State Business Daily and utilizing the Centralized Master Bidders List for all formal bids and proposals.

The agency will be participating in the 15th Annual Spot Bid Fair and HUB Expo, sponsored by Senator West, in Irving on May 2-3. Darryl Gaona and Ryan McHale will be representing the agency.

There is another HUB Expo opportunity in June sponsored by Rep. Deshotel. This event will be held in Beaumont, and the agency plans to send Darryl and an additional representative.

BUDGET
THC budget staff have reviewed budgets for 1,022 requisitions and 943 procurement card shopping lists during FY 2022.
DASHBOARD
The information contained in this report is for State Fiscal Year 2022, which began on September 1, 2021. This report contains the revenues and expenditures that were processed during the first quarter of fiscal year 2022 through February 28, 2022.

### AGENCY FUNDING - FY 2022

#### Sources of funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources of Funding</th>
<th>Estimated Appropriations and Revenue</th>
<th>Actual Appropriations and Revenue</th>
<th>% Budget Received</th>
<th>Explanations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Revenue</td>
<td>$25,079,260.00</td>
<td>$25,079,260.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Original appropriations were $13,046,260, increased for appropriations received in Article IX, Sec. 17.24 for Caddo Mounds ($400,000); Sec. 17.25 for CourtHouse Grants to Mason ($6.0 million), Tyler ($1.0 million), and Newton ($1.1 million) Counties; Sec. 17.26 for Mission-Socorro ($1,000,000); Sec. 17.27 for the Texas Holocaust, Genocide and Antisemitism Advisory Commission ($50,000); and Sec. 17.28 for Washington-on-the-Brazos ($3.0 million).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Revenue (UB)</td>
<td>$2,901,501.55</td>
<td>$2,901,501.55</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Star of the Republic Museum Rider 24 GR ($59,941.64); Capital Complex Deferred Maintenance ($300,000 - HB2, 87th); HSD Deferred Maintenance ($200,000 - HB2, 87th); Technology Upgrades - computer refresh ($50,000 - HB2, 87th); Technology Upgrades - Website ($236,000 - HB2, 87th); Courthouse Grants ($15,559.91 - HB2, 87th).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporting Goods Sales Tax</td>
<td>$13,783,000.00</td>
<td>$6,891,500.02</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Tax revenue transferred from Comptroller on the first of each month. The agency receives $1,148,583.33/month from the Comptrollers Office.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporting Goods Sales Tax (Additional)</td>
<td>$2,422,000.00</td>
<td>$807,333.34</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>Revised tax revenue transferred from Comptroller on the first of each month due to November CRE (Certified Revenue Estimate). The agency will receive $269,111.12/month beginning on December 1, 2021.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporting Goods Sales Tax (UB)</td>
<td>$3,152,277.61</td>
<td>$3,152,277.61</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Unexpended Sporting Goods Sales Tax from FY 2021.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gate Fees Appropriated</td>
<td>$566,666.00</td>
<td>$239,652.94</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>No distribution has been requested for the 2022 Preservation Trust Fund.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation Trust Fund</td>
<td>$248,625.00</td>
<td>$29,671.00</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>No distribution has been requested for the 2022 Preservation Trust Fund.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funds</td>
<td>$1,186,965.30</td>
<td>$531,108.87</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>The THC has not submitted the Federal Application for 2022. The End-of-Year report for 2021 was submitted at the end of January.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funds - National Park Services (HIM Funds)</td>
<td>$2,114,239.00</td>
<td>$567,927.13</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>Current budgeted amounts include amounts for salaries, other operating expenses and grants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funds - COVID 19 funding</td>
<td>$20,000,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Funding received in 3rd Called Session for the Washington-on-the-Brazos Capital project. The Agency’s application to the Governor’s Office for this funding was approved in April. Funds will be drawn as expended in future quarters as project progresses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Sites Bond Fund 7213</td>
<td>$39,877.77</td>
<td>$39,877.77</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Unexpended balance of bond fund 7213 for Historic Sites projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Sites Bond Fund 7616 (UB)</td>
<td>$10,874.93</td>
<td>$10,874.93</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>CourtHouse Grants ($23,567,045.79 - HB2, 87th); HSD Deferred Maintenance ($205,140.82 - SB500. 86th); National Museum of the Pacific War ($5,500,000.00 - HB2, 87th).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Stabilization Fund (UB)</td>
<td>$29,272,186.61</td>
<td>$29,272,186.61</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Courthouse Grants ($23,567,045.79 - HB2, 87th); HSD Deferred Maintenance ($205,140.82 - SB500. 86th); National Museum of the Pacific War ($5,500,000.00 - HB2, 87th).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Appropriated Receipts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appropriated Receipts</th>
<th>Estimated Appropriations and Revenue</th>
<th>Actual Appropriations and Revenue</th>
<th>% Budget Received</th>
<th>Explanations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Markers &amp; Cemeteries</td>
<td>$366,363.00</td>
<td>$700.00</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Cost Recovery program - Fees from marker sponsors pay for marker costs. Markers paid through February total $77,671.00. The transfer for the markers paid through February will be processed in future quarters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Credit Review Fees</td>
<td>$97,000.00</td>
<td>$183,491.49</td>
<td>189%</td>
<td>The Commission is only appropriated the first $97,000 collected for review fees and anything over that amount is swept by the Comptroller’s Office to the General Fund. The total actual collected is just a reference figure to understand the popularity of this program and represents what has been collected through February.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Street Dues</td>
<td>$80,000.00</td>
<td>$15,290.06</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>No distribution has been requested for the 2022 Preservation Trust Fund.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gift Shop Sales</td>
<td>$233,619.00</td>
<td>$141,714.14</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>No distribution has been requested for the 2022 Preservation Trust Fund.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle Sales &amp; Grazing Lease</td>
<td>$14,000.00</td>
<td>$10,025.06</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>No distribution has been requested for the 2022 Preservation Trust Fund.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Housing</td>
<td>$20,170.00</td>
<td>$10,131.48</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Based on current staff housing projections it is estimated the housing receipts will exceed the current budgeted amount by approximately $12,000. Budget will be adjusted before 3rd Quarter based on actuals through 2nd Quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialty License Plates</td>
<td>$2,905.87</td>
<td>$1,264.97</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>No distribution has been requested for the 2022 Preservation Trust Fund.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other Appropriated Receipts</td>
<td>$48,950.00</td>
<td>$30,131.48</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>Based on current staff housing projections it is estimated the housing receipts will exceed the current budgeted amount by approximately $12,000. Budget will be adjusted before 3rd Quarter based on actuals through 2nd Quarter.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Interagency Contracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interagency Contracts</th>
<th>Estimated Appropriations and Revenue</th>
<th>Actual Appropriations and Revenue</th>
<th>% Budget Received</th>
<th>Explanations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TADOT Section 106 Contract</td>
<td>$218,362.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Billings for 1 and 2nd Quarters will take place during the 3rd Quarter.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Total Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Funding</th>
<th>Estimated Appropriations and Revenue</th>
<th>Actual Appropriations and Revenue</th>
<th>% Budget Received</th>
<th>Explanations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$101,859,043.64</td>
<td>$69,919,385.79</td>
<td>$63,71,939.87</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>No distribution has been requested for the 2022 Preservation Trust Fund.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION - FINANCIAL DASHBOARD
FISCAL YEAR 2022
Year to date as of February 28, 2022

BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY DIVISION - FY 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division</th>
<th>Total Budget</th>
<th>Total Expended</th>
<th>% Budget Expended</th>
<th>Remaining Obligations</th>
<th>% Budget Remaining</th>
<th>% Goal Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>$2,415,900.00</td>
<td>$981,315.37</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>1,434,584.63</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archology</td>
<td>$2,324,346.00</td>
<td>$899,309.74</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
<td>1,424,968.69</td>
<td>58.6%</td>
<td>58.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architecture</td>
<td>$2,589,206.28</td>
<td>$878,745.36</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
<td>1,710,460.92</td>
<td>66.0%</td>
<td>66.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Heritage</td>
<td>$1,721,534.00</td>
<td>$671,179.41</td>
<td>39.2%</td>
<td>1,050,354.59</td>
<td>59.9%</td>
<td>59.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courthouse</td>
<td>$32,915,590.55</td>
<td>$282,738.13</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>32,915,590.55</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY CATEGORY - FY 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Total Budget</th>
<th>Total Expended</th>
<th>% Budget Expended</th>
<th>Remaining Obligations</th>
<th>% Budget Remaining</th>
<th>% Goal Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Obligations</td>
<td>$819,365,644</td>
<td>$13,832,613.49</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>$685,543,030</td>
<td>84.0%</td>
<td>84.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Services</td>
<td>$1,513,933.64</td>
<td>$227,241.62</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>$1,286,692.02</td>
<td>85.0%</td>
<td>85.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>$35,018,229.32</td>
<td>$1,679,655.53</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>$33,338,573.79</td>
<td>95.0%</td>
<td>95.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Service</td>
<td>$527,400.00</td>
<td>$491,396.70</td>
<td>93.5%</td>
<td>$36,003.30</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital, Grants and Debt Service</td>
<td>$72,787,679.00</td>
<td>$2,518,328.67</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>$70,269,350.33</td>
<td>98.0%</td>
<td>98.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Total Budget</th>
<th>Total Expended</th>
<th>% Budget Expended</th>
<th>Remaining Obligations</th>
<th>% Budget Remaining</th>
<th>% Goal Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Budget and Expenditures</td>
<td>$819,365,644</td>
<td>$13,832,613.49</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>$685,543,030</td>
<td>84.0%</td>
<td>84.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fabrication of markers continues to lag in the 2nd Quarter due to supply chain issues that have slowed the actual production of markers by the foundry.
## PERSONNEL - FY22

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division</th>
<th>Budgeted FTEs</th>
<th>Actual FTEs</th>
<th>Over/Under</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>21.70</td>
<td>17.20</td>
<td>(4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archeology</td>
<td>18.10</td>
<td>17.60</td>
<td>(0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architecture</td>
<td>18.50</td>
<td>14.40</td>
<td>(4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Heritage Development</td>
<td>18.30</td>
<td>17.80</td>
<td>(0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courthouse</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Sites</td>
<td>183.30</td>
<td>181.10</td>
<td>(2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History Programs</td>
<td>25.80</td>
<td>23.80</td>
<td>(2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Holocaust, Genocide, Antisemitism Advisory Comm</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>(1.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total FTEs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division</th>
<th>Budgeted FTEs</th>
<th>Actual FTEs</th>
<th>Over/Under</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harvey, Irma, Maria</td>
<td>299.5</td>
<td>284.6</td>
<td>(14.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**299.5 FTEs authorized by 2022-23 General Appropriations bill.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division</th>
<th>Budgeted FTEs</th>
<th>Actual FTEs</th>
<th>Over/Under</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Architecture</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>(1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total FTEs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division</th>
<th>Budgeted FTEs</th>
<th>Actual FTEs</th>
<th>Over/Under</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harvey, Irma, Maria</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>(1.50)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Additional FTEs authorized for Hurricane Harvey Grant from National Park Services**

## KEY DATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Report Name</th>
<th>Agency Report Recipient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 20, 2022</td>
<td>Base Reconciliation 2021-2023</td>
<td>Legislative Budget Board, Governor’s Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1, 2022</td>
<td>Strategic Plan for 2023-2027</td>
<td>Legislative Budget Board, Governor’s Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer 2022 (TBD)</td>
<td>Federal Funds Application for 2022 Funds</td>
<td>National Park Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer 2022 (TBD)</td>
<td>LAR for 2024-2025</td>
<td>Legislative Budget Board, Governor’s Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 18, 2022</td>
<td>Annual Financial Report</td>
<td>Comptroller of Public Accounts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 31, 2022</td>
<td>Annual Report of Nonfinancial Data</td>
<td>Governor’s Office, State Auditor’s Office, Legislative Budget Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 31, 2022</td>
<td>2022 Federal End-of-Year Report Due</td>
<td>National Park Service</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AGENDA
HISTORIC SITES COMMITTEE
Alamo Hall
300 Alamo Plaza
San Antonio, TX 78205
April 28, 2022
1:30 p.m.
(or upon the adjournment of the 1:00 p.m. preceding History Programs Committee, whichever occurs later)

This meeting of the THC Historic Sites committee has been properly posted with the Secretary of State’s Office according to the provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Chapter 551, Texas Government Code. The members may discuss and/or take action on any of the items listed in the agenda.

1. Call to Order
   A. Committee member introductions
   B. Establish quorum
   C. Recognize and/or excuse absences

2. Consider approval of the February 1, 2022, Historic Sites Committee meeting minutes

3. Consider approval of Phase II Assessment for the G. W. Bush Childhood Home – (Item 13.2)

4. Consider filing authorization to the repeal of section 16.7 of the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, related to Historic Sites, Friends Organizations, for first publication and public comment in the Texas Register – (Item 13.3)

5. Consider filing authorization of new section 16.7 of the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, related to Historic Sites, Supporting Nonprofit Partners, for first publication and public comment in the Texas Register – (Item 13.4)

6. Historic Sites Facilities Report

7. Casa Navarro Site Development Overview

8. Levi Jordan Plantation Project update

9. San Jacinto Development Overview

10. Washington-on-the-Brazos Project Overview

11. E-commerce report and suggested next steps

12. Joint agency facility and database report

13. Deputy Executive Director of Historic Sites Update

14. Adjournment

NOTICE OF ASSISTANCE AT PUBLIC MEETINGS: Persons with disabilities who plan to attend this meeting and who may need auxiliary aids or services such as interpreters for persons who are deaf or hearing impaired, readers, large print or Braille, are requested to contact Esther Brickley at (512) 463-5768 at least four (4) business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.
Commissioners in attendance: John Crain (Chair), Monica Zárate Burdette, David Gravelle, Laurie Limbacher, Catherine McKnight, and Pete Peterson.

1. Call to Order
   The meeting was called to order by Chairman John Crain at 9:45 am on February 1, 2022. The meeting had been posted to the Texas Register, was being held in conformance with the Texas Open Meetings Act, Texas Government Code, Chapter 551 and that notice had been properly posted with the Secretary of State’s Office as required.

   A. Committee member introductions
      Chairman Crain welcomed all present and conducted roll call.

   B. Establish quorum
      Chairman Crain reported that a quorum was present and declared the meeting open.

   C. Recognize and/or excuse absences
      Absences: Chairman Crain noted that Commissioner Jim Bruseth was absent and called for a motion to excuse his absence. Motion to excuse the absence was made by Commissioner Pete Peterson and was seconded by Commissioner Laurie Limbacher. Chairman Crain called for a vote. Vote to approve was unanimous.

2. Consider approval of the October 28, 2021, Historic Sites Committee meeting minutes
   Chairman Crain asked if anyone had any comments regarding the minutes. There being none, he called for a motion. Commissioner Peterson moved to approve the October 28, 2021 minutes and the motion was seconded by Commissioner Monica Zárate Burdette. Chairman Crain called for a vote. Vote to approve was unanimous.

3. Consider approval of Phase I Assessment for G. W. Bush Childhood Home – (Item 15.2)
   Deputy Executive Director of Historic Sites Joseph Bell introduced Ellen Cone Busch, Director of Historic Sites Operations to provide background on the assessment of the George W. Bush Childhood Home. Busch noted that in September 2021 THC was approached by the board of directors of the George W. Bush Childhood Home with a request to evaluate the property for possible inclusion in the Historic Sites network of sites. She continued with an overview of the assessment and described the photos being shown on the slides. Busch noted that the home was built in 1939 and is still in good shape. It was occupied by the Bush family from 1951 to 1955. She said that included in the assessment was the 2004 National Register submission completed by Greg Smith of the History Programs Division. She continued noting that the site could expand on THC’s story of Texas’ significance to the political life of the nation, particularly in the latter 20th century. She noted that the Eisenhower Birthplace and the Sam Rayburn House tell the story covering the early 20th century. Busch said the campus consists of the family house, a visitor center with staff offices, and a rental property.
Busch provided operating costs of $603,500 noting a more fully detailed explanation can be found in the appendices of the assessment report. She noted that the costs could be pared down during the phase II assessment if authorized. Busch continued noting that the development plan could be upwards of $1.6M, which could include an interpretive master plan, some exhibit and furnishing updates, and some facility renovations. Chairman Crain then called for a motion. Commissioner Peterson moved to send forward to the full commission and recommend that the Phase I Evaluation of the G. W. Bush Childhood Home be accepted with the recommendation that the site be authorized for a Phase II evaluation. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Burdette. Chairman Crain called for a vote. Vote to approve was unanimous.

4. Consider approval of Phase II Assessment for Presidio La Bahía – (Item 15.3)
Bell then introduced Bill Irwin, Director of Historic Sites Operations to provide background on the assessment of Presidio La Bahía. Irwin stated that in October 2021 the commission authorized the Phase II evaluation of Presidio La Bahía. He said that the Presidio is a defining place in Texas history with connections to Spanish missions, the Filibustering Movement, Mexico’s independence movement from Spain, and Texas’ independence from Mexico during the Texas Revolution as Fort Defiance. He continued noting that the Phase II evaluation establishes that the site does meet the criteria to become a tier IV state historic site. He briefly explained that a ranking in the tiering system is based on complexity, visitor attendance, and budget. He further noted that as a tier IV site, it would be combined with Fannin Battleground. The complex would have a combined total of eight FTE’s and have an operating budget of $206,600.

Irwin stated that it has been 60 years since the restoration of the Presidio and that about $3.8M in capital expenditures would be necessary to provide necessary upgrades to accessibility and both the electrical and plumbing systems.

Irwin noted that an operational agreement with the Diocese of Victoria would be required to run the site. He said that it will be a phased operations transfer with the diocese providing staffing until the remaining staff can be requested in the next Legislative Appropriations Request. After some brief discussion about the Zaragoza House and Monument, Irwin explained that the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department may be interested in discussing the possibility of transfer. Commissioner Gravelle asked about the chapel and if it would still be an active church and what is to become of the massacre site. Bell noted that the church would be used by the Diocese with THC only having access to it when it is not being used for religious purposes. Irwin noted that the Diocese would also have an active role in the interpretation of the site. Chairman Crain then called for a motion. Commissioner David Gravelle moved to send forward to the commission and recommend approval of the Phase II Evaluation and the staff recommendation that Presidio La Bahía be added to the Historic Sites network of properties. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Peterson. After some brief discussion, Chairman Crain called for a vote. Vote to approve was unanimous.

5. Consider approval to deaccession items from the Starr Family Home and Varner-Hogg Plantation State Historic Sites – (Item 15.4)
Bell noted that there were 116 items up for deaccession from the Starr Family Home and Varner-Hogg Plantation State Historic Sites. He noted that most of the items are being considered for one of the following reasons: item is outside the period of significance, item is noted as missing in inventory, or the item is deteriorated beyond program usefulness. After some brief discussion on the disposition of deaccessioned items Chairman Crain moved to send forward to the full commission and recommend approval to deaccession items from the Starr Family Home and Varner-Hogg Plantation State Historic Sites. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Catherine McKnight. Chairman Crain called for a vote. Vote to approve was unanimous.
6. Consider approval of the update to the Historic Sites Fee Structure. – (Item 15.5)
   Bell stated that the new fees on the list were for sites added since the last update and some were adding new
ticketing prices for complexed sites. He also noted that some sites were getting their fees in line with the
current market rates. After some brief discussion on upgrades to the RV pad sites at Mission Dolores,
Chairman Crain asked for a motion. Commissioner McKnight moved to send forward to the full
commission and recommend approval of the changes to the Historic Sites Fee Structure. The motion was
seconded by Commissioner Limbacher. Chairman Crain called for a vote. Vote to approve was unanimous.

7. Consider approval to request capital authority for Palmito Ranch Tower, San Felipe de Austin
   archeology lab/maintenance facility, acquisition of land at the Levi Jordan Plantation State Historic
   Site, and the acquisition of the Almonte Surrender Site at San Jacinto Battleground State Historic
   Site – (Item 15.3)
   Bell said that the following four projects needed capital authority before they could proceed:
   Palmito Ranch Viewing Platform, budgeted at $400,000; San Felipe Archeology Lab and maintenance facility,
budgeted at $1.5M; acquisition of land at Levi Jordan Plantation for two focus areas, land with slave quarters
and land with a cemetery, budgeted at $500,000; and purchase of the Almonte Surrender Site at San Jacinto
Battleground budgeted at $1M. He said that THC has funds available to address. Staff is requesting approval
to move forward to request capital authority from the Legislative Budget Board. After some discussion
regarding the Levi Jordan land acquisition, Chairman Crain asked for a motion. Commissioner Laurie
Limbacher moved to send forward to the full commission and recommend approval to request capital
authority from the Legislative Budget Board. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Burdette.
Chairman Crain called for a vote. Vote to approve was unanimous.

8. Consider acceptance of donation of real property adjacent to the French Legation State Historic
   Site, Travis County – (Item 15.7)
   Bell noted that in July 2021 the Commission approved acceptance of the transfer of the lot as part of the
   agreement THC entered into with Aquila Commercial, LLC. He said that the transfer of land is part of a
   multistep process noted in the agreement. Acceptance of the donation must be acquired now. As per the
   agreement the lot will be used by Aquila for construction staging until June 2023 at a lease rate and then will
   be improved for additional surface parking for the French Legation. Bell stated that this motion is to
   recognize it as a donation to the THC. Chairman Crain asked for a motion. Commissioner Burdette moved
to send forward to the full commission and recommend approval to accept the donation of real property
adjacent to the French Legation State Historic Site. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Peterson.
Chairman Crain called for a vote. Vote to approve was unanimous.

9. Historic Sites Facilities Report
   Bell introduced Chief Architect Glenn Reed to give the facilities update. Reed said that on the screen is the
   graph showing the progress of the major architectural projects from planning through construction. He
   stated that among our 34 sites, the THC is responsible for 347 buildings and that the projects depicted on
   this graph are only the largest and longest duration projects. The architecture team is working concurrently
   on numerous other projects. He said they are generally shorter-term projects but are nevertheless quite
   significant, including HVAC, fire alarm, and roof projects as well as interior renovations and TXDOT site
   maintenance projects. He explained that because architectural staff can only work on a certain number of
   projects at a time, we prioritize based on preservation needs, operational considerations, and visitor impact.
   He further noted that staff deal with emergency projects as they arise.

   Referring to the slides, Reed said that the Levi Jordan Plantation Learning Center Complex project is 99
   percent complete. The Archeology Building will serve as the temporary Visitor Center until we construct the
   larger museum that is currently in the planning phase. He noted that the next photo was the lobby of that
building with the main entrance to the right and that staff is in the process of designing the visitor reception, retail, and exhibit components that will be installed in this space. Reed continued going through the slides noting what each was depicting.

Reed stated that progress on the Caddo Mounds visitor center project is once again being delayed by supply chain issues, in this case the delayed delivery of the structural steel. He said that the construction manager and the architect are doing all they can to keep the project moving forward. He noted that the next slide showed the rendering of the finished building and covered plaza. Reed said that the covered plaza was added back to the Phase I of the project as having this covered outdoor program space will enhance the visitor experience.

The ruins stabilization projects at Fort Griffin and Fort Lancaster are moving forward. Reed said that the work at Fort Griffin is complete. He noted that the work at Fort Lancaster is focused on masonry and adobe restoration at Barracks Company H. He said that the next several slides show the condition of that structure prior to the project and the proposed masonry and adobe work, which includes stabilizing the existing masonry by repointing the mortar joints and using salvaged stone to build up the walls to better define the building corners. Reed explained that buildings at Fort Lancaster were constructed using a combination of stone and adobe. Stone was used to build wall foundations, fireplaces and chimneys, and door and window openings. Adobe was then used to infill between the stone portions to complete the walls.

Reed said that the Varner-Hogg Plantation House project has been on hold for a few months as we work on more urgent projects, mainly the Levi Jordan complex, Magoffin Home visitor center, and the forts ruins stabilization projects that are approaching completion.

At Sabine Pass Battleground, Reed stated that the engineers have completed the construction documents for the seawall repair project, and staff plan to post this project for bids in February.

Reed said that the structural repairs to the 1901 Visitor Center building at the Magoffin Home are continuing and will be completed this spring.

At Landmark Inn, Reed noted that the engineers are moving into the design phase for the preservation of the dam. He said that the 8 feet tall and about 250-foot-long dam was built in 1854 across Medina River.

Reed stated that the preservation project at the Fanthorp Inn has also been on hold for a few months, but staff have now resumed work on the design documents.

At San Felipe de Austin, Reed said staff have begun programming and schematic design work on proposed new buildings to house archeological processing, retail operations, and site maintenance functions.

Reed concluded noting that Chanin Engineering has completed the construction documents for the battlefield viewing platform at Palmito Ranch Battlefield. Staff is now working to secure capital authority for the construction funds.

10. Report on Retail Development
Bell noted that the photo on the screen contained examples of Christmas product development. He said that the focus was on San Jacinto and that the items were well received. Bell continued noting that the e-commerce vendor, Museum Revenue Partners, had visited a number of sites during November, December, and January. He noted that they had also met with commissioners, IT staff, and the Marketing and Promotional Materials Subcommittee. Bell said that the first draft of the e-commerce report is due in February.
11. **Update on Casa Navarro**
Bell said that Chairman John Nau is working with Bexar County on a long-term lease on the land surrounding Casa Navarro. He noted that Historic Sites staff met with staff from the University of Texas at San Antonio to discuss university development around the historic site. He noted that topics of interest were easements, fire and emergency access, and parking location on the abandoned right-of-way.

12. **Update on the San Jacinto Operating Agreement and Management Plan**
Bell stated that work continues to finalize an operating agreement and management plan for the property with the board of the San Jacinto Museum and Battlefield Association. Remaining items that need clarification are the board liability under that agreement and what insurance needs THC requires and what the management structure will be. Bell introduced Amy Rogers, Executive Director at San Jacinto to provide an overview of the management plan.

Rogers stated that the Museum Association had recently changed their name and revised their branding. They are now called the San Jacinto Museum and Battlefield Association. She noted that with the new branding will come a new updated website, which should roll out in April. Rogers provided some insight on the last three years’ visitation challenges and explained that the paid admission is museum admissions and that the site admissions use the car counter. She noted that they have changed their ticketing system to an all-inclusive ticket.

Rogers continued noting that their programs have increased from one or two per month to four or five per month. She said that they have introduced virtual programming as well. Rogers said that they have hired a curator to give the collections much needed attention and a development director to focus on volunteers, membership, and fundraising. She said they hope to diversify their revenue streams by renting the facility for private events and developing programs, like nature programs, that are not directly related to history.

Rogers noted that as part of her 2022 projects and goals, having the elevator, HVAC, and wastewater treatment plant all brought back to good working order was top of the list. She added that they are developing a mobile app in both English and Spanish that will provide interesting things to know about San Jacinto Battleground State Historic Site. Rogers stated that they would also be upgrading the wayfinding signage across the site. She concluded by sharing some information on the Illumination event that was held last November. She said that 1,200 volunteers were utilized to make, place, and retrieve the 21,000 luminaries for the event, which turned out to be a great success.

13. **Update on the National Museum of the Pacific War Management Plan**
Bell noted that Karen Stevenson, Museum Director and Chief Operating Officer of the National Museum of the Pacific War was supposed to attend and provide the update but could not. Bell provided the update instead. He said that staff finalized the annual management plan and are currently working to update the five-year strategic plan. He noted the major accomplishments throughout the last fiscal year, two of which were the completion of the Nimitz Hotel ballroom restoration and phase I of the Bush Gallery HVAC project. Concerning visitation, Bell stated that they are seeing a rebound in most admission categories.

Bell stated that the museum has been receiving many items accepted into the collection. He said they have processed over 400 linear feet of archival donations, are developing two temporary exhibits, and building a research collection on prisoners of war.

Bell detailed the list of projects and programs planned for 2022. He concluded noting several of the affiliations and partnerships the museum is proud to have.
14. Deputy Executive Director of Historic Sites update

Bell began with an update of the Levi Jordan Plantation Advisory Committee noting that they are currently reviewing the mission and vision statements for the project. He said that they are also working on an appropriate name for the facility. Bell said that the committee strongly feels that interpretation built around the personal experiences of individuals and enhanced by art and material culture will engage a diverse group of visitors. Bell stated that the committee strongly feels that the story should be told from the colonial period through today.

At San Felipe de Austin, Bell stated that the Eidman House closing should happen this week and site staff are moving forward with detailing renovation plans. He said that Mr. David Hill is considering a donation of 14-plus acres of land that was once home to important town lots in San Felipe that could include Peyton’s Tavern, Celia Allen’s brick oven, and Santa Anna’s occupation camp.

Bell stated that the committee has been assembled for the Washington-on-the-Brazos/Star of the Republic Museum project. The WOB Foundation and Senator Lois Kolkhorst are on board with the project that is expected to be around $42.8M. Bell noted that with current funding of $33.4M a capital campaign for the remaining $9.4M will be needed. He said that the project is expected to conclude in 2025.

Bell said that at Caddo Mounds the official grass house rebuilding kickoff occurred January 22. He said that the materials gathering is well underway. He noted that to date staff and volunteers have collected a half-acre of switch grass and another half-acre is needed. Bell continued by noting that 3,900 linear feet of willow has been harvested thus far. He said that the target of 5,000 linear feet should be reached with the two additional cuttings scheduled for February and March. Bell noted that the Caddo Nation has been engaged in the project.

Bell stated that the Port Isabel Lighthouse lens fabrication is underway. He noted that a structural assessment associated with the lens installation had been completed. He continued noting that in late December, the FAA released an updated draft programmatic agreement with SpaceX which included an updated Vibration Monitoring Plan. After reviewing the plan, staff was satisfied that if the requirements laid out in the plan are fully enforced, it will be sufficient to protect the lighthouse. Bell described the slide showing pictures of the lens, noting that the design is based on its historic configuration and said that the acrylic type three lens is tentatively scheduled for installation in April/May 2022.

Bell said that the next slide was an example of collection restoration. He noted that this is a portrait of Lily Starr that was painted around 1873, near the time of her death. He said that of particular interest is the frame restoration showing the rose medallion and gilding.

Bell noted that Jeff Harris, Site Manager at Magoffin Home in El Paso, passed away in December. A memorial was held at the site on January 16.

Bell noted that Barbara Judkins and her staff hosted the Texas Living History Association conference at the Starr Family Home. At the conference, several awards were presented:

- San Felipe de Austin received the Texas Star Award for outstanding contributions to living history in Texas for the opening of the Villa de Austin.
- Chandler Wahrmund received the Founders Award for outstanding contributions to living history in Texas through his new programming and events at Fanthorp Inn.
Bell further noted that three THC staff member have been installed on the TLHA Board:

- Rhett Kearns from Fort McKavett is the new Mountains/Pecos regional representative
- Barb King from Washington-on-the-Brazos is the new Independence Lakes/Brazos regional representative
- Jordan Anderson from San Felipe is the new Independence/Coastal regional representative.

Bell stated that the 2023 Texas Living History Association Annual Conference will be hosted by San Felipe de Austin State Historic Site.

Bell stated that the Blinn College WOB/Star of the Republic Museum Advisory Committee met January 27 to review charter, budget, projects, and next steps. Commissioner Crain said that the partnership could result in an infusion of funds for the project.

Bell noted that the Multi-Agency Task Force working on the Joint Collection Storage Facility and the searchable database analysis meet regularly. The task for consists of staff from the following agencies:

- Texas Park and Wildlife Department
- State Preservation Board
- Texas State Library and Archives Commission
- Facilities Commission
- General Land Office
- Texas Historical Commission

Bell said that the report completion target date is early summer.

He mentioned the 3-D printed models produced in a project with the Stillwater Foundation to be used in fundraising efforts.

15. Adjournment
At 11:15 am, Chairman Crain asked for any other business to be brought before the committee. There being none, he stated without objection that the Historic Sites Committee meeting was adjourned.
OPERATIONS
Visitation and outreach at the sites this quarter was 150,304—44.89 percent higher than this time last year.

The French Legation SHS had a very successful lawn party hosted by the French Ambassador to the U. S.

The Eidman House is now part of San Felipe de Austin SHS.

Property insurance coverage is in place for San Jacinto, Washington-on-the-Brazos, and San Felipe to get all SHS properties close to the coast covered. The addition of these properties has significantly increased the asset value covered and the annual cost of insurance.

The San Jacinto Museum and Battlefield Association operating agreement was finalized and is in place. Work is underway to finalize the management agreement.

Discussions with Blinn College concluded with an agreement that the Blinn College Foundation funds would be managed by the Star of the Republic Museum Advisory Committee. Staff will submit requests to the committee for approval.

The Port Isabel Lighthouse lens and Caddo Mounds exhibit schedules are delayed due to supply chain delivery issues.

The Levi Jordan Plantation Learning Center lobby finish-out for retail and exhibits is moving forward. An open house is scheduled for April 30.

Discussions continue with UTSA on the university’s development of the lots around Casa Navarro SHS and issues concerning site access and parking.

The Battleship Texas Foundation opened the ship to the public for two weekends, March 12-13 and 26-27, prior to its move out of San Jacinto SHS. Work preparing the ship for departure is continuing, including asbestos and lead abatement. Maintenance dredging of the berth is scheduled for April and May, with departure anticipated mid- to late-June.

There are ongoing discussions with the Hill family about donation of land next to San Felipe de Austin SHS. The property is a valued archeological area associated with the town.

FRIENDS GROUPS AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT
Site visits are scheduled for all sites this spring and summer to meet with friends groups and their site staff counterparts to ensure awareness of, and compliance with, the terms of the MOA, as well as to discuss community engagement needs. Regular correspondence to friends group board members and site staff continues through the monthly e-newsletter, First Friday News for Friends.

Meetings were held with the Presidio La Bahia Foundation and the George W. Bush Childhood Home Foundation to orient them to their future potential roles as friends groups if the sites are transferred.

Staff has attended stakeholder meetings with the Washington-on-the-Brazos Foundation and citizen advisory meetings for Levi Jordan Plantation, as well as with the San Pedro Creek project for Casa Navarro. Each of these projects involve community input and communication between participants and the THC. They are important to be aware of as sites seek to form new friends groups and maintain mission alignment between the site, the THC, and existing friends groups.

The coordinator continues to partner with the Friends of the THC through their Friends Alliance Program, facilitating new and existing fiscally sponsored friends groups, administrating the Friends Alliance Awards, codirecting development seminars, and serving on the Liaison Committee of the FTHC’s board.
**CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS**

Caddo Mounds: As of March, construction progress for the Caddo Cultural Center Phase I is proceeding well after experiencing supply chain and weather delays earlier in the project.

Fort Griffin and Fort Lancaster: The ruins stabilization work at Fort Griffin has been completed, and work at Fort Lancaster will be completed this summer.

Levi Jordan Plantation: The architectural and exhibit design work for the larger museum and visitors center project is progressing, with active collaboration with the African American Advisory Committee.

Magoffin Home: Structural repairs to the visitors center will be completed in early summer.

Palmito Ranch Battlefield: The engineering design for the proposed elevated viewing platform is complete. The cost estimate exceeds the budget and the engineers have been asked to develop cost-reduction measures before the project is put out for bids.

Sabine Pass: The pre-bid conference for the seawall repair project conducted in late March was well attended. Construction bids will be due in mid-April.

Varner-Hogg Plantation: The Plantation House stabilization project continues, with the contracted engineers developing the foundation drawings, THC architectural staff developing the door and window preservation scope documents, and all parties working with the Archeology Division to define the required archeological clearance activities and sequencing.

**INTERPRETATION**

The Fulton Mansion Interpretive Master Plan has been completed and the final reports received.

An RFP for the contracting of an interpretive master plan for the Charles and Mary Ann Goodnight Ranch SHS has been submitted to Staff Services for posting.

The Levi Jordan Advisory Committee continues to meet to advise the contract team and give input on the schematic museum design process.

The major exhibit and interpretative re-envisioning/redesign project at Washington-on-the-Brazos/Star of the Republic Museum is proceeding. Work Authorization 2 has been received and is being reviewed by HSD staff.

The fabrication of the Caddo Mounds exhibit refabrication is well underway, and the majority of replicas created by Caddo tribal artisans have been received and will be ready for mount making when the exhibit fabricators visit the site in May.

The first drafts of sections for the French Legation guidebook are currently being reviewed by HSD staff.

**COLLECTIONS**

The Austin curatorial team and a multi-agency cultural collections task force held a focus group with Division of Information Resources to discuss potential database software, hardware, and data ingestion methods. Consensus for both the collections facility and database reports has been reached and updates are in progress.

TPWD continues to transfer infrastructure and collections records to the THC's Austin collections facility. This archives transfer will increase the need for archival collections space by 30 percent by 2023.

The Austin curatorial team is working closely with San Jacinto Battleground SHS staff and the San Jacinto Museum and Battlefield Association toward the completion of a physical inventory and general condition assessment of important museum collections.

Amanda Cagle has been hired as the Regional Collections Manager for independence sites. This position is hosted at the Star of the Republic Museum.

Archeology Collections Manager Jamie Ross continues to work with the Archeology Division on an NPS Save America's Treasures grant for $101,673 for the processing, preservation, and reanalysis of the archeological collection at Mission Dolores SHS. The grant funds two graduate student summer internships.

Staff is working closely with Levi Jordan Plantation SHS staff toward the installation of a temporary exhibit in the visitors center and transfer of collections to the site.

Varner-Hogg Plantation SHS artifacts are currently on loan to Rice University for spring semester study.

Since January, 66 artifacts have been conserved under the HSD contract with Texas A&M’s Conservation Research Lab.
# HISTORIC SITES - VISITATION / OUTREACH - FY2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acton</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caddo Mounds</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casa Navarro</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>845</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confederate Reunion Grounds</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eisenhower Birthplace</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>1,700</td>
<td>991</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5,257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fannin Battleground</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fanthorp Inn</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Griffin</td>
<td>1,130</td>
<td>2,535</td>
<td>3,599</td>
<td>15,348</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23,113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Lancaster</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort McKavett</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French Legation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton Mansion</td>
<td>847</td>
<td>971</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>1,185</td>
<td>1,242</td>
<td>1,182</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6,194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodnight Ranch</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landmark Inn</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levi Jordan</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipantitlan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magoffin Home</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission Dolores</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kreische Brewery/ Monument Hill</td>
<td>1,008</td>
<td>2,690</td>
<td>1,026</td>
<td>3,413</td>
<td>809</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9,592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ntl Museum of the Pacific</td>
<td>7,058</td>
<td>9,495</td>
<td>11,318</td>
<td>10,185</td>
<td>7,480</td>
<td>8,985</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>54,521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Isabel Lighthouse</td>
<td>3,269</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,883</td>
<td>2,128</td>
<td>2,380</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11,660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabine Pass Battleground</td>
<td>1,328</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,236</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Bell Maxey</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Rayburn House</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Felipe de Austin</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>1,851</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>1,028</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5,971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Jacinto Battleground</td>
<td>17,062</td>
<td>19,074</td>
<td>24,009</td>
<td>23,008</td>
<td>15,979</td>
<td>14,409</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>113,541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Jacinto Monument</td>
<td>2,435</td>
<td>2,091</td>
<td>1,984</td>
<td>2,988</td>
<td>1,431</td>
<td>1,849</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12,778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starr Family Home</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varner-Hogg Plantation</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington-on-the-Brazos Complex*</td>
<td>5,105</td>
<td>2,182</td>
<td>7,653</td>
<td>4,443</td>
<td>5,366</td>
<td>8,330</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33,079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly totals</td>
<td>44,015</td>
<td>46,281</td>
<td>58,678</td>
<td>68,263</td>
<td>38,714</td>
<td>43,327</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>299,278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly totals</td>
<td>148,974</td>
<td>150,304</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The WOB Complex consists of Washington-on-the-Brazos, Star of the Republic Museum, Independence Hall, and Barrington Plantation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Budget (incl. design fees &amp; const.) projected or actual</th>
<th>Consultant selected</th>
<th>Design contract executed</th>
<th>Consultant</th>
<th>Schematic Design</th>
<th>Design Dev.</th>
<th>Const. Docs.</th>
<th>Bidding</th>
<th>Contractor selected</th>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Const. contract executed</th>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Const. contract executed</th>
<th>Construction (% complete)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Levi Jordan Plantation</td>
<td>Learning Center</td>
<td>$2,400,000</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>Broaddus Construction</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>Broaddus Construction</td>
<td>Broaddus Construction</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consider approval of Phase II Assessment for the G. W. Bush Childhood Home

**Background:**
The board of the George W. Bush Childhood Home has requested that THC incorporate the property into the network of THC State Historic Sites. Staff has done a preliminary assessment of the property and determined it to be eligible for a Phase I assessment. Historic Sites staff have met with staff and board members of the Bush Home and crafted the Phase I evaluation for the commission’s consideration on February 4, 2022. Commission approved the Phase I recommendations. Staff has moved forward in crafting the Phase II evaluation and based on the feedback from the three heritage tourism subject experts have determined that the site does meet criteria to be added as a State Historic Site.

**Suggested Motion (Committee):**
Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend acceptance of the Phase II recommendation that the George W. Bush Childhood Home become a Texas Historical Commission State Historic Site.

**Suggested Motion (Commission):**
Move to accept the Phase II recommendation that the George W. Bush Childhood Home become a Texas Historical Commission State Historic Site.
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"Our deepest values in life often come from our earliest years. It is here in Midland and in West Texas where I learned to respect people from different backgrounds, to respect people from all walks of life. It is here where I learned what it means to be a good neighbor at backyard barbecues or just chatting across the fence. It is here in West Texas where I learned to trust in God."

George W. Bush
Centennial Plaza Speech, January 17, 2001, Midland, Texas

Exhibit panel at the George W. Bush Childhood Home Visitor Center
INTRODUCTION

In a letter dated September 29, 2021, the Board of Directors of The George W. Bush Childhood Home Inc. requested that the Texas Historical Commission (THC) consider receiving the George W. Bush Childhood Home (GWBCH) into its historic sites program.

As put forward in the THC rules (Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16 Rule §16.3), potential THC historic sites must meet specific criteria. To make this determination, the candidate site undergoes two phases of evaluation and assessment conducted by THC staff.

This report represents the Phase II assessment of the GWBCH. The report discusses each of the evaluation requirements as established in the THC rules. The candidate site met the requirements set forth by the THC rules, the Commission authorized a more detailed “Phase II” study that comprehensively evaluates the context and interpretive potential of the site and provides specific details regarding how the site would be developed and operated, as well as the funding needed to make that plan a reality.

This report also contains a conclusions section that addresses what is presently known about the GHC relative to the Chapter §16.3 rules criteria, which are the overarching conditions a site must meet to be considered for the THC’s historic sites program.

Based on this Phase II assessment, the Assessment Team and THC staff finds that the George W. Bush Childhood Home meets the criteria for acceptance and recommends that it become a State Historical Site.
The George W. Bush Childhood Home (GWBCH) is in the city of Midland in Midland County in Texas’ Permian Basin (Figure 1). The closest THC state historic sites to the GWBCH are Fort McKavett and Fort Griffin, which are located approximately 182 miles to the southeast and 196 miles to the northeast, respectively.

The GWBCH is located at 1412 West Ohio Avenue at the corner of North H Street and consists of a single-family wood frame home and detached garage. The museum campus contains three other buildings of similar construction that were former neighborhood residences. The former residence directly across North H Street from the GWBCH is used as the museum’s visitor
center and staff offices, and the dwelling behind it at 400 East Broadway is used as a rental property.

Figure 2. Satellite view of the George W. Bush Childhood Home campus.

Figure 3. The George W. Bush Childhood Home
The George W. Bush Childhood Home (1412 West Ohio Avenue)
The George W. Bush Childhood Home draws its significance from its association with the Bush family, rather than from its design or construction. The 1,655 square foot, one-story house is clad in horizontal wood siding and features an oriel window that admits light to the living room. The remaining windows are two-over-two double hung units. The rather complex hipped roof is clad in red composition shingles. A brick chimney located on the front façade serves a modest fireplace in the dining room. A one-car garage located along the east side of the house was enclosed in the period 1948-50 to provide additional living space. On the west side, a concrete ramp provides access to the side door for the disabled.

The detached one-car garage on a concrete slab, built in 1948-50, is clad in the same siding as the house and includes two storage closets that face the backyard, which is enclosed by a white picket fence. The shingles on the gabled garage roof match those of the house.

The house interior has been restored to the finishes that were in place during the Bush occupancy. This includes wood floors throughout, except for the linoleum in the two bathrooms, the kitchen and the converted garage living space. The living room features a wood paneled wainscot, with upper walls and ceiling of painted sheetrock joined by a modest crown molding. The dining room walls feature full height wood paneling and a wood paneled ceiling, punctuated by a stone fireplace and flush hearth. Most of the windows are protected by Venetian blinds, with some having curtains and valences.

The bedrooms and hallway are finished in flat sheetrock walls and ceilings with simple baseboards and crown molding.

Porcelain ceramic, gas-fired wall heaters have been retained, but have been disconnected from gas supply for safety. The original floor furnace is present in the hallway but is likewise disconnected. The house is conditioned by a modern Unico small duct, high-velocity HVAC system and has modern electrical and plumbing systems.
The house is generally in very good and stable condition, with no issues that would require immediate attention. The wood siding and trim exhibits several areas of minor rot that should be repaired, and the entire exterior should be repainted within three years.

**The GWBCH Visitor Center and Staff Annex (400 East Broadway Street)**

The detached garage of the residence located at 400 East Broadway Street serves as a Visitor Center for the George W. Bush Childhood Home. The building is a single-story, slab-on-grade structure of approximately 1,000 square feet with painted CMU exterior walls, wood roof framing, and a hipped composition shingle roof.

A gate accessed from the sidewalk on North H Street admits visitors to a walled courtyard. Visitors then enter a reception room and gift shop via a door on the west side of the building. The pedestrian route from the street and into this space is generally TAS compliant. The space is well-lit and the interior finishes are in good condition. A wall-mounted ductless air handling unit conditions the space. A unisex accessible restroom opens to the reception space.

The garage bay located to the north of the reception area is accessed via a personnel door within the courtyard and by two overhead segmented garage doors facing North H Street. The space is unfinished, with a concrete floor, CMU walls, and exposed roof framing. It is used for storage.

The building exterior is generally in good condition and has no issues that would require immediate attention. A gas meter is located immediately adjacent to the driveway that serves the garage bays. It is vulnerable to vehicular impact and should be protected with bollards.

The residence located at 400 East Broadway Street contains staff offices as well as a reading room used for programs. The building is a one-story, slab-on-grade house of approximately 1,700 square feet, with painted CMU exterior walls, wood roof framing, aluminum windows, and a hipped composition shingle roof with exposed rafter tails. A central fireplace is served by a brick chimney.
Visitors enter this building via a pair of French doors facing the patio in the courtyard. The doors are served by a temporary ramp that provides an accessible route. Immediately inside the doors is Robyn’s Reading Room, where community reading programs are held. This room is contained in a former porch, clad in simulated board-and-batten siding.

The original kitchen is intact and is used as such. The three bedrooms and one of the two bathrooms are used for offices and storage, with the remaining bathroom serving the staff and visitors.

The building exterior is in generally good condition. The exposed rafter tails and soffits need to be painted. The brick chimney should be inspected and capped if necessary. The building interior is in fair condition. A flooring replacement project was underway during our November 2021 visit to the site. The sheetrock walls and ceilings are in fair to good condition. The HVAC, electrical and plumbing systems are reportedly in good condition.

Figure 4. Staff office building from East Broadway Street
Figure 5. Visitor Center building from the GWBCH across the street.

Figure 6. Staff office building from the courtyard
Figure 7. Staff office building entrance from inside.

Figure 8. 400 East Broadway Rental Property.
400 East Broadway Rental Property

The residence located at 400 East Broadway Street is used as an income-generating rental property. It was vacant during the time of our visit in November 2021.

The building is a one-story, pier-and-beam house of approximately 1,675 square feet with an adjacent 275 square foot slab-on-grade garage. The two structures were connected at some point by a fully enclosed and conditioned addition. The house is clad in painted, wire-cut brick with double-hung, divided-lite wood windows and a combination hipped and gabled composition shingle roof. Fascia and rake trim are wood. Gable cladding is composite lapped siding. The front façade features two large plate glass windows. One side of the garage retains an operable overhead door, while the other garage door has been infilled with framing and wood siding to allow that bay to be used as storage. The HVAC system is reportedly recent and features ducted supply through the attic and ducted return through the crawlspace.
Overall, the building is in good condition. Some interior finishes, appliances, and window treatments are in need of replacement. A semi-circular concrete patio behind the garage exhibits several large, displaced cracks and should be removed and reconstructed. The crawlspace ductwork should be inspected and sealed to prevent intrusion from rodents and insects. The electrical panel, weatherhead, and associated accessories are being impacted by overgrown plantings. These should be removed, and all electrical components inspected for damage.

1400 West Ohio Avenue Parking Lot

This property is located at 1400 West Ohio Avenue at the opposite end of the same block that the GWBCH is located on. This property is currently being leased by the George W. Busch Childhood Home, Inc. organization from the Holy Trinity Episcopal Church across the street who currently owns the property.

Figure 10. Restored George W. Bush bedroom with period furnishings.
BACKGROUND, SIGNIFICANCE, AND INTEGRITY

The George W. Bush Childhood Home is on the National Register of Historic Places as the home of an exceptional political family who shaped state and national politics in the late 20th and early 21st centuries. This place was home to two U.S. Presidents, a First Lady, a Vice President, a Second Lady and two State Governors:

- George Herbert Walker Bush (June 12, 1924-November 30, 2018) served two terms as Vice President under President Ronald Reagan from 1981-1989, and later as the 41st President of the United States from 1989 to 1993. Born in Massachusetts.
- Barbara Pierce Bush (June 8, 1925 – April 17, 2018) was Second Lady of the United States from 1981 to 1989 and First Lady from 1989 to 1993.
- George Walker Bush (July 6, 1946) served as the Governor of the State of Texas from 1995 to 2000, and then two terms as the 43rd President of the United States from 2001 to 2009. Born in Connecticut, raised in Midland.
- John Ellis “Jeb” Bush (February 1953) served as the Governor of the State of Florida from 1999 to 2007.

The family’s memories of their time in this home would be noted by each of them as influential to the rest of their lives.

The site’s National Register of Historic Places nomination was completed in 2004 by the THC’s National Register Coordinator, Gregory W. Smith. It documents very thoroughly the background, significance, and integrity of the GWBCH and was included as an appendix to the Phase I report.
Figure 11. President George H.W. Bush and First Lady Barbara Pierce Bush, 1989-1993.

Figure 12. President George W. Bush and First Lady Laura Welch Bush, 1995-2000.
STATEMENT OF WILLINGNESS TO TRANSFER

Below is a copy of the letter received from the George W. Bush Childhood Home, Inc. Interim Executive Director Jaclyn Woolf expressing the Board of Directors’ desire for a Phase I assessment be conducted for the George W. Bush Childhood Home to become a THC historic site.

Mark Wolfe, Executive Director
Texas Historical Commission
P.O. Box 12276
Austin, TX 78711

September 29, 2021

Re: Addition of The George W. Bush Childhood Home to the Texas Historical Commission Historic Sites Program

Dear Mr. Wolfe and Members of the Texas Historical Commission:

On behalf of The George W. Bush Childhood Home, Inc., the Board of Directors submits this letter of intent to request addition of our site to the Texas Historical Commission Historic Sites Program. The Bush Childhood Home is an official Presidential Site and the only historic home of two presidents, two governors, and a first lady. Currently the site is privately owned and operated by a nonprofit board of directors.

Recently, the National Parks Service commissioned a resource study to analyze the site’s suitability as a federal Parks addition. However, this study may take up to five years, and then legislation must be passed to officially add the Childhood Home, which may take several more, without guarantees of success. It has always been the ultimate goal of the Bush Home founders and directors to see the Childhood Home established permanently under federal or state governance, in order to guarantee its longevity and historical preservation. With the uncertainty of the National Parks Service option, our Board of Directors has voted to seek the site’s addition to the Texas Historical Commission.

It is our understanding that even if THC takes over our site, that does not preclude the possibility of the NPS partnering with THC or adopting the site in the future. However, even if that future does not occur, it is nevertheless our desire to transfer the George W. Bush Childhood Home to the Texas Historical Commission.
Please let me know as soon as possible what the next steps in the process will be. We will cooperate fully to make the process as quick and efficient as possible. If you have any questions for me, please feel free to call the office at (432) 685-1112 or email me at gwbhome@bushchildhoodhome.org. Thank you so much for your time and attention, and I look forward to speaking with you soon.

Kindest regards,

[Signature]

Jaclyn E. Woolf  
Executive Director-Interim, The George W. Bush Childhood Home
COMMUNITY SUPPORT

Historic Sites staff has met with the Board of Directors of the George W. Bush Childhood Home, Inc., the 501(c)3 nonprofit that currently operates the site. The board confirmed their support of the transfer to the THC and stated their intention to continue as a supporting nonprofit (friends group) for the site after its transfer to the THC. The executive director of the GWBCH, Inc. estimates that they can reasonably expect to earn an approximate $75,000 annually through grants, donations, and the oil and gas lease. That amount does not include revenue from special events, programs, or other incidental grant opportunities that may arise throughout the year.

Midland is a very philanthropic community and there is good potential for building more support in the future. Fluctuations in the oil and gas industry will impact this future philanthropic planning.

EDUCATIONAL & INTERPRETIVE POTENTIAL

The George W. Bush Childhood Home in Midland, TX has very good, but unrealized, interpretive and educational potential. Extant programs are very limited, changing interpretation and exhibits do not occur often, and special events are nonexistent. Currently the site has no Interpretive Planning documents, no formalized Mission Statement nor Vision Statement, all of which will be necessary to create should the site transfer to the Texas Historical Commission.

As covered in the Phase I Assessment report, the current interpretation of the site is currently limited to an emphasis on George W. Bush himself, and the time in which he lived in the house as a child but there is tremendous potential to broaden this story for both interpretive and educational programming. The site could tell a much more comprehensive story about the Bush Family, the middle-class lifestyle early in George H W Bush’s career in the booming oil industry while living in this home and in their various moves in the Midland-Odessa area. That would serve to highlight the success that each member of the family created and grew into, and how their experiences in West Texas helped influence their ideals and provide impetus for their future roles within the late 20th Century history of the United States.

While the interpretive emphasis of this property is not and should not primarily be the later political positions or stances which the members of the family held, it could help set the stage for an understanding of their later careers and influence. An interpretive story more inclusive of the entire family who lived on site might serve the visiting public better. In addition to expanding the interpretative programs to include both Laura Bush’s and Barbara Bush’s literacy programs, the story could include the philanthropic legacies of both generations of the family. Expanding the interpretive story to provide more information about the nature of the oil industry and how it changed West Texas, the post-World War II growth of the region, and the impact of various communities on that growth would provide more entry points into the narrative with a variety of points of interest.

This expanded story would open the potential for a large number of possible special events and seasonal exhibit programs that focus on holidays and anniversaries, as well as cultural programming and teaching civics. It would also open the potential for expanded educational
programming for school field trips. Current educational program offerings at the GWBCH are creative, fun, and responsive to teacher needs but are limited by existing staffing levels and do not all tie closely to the many potential interpretive themes of the site.

The Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) for Texas public schools detail the curriculum requirements for every course, and State-mandated standardized tests measure students’ acquisition of the specific knowledge and skills outlined in this curriculum. Successful field trips at historic sites tie their curriculum to the TEKS to ensure schools that the field trip experience will further their teaching goals and students’ success. The importance of good citizenship, the function of government in American life, and the role of the free-market economic system are themes that run through the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) for Texas public schools for all grade levels, though the most relevant to the site are in the elementary and high school levels. Similarly, “the age of oil” is defined in the TEKS as a major era in Texas history, and the site is well positioned to tell the 20th century story of Texas’ oil industry and its continuing impact on the region’s economy and development. The very current nature of the content can also be of special interest to contemporary audiences and students in particular.

While not a presidential birthplace, the George W. Bush Childhood home is still a place of significance in the lives of multiple Bush family members, many of whom had a dramatic influence on late 20th century American politics and culture. It is also an excellent representation of middle-class life in a West Texas oil town in the mid-20th century. These stories expand the THC portfolio have great potential interest for schools and the general public.

The interpretive and educational potential of the current George W. Bush Childhood Home far exceeds the ability of the current nonprofit to achieve due to its limited resources, but that potential could be stretched under the management of the Texas Historical Commission.
NEEDED AND AVAILABLE FUNDING

Currently, there is available funding and one FTE to operate the GWBCH as a THC state historic site. Additional FTE and funding is needed to expand staff. Needed funding for annual operations has been revised down from the Phase I Assessment report to $514,500. Funding for development, repairs, and improvements to the GWBCH is estimated to be $3,085,879, which is an increase from the Phase I Assessment report reflecting the addition of a maintenance facility and an addition to the visitor center for expanded program function. These estimates are for planning purposes only and should not be used as final costs.

OPERATING AND DEVELOPMENT COSTS

To operate the GWBCH as a state historic site open six days a week would require hiring a staff of five full-time employees (FTEs). These would include a site manager, an administrative assistant, an educator, a public programs coordinator or outreach specialist, and a maintenance supervisor. Additional annual operating costs would include utilities for the building, consumable supplies, fuels, gift store merchandise, marketing, annual maintenance and repairs, and other operating costs. Staff have refined the estimated operating costs from the initial estimates in the Phase I Assessment ($603,500) down to $514,500.

The largest different is the adjustment in the salary estimates, which still include the recommended 5 FTE and based on the staff completement at Eisenhower Birthplace and the LAR request of $80,000 per FTE, which includes agency paid benefits. The marketing budget has also been revised downward from the Phase I Assessment estimate, which had it at $19,000 based on current site expenditure. Under THC management, this cost would be largely rolled into the central marketing budget for the division. Though this central marketing budget would need to increase, there are efficiencies that can be gained to benefit the entire system. An example is the current initiative to market the GWBCH at the Midland International Air and Space Port, which is a significant portion of the current site expenditure. This cost can be pulled into the central marketing budget and the marketing effort can promote the entire system including the GWBCH. The retail budget, which may be modest for a stand-alone site, is likely to be complemented and supported by the central retail budget that is currently in place but would need some increase.
## ANNUAL OPERATING COSTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries and Benefits for 5 FTEs</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities &amp; Telecommunications</td>
<td>$16,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gift Store Merchandise</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance &amp; Repairs</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Operating Expenses</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$514,500</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The GWBCH development costs include repairs and renovations, the addition of a maintenance facility and expansion to the visitor center, interpretive enhancements, and the acquisition of site vehicles. In total, staff estimate the site development and improvement costs would be $3,085,879 as shown on the table below. This is an increase from the Phase I Assessment report estimate of $1,597,636 that reflects a much more thorough detailing of necessary work, and the inclusion of a new maintenance structure and significant addition to the Visitor Center for increased functionality as detailed in Appendix B of this document.

### DEVELOPMENT COSTS

**Site Work, General Repairs & Improvements**
- Irrigation & Lawn Repairs: $50,000
- Signage Replacement & Additions: $30,000
- New Sidewalks for Continuous Connections: $3,960
- Flag Illumination: $7,500
- Hazardous Materials Testing: $4,000
- Tree Maintenance: $20,000

**Subtotal** ($115,460)

**Bush Home & Garage Repairs**
- Replace & Relocate Historical Marker: $5,000
- Exterior Carpentry Repairs: $5,000
- Exterior Paint: $60,362
- Door Hardware: $750
- Reconstruct Door to Robyn’s Room: $3,500
- Inspect Chimney: $500
- Re-lamp Light Fixtures: $400
- Relocate Thermostat: $500
- Improve Attic Access: $3,000

**Subtotal** ($79,012)

**Visitor Center Renovation & Addition**
- Door Hardware: $250
- Install Protective Bollards at Gas Meter: $2,000
- Renovate Garage as Visitor Center: $172,500
- Construct Addition for Expanded Functionality: $1,093,625

**Subtotal** ($1,268,375)
Staff Office Renovation & Repair
- Chimney: $800
- Door Hardware: $250
- Exterior Paint: $7,500
- Concrete Access Ramp: $4,000
- Interior Renovation: $440,000

**Subtotal ($452,550)**

Construct New Maintenance Building
- Door Hardware: $250

**Subtotal ($90,000)**

Rental Property Renovation & Repair
- Door Hardware: $250
- Interior Floors: $2,800
- Window Coverings: $500
- Sliding Glass Door: $2,500
- Replace Dishwasher: $1,000
- HVAC Inspect, Clean, Repair: $1,500
- Window Repair, Reglaze: $5,000
- Smoke & CO Detectors: $500
- Electrical System: $25,000
- Dryer Vent: $100
- Patio Demo & Reconstruction: $5,000
- Exterior Wood Repair & Paint: $5,000
- Landscape / Tree Maintenance: $4,000
- Gutters: $5,000
- Remove Gas Fireplace: $2,000

**Subtotal ($60,150)**

**Overall Subtotal** $2,065,547

Contingency 15%
- ($309,832)

**Total for Repairs & Improvements** $2,375,379

Interpretive Enhancements
- Interpretive Master Plan: $48,000
- Furnishings Enhancements: $175,000
- Visitor Center Exhibits: $402,500
- Outdoor Interpretive Panels: $10,000

**Total for Interpretive Enhancements** $635,500

**Site Vehicles** $75,000

**Grand Total for Development Costs** $3,085,879

**BUSINESS PLAN**

The average visitation of the GWBCH was about 6000 per year with average annual earned revenue in admissions and retail revenue totaling about $102,596 for the two years prior to the start of the pandemic in March 2020. In the same period, average affinity funding through grants and donations averaged $133,711. With additional marketing, staff, programs and enhancements to the visitor experience, these visitation numbers could approach 10,000.
There is good potential for growth of onsite field trip programs. In 2020, there were 26,393 students in the Midland Independent School District (ISD) and an additional 33,707 students in nearby Ector County ISD that covers the city of Odessa. The Midland-Odessa metropolitan area also has over 13,000 college students. Despite the impact of the pandemic in the last few years, the cities of Midland and nearby Odessa have been growing, with the oil and gas industry attracting families from across the country. The city of Midland alone is sustaining a 2.5% growth rate and is expected to reach over 230,000 people by 2030. The site already draws visitors from outside the state as it is a Presidential site of national interest. Working with other museums and businesses could increase the potential growth of the site as a destination.

The local market for field trips would allow for significant increase in the site’s program attendance, while a broader marketing initiative could pull in more tour traffic from the West Texas, as the Midland-Odessa area is growing as a regional destination for tourism. The George W. Bush Childhood Home, Inc. currently provides the site with over $100,000 annually in grant and other affinity funding, which would continue to support the site as well as their limited staff who produce these grants. In addition, the organization maintains an oil and gas lease also contributes to the support of the staff and group’s activities. It is possible that the group may choose to form a membership arm that could further contribute to the organization’s income and support of the site.

The THC would need to provide the third leg of the proverbial “three-legged stool” funding model with financial and in-kind support during an interim transitional period and into the future. The site is best operated with a state appropriation to cover its development and annual operating costs beyond the next legislative session.

**ACCEPTANCE MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVES**

**Vision**

The establishment of an operational vision for the site is important to assess opportunities to attract the largest visitor base and grow revenue to support the site and the agency as a whole.
Texas is rich in cultural differences. This is evident in each historic site’s local economic focus, history and customs. The Bush family has had a significant impact on the politics and economy both nationally and in both Texas and Florida during the late 20th century and early 21st century. The oil and gas industry’s role in Texas history has contributed to defining West Texas’ economy as well as its sense of place and lifeways, particularly during the Bush family’s residency in the post-World War II era.

At the property, the THC would strive to preserve not only its standing resources and to celebrate the achievements and legacy of the Bush family, but also to preserve and share the unique cultural expressions of a post-World War II West Texas oil town. This can be achieved through artistic expression and good stewardship practices. It is through the active use and programming of the site that we are able to share what is authentic and unique about West Texas.

**Operational Focuses**

The following are important focus areas for the THC’s Historic Sites Division:

- **Innovation and Growth**
  The development of innovative approaches to attract new visitors and grow the site’s revenue is a business objective. This can be done with new business practices, methods to increase operational efficiencies, and new entrepreneurial efforts to enhance the visitor experience and increase market exposure. In addition, the utilization of technology is another tool to enhance the site’s business objectives, market position and name recognition.

- **Preservation/Stewardship**
  One primary focus is the overall care and maintenance of the property, and on-site conservation and care of the museum and archive collections. This includes innovative approaches to implement industry best practices in the stewardship of historic sites and collections; efforts in place or planned to promote public interest in historic preservation, archeology, and museum/archival collections; and the establishment of best practices to safeguard the site collections as well as the general public.
• **Educational Programming**
  The development of innovative educational and interpretive programming that attracts visitors to the site is vital to success. This includes garnering positive responses from local schools and districts on the value of the site in meeting the school’s needs through programs that meet the core state curriculum standards, and resources for students and teachers that augment classroom learning. This also includes innovative public programs that attract a broad spectrum of ages and interests that center on Texas history.

• **Community Engagement**
  The growth of local support for the historic site within an established Friends Group, if applicable, and also the community at large is vital. This may include a strong volunteer force that supplements the site’s ability to meet business objectives, onsite events, and outreach programs. The active support of the local community in meeting overall business objectives is critical. Community engagement also includes the active participation of the site manager in local groups to represent the Texas Historical Commission, contribute to the community, and build strong relationships with both public and private organizations. Through these efforts, the end result is a positive reputation of the Texas Historical Commission within the community it serves and reciprocated support that expands the site’s capacity to grow in its mission.

**Create a Learning Environment**
The GWBCH provides an opportunity to create an engaging, fun and dynamic environment for learning for any age. The site provides an opportunity to expand the historic site’s interest group and market. Today, Americans are purchasing experiences as opposed to goods. The events and programs at property can assist in expanding its outreach. Marketed experiences ranging from Western art and traditions, archeology, ranching history and customs, plants and nature and other programming opportunities could be developed for the site. Such an experience provides families an opportunity to vacation together with defined fun learning objectives.

There are also opportunities for the site to provide field schools and camps. These can be scheduled during the year as schools schedule and opportunities arise. These can range in age from elementary to college.
Similar programs have proven successful at historic sites, which also manages on-site programs that supports the site’s mission. The opportunities to have events that are popular with the general public and expands the audience for learning about the site’s development and role in West Texas history.

**Revenue Growth Potential**

A new operational model for the site can assist in growing additional revenue to assist in supporting the historic site.

- **Museum Retail Store**

An upgraded and remodeled museum store will assist in creating more visitor interest and assist in enhancing an amenity that the general public looks for at cultural institutions. The stories told at the site range from oil, politics, civics, and post-World War II history. This provides an opportunity to stock the store with items that illustrate and enhance the stories told on-site.

It is important to establish a museum quality product line consistent with site and agency missions, maintain consistent inventory levels to maintain interest, obtain the lowest cost and highest profit margin, and establish a per visitor sale goal at the historic site to monitor success both in revenue objective and general public engagement and interest. The following are important objectives for the museum store:

- Define signature item/items for the historic site;
- Cultivate vendors to provide product/branding consistency;
- Coordinate with Austin management for overall retail plan coordination within the system of sites;
- Coordinate brand development with selected vendors;
- Development of product lines with emphasis on proprietary items with a variety of price points, including possible licensing of products;
- Develop online retail avenues (centralized through Austin) for some product lines and coordinated bulk purchases to increase profit margins;
- Develop “portable” retail opportunities to support large-scale annual events.
As part of this initiative, a graphic design could be created to capture the essence of place and define a brand image for the historic site. This image could be used to highlight the site identity and provide a graphic for product placement. This would be coordinated under the agency’s Brand Identify Guidelines.

- **Admission Fees**
  A fee structure needs to be established for the historic site. The financial analysis in the report provides an average admission at $10. This is a realistic assumption based on the existing fee structure at the site and other Texas Historical Commission historic sites. The fee structure will be assessed further. Based on market analysis of the existing fee structure, fees at some sites may change. Not all sites merit an increase in fees but those that have had significant investment in facility improvements and exhibit installations may warrant an increase. It is anticipated that as improvements are invested in the site the fee may need to be adjusted. Fees are approved by the Executive Director based on The Texas Administrative Code.

- **Tours and Treks**
  It is important to strongly connect the historic site with the Texas Heritage Trails Program and local heritage tourism efforts. To forge a stronger partnership, the Historic Sites can develop regional tours and treks centered on a historic site and, where possible, partners with the local Texas Heritage Trail. The tours and treks can be organized through themes and/or individual events. These can be walking tours, teas, nature walks, overnight treks, stargazing, cemetery tours, archeological excavations, courthouse tours, etc. These types of tours provide a means to market a number of activities, promote new events and expand market outreach.

- **Market Analysis and Investment**
  An important need is to develop a new market strategy for the historic site. Having a strategic marketing plan to identify market areas for the investment is vital. Knowing the demographic make-up of an interested user group will help define areas of investment both outside as well as inside the state and target markets in specific areas for the best results. There is a broad menu of marketing options and knowing what is effective and what will produce the best results will
require further analysis. The overall objective is to increase visitation and revenue at the site through targeted marketing efforts.

- **Donations**
  There is an active philanthropic partnership in place at the GWBCH that can be developed further. The organization previously raised significant funds to assist in the preservation of the property. The site can identify its annual initiatives and can advertise for donations from visitors and community members. A project that could benefit from such an effort might be the Visitor Center Project. The ability to raise significant funds by the local community illustrates the effectiveness of a well-organized and managed community effort.

**Overall Business Goals for the Site can be Defined as:**
- Relevant, realistic and achievable outcomes/assumptions;
- Quality visitor experience(s) driving growth;
- Establish compelling reasons to visit the site;
- Expand visitor base through effective programming;
- Strengthen value and support of local customer base;
- Set business goals with strategies;
- Invest in a Business Intelligence System that will work in a rural location;
- On-going market research;
- Testing of market, product quality and customer response;
- Link in and utilize local government support to meet economic, political and community development objectives;
- Utilize available resources to meet budget and operational needs in the most cost-effective way.

**Support An Effective Friends Group**
The George W. Bush Childhood Home, Inc. has stewarded and operated the site since its inception and is committed to supporting the site as a Friends Group should it transfer to the THC. The GWBCH, Inc. is interested in maintaining a part-time grant administrator to continue its fundraising efforts and may be interested in developing a membership arm, which it does not currently have. The Friends Group provides important assistance in business support and growth through strong connections with successful and local public and private organizations. Within an established Friends Group there is a need for professional engagement in:
These skills when in place will help provide support to a site manager. Having the local executive director of the Texas Heritage Trails program as a member of the Friends board can also be important to build stronger capacity and engagement. Recruiting qualified and talented board members who have the time, energy, vision, and persistence is a challenge. It is important to detail the vision, mission, goals and work plan for an established Friends Group to meet the needs of the historic site.

**Investment of Volunteer Time**

The engagement and management in achieving mutual success takes time. Realistically, Friends Groups can assist in achieving specific planned objectives and events. The following are areas of focus for a Friends Group that should be assessed annually:

- **Community Engagement** - A Friends Group may have more access to the broad community itself as well as underserved sectors of the community.

- **Market Research and Awareness Building** - May have access to pro bono media and expertise. Can leverage or augment in-house staff capability. Can function as a liaison with the community and be a voice for the historic site.

- **Staff Support** - Friends staff can supplement the agency’s in-house staff capability either by hiring staff or by supporting staff.

- **Program Delivery and Event Staging** - Can assist special programs and events.

- **Procure Equipment/Services** - Can acquire and donate equipment.

- **Recruit and Manage Volunteers** - Can recruit and manage their own volunteers or can help the public agency to manage its volunteer program.

**Friends Group Operating Agreement**

The following are considerations in drafting a Friends Group operating agreement that would be necessary in the interim before the state could assign a full budget and complement of FTE:
• Who will attend Friends board meetings?
• What support will the agency give the Friends for planning and operational support?
• How will the Friends Group be incorporated into the planning process?
• Are Friends authorized to spend their funds or agency funds on organizations, agencies, programs or projects that are not directly linked to the agency and, if so, under what conditions?
• Who monitors money spent for its use and other purposes which may adversely affect the Friend’s 501 (c)3 status if significant funds are spent in areas outside the group’s mission?
• Will the Friends Group engage in advocacy campaigns on behalf of the agency and, if so, who will be involved in the design and messaging of those campaigns?
• What role and authority, if any, will the Friends have for developing and implementing programs?
• How are the annual goals and work plans defined?

These and other questions will need to be addressed when the property becomes a Texas Historical Commission State Historic Site.
PROPOSED PHASING

The following are proposed steps required for the property to transition to a Texas Historical Commission State Historic Site:

Phase I

- Texas Historical Commission approves the acceptance of the site for inclusion as a Texas Historical Commission State Historic Site.
- If approved, Texas Historical Commission’s Historic Sites staff will work with the Attorney General’s Office on the legal documents to prepare for the property transfer from the George W. Bush Childhood Home, Inc. to the Texas Historical Commission.
- In the interim, but prior to the next legislative session, staff will work with the George W. Bush Childhood Home, Inc. on a management agreement and detail cost sharing to address maintenance and operational issues.
- The Texas Historical Commission’s Historic Sites will work to have the property rebranded and work to install new signage and collateral material.
- A FTE will be assigned to the property to work through operational issues and coordinate the preparation of an operational, exhibit, marketing and business plan for the property.
- Texas Historical Commission will prepare all required budget documents to request operational and capital funds for the property for the next legislative session.
- Historic Sites staff will work with the George W. Bush Childhood Home, Inc. to plan for a transition to a Friends Group.
- Historic Sites staff will initiate local focus group discussions on updating exhibits and interpretation on site.
- Transfer of the property to the State’s inventory of property.

Phase II

- If funds are appropriated by the legislature, hire additional staff (FTE) as assigned.
- Finalize operational, exhibit, marketing and business plan.
- Deferred maintenance addressed.
- Design and bid exhibit and capital work as approved with appropriated funding.
- Build partnership base with local private and public organizations including the local State Parks and cultural institutions.

Phase III

- GWBCH staff are in place and serving the community and general public needs.
- Continue to build business operations and programming.
- Capital investment to buildings, exhibits, and infrastructure completed with appropriated funding.
- Establish a capital campaign scope for site improvements if needed.
ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION

As put forward in THC rules (Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16 Rule §16.3), consideration for accepting a historic property for development as a Texas Historical Commission historic site must be accomplished through addressing the specific criteria listed below.

(1) The property must have recognized statewide or national significance based on the standards of the National Register of Historic Places.

Conclusion: The George W. Bush Childhood Home was listed in the National Register of Historic Places in 2004 under Criterion B for its association with the lives of significant persons in politics and government on both the state and national level, primarily George W. Bush, 43rd President of the United States and Governor of Texas. The period of significance of the property aligned with the time George W. Bush lived in the Midland, Texas home with his family, which also included the 41st President of the United States George H.W. Bush and First Lady Barbara Pierce Bush, and Florida Governor John Ellis “Jeb” Bush.

(2) The property should be able to provide interpretation of a significant theme or event of Texas history that is not fully represented by the Commission’s existing historic sites or other historic sites accessible to the public. The Commission will strive to maintain a geographic, cultural, and thematic balance in its program.

Conclusion: The GWBCH provides an opportunity for the THC to tell a more complete story of Texas’ significant influence on the political life of the nation, particularly in the later 20th century. This would expand the story told at the Eisenhower Birthplace and the Sam Rayburn House State Historic Sites, which focus on the first half of the century. The GWBCH would not only provide a state historic site in a currently unrepresented era but also an underrepresented area of the state.

(3) The property should have exceptional integrity of location (including surrounding environment), design, material, setting, feeling, and association.
Conclusion: The integrity of the GWBCH is generally good. The house has not been significantly altered and what alterations were made were reversed in selective demolition and restoration efforts. The exterior features of other properties on the GWBCH campus retain much of their mid-20th century character, thereby lending authenticity to the cultural landscape of the historic GWBCH.

(4) The property should have appropriate collections (objects, manuscript material, artifacts) associated with the historic site or necessary artifacts related to the site’s history and period of significance should be identified and available.

Conclusion: There is no archival material that is site provenanced, however, the site is inherently linked to several repositories of original materials including the George H.W. Bush Library and Museum in College Station, Texas and the George W. Bush Presidential Center in Dallas, Texas. With one exception, furnishings in the historic GWBCH are not family provenanced, however, given the later period of the site, it may be possible to cultivate artifact donations from the family in the future.

(5) The property must be appropriate for use as an interpretive museum or historic site, have high potential to attract and accommodate diverse and new audiences, and be accessible to travelers as well as to the local community.

Conclusion: The GWBCH already functions as a historic site with a visitor center, interpretive tours, exhibits, and educational programming operated by the George W. Bush Childhood Home, Inc. The site’s location in Midland places it in a growing city along Interstate 20 halfway between Fort Worth and El Paso with an international airport, and active tourist destinations. Annual visitation prior to March 2020 was approximately 6,000. With enhanced marketing, expanded programming, and improvements to the visitor experience, this number has the potential to be significantly higher and reach more diverse and new audiences.

(6) The property must be available without restrictions that would limit the Commission’s options for preservation and interpretation as a historic site (for example, a life estate retained by the grantor, restrictions against future sale or conveyance, or limits on alterations deemed
appropriate by Commission). The Commission encourages the use of easements or other restrictions to ensure the preservation of historic sites.

**Conclusion:** The GWBCH is owned by the George W. Bush Childhood Home, Inc. The board has indicated it will transfer the site to the THC without restrictions (see “Statement of Willingness to Transfer”).

(7) **Financial resources must be available or assured, including an endowment fund where appropriate, or sources of funding must be identified in a comprehensive funding plan to ensure the restoration, interpretation, development, long-term operation and preservation of the site.**

**Conclusion:** Operation of the GWBCH as a state historic site would require a commitment from the state for dedicated full-time employees and operating costs to be added to THC’s annual base operating budget. Additional one-time funds would also be necessary for needed repairs and improvements to the site.

(8) **The property must have the potential for strong supporting partnerships including community support.**

**Conclusion:** The current Board of Directors for the George W. Bush Childhood Home, Inc. have indicated their desire to continue to support the GWBCH if it becomes a state historic site, perhaps becoming the foundation of a Friends group for the site. There are existing and potential partnership opportunities with institutions in the area such as the Midland College Legacy Program, the Texas Pecos Trail, the Museum of the Southwest, the Permian Basin Petroleum Museum, the Midland Historical Society, the Midland Army Air Field Museum, and the Haley Memorial Library & History Center as well as the George W. Bush Presidential Center in Dallas and the George H.W. Bush Presidential Library & Museum in College Station.
Recommendation
The George W. Bush Childhood Home is an important and historically significant site that can contribute to the public’s understanding of Texas’ significant influence on the political life of the nation, particularly in the later 20\textsuperscript{th} century. The GWBCH appears to meet all the Phase I and II assessment criteria to become a potential THC state historic site. As such, it is the recommendation of the staff and the review committee that the Commission accept the property as a State Historic Site.
APPENDIX A: REVIEW COMMITTEE RESPONSES

MELISSA HAGINS
TEXAS PECOS TRAIL, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Evaluation Panel Review Questions

1. Does the property fit within a property type that illustrates the broad history of Texas or is not presently interpreted by the Texas Historical Commission? YES this site is unlike any others the THC currently has. It is unique in the fact that 2 Governors, 2 Presidents and a First Lady lived in this home.

2. Does the property enhance, expand, or add to the broader interpretive mission of the Texas Historical Commission? YES. This home will tell the visitors what it was like living in an oil town in the 1950’s.

3. Is the property associated with people or events that have made a significant contribution to Texas history and is important in the following areas: YES. The family that lived in this home are important to the history of Texas and the US.
   • The connection of the property with persons significant in history; and/or
   • The property has distinctive characteristics of a type, period, method of construction, or artisan; and/or
   • The property has geographic importance.

4. Is the site’s story important in the educational curricula of Texas’s schools? YES. This home will tell the story of growing up in the 1950’s in a town that was beginning to boom because of the oil business in the Permian Basin.

5. Is there a general public interest in the site’s history? This home has had visitors from over 80 different countries and all 50 states. People make a trip to Midland just to visit this home.

6. Does the property possess the features and characteristics that make it marketable and able to generate earned revenue support through admissions, rentals, and store revenues? This site has many great qualities already but has the potential to become more with the right future outlook.

7. What market and location challenges and opportunities exist to increase public visitation or use? This site is land locked but has the potential to become improved. With the funding the story of the family can be shared and more stories told of the area and the history.

8. What local economic issues will influence the site’s business? The only issues that I foresee would be the downturn in oil and the costs of goods increasing.

9. Can the site position itself to be a regionally important destination? Absolutely.

10. What opportunities exist to establish an effective Friends support organization? Midland is a very philanthropic community that has many boards of non-profit organizations that would help to make this site grow.
11. Is there community support or interest in the property to help build a strong partnership and donor support? YES

12. Is the proposed annual operating budget as presented in the Phase I assessment report adequate? YES

13. Is the plan set out in the Phase I Assessment for the property realistic based on local economic factors? YES

14. Are there other opportunities or issues that you foresee for the property? The building was originally built in 1939. There will be upkeep that will need to continue to keep it in great shape with the climate in west Texas.
KATHY SHANNON
PETROLEUM MUSEUM, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Evaluation Panel Review Questions

1. Does the property fit within a property type that illustrates the broad history of Texas or is not presently interpreted by the Texas Historical Commission?

   Yes, this property was the home of two presidents with deep roots in West Texas. It would be a unique property for the Commission to restore, interpret and preserve.

2. Does the property enhance, expand, or add to the broader interpretive mission of the Texas Historical Commission?

   Yes, this childhood home of two presidents would certainly enhance the mission of the THC with its unique location and history. The THC is not widely understood in this community and the addition of this home would enhance the Commission’s presence in the Permian Basin.

3. Is the property associated with people or events that have made a significant contribution to Texas history and is important in the following areas:
   a. The connection of the property with persons significant in history; and/or
   b. The property has distinctive characteristics of a type, period, method of construction, or artisan; and/or
   c. The property has geographic importance.

   This GWBCH is significant because of its association with the Bush family. The Bush family includes a Texas governor and two presidents. The home being located in Midland, Texas is also an opportunity to showcase the hard-working people who came to this West Texas town in search of a better life. The Permian Basin has been a significant area in the search for oil and gas for almost 100 years. The stories of the people who settled here are significant to the area and to Texas as a leader in the petroleum industry, which would influence both of the Bush leaders.

4. Is the site’s story important in the educational curricula of Texas’s schools?

   Yes, it is important to teach students about service to our country and leadership. The Bush family exemplified these qualities. Students also benefit from learning the history of this area and the people who impacted it.

5. Is there a general public interest in the site’s history?

   I believe there is great interest in the Bush family. Few families have impacted American politics like the Bush family.

6. Does the property possess the features and characteristics that make it marketable and able to generate earned revenue support through admissions, rentals and store revenues?

   I do believe that the GWHCH has the ability to generate income. Although the local school district has not historically been very supportive of field trips, school districts from all over West Texas do believe field trips to be valuable to students. Store income and rentals can also be attained for the home.
7. What market and location challenges and opportunities exist to increase public visitation or use?

Location of the home is somewhat hidden, tucked into an old Midland neighborhood making that a challenge. But the story the home can tell is unique, creating opportunities for both students and visitors. With two generations of stories, from WW II to 9-11, the home has a rich and exciting history.

8. What local economic issues will influence the site’s business?

Midland and the Permian Basin have always existed in a “boom/bust” cycle. Our economy is very much impacted by the petroleum industry. But, with the amazing technology developed by the industry, and the need for petroleum reaching far into the future, this cycle should be less impactful to our community and our future, stabilizing our economy.

9. Can the site position itself to be a regionally important destination?

Although Midland will never be a destination, it can be an exciting regional one. With the combination of new hotels and restaurants, sites like this historic home are a great asset to our community. With its proximity to downtown Midland, the GWBCH is an important part of the fabric of Midland.

10. What opportunities exist to establish an effective Friends support organization?

Midlanders have always given back to their community. I believe with an expanded story, the home could increase its support.

11. Is there community support or interest in the property to help build a strong partnership and donor support?

Yes, I do believe that Midland is very willing to support the home and believe that this is a story that we all need to assure continues to be told. The patriotism and love for this country is an important part of this story and one that each of us needs to be reminded of on a regular basis.

12. Is the proposed annual operating budget as presented in the Phase I Assessment Report adequate?

I would be concerned that it will take more than $603,500 annually to operate the home. We all know that older homes require constant maintenance. Utilities in Midland continue to escalate and constant updating of telecommunications, both hardware and software is expensive. Gift Store merchandise is only allotted $1,000 per month which, even with 100% mark-up, would only generate $2,000 per month, contributing only $24,000 to the annual budget. An increase in rentals and programs will also necessitate an increase of staff to provide the quality of experience one would expect from GWBCH.

13. Is the plan set out in the Phase I Assessment for the property realistic based on local economic factors?

Yes, I do believe the plan set out in the assessment for the home is very realistic. Of course, construction costs are rising, so those numbers will be relevant for a short amount of time.

14. Are there other opportunities or issues that you foresee for the property?
I think it is a tough time for historic homes everywhere as they are trying to remain relevant. But I do believe that an expansion of the interpretive efforts can be very rewarding, understanding that more staff will be needed to provide new programs, events and rentals. Both Midland and Odessa are expecting continued growth for many years to come and I believe that is a wonderful opportunity for the home. If online learning could be added to the programs offered, that could also enhance both the opportunity to tell this historical story, but also increase awareness of the site. The combination of tours, on-site programming and online programming would increase the home’s value to both Midland and area students.
Evaluation Panel Review Questions

1. Does the property fit within a property type that illustrates the broad history of Texas or is not presently interpreted by the Texas Historical Commission?

   The story of the Bush family’s Texas ties seventy years ago began in Midland. As the report notes, early twentieth century political history is well documented in THC sites the Sam Rayburn Home and the Eisenhower Birthplace. The National Park Service of course, interprets mid-20th century political history by preserving sites related to Lyndon B. Johnson. The GWBBH would fill in a need to tell the story of a political family in the mid to late-20th century. There are also broader opportunities to interpret mid-century middle class life in West Texas; how the oil and gas industry changed the region; post-war growth of Texas; and Mexican-American communities and influences in the region.

2. Does the property enhance, expand, or add to the broader interpretive mission of the Texas Historical Commission?

   I would attest all three. It certainly adds to and expands the broader interpretive mission of the THC for reasons stated above.

3. Is the property associated with people or events that have made a significant contribution to Texas history and is important in the following areas:
   a. The connection of the property with persons significant in history; and/or
   b. The property has distinctive characteristics of a type, period, method of construction, or artisan; and/or
   c. The property has geographic importance.

   The property certainly qualifies for association with three nationally significant persons (both Presidents Bush and Mrs. Barbara Bush. The geographic importance is tied to the Bush family’s reasons to come to Texas (the oil and gas industry) and the structure itself is a well-preserved example of middle-class homes in the mid-twentieth century.

4. Is the site’s story important in the educational curricula of Texas’s schools?

   Yes.

5. Is there a general public interest in the site’s history?

   Yes. Particularly because most Texans are familiar with and recognize the Bush family and its ties to Texas. It is recent.

6. Does the property possess the features and characteristics that make it marketable and able to generate earned revenue support through admissions, rentals, and store revenues?

   Yes.

7. What market and location challenges and opportunities exist to increase public visitation or use?

   The challenge is the site’s location, which is somewhat remote. Opportunities exist as the site already has a very strong Friends support group. Other opportunities exist in that both President and Mrs. Bush are still living and could possibly participate in programming and events.

8. What local economic issues will influence the site’s business?

   Hard to say, but certainly fluctuations in the oil and gas industry have always affected the economy of the region.
9. Can the site position itself to be a regionally important destination?
   Yes.

10. What opportunities exist to establish an effective Friends support organization?
    The current friends group seems to have done a remarkable job at restoring and caring for the property.

11. Is there community support or interest in the property to help build a strong partnership and donor support?
    As I am not personally knowledgeable about the local community, I could not ascertain that, BUT, as I stated above, it appears that there is a strong Friends support group which is certainly the foundation for other community-wide partnerships.

12. Is the proposed annual operating budget as presented in the Phase I assessment report adequate?
    Yes

13. Is the plan set out in the Phase I Assessment for the property realistic based on local economic factors?
    Yes

14. Are there other opportunities or issues that you foresee for the property?
    I don’t see any issues, but there are numerous opportunities for educational programming and community events at the site.
APPENDIX B: PROGRAM PLANNING DOCUMENT

March 2022

Staff Building:

Provide five offices for staff (some will have to be shared):

- Site Manager
- Educator
- Outreach Coordinator
- Maintenance Supervisor
- Administrator

Provide meeting space for the friends group board
Retain kitchen
Retain the larger bathroom and ensure that it is accessible
Provide shared storage (eliminate second bathroom?)

Visitor Center:

- Retail Space – size = Fulton + 15% = 475 square feet
- Retail storage 100 square feet
- Reception Desk that is a versatile workspace any staff person can staff while doing their work
- Exhibit gallery – size = Fulton = 850 square feet
- Program space, flexible – size = Fulton (excluding kitchen) = 800 square feet
- Reading program library space – 200 square feet
- Program storage 200 square feet
- Public restrooms (2 -3 seats each) 150 square feet x 2 = 300

SUBTOTAL: 2,925

Mechanical + circulation 15% = 440

TOTAL: 3,365

Maintenance Shop:

- Flammables storage cabinet
- Riding mower
- Mule
- Basic tools and shop space
- Restroom with shower
- No office
TAB 13.3
Consider filing authorization of the repeal of section 16.7 of the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, Historic Sites, related to Friends Organizations, for first publication and public comment in the *Texas Register*

**Background:**
The Texas Historical Commission proposes the repeal of section 16.7, TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, Historic Sites, related to Friends Organizations to efficiently amend and replace these procedures. The existing rule does not address legal requirements nor industry best practice standards for nonprofits that partner with historic sites. Currently those requirements are promulgated through a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between the THC, the historic site, and the nonprofit.

The purpose of the repeal is proposed to implement a significantly revised rule on the same subject. In a separate action (Item 16.4) the THC contemporaneously proposes a new section 16.7 relating to Supporting Nonprofit Partners which will replace the repealed section.

**Suggested Motion (Committee):**
Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of filing authorization of the repeal of section 16.7 of the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, Historic Sites, related to Friends Organizations, for first publication and public comment in the *Texas Register*.

**Suggested Motion (Commission):**
Move to approve the filing authorization of the repeal of section 16.7 of the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, Historic Sites, related to Friends Organizations, for first publication and public comment in the *Texas Register*.
Proposed Preamble Form for REPEAL of existing rules

The Texas Historical Commission (hereafter referred to as the “Commission”) proposes the repeal of Section 16.7 of TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, Historic Sites, relating to Friends Organizations.

This rule repeal is needed as part of the Commission’s overall effort to clarify language in order to implement a significantly revised rule on the same subject. In a separate action (Item 16.4) the THC contemporaneously proposes a new section 16.7 relating to Supporting Nonprofit Partners which will replace the repealed section.

The proposed new rule will include minimum standards to include in a Memorandum of Agreement between supporting nonprofits to historic sites, the historic site, and THC. The standards are based on current IRS and Secretary of State requirements for nonprofits, as well as industry best practices to achieve public transparency. The new rule provides criteria to evaluate the efficacy of partnerships between supporting nonprofit partners and historic sites, to ensure legal compliance of supporting nonprofit partners, and to establish practices that facilitate mission alignment between the historic site and the supporting nonprofit.

FISCAL NOTE. There will be no fiscal impact. Mark Wolfe, Executive Director, has determined that for the first five-year period the repealed rule is in effect there will be no fiscal implications for state or local governments as a result of enforcing or administering the rules, as proposed.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/COST NOTE. The benefit to the public will be achieved by providing an improved and enhanced structured approach in establishing formal partnerships between supporting nonprofits and State Historic Sites. The proposed new rule will ensure that partnerships between supporting nonprofits and historic sites are efficient, effective, publicly transparent, and maintain legal legitimacy. Mr. Wolfe has also determined that for each year of the first five-year period the amended rules are in effect, the public benefit will be a clearer set of criteria to evaluate the efficacy of partnerships between supporting nonprofit partners and historic sites, to ensure legal compliance of supporting nonprofit partners, and to establish practices that facilitate mission alignment between the historic site and the supporting nonprofit.

ECONOMIC COSTS TO PERSONS AND IMPACT ON LOCAL EMPLOYMENT. There are no anticipated economic costs to persons who are required to comply with the new rule as proposed. There is no effect on the local economy for the first five years that the proposed new rule
is in effect; therefore, no local employment impact statement is required under Texas Government Code, §2001.022 and 2001.024(a)(6).

COSTS TO REGULATED PERSONS. The proposed new rule does not impose a cost on regulated persons or entities; therefore, they are not subject to Texas Government Code, §2001.0045.

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT AND REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS FOR SMALL BUSINESSES, MICROBUSINESSES, AND RURAL COMMUNITIES. The proposed new rule provides an opportunity for the historic sites division to assess efficacy of partnerships between nonprofit supporting partners and State Historic Sites. There is no anticipated economic impact of this new rule. Mr. Wolfe has also determined that there will be no negative impact on rural communities, small or micro-businesses because of implementing this new rule and therefore no regulatory flexibility analysis, as specified in Texas Government Code § 2006.002, is required. There are no anticipated economic costs to the public in compliance with this new rule, as proposed.

GOVERNMENT GROWTH IMPACT STATEMENT. During the first five years that the new rule would be in effect, the new rule: will not create or eliminate a government program; will not result in the addition or reduction of employees; will not require an increase or decrease in future legislative appropriations; will not lead to an increase or decrease in fees paid to a state agency; will not create a new regulation; will not repeal an existing regulation; and will not result in an increase or decrease in the number of individuals subject to the rule. During the first five years that the new rule would be in effect, the rule will not positively or adversely affect the Texas economy.

TAKINGS IMPACT ASSESSMENT. THC has determined that no private real property interests are affected by this proposal and the proposal does not restrict or limit an owner’s right to his or her property that would otherwise exist in the absence of government action and, therefore, does not constitute a taking under Texas Government Code § 2007.043.

REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. Comments on the proposes new rule may be submitted to Joseph Bell, Deputy Executive Director of Historic Sites, Texas Historical Commission, P.O. Box 12276, Austin, Texas 78711. Comments will be accepted for 30 days after publication in the Texas Register.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY. This new rule is proposed under the authority of Texas Government Code § 442.005(q), which provides the Commission with the authority to promulgate rules to reasonably affect the purposes of the Commission; Texas Government Code §§ 442.0055 Affiliated Non-Profit Organizations; Rules; Guidelines; and 442.0052, Volunteer Services.

CROSS REFERENCE TO STATUTE. No other statutes, articles, or codes are affected by this new rule.
(a) The Executive Director or his/her designee of each historic site shall work with members of the public to establish and maintain an affiliated non-profit organization, or "Friends of the Historic Site" to support each historic site.

(b) The relationship between the Commission, the historic site, and the affiliated non-profit organization is governed by this section and §11.9 of this title (relating to Donations and Relationship with Affiliated Non-Profit Organizations).

(c) Only organizations that have executed an agreement with the Commission will be entitled to free admission or joint sponsorship of Historic Site events.
TAB 13.4
Consider filing authorization of new section 16.7, of the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, related to Historic Sites, Supporting Nonprofit Partners, for first publication and public comment in the Texas Register

Background:
The Texas Historical Commission proposes new rule section 16.7 of Chapter 16 of the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2 related to supporting nonprofit organizations created for the support of individual state historic sites.

The new rule being considered is intended to replace the one repealed as shown in item 16.3. The proposed rule establishes minimum criteria for the terms of the Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between the THC, the historic site, and the nonprofit, reflecting IRS and Secretary of State law for nonprofits, as well as standards for transparency and mission alignment between the historic site and supporting nonprofit.

Suggested Motion (Committee):
Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of new section 16.7 of the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, related to Historic Sites, Supporting Nonprofit Partners, for first publication and public comment in the Texas Register

Suggested Motion (Commission):
Move to approve new section 16.7 of the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, related to Historic Sites, Supporting Nonprofit Partners, for first publication and public comment in the Texas Register
Texas Administrative Code  
Title 13  Cultural Resources  
Part 2  Texas Historical Commission  
Chapter 16  Historic Sites  
Rule §16.7  Supporting Nonprofits Partners  

Preamble Form for Proposed New Rule

The Texas Historical Commission (THC) proposes of new section 16.7, TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 16, Historic Sites, relating to Supporting Nonprofit Partners. In a separate action (item 16.3) the THC contemporaneously proposed repeal of section 16.7 relating to Friends Organizations, which this new section will replace.

Repeal of the section is proposed under Section 442.005 (q) Title 4 Subtitle D of the Texas Government Code, which provides the Texas Historical Commission with the authority to promulgate rules and conditions to reasonably effect the purposes of this chapter.

The purpose of the repeal is proposed to implement a significantly revised rule on the same subject.

No other statutes, articles, or codes are affected by this repeal.

FISCAL NOTE. Mark Wolfe, Executive Director, has determined that for the first five-year period the repeal of the rule is in effect there will be no fiscal implications for state or local governments.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/COST NOTE. Mr. Wolfe has also determined that for each year of the first five-year period the repeal of the rule is in effect, the public benefit will be a more clearly defined procedure to be followed by partner organizations.

ECONOMIC COSTS TO PERSONS AND IMPACT ON LOCAL EMPLOYMENT. There is no effect on the local economy for the first five-year period the repeal of the rule is in effect; therefore, no local employment impact statement is required under Texas Government Code, §2001.022 and 2001.024(a)(6).

COSTS TO REGULATED PERSONS. The proposed new rule does not impose a cost on regulated persons or entities; therefore, they are not subject to Texas Government Code, §2001.0045.

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT AND REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS FOR SMALL BUSINESSES, MICROBUSINESSES, AND RURAL COMMUNITIES. Mr. Wolfe has also determined that there will be no negative impact on rural communities, small or micro-businesses because of the new rule and therefore no regulatory flexibility analysis, as specified in Texas Government Code § 2006.002, is required.
GOVERNMENT GROWTH IMPACT STATEMENT. During the first five years that the new rule would be in effect will not create or eliminate a government program; will not result in the addition or reduction of employees; will not require an increase or decrease in future legislative appropriations; will not lead to an increase or decrease in fees paid to a state agency; will not create a new regulation; will not repeal an existing regulation; and will not result in an increase or decrease in the number of individuals subject to the rule. During the first five years that the new rule would be in effect, will not positively or adversely affect the Texas economy.

TAKINGS IMPACT ASSESSMENT. THC has determined that no private real property interests are affected by this proposal and the proposal does not restrict or limit an owner’s right to his or her property that would otherwise exist in the absence of government action and, therefore, does not constitute a taking under Texas Government Code § 2007.043.

REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. Comments on the proposal may be submitted to Joseph Bell, Deputy Executive Director of Historic Sites, Texas Historical Commission, P.O. Box 12276, Austin, Texas 78711. Comments will be accepted for 30 days after publication in the Texas Register.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY. This new rule is proposed under the authority of Texas Government Code§ 442.005(q), which provides the Commission with the authority to promulgate rules to reasonably affect the purposes of the Commission; Texas Government Code §§ 442.0055 Affiliated Non-Profit Organizations; Rules; Guidelines; and 442.0052, Volunteer Services.

CROSS REFERENCE TO STATUTE. No other statutes, articles, or codes are affected by this new rule.

The Commission hereby certifies that the repeal of the rule has been reviewed by legal counsel and found to be a valid exercise of the agency’s authority.
(a) The Deputy Executive Director for State Historic Sites or that person’s designee shall work with members of the public to establish and maintain Supporting Nonprofit Partners (SNPs) to assist the Texas Historical Commission (THC) in carrying out its mission through the preservation of, and programming at, State Historic Sites as appropriate to each site.

(b) To be considered a SNP pursuant to this section, an entity must:

1. Either receive a 501c3 designation from the Internal Revenue Service and be incorporated in accordance with the Texas Nonprofit Corporation Act (Business Organizations Code, Chapter 22), or be fiscally sponsored by the Friends of the Texas Historical Commission (FTHC); and

2. Within 60 days of receiving an official 501c3 designation or notice of fiscal sponsorship by the FTHC, enter into a Memorandum of Agreement with the THC, which agreement will detail the duties and responsibilities of both parties.

(c) The SNP will promptly notify THC of any change to its legal or tax-exempt status.

(d) If a SNP ceases to exist, any funds raised for the benefit of the State Historic Site will be paid to THC or to the FTHC for use at that State Historic Site.

(e) SNPs subject to these provisions:

1. Will not hold or obligate THC funds.

2. Will comply with all applicable rules, regulations, and laws, regarding discrimination based on race, color, national origin, sex, age, and disability.

3. Will not use or permit the use of THC’s intellectual property without the express written agreement of THC, including trademarks, logos, names and seals.

4. Will not employ a THC employee in a paid position or provide compensation or any direct personal benefit to a THC employee.

5. May use equipment, facilities, or services of employees of THC as long as such use follows a written agreement that provides for the payment of adequate compensation or identifies the way in which such use will benefit THC.

6. Will prepare and send to the appropriate THC Site Manager and to the THC Community Engagement Coordinator an annual report including a list of the primary activities undertaken during the previous year, a summary of significant achievements and challenges over the
previous year, and other information requested by the THC, and an annual plan of activities proposed for the following year, also making said report and plan publicly available.

(7) Will complete their annual IRS 990, 990-EZ, 990-N, or 990-PF, depending on the amount of their income and type of nonprofit status, and provide THC with proof of IRS receipt. They will also make the IRS 990 available to the general public, upon request, regardless of whether or not a SNP is required to file an IRS 990 with the IRS.

(8) Will file their articles of incorporation, by-laws, most recent financial statements, and any updates to these documents with THC. These documents will be made available to the public upon request.

(9) Will not engage in activities that would require it or a person acting on its behalf to register as a lobbyist under Texas law, Texas Government Code, Chapter 305. However, SNPs may provide information to the legislature or to other elected or appointed officials.

(10) Will not donate funds to a political campaign or endorse a political candidate.

(11) Will notify the THC Site Manager of all meetings and allow a THC representative to attend all meetings. This includes, but is not limited to, meetings of its general membership, managing board, and committees. The Site Manager must be notified by letter, email, or telephone sufficiently in advance of the meeting to allow the THC representative to attend. A SNP should also notify other SNPs associated with the property, facility, or program of all meetings and allow a representative to attend.

(12) Will raise funds in support of their associated sites only for the specific purposes authorized in writing in advance by THC.

(13) Will undertake programs that support THC’s mission as agreed to in writing in advance by THC.

(14) Will decline donations that require particular action to be taken by THC unless agreed to in writing in advance by THC.

(15) Will account for all funds acquired by using Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

(16) Will use all donations received to benefit the facility, property, or program with which the SNP is associated or further the SNP’s mission related to the facility, property, or program, including donations to defray operating costs.

(17) May make unrestricted cash donations to THC, which THC may choose to designate for use for a specific project or program.

(f) The officers and directors of an SNP subject to these provisions:

(1) Will adopt and maintain a conflict-of-interest policy. This policy must include safeguards to prevent board members or their families from benefiting financially from any business decision of the SNP.

(2) Will ensure that any compensation paid to executives or managers is reasonable.

(3) Will hold at least two regular meetings of the Board of Directors annually.
(4) Will ensure that each board member and/or director is duly informed of the SNP's activities, and will provide new board members with the following:

a. A copy of the SNP's articles of incorporation and by-laws.

b. A copy of the SNP's most recent financial statements.

c. A copy of the THC's administrative rules on SNPs and sponsorship.

d. A copy of any current agreements between the SNP and THC.

(g) The following provisions shall govern an SNP's ability to ask for and accept sponsorships for their, or THC's projects and programs:

(1) For purposes of this section, a sponsorship is the payment of money, transfer of property, or performance of services in which there is no expectation of any substantial return benefit other than recognition or a non-substantial benefit.

(2) All sponsorship requests must have prior written approval of the THC Site Manager.

(3) All statewide sponsorships and their recognition must have prior written approval from the THC Executive Director.

(4) All local sponsorships and their recognition must have prior written approval from the THC Site Manager whose area of responsibility includes the facility, property or program to be supported by the local sponsorship.

(5) SNPs may not ask for or accept sponsorships from a person or entity in litigation with THC or determined by the THC to conflict with THC's mission or legislative mandates.

(6) Recognition for sponsors:

a. Is allowed only in the context of the particular THC program that the sponsor has supported with a financial or in-kind contribution.

b. Is allowed only if the contribution is greater than the cost of recognition.

c. Will not be in the form of signage on motor vehicles or trailers owned by the state that were purchased or maintained with THC funds.

d. Will not overshadow the project, purposes of the project, mission or branding of THC.

(7) In determining the type of recognition appropriate in each case, THC will consider:

a. The level of contribution in terms of percentage of funds required to complete the program, event, or material.

b. The level of contribution related to total sponsorship dollars received.

c. The scope of exposure (for example statewide, regional, local, or a single location).

d. The duration of exposure (for example one day, one month, one year).
e. The sponsor's name or logo and a reference to the sponsor's location may be broadcast or displayed. However, the recognition may not promote the sponsor's products, services, or facilities.

f. THC officers and employees may not act as the agent for any SNP or donor in negotiating the terms or conditions of any agreement related to the donation of funds, services, or property to THC by the SNP or donor.

(h) THC shall maintain a list of SNPs, which shall be made available to the public upon request.

(i) THC will not hold or obligate funds or property belonging to an SNP.

(j) THC may develop model policies and procedures for use by SNPs.

(k) THC will provide a liaison to serve as a resource to SNPs and to administer the terms of an SNP’s Memorandum of Agreement with THC.

(l) THC employees may serve as non-voting members of the board of an SNP only in an ex-officio capacity.
HISTORY PROGRAMS
AGENDA
HISTORY PROGRAMS COMMITTEE
Alamo Complex
Alamo Hall
300 Alamo Plaza
San Antonio, TX 78205
April 28th, 2022
1:00 p.m.

This meeting of the THC History Programs Committee has been properly posted with the Secretary of State’s Office according to the provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Chapter 551, Texas Government Code. The members may discuss and/or take action on any of the items listed in the agenda.

1. Call to Order — Committee Chair White
   A. Committee member introductions
   B. Establish quorum
   C. Recognize and/or excuse absences
2. Consider approval of the February 2, 2022 committee meeting minutes
3. Certification of Historic Texas Cemetery Designations (item 6.2)
4. Consider approval of text for Official Texas Historical Markers (item 6.3)
5. Consider approval of price changes for Official Texas Historical Markers (item 14.2)
6. Consider approval of filing authorization of an amendment to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Section 21.12, related to marker text requests, for first publication in the Texas Register (item 14.3)
7. History Programs Division update and committee discussion — Division Director Charles Sadnick
8. Adjournment

NOTICE OF ASSISTANCE AT PUBLIC MEETINGS: Persons with disabilities who plan to attend this meeting and who may need auxiliary aids or services such as interpreters for persons who are deaf or hearing impaired, readers, large print or Braille, are requested to contact Esther Brickley at (512) 463-5768 at least four (4) business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.
1. Call to Order

The meeting of the Texas Historical Commission (THC) History Programs Committee was called to order by Chair Daisy White at 2:18 p.m. She announced the meeting had been posted to the Texas Register, was being held in conformance with the Texas Open Meetings Act, Texas Government Code, Chapter 551, and that notice had been properly posted with the Secretary of State’s Office as required.

A. Committee member introductions

Chair White welcomed everyone and called on commissioners to individually state their names and the cities in which they reside. Members in attendance included Commissioners Donna Bahorich, Monica Burdette, Lilia Garcia, and Laurie Limbacher.

B. Establish quorum

Chair White reported a quorum was present and declared the meeting open.

C. Recognize and/or excuse absences

Commissioner Bahorich moved, Commissioner Burdette seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to excuse the absence of Commissioners Renee Dutia and Tom Perini.

2. Consider approval of the October 28, 2021 committee meeting minutes

Commissioner Bahorich moved, Commissioner Garcia seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to approve the October 28, 2021 History Programs Committee meeting minutes.

3. Certification of Historic Texas Cemetery Designations (item 8.2)

History Programs Division (HPD) Director Charles Sadnick explained that Historic Texas Cemetery (HTC) designation helps cemeteries that are at least 50 years old by recording cemetery boundaries in county deed records, which alerts present and future owners of land adjacent to the cemetery of its existence. Sadnick
brought forth fifteen cemeteries and recommended that the committee send forward to the Commission to formally certify them as HTCs.

Commissioner Bahorich moved, Commissioner Burdette seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to recommend and send forward to the Commission to formally certify the designations as Historic Texas Cemeteries.

4. **Consider approval of text for Official Texas Historical Markers (item 8.3)**

Sadnick brought twenty-six marker inscriptions before the committee for approval. Out of the twenty-six markers, two of the inscriptions are Historical Texas Cemetery (HTC) markers and one is for a replacement marker. He thanked the Commissioners for reviewing the texts and stated that staff would wait a few days for their suggested revisions before sending the marker inscriptions to the foundry for casting.

Commissioner Burdette moved, Commissioner Limbacher seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of the final form and text of twenty-six (26) Official Texas Historical Markers with delegation authority to the Executive Director of the Texas Historical Commission, working with the Commission chair, to resolve minor textual issues arising after Commission approval.

5. **Report on 2021 Official Texas Historical Markers and Undertold Markers (item 16.2)**

Sadnick brought fifteen recommendations to the committee for review for this year’s undertold marker topics for discussion. The application cycle ran from November 1st through December 15th of last year and 64 applications from 45 different counties were received. An interdisciplinary review team of THC staff reviewed and scored the topics and met to come to a consensus as to which would be recommended.

Next, Sadnick brought one marker cancellation from the 2020 marker application period for 1921 Young County Jail. Though the building qualifies for a Recorded Texas Historic Landmark, it does not have the owner’s permission to be designated. It is eligible, however, for designation. No comments or objections were made by the Commissioners.

6. **Consider approval of marker text requests**

   A. **“Karankawa Campsite,” Galveston County (item 16.3A)**

Sadnick brought forward a marker review request for the Karankawa Campsite on the accuracy of the statement that mentions the tribe’s “extinction.” Staff worked with the Archeology Division to review this request and noted that the statement is inaccurate.

Chair White moved, Commissioner Limbacher seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of the request for a new historical marker through the marker text request process for Karankawa Campsite, Galveston County, as evidence supports that the marker text includes a statement that is historically inaccurate.

   B. **“Cementerio San Antonio de Padua,” Aransas County (item 16.3B)**

Sadnick then brought forward a marker review request for the Cementerio San Antonio de Padua on the accuracy of the marker text. The request was unclear as to which text was inaccurate. Staff reached out to the Aransas County Historical Commission for more information, however, no response for clarity was given. Staff recommends reaching out to the requestor for more information.
Chair White moved, Commissioner Burdette seconded, and the commission voted unanimously to send forward to the Commission and recommend denying the request for a new historical marker through the marker text request process for Cementerio San Antonio de Padua, Aransas County, as evidence does not support that the marker text includes a statement that is historically inaccurate, and direct THC staff to contact applicant to clarify request and provide proper documentation.

7. **History Programs Division update and committee discussion**

Sadnick gave an update on the History Programs Division. Since the last quarterly meeting, Amy Hammons had previously moved to the Community Heritage Division in the Main Street program. Nano Calderon, who was working as the CHC Outreach Program Specialist, was promoted as the CHC Outreach Program Coordinator. Lynnette Cen, who was working as the Marker Program Specialist and Office Manager, was then moved to the CHC Outreach Program Specialist position.

At the January State Board of Review meeting, all nine nominations passed. These included the Bryan Federal Building and Post Office in Brazos County, St. Mark’s Methodist Church in Houston, and the Central Marfa Historic District. The next State Board of Review meeting will be in San Antonio in May.

The State Historic Preservation Plan held an online THC Identity and Vision workshop to hear feedback from the public on the state’s preservation needs. Sadnick thanked several commissioners who were able to attend the workshop. The Real Places Conference is also hosting a State Historic Preservation Plan workshop during the quarterly meeting. There was an in-person workshop planned to happen in Laredo last week, however due to COVID concerns in the city, it was postponed to March.

Finally, Sadnick reported that the THC mobile app should be completed by the end of spring. Staff are planning to create a fuller app by then, which may include additional resources such as museums, courthouses, historic sites and historical markers, Spanish language translation, trip planner and road-trip games. The THC mobile app will be travel focused but hopefully will include opportunities for education and preservation.

8. **Adjournment**

At 2:38 p.m., on the motion of the chair and without objection, the committee meeting was adjourned.
DIVISION HIGHLIGHTS
Highlights for the History Programs Division (HPD) during this quarter included continued public meetings for the Statewide Historic Preservation Plan and staff changes, with Lynnette Cen transitioning from her position as marker historian and HPD office manager to CHC outreach and Tricia Norton taking her place, joining from the Texas Railroad Commission.

COUNTY HISTORICAL COMMISSION (CHC) OUTREACH
As of March 21, 175 annual reports have been submitted by CHCs. The deadline for reporting has passed, but staff will accept late submissions until April 22. CHC Outreach Coordinator Nano Calderon led the pre-conference workshop “Making Meaning: An Introduction to Interpretation” at Real Places with Museum Services staff. Future interpretation workshops will be held in Belton, San Felipe de Austin State Historic Site (SHS), and Clifton. Calderon attended the statewide plan meetings in Nacogdoches and Laredo. Cen joined the CHC Outreach program on Jan. 15 and began processing annual reports, working on general correspondence, and continuing with some duties from her previous position while training her replacement.

HISTORICAL MARKERS
Marker staff continues to draft inscriptions for topics approved through the regular and undertold application periods. The 2022 regular application window opened on March 1 and will close on May 16. Staff is reviewing and evaluating new applications as they come in. In recent weeks, many emails and phone calls have been made to new CHC and marker chairs, answering questions about new applications and marker replacements and relocations. Staff coordinated several projects with the Division of Architecture regarding RTHL properties and Archeology Division regarding potential relocation of Centennial markers and development of a new undertold marker in Fort Bend County. Staff is also presenting a virtual event on May 12 through the Friends of the THC. Finally, staff researched and calculated proposed price increases to sponsors for all marker types, pending a likely increase in production costs that will take effect later this year.

MILITARY HISTORY
Military Sites Program Coordinator Stephen Cure continued to assist Historic Sites Division staff with image research, interpretive text drafting, and review of engineering plans for a Palmito Ranch Battlefield SHS viewing platform. He also worked with the American Battlefield Trust, local partners, and SHS staff for planning of Park Day 2022 (April 9) at the SHS and other sites. The draft manuscript submitted to Texas A&M Press for the World War I-themed book is currently being peer reviewed. Cure continues to work with National Park Service (NPS) staff at Vicksburg National Military Historical Park to facilitate repairs to the Texas monument using private funds. He attended both the Real Places Conference and TSHA Annual Meeting, where he had an opportunity to promote the Preservation Scholars Program. Cure and representatives from the General Land Office (GLO), Texas Tech University, and the National Museum of the Pacific War proposed a military history session for the 2023 TSHA Annual Meeting, which was accepted. He was also invited to assist with a military history workshop series sponsored by the GLO for this July. Cure continued working on digitization of items in the THC Oral History Collection.

MUSEUM SERVICES
Museum Services continued its collaboration with Nano Calderon to develop the aforementioned Real Places workshop, and has since scheduled three additional workshops for April and May. In February, Anjali Zutshi and Katie Cukerbaum joined Laura Casey and Emily Hermans for an online discussion group attended by 25 participants. Planning continued for collaborative workshops with the Texas Association of Museums (TAM) and funded by the Summerlee Foundation, with a kick-off held at the TAM conference in March. Museum Services and project partners, the Texas
Department of Transportation (TxDOT) and the Bullock Texas State History Museum, held a kick-off meeting with the Tribal advisory committee that is guiding development of TxDOT-funded workshops. Museum Services will host in FY 2023. The first webinar of 2022 was on March 29 and featured Friends Trustee Rowena Houghton Dasch, who explained how art can create new avenues for visitor attendance and engagement at historic sites and museums.

**FEDERAL PROGRAMS**

**National Register of Historic Places**

National Register (NR) staff members Gregory Smith, Alyssa Gerszewski, and Bonnie Wilson processed nine NR nominations for review at the January State Board of Review meeting. Approved nominations included those for the Chapel for the Children at the Austin State School, Parque Zaragoza (Austin), and the Central Marfa Historic District. The NPS approved 11 nominations, including those for the Gospel Lighthouse Church (Dallas), the Eldorado Ballroom (Houston), Stephen F. Austin School (Wharton), and two high school stadiums: Anderson Stadium (Austin) and Farrington Field (Fort Worth). Smith evaluated 13 federal tax credit projects and 15 state tax credit projects. Smith and Judy George-Garza finalized the schedule with the consultant team preparing nominations for properties receiving Hurricane Harvey grants.

**Review of Projects under Section 106 and the State Antiquities Code**

In February, Justin Kockritz and staff from the Community Heritage Development Division and TxDOT presented the “Understanding the Federal Review Process: CLGs and Beyond” workshop at Real Places, showing how local stakeholders can participate in the Section 106 consultation process. Kockritz joined staff from TxDOT for a site visit of historic properties that may be affected by the proposed I-35 reconstruction in Austin and met with the Federal Transit Administration and Capital Metro to discuss the results of the historic resources survey for the proposed Blue Line light rail project. Caitlin Brashear met with Fort Bliss and the City of El Paso regarding the city’s plan to lease a historic portion of the Beaumont General Hospital property. In February, she also traveled to Dallas for a site visit at the historic Veterans Affairs Medical Center in advance of proposed construction. Charles Peveto helped plan the “Grassroots Survey Efforts” session at Real Places, which was canceled due to weather; he is now arranging a future webinar as an alternative. In March, Peveto also attended a meeting with the San Antonio Housing Authority and the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development regarding early plans for the redevelopment of the Alazán Courts housing complex in West San Antonio.

**HISTORIC HIGHWAYS AND HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY**

Survey Coordinator Leslie Wolfenden continued to work with volunteers to gather information on resources listed in historic African American travel guides (i.e., Green Books). She also made locational corrections to the Atlas map for the Neighborhood Survey icons, participated in the Real Places session “Historic Resources Surveys Made Easy,” and distributed annual reminder letters to state agencies in February to report any additions or changes to historic-age state-owned buildings.

**CEMETERY PRESERVATION**

Program Coordinator Jenny McWilliams worked with the Friends on establishment of the Hughes Nelson Endowment, a bequeathment focusing on five Hill and Henderson County cemeteries, and is currently partnering locally to assess the cemeteries’ needs. Staff also continues to manage Terracon’s development of an educational series on disaster preparedness supported by HIM-ESHPF funds; dates for the remaining four disaster training webinars and four virtual stakeholder meetings have been set and are posted on the website. Staff also made other website updates, as Carlyn Hammons posted CHC recommendations for cemetery inventories and prioritizing county-wide cemetery needs and McWilliams updated information on common inquiries. Hammons also continues to process Historic Texas Cemetery applications, and McWilliams continues to assist partners with cemetery inventories.

**YOUTH EDUCATION**

During this quarter, lead educator Linda Miller expanded the collection of digital education resources through the development of online content delivered through the THC’s e-learning platform. Collaborative planning began with other agency divisions for the development and production of hands-on learning activity resources available for distribution to school and community audiences. In addition, supplemental strands of general Texas history curriculum resources and student-oriented agency publications are under development as the HPD education program seeks to address the continuing evolution of current learning environments and resource delivery needs.
Consider Approval of Price Changes for Official Texas Historical Markers

**Background:**
The prices which the Texas Historical Commission has charged sponsors to produce Official Texas Historical Markers have increased periodically (see attached table for price increases from FY 2002 to FY 2023). The THC has absorbed four price increases in nine years without passing along significant price differences to sponsors. The sponsor cost for most marker types in 2021 was only 5 percent more than in 2013. Over the same period, foundry production costs to us increased 50 percent on average, and will continue to increase. A fifth increase in production costs is proposed beginning on October 1, 2022. This will affect all new marker orders beginning with those topics being submitted in the current (March 1 – May 16, 2022) application period. On February 23rd, CHCs were notified that prices would be increasing.

**Recommended motion (Committee):** Move that the committee send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of price changes for Official Texas Historical Markers as recorded in the attached table.

**Recommended motion (Commission):** Move to approve price changes for Official Texas Historical Markers as recorded in the attached table.
# Official Texas Historical Marker Prices FY 2002 – 2023

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27&quot; x 42&quot; w/ post</td>
<td>2063.00</td>
<td>1250.00</td>
<td>1218.00</td>
<td>1500.00</td>
<td>1280.12</td>
<td>1500.00</td>
<td>1344.00</td>
<td>1800.00</td>
<td>1378.94</td>
<td>1800.00</td>
<td>1638.00</td>
<td>1800.00</td>
<td>1785.00</td>
<td>1875.00</td>
<td>1978.00</td>
<td>1875.00</td>
<td>1983.00</td>
<td>1875.00</td>
<td>1983.00</td>
<td>2300.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27&quot; x 42&quot; w/o post</td>
<td>744.00</td>
<td>1150.00</td>
<td>833.00</td>
<td>1500.00</td>
<td>875.48</td>
<td>1500.00</td>
<td>787.00</td>
<td>1600.00</td>
<td>807.46</td>
<td>1600.00</td>
<td>1094.00</td>
<td>1600.00</td>
<td>1376.00</td>
<td>1675.00</td>
<td>1587.00</td>
<td>1675.00</td>
<td>1650.00</td>
<td>2100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27&quot; x 42&quot; HTC w/ post</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1218.00</td>
<td>1500.00</td>
<td>1280.12</td>
<td>1500.00</td>
<td>1344.00</td>
<td>1800.00</td>
<td>1378.94</td>
<td>1800.00</td>
<td>1638.00</td>
<td>1800.00</td>
<td>1785.00</td>
<td>1875.00</td>
<td>1978.00</td>
<td>1875.00</td>
<td>1983.00</td>
<td>1875.00</td>
<td>1983.00</td>
<td>2300.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27&quot; x 42&quot; HTC w/o post</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>833.00</td>
<td>1500.00</td>
<td>875.48</td>
<td>1500.00</td>
<td>787.00</td>
<td>1600.00</td>
<td>807.46</td>
<td>1600.00</td>
<td>1094.00</td>
<td>1600.00</td>
<td>1376.00</td>
<td>1675.00</td>
<td>1587.00</td>
<td>1675.00</td>
<td>1650.00</td>
<td>2100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18&quot; x 28&quot; w/ post</td>
<td>730.00</td>
<td>850.00</td>
<td>839.00</td>
<td>1000.00</td>
<td>881.79</td>
<td>1000.00</td>
<td>925.00</td>
<td>1100.00</td>
<td>949.05</td>
<td>1100.00</td>
<td>1098.00</td>
<td>1100.00</td>
<td>982.00</td>
<td>1175.00</td>
<td>1201.00</td>
<td>1175.00</td>
<td>1249.00</td>
<td>1450.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18&quot; x 28&quot; w/o post</td>
<td>480.00</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>538.00</td>
<td>1000.00</td>
<td>565.44</td>
<td>1000.00</td>
<td>508.00</td>
<td>1100.00</td>
<td>521.21</td>
<td>1100.00</td>
<td>706.00</td>
<td>1100.00</td>
<td>754.00</td>
<td>1175.00</td>
<td>1072.00</td>
<td>1175.00</td>
<td>1115.00</td>
<td>1450.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18&quot; x 28&quot; HTC w/ post</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>839.00</td>
<td>1000.00</td>
<td>881.79</td>
<td>1000.00</td>
<td>925.00</td>
<td>1100.00</td>
<td>949.05</td>
<td>1100.00</td>
<td>1098.00</td>
<td>1100.00</td>
<td>982.00</td>
<td>1175.00</td>
<td>1201.00</td>
<td>1175.00</td>
<td>1249.00</td>
<td>1450.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18&quot; x 28&quot; HTC w/o post</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>538.00</td>
<td>1000.00</td>
<td>565.44</td>
<td>1000.00</td>
<td>508.00</td>
<td>1100.00</td>
<td>521.21</td>
<td>1100.00</td>
<td>706.00</td>
<td>1100.00</td>
<td>754.00</td>
<td>1175.00</td>
<td>1072.00</td>
<td>1175.00</td>
<td>1115.00</td>
<td>1450.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTHL medallion &amp; plaque w/ post</td>
<td>296.00</td>
<td>425.00</td>
<td>332.00</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>348.93</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>365.00</td>
<td>800.00</td>
<td>374.49</td>
<td>800.00</td>
<td>588.00</td>
<td>800.00</td>
<td>464.00</td>
<td>850.00</td>
<td>698.00</td>
<td>850.00</td>
<td>698.00</td>
<td>1050.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTHL medallion &amp; plaque w/o post</td>
<td>396.00</td>
<td>525.00</td>
<td>498.00</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>523.40</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>440.00</td>
<td>800.00</td>
<td>451.44</td>
<td>800.00</td>
<td>688.00</td>
<td>800.00</td>
<td>682.00</td>
<td>850.00</td>
<td>682.00</td>
<td>850.00</td>
<td>682.00</td>
<td>1050.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10&quot; HTC medallion</td>
<td>179.00</td>
<td>250.00</td>
<td>199.00</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>209.15</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>279.00</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>142.00</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>142.00</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>142.00</td>
<td>400.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTC name &amp; date plaque w/o post</td>
<td>327.00</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>366.00</td>
<td>650.00</td>
<td>384.67</td>
<td>650.00</td>
<td>89.00</td>
<td>650.00</td>
<td>89.00</td>
<td>650.00</td>
<td>348.00</td>
<td>650.00</td>
<td>327.00</td>
<td>700.00</td>
<td>624.00</td>
<td>700.00</td>
<td>624.00</td>
<td>850.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTC name &amp; date plaque w/ post</td>
<td>427.00</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>528.00</td>
<td>650.00</td>
<td>554.93</td>
<td>650.00</td>
<td>398.00</td>
<td>650.00</td>
<td>398.00</td>
<td>650.00</td>
<td>548.00</td>
<td>650.00</td>
<td>552.00</td>
<td>700.00</td>
<td>698.00</td>
<td>700.00</td>
<td>698.00</td>
<td>850.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12&quot; x 6&quot; supplemental plaque</td>
<td>167.00</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>198.00</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>208.10</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>47.20</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>338.00</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>179.00</td>
<td>400.00</td>
<td>349.00</td>
<td>400.00</td>
<td>349.00</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16&quot; x 12&quot; RTHL plaque</td>
<td>229.00</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>286.00</td>
<td>450.00</td>
<td>300.59</td>
<td>450.00</td>
<td>109.00</td>
<td>450.00</td>
<td>111.83</td>
<td>450.00</td>
<td>410.00</td>
<td>450.00</td>
<td>473.00</td>
<td>475.00</td>
<td>473.00</td>
<td>475.00</td>
<td>473.00</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTHL medallion</td>
<td>169.00</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>189.00</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>198.64</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>109.00</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>109.00</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>310.00</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>231.00</td>
<td>375.00</td>
<td>231.00</td>
<td>375.00</td>
<td>231.00</td>
<td>450.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1936 18&quot; bronze seal</td>
<td>59.00</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>59.00</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>62.10</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>39.00</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>39.00</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>279.00</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>1072.00</td>
<td>1100.00</td>
<td>1072.00</td>
<td>1100.00</td>
<td>1215.00</td>
<td>1350.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1936 bronze plaque</td>
<td>648.00</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>725.00</td>
<td>850.00</td>
<td>761.98</td>
<td>850.00</td>
<td>387.00</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>397.06</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>987.00</td>
<td>1000.00</td>
<td>1394.00</td>
<td>1450.00</td>
<td>1394.00</td>
<td>1450.00</td>
<td>1550.00</td>
<td>1800.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1936 bronze star</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>78.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>81.98</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>64.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>64.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>139.00</td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>96.00</td>
<td>125.00</td>
<td>96.00</td>
<td>125.00</td>
<td>114.00</td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1936 bronze wreath</td>
<td>88.00</td>
<td>125.00</td>
<td>98.00</td>
<td>125.00</td>
<td>103.00</td>
<td>125.00</td>
<td>83.00</td>
<td>125.00</td>
<td>83.00</td>
<td>125.00</td>
<td>180.00</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>315.00</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>315.00</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>387.00</td>
<td>400.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1936 bronze star &amp; wreath</td>
<td>158.00</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>176.00</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>184.98</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>147.00</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>147.00</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>319.00</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>372.00</td>
<td>400.00</td>
<td>372.00</td>
<td>400.00</td>
<td>432.00</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAL plaque</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>246.00</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>246.00</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>273.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TAB 14.3
Consider approval of filing authorization of an amendment to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Section 21.12, related to marker text requests, for first publication in the *Texas Register*

**Background:**
The proposed amendment to Section 21.12 clarifies the rule by stating that a supplemental plaque is not a choice, but rather an interim measure when funds are unavailable for immediate replacement of markers that have received approval through the historical marker request process.

The first publication will take place after approval by the Commission. There is a 30-day comment period following the publication, therefore rules approved by the Commission for this meeting will be considered for final approval and second publication at the July 2022 meeting.

**Suggested motion:**
Move to approve the filing authorization of proposed amendments to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 21, Subchapter B, Section 21.12, related to marker text requests, for first publication in the *Texas Register*. 
Texas Administrative Code
Title 13 Cultural Resources
Part II Texas Historical Commission
Chapter 21 History Programs
Subchapter B Official Texas Historical Marker Program

PREAMBLE

The Texas Historical Commission (Commission) proposes amendments to the Texas Administrative Code, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 21, Subchapter B, Section 21.12, related to marker text requests. The proposed amendment to Section 21.12 clarifies the rule by stating that a supplemental plaque is not a choice, but rather an interim measure when funds are unavailable for immediate replacement of markers that have received approval through the historical marker request process.

FISCAL NOTE. Mark Wolfe, Executive Director, has determined that for the first five-year period the amended rules are in effect there will be no fiscal implications for state or local government as a result of enforcing or administering these rules.

PUBLIC BENEFIT. Mr. Wolfe has also determined that for the first five-year period the amended rule is in effect, the public benefit will be the preservation of and education about state historic resources.

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT AND REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS FOR SMALL BUSINESSES, MICROBUSINESSES, AND RURAL COMMUNITIES. Mr. Wolfe has also determined that there will be no impact on rural communities, small businesses, or micro-businesses as a result of implementing these rules. Accordingly, no regulatory flexibility analysis, as specified in Texas Government Code § 2006.002, is required.

ECONOMIC COSTS TO PERSONS AND IMPACT ON LOCAL EMPLOYMENT. There are no anticipated economic costs to persons who are required to comply with the amendments to these rules, as proposed. There is no effect on local economy for the first five years that the proposed new section is in effect; therefore, no local employment impact statement is required under Texas Government Code § 2001.022 and 2001.024(a)(6).

GOVERNMENT GROWTH IMPACT STATEMENT. Because the proposed amendments only concern responsibilities of reviewing marker text, during the first five years that the amendments would be in effect, the proposed amendments: will not create or eliminate a government program; will not result in the addition or reduction of employees; will not require an increase or decrease in future legislative appropriations; will not lead to an increase or decrease in fees paid to a state agency; will not create a new regulation; will not repeal an existing regulation; and will not result in an increase or decrease in the number of individuals subject to the rule. During the first five years that the amendments would be in effect, the proposed amendments will not positively or adversely affect the Texas economy.

TAKINGS IMPACT ASSESSMENT. The Commission has determined that no private real property interests are affected by this proposal and the proposal does not restrict or limit an owner’s right to his
or her property that would otherwise exist in the absence of government action and, therefore, does not constitute a taking under Texas Government Code, § 2007.043.

PUBLIC COMMENT. Comments on the proposal may be submitted to Mark Wolfe, Executive Director, Texas Historical Commission, P.O. Box 12276, Austin, Texas 78711. Comments will be accepted for 30 days after publication in the Texas Register.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY. These amendments are proposed under the authority of Texas Government Code §442.005(q), which provides the Commission with the authority to promulgate rules to reasonably affect the purposes of the Commission, and Texas Government Code §442.006(h), which requires the Commission to adopt rules for the historical marker program.

CROSS REFERENCE TO OTHER LAW. No other statutes, articles, or codes are affected by these amendments.

The Commission hereby certifies that the proposed amendments have been reviewed by legal counsel and found to be a valid exercise of the agency’s authority.
Chapter 13, Chapter 21, Subchapter B. OFFICIAL TEXAS HISTORICAL MARKER PROGRAM

13 TAC §21.12

§21.12 Marker Text Requests

(a) A request for a review of the text of any Official Texas Historical Marker (OTHM) that is the property of the State of Texas and which falls under the jurisdiction of the Texas Historical Commission ("Commission") may be submitted to dispute the factual accuracy of the OTHM based on verifiable, historical evidence that the marker:

(1) Includes the name of an individual or organization that is not spelled correctly;

(2) Includes a date that is not historically accurate;

(3) Includes a statement that is not historically accurate; or

(4) Has been installed at the wrong location.

(b) A request for review of OTHM text shall be submitted on a form provided by the Commission for that purpose, accompanied by no more than 10 single-sided pages of supplemental material printed in a font size no smaller than 11.

(c) OTHM review requests shall be submitted to the Commission at 1511 Colorado St., Austin, TX 78701; by mail to P.O. Box 12276, Austin, TX 78711; or by email to thc@thc.texas.gov. The Commission will send a copy of the request and supporting materials to the County Historical Commission (CHC) for the county in which the OTHM is located, return receipt requested. In the absence of a formally-established CHC, a copy will be submitted to the county judge, return receipt requested.

(d) The CHC or county judge shall have 10 days from the date of receipt of the request to submit a response to the Commission if they wish to do so. The CHC or county judge’s response shall consist of not more than 10 single-sided pages of material printed in a font size no smaller than 11 and shall be signed by the chair of the CHC or by the county judge.

(e) Within 20 days of receiving the CHC or county judge’s response to the request, or within 30 days of receiving the request itself if there is no CHC or county judge response, the staff at the Commission shall review the information submitted and respond to the requestor and to the CHC or county judge with the staff recommendation in writing, return receipt requested.

(f) During the period previously referred to in Section (e), Commission staff may choose to refer the request to a panel of professional historians for a recommendation.

(g) The panel will consist of three professional historians: 1) the State Historian appointed by the Governor pursuant to Texas Government Code Section 3104.051; 2) the historian appointed by the Governor to serve on the Commission pursuant to Texas Government Code Section 442.002; and 3) a professional historian selected by these two historians from the faculty of a public college or university upon receiving the request. If no professional historian has been appointed by the Governor to serve on the Commission, the Governor’s appointed chair of the Commission or the chair’s designee will serve on the panel in place of that individual. In reaching its decision,
the panel will review the same information reviewed by the staff, as well as any additional information provided by staff, which shall be no more than 10 single-sided pages of supplemental material printed in a font size no smaller than 11. The panel shall be chaired by the State Historian who shall determine whether the panel will meet in person or deliberate through electronic or other means.

(h) The panel shall develop a written recommendation supported by at least two of its members. The written recommendation of the panel will be delivered to the Commission staff no later than 30 days following the panel’s receipt of the background materials as provided above. If the panel is unable to develop such a recommendation, the panel chair shall so report in writing to the Commission’s staff within the same 30-day period. Commission staff will consider the panel’s report and send their final recommendation to the requestor and to the CHC or county judge within 15 days after receiving the panel’s report, return receipt requested.

(i) If the requestor, or the County Historical Commission or county judge are not satisfied with the staff recommendation, they may choose to file an objection with the Commission’s History Programs Committee (“Committee”). Such objections must be postmarked no later than 5 days following receipt of the staff recommendation. If no such objection is filed, the staff or panel recommendation with accompanying marker text revisions will be placed on the next consent agenda of the Texas Historical Commission for approval.

(j) Review of objections filed with the Committee shall be based on copies of the same information as was initially provided to the panel of historians under section (g) above. If the matter was not submitted to the panel of historians, the objection shall be based on the material previously submitted by the requestor or requestors and CHC or county judge to the marker staff under sections (b) and (d) above, and on any additional information provided by marker staff, which shall be no more than 10 single-sided pages of supplemental material printed in a font size no smaller than 11.

(k) The Committee shall include the objection on the agenda of its next scheduled meeting, assuming said meeting happens at least 20 days after the objection is received by the Commission. If the 20-day deadline is not met, the objection shall be on the agenda of the following meeting of the Committee.

(l) The Committee may choose to take public testimony on the objection, or not. If public testimony is invited, such testimony may be limited by the Committee chair to a period of time allocated per speaker, per side (pro and con) or both.

(m) The decision of the Committee, along with any recommendation from staff and/or the panel, shall be placed on the consent agenda of the full Commission for approval.

(n) If a request or objection is approved by the Commission, the existing marker will be replaced [staff will determine if the existing marker requires replacement or if it can be corrected through the installation of a supplemental marker. The cost of such correction shall be paid by the Commission], subject to the availability of funds for that purpose. If such funds are not readily available, a supplemental marker may serve in the interim.

(o) With all approved requests or objections, Commission staff will write the replacement text. Markers will be produced by the contracted foundry and production will be subject to the foundry’s schedule.
(p) The Commission will not accept subsequent requests or objections that are substantively similar to a request or objection that is already going through or has already gone through this request process. A decision not to accept a request or objection under this section may be made by the Executive Director.

(q) A request for review may only be filed against a single marker, and no individual or organization may file more than one request for review per calendar year.

The Commission hereby certifies that the section as proposed has been reviewed by legal counsel and found to be a valid exercise of the agency’s authority.
EXECUTIVE
AGENDA
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
Alamo Complex
Alamo Hall
300 Alamo Plaza
San Antonio, TX 78205
April 29, 2022
2:45 p.m.
(or upon the adjournment of the 1:30 p.m. Historic Sites committee meeting, whichever occurs later)

This meeting of the THC Executive committee has been properly posted with the Secretary of State’s Office according to the provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Chapter 551, Texas Government Code. The members may discuss and/or take action on any of the items listed in the agenda.

NOTES: Alamo Hall is a separate building from the Alamo and can be found by following the path to the right of the Alamo. The Executive Committee may go into executive session (closed meeting to the public) on any agenda item if appropriate and authorized by the Open Meetings Act, TGC, Chapter 551.

1. Call to Order – Chairman John Nau
   A. Committee member introductions
   B. Establish quorum
   C. Recognize and/or excuse absences

2. Consider approval of the February 1, 2022 Executive Committee meeting minutes

3. Consider adoption of new rule to the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 11, Subchapter A, Section 11.25 related to the Family Leave Pool, without changes as published in the February 25, 2022, issue of the Texas Register (47 TexReg 845-846) (Item 6.4) – Miller

4. Hurricane Harvey Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund (Item 15.2) – Hart
   A. Consider approval of grant award to alternate project (Item 15.2A)
   B. Consider approval of process to award supplemental funding to previously awarded projects (Item 15.2B)

5. Consider delegation of authority to the Executive Committee to approve the Legislative Appropriations Request for FY 2024-2025 (Item 15.3) – Wolfe

6. Consider delegating authority to the Executive Committee to approve the THC Strategic Plan FY 2023-2027 (Item 15.4) – Wolfe

7. Human Resources Update – Miller
   A. Survey of Employee Engagement Report
   B. Consider approval of the FY 2020 Survey of Employee Engagement Operational action plan (item 6.6)

8. Information technology update – Miller

9. Committee Chairman’s Report
   A. Ongoing Projects; and
   B. Updates and Upcoming Events

10. Adjourn

NOTICE OF ASSISTANCE AT PUBLIC MEETINGS: Persons with disabilities who plan to attend this meeting and who may need auxiliary aids or services such as interpreters for persons who are deaf or hearing impaired, readers, large print or Braille, are requested to contact esther.brickley@thc.texas.gov at least four (4) business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.
1. Call to Order

The meeting of the Texas Historical Commission (THC) Executive Committee was called to order by Vice-Chairman Catherine McKnight at 11:30 a.m. on February 1, 2022. She announced the meeting had been posted to the Texas Register, was being held in conformance with the Texas Open Meetings Act, Texas Government Code, Chapter 551 and that notice had been properly posted with the Secretary of State’s Office as required.

A. Committee member introductions
Vice-Chair McKnight welcomed everyone, and introductions were made around the table.

B. Establish quorum
Vice-Chair McKnight reported a quorum was present and declared the meeting open.

C. Recognize and/or excuse absences
Vice-Chair McKnight noted that Chairman John L. Nau, III, was absent due to an unavoidable scheduling conflict. Commissioner Pete Peterson moved, Commissioner Garrett Donnelly seconded, and the committee voted unanimously to excuse the absence of Chairman Nau.

2. Consider approval of the Executive Committee meeting minutes

A. October 28, 2021 (Austin)
B. January 11, 2022 (Houston)

Commissioner Peterson moved, Commissioner John Crain seconded, and the committee voted unanimously to approve the minutes from the October 28, 2021 and the January 11, 2022 executive committee meetings.

3. Consider dates/locations for 2022 and 2023 quarterly meetings

THC Executive Director Mark Wolfe presented the proposed dates and locations for the next two years. He noted that a few changes had been made since the last time the commission had approved the dates/locations. Commissioner Daisy White moved, Commissioner Peterson seconded, and the committee vote unanimously to send forward to the commission and recommend approval of the dates/locations for the 2022 and 2023 quarterly meetings as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>February 1-2, Austin</td>
<td>January 31–February 1, Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 28–29, San Antonio</td>
<td>April 27–28, Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 25-26, Big Spring</td>
<td>July 20–21, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 27–28, College Station</td>
<td>October 26–27, TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Consider approval of filing authorization of proposed new rule to the TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 11, Subchapter A, Section 11.25 related to the Family Leave Pool, for first publication and public comment in the Texas Register

THC Deputy Executive Director of Administration Alvin Miller reported that the THC was proposing to add a new rule to Chapter 11 of the Texas Administrative Code, Part 2, Section 11.25 related to the state employee family leave pool to comply with Texas Government Code requirements for rulemaking. He stated that the agency had complied with the requirements of the related statute but had not formally adopted rules. Commissioner Peterson moved, Commissioner Donnelly seconded, and the committee voted unanimously to send forward to the commission and recommend approval of the filing authorization of the proposed new rule to TAC, Title 13, Part 2, Chapter 11, section 11.25 related to the State Employee Family Leave Pool, for first publication and public comment in the Texas Register.

5. Consider approval of Hurricane Harvey Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund grant award to alternate project

THC Disaster Assistance Program Coordinator Lisa Hart reported that a funding grant award to the Luther Hotel was withdrawn in November 2021 due to complications resulting from the death of the owner. She reported that the return resulted in $183,509.09 remaining for distribution. Hart explained that the next highest-ranking project remaining on the alternate list, was the Donigan House, also known as the Waller County Museum, owned by Waller County. She noted that the county judge had approved moving forward with the grant-funded repair work. Hart presented the proposed scope of work with photos. Commissioner White moved, Commissioner Peterson seconded, and the committee voted unanimously to send forward to the commission and recommend approval of funding from the Hurricanes Harvey, Irma and Maria Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund Grant program to the Donigan House (Waller County Museum), 906 Cooper Street, Brookshire, Waller County in the amount of $250,000.

6. Consider approval of appointments/reappointments to the Antiquities Advisory Board (AAB)

Executive Director Wolfe explained that the commission had the ability to appoint advisory committees pursuant to Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 26, Practice and Procedure, Subchapter A, General Provisions, Section 26.5. He noted that the AAB consisted of archeologists, historians and historic architects who serve two-year terms that expire on February 1, of either odd or even numbered years, as determined by the commission. Executive Director Wolfe stated that seven positions were up for appointment or reappointment (three professional archeologists, two historic architects, and two historians) and referred commissioners to their meeting packets for the list of proposed appointments/reappointments. Commissioner Donnelly moved, Commissioner Crain seconded, and the committee voted unanimously to send forward to the commission and recommend the appointment of Niki Hise, professional archeologist, and Joaquín Rivaya-Martínez, historian; and the reappointments of Doug Boyd, professional archeologist, Todd Ahlman, professional archeologist, and Rick Lewis, historic architect, each to serve a two-year term (effective February 2, 2022 through January 31, 2024); further, to approve the retroactive reappointments of Norman Alston, historic architect, and Bob Ward, historian, each to serve a two-year term (effective February 1, 2021 through January 31, 2023) to the Antiquities Advisory Board.

7. Human Resources Update

Deputy Executive Director of Administration Alvin Miller provided an overview of the FY2022 Survey of Employee Engagement (SEE). Administered by the University of Texas Institute of Organizational Excellence (Institute), he explained that the THC had participated in the biennial SEE since 1994 and noted that the
FY2022 survey was administered between December 2021 through January 20, 2022. Miller explained that the survey provided information about employees’ perceptions of the effectiveness of the agency and their job satisfaction. He stated that the overall survey response rate was 85.6 percent which was an increase from the previous biennium. Miller reported that the Institute would submit an independent report which would be presented at the April 2022 quarterly meeting along with a proposed action plan.

8. Information technology update
Miller offered a summary of the IT activities over the past quarter including the completion of a password change initiative and annual network penetration testing.

9. Committee Chairman’s Report
Vice-Chair McKnight called on Executive Director Wolfe who provided an updated timeline for the anticipated work on the Battleship Texas including onsite repairs in advance of drydocking (February-April 2022); consideration of one last public day (March 2022); dredging of the Battleship berth (April-May 2022); final towing preparations (May 2022); and towing of the Battleship to Galveston for restoration (18-day journey, June 2022).

10. Adjourn
On the motion of the vice-chair, and without objection, the meeting was adjourned at 11:50 a.m.
TAB 15.2 A
Consider approval of Hurricane Harvey Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund grant award to alternate project

Background

Congress appropriated $50 million from the Historic Preservation Fund to address the impacts of Hurricanes Harvey, Irma, and Maria, which occurred in 2017. In August 2018, the Texas Historical Commission (THC) applied to the National Park Service to receive an apportionment of these funds to assist affected historic properties in Texas. Staff received official notice on March 8, 2019 of the successful grant award in the amount of $12,318,047. In August of 2019, the NPS awarded THC an additional $200,000 for a mid-century modern survey and elevation study, bringing the grant funding total to $12,518,047.

The THC Commission awarded 39 projects in May and July 2019 for a total of $8,373,401. In addition, eight projects were identified as alternate projects. The Commission reserved $626,599 in grant funding for distribution to alternate project funding, program-required National Register nominations and possibly supplementing already awarded projects. To date, seven alternate projects have been awarded funding by the Commission. In January 2022, an award in the amount of $250,000 to rehabilitate the Schlumberger Well Servicing Corporation Building in Harris County was declined due to devastating damage and theft of the building’s electrical system.

The final project remaining on the alternate list, Texas Freedom Colonies Project, is a trademarked research initiative copyrighted by Andrea R. Roberts, PhD, an associate professor in the Department of Landscape Architecture and Urban Planning in the College of Architecture at Texas A&M University. Dr. Roberts will be transitioning to employment with the University of Virginia this fall necessitating a contract directly through her company, Freedom Colonies Project LLC. The project will cover strategic and technical planning, including support for student research this summer.

Recommended motion (Committee):
Move to send forward to the Commission and recommend approval of funding from the Hurricanes Harvey, Irma and Maria Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund Grant program to Freedom Colonies Project LLC in the amount of $151,211.00

Recommended motion (Commission):
Move to approve funding from the Hurricanes Harvey, Irma and Maria Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund Grant program to Freedom Colonies Project LLC in the amount of $151,211.00
## HIM ESHPF Alternate Projects:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Houston</td>
<td>Harris</td>
<td>Fire Station #3 <strong>FUNDIED</strong></td>
<td>Replace roof, 2nd floor restoration</td>
<td>64.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus Christi</td>
<td>Nueces</td>
<td>Ritz Theater <strong>FUNDIED</strong></td>
<td>Repair roof, condition assessment, restoration plan</td>
<td>64.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refugio</td>
<td>Refugio</td>
<td>Anaqua Home <strong>FUNDIED</strong></td>
<td>Porch repair to storm standards</td>
<td>63.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galveston</td>
<td>Galveston</td>
<td>Scottish Rite Temple <strong>FUNDIED</strong></td>
<td>Repair roof, walls, windows</td>
<td>62.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston</td>
<td>Harris</td>
<td>Sociedad Mutualista Obrera Mexican (SMOM) <strong>FUNDIED</strong></td>
<td>Repair roof, structural damage</td>
<td>62.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaumont</td>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td>Caroline Gilbert Hinchee House <strong>FUNDIED</strong></td>
<td>Temporary roof, weatherization, stabilization drainage</td>
<td>62.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brookshire</td>
<td>Waller</td>
<td>Waller County Museum (Donigan House) <strong>FUNDIED</strong></td>
<td>Flood assessment and mitigation, structural work</td>
<td>62.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>Freedom Colonies strategic &amp; tech plan <strong>PROPOSED</strong></td>
<td>Plan and test methodology for integrated engagement and survey</td>
<td>59.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TAB 15.2 B
Consider approval of process to award supplemental funding to previously awarded Hurricane Harvey Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund projects

Background

Congress appropriated $50 million from the Historic Preservation Fund to address the impacts of Hurricanes Harvey, Irma, and Maria, which occurred in 2017. In August 2018, the Texas Historical Commission (THC) applied to the National Park Service to receive an apportionment of these funds to assist affected historic properties in Texas. Staff received official notice on March 8, 2019 of the successful grant award in the amount of $12,318,047. In August of 2019, the NPS awarded THC an additional $200,000 for a mid-century modern survey and elevation study, bringing the grant funding total to $12,518,047.

The THC Commission awarded 39 projects in May and July 2019 for a total of $8,373,401. In addition, eight projects were identified as alternate projects. The Commission reserved $626,599 in grant funding for distribution to alternate project funding, program-required National Register nominations and possibly supplementing projects which previously received awards. Since the grant awards were made, several projects have declined funding due to a variety of reasons. Now that all eight alternate projects have been funded, a process is proposed to distribute the remaining funding as it becomes available. All projects must be completed by the deadline established by the National Park Service of March 31, 2024. The process below will redistribute funding as efficiently as possible to ensure the state makes full use of grant funding according to terms of the THC’s contract with the National Park Service award contract.

Proposed Policy

To facilitate distributing all funds awarded to the state, the Executive Director will award supplemental funding to those subgrant projects that identified the need for additional funding in their original applications, in the order of the original score ranking and project status. To be eligible for supplemental funding, a project must have an executed subgrant agreement and a filed preservation easement in place and demonstrate progress in project development.

The Executive Director shall defer awarding supplemental funding to projects that do not meet the required criteria or are unready to utilize the additional funding and will then consider the next highest-ranked qualified project. As additional funding becomes available, the Executive Director will again consider the highest ranked project for supplemental funding, with no guarantee that funding will become available to projects at a later date. Internal THC projects may also be considered for supplemental funding.

Prior to determining the amount of a supplemental funding award, the applicant may submit a revised budget and scope of work. The Executive Director may use updated cost and budget to determine supplemental funding, if appropriate. The Executive Director may make multiple awards to a single project, depending on the viability of the project, the use of prior funding, and the demonstrated ability to meet the final project closure deadline of March 31, 2024.
Recommended motion (Committee):
Move to send forward to the Commission and recommend directing the Executive Director to award supplemental funding from the Hurricanes Harvey, Irma and Maria Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund Grant program to the highest ranking qualified subgrantee projects as outlined in the motion policy.

Recommended motion (Commission):
Move to direct the Executive Director to award supplemental funding from the Hurricanes Harvey, Irma and Maria Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund Grant program to the highest ranking qualified subgrantee projects as outlined in the motion policy.
TAB 15.3
Consider delegation of authority to the Executive Committee to approve the Legislative Appropriations Request for the 2024-2025 biennium

**Background:**

The Legislative Appropriations Request (LAR) for the 2024-25 biennium is the agency’s budget request to the Texas Legislature. The LAR contains the actual expenditures from the previous year (2021), the estimated expenditures from the current year (2022), budgeted expenditures for the coming year (2023), and the requested budget for the coming biennium (FYs 2024-2025). Agencies, including THC, are also able to request additional funding for agency initiatives, known as exceptional items.

The LAR Policy letter and submission schedule is expected to be released in mid-late June with an expected due date to the Legislative Budget Board (LBB) on approximately August 5, 2022. This timeframe falls in between THC quarterly meetings and warrants delegation of authority to the Executive committee for approval of the LAR to facilitate the timely submission.

**Suggested Motion:**

Move to delegate authority to the Executive Committee to approve the Legislative Appropriations Request (LAR) for the 2024-25 biennium. Any final adjustments necessary before submission of the LAR to the Legislature may be made by the Executive Director with the approval of the Chairman.
TAB 15.4
Consider delegating authority to the Executive Committee to approve the THC Strategic Plan FY 2023-2027

Background:

The THC is required to submit a Strategic Plan to the Governor’s Office of Budget, Planning and Policy and the Legislative Budget Board every biennium (even-numbered years). The Strategic Plan identifies a 5-year vision and direction for the agency and serves as the framework for internal operations, which include objectives, strategies, performance measures and the budget.

The agency has undergone an inclusive strategic planning process. The conceptual direction of the Strategic Plan incorporates decisions made during this process and is in alignment with the Governor’s instructions for strategic planning.

The THC will submit its final plan to the Governor’s Office of Budget, Planning and Policy and the Legislative Budget Board June 1, 2022. As the Strategic Plan must be submitted prior to the next Commission meeting, it is requested that the Commission delegate authority to the Executive Committee to make any final adjustments necessary and approve the THC Strategic Plan for FY 2023-2027 to meet the June 1, 2022 submission deadline.

Suggested Motion:

Move to delegate authority to the Executive Committee to make any final adjustments necessary and approve the THC Strategic Plan for FY 2023-2027 for timely submission.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S REPORT
Met and consulted with:
AG’s office re: various actions (weekly)
Governor’s office re: various actions
Levi Jordan Advisory Committee
Consultant and others re: Washington on the Brazos project progress
City of San Antonio and General Services Administration re: Confluence Theater building/Wood federal courthouse
Property owners and others re: resolution of NWIRP covenant issue
Consultant and others re: travel app project
Presented to the 1836 Project Committee in San Antonio
Texas Historical Foundation re: potential new award program

Attended events including:
Fannin County Courthouse rededication, Bonham
French Legation tour with French Ambassador to the U.S., Austin
Friends of the Texas Historical Commission board meeting, Austin
THC Executive Committee meeting, Houston
NCSHPO board meeting (virtual)
NCSHPO annual meeting
NCSHPO Executive committee conference calls
Customer service training
Staff memorial service, Magoffin Home, El Paso
Real Places conference, Austin
Friends of the Governor’s Mansion board meeting, Austin
UT Austin historic preservation program class visit, Austin
Annual inspection of the Governor’s Mansion

Upcoming Events:
Governor’s Award presentation, Austin
Washington on the Brazos project update
Friends of the THC Gala event, Austin
San Jacinto Museum and Battlefield Association annual banquet
Friends of the THC board meeting, Austin
Capitol complex development project update, Austin
Annual site meeting, Joint Base San Antonio
Sundown Social event, Washington on the Brazos
Odom family reunion and project completion celebration, Shankleville
Architecture Committee courthouse program application review meeting